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#### Abstract

The idea of this paper stems to modify "the fact that most used optimization methods use a local quadratic representation of the objective function". It also arises from the fact that the objective function may not be represented perfectly by local quadratic representation functions and the global minimizer may be obtained for the general objective functions. Consequently, a new non-quadratic model algorithm, in this paper, is suggested for solving unconstrained nonlinear optimization problems, which modifies the classical conjugate gradient (CG) algorithms. The new algorithm is derived and evaluated numerically for some standard nonlinear test functions. The results indicate that in general the new algorithm has an improvement percentages on the previous some selected CG-algorithms.
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## 1. Introduction

Conjugate Gradient (CG) methods are iterative methods, which generate a sequence of approximation points to minimize the nonlinear function $f(x)$, of the form,

$$
x_{i+1}=x_{i}+\lambda_{i} d_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

where $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ is the step length compute using exact line search by the formula

$$
f\left(x_{i}+\lambda_{i} d_{i}\right)=\min f\left(x_{i}+\lambda_{i} d_{i}\right)
$$

and $d_{k}$ is the current search direction computed as follow:

$$
d_{i}= \begin{cases}-g_{i}, & \text { if } \quad i=0 \\ -g_{i}+\beta_{i} d_{i-1}, & \text { if } \quad i \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta_{i}$ is the conjugacy coefficient and it is, originally, defined by one of the following standard formulae:
$\beta_{i}^{F R}=\frac{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}}$, (Fletcher and Reeves (FR), 1964),
$\beta_{i}^{H S}=\frac{g_{i}^{T}\left(g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)}{d_{i-1}^{T}\left(g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)},($ Hestenes and Stiefel (HS), 1952),
$\beta_{i}^{P R}=\frac{g_{i}^{T}\left(g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)}{\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}},($ Polak and Ribière (PR), 1969),
$\beta_{i}^{D x}=-\frac{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}}{d_{i-1}^{T} g_{i-1}},(\operatorname{Dixon}(\mathrm{Dx}), 1975)$,
where $g_{i-1}$ and $g_{i}$ are gradients of $f(x)$ at the point $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ respectively. Also, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes Euclidean norm of vectors.

Hestenes and Stiefel published the first CG-methods, in 1952, for solving a system of linear algebraic equations. Fletcher and Reeves, in 1964, were the first, among other scholars, to use this technique to minimize a non-linear function of several variables. Since then the method has been used successfully to tackle many nonlinear test problems.

## 2. CG-METHODS FOR EXTENDED QUADRATIC MODELS

In this section, a more general model than quadratic one is suggested as a basis for the CG-algorithm. If $q(x)$ is a quadratic function, then a function $f(q(x))$ is defined as a non-linear scaling of $q(x)$ if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=F(q(x)), \quad \frac{d f}{d q}=f^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad q(x)>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{*}$ is the minimizer of $q(x)$ with respect to x , (Spedicato, 1976). The following properties are immediately derived from the above condition:
i) every contour line of the quadratic function $q(x)$ is a contour line of the general function $f(x)$,
ii) if $x^{*}$ is a minimizer of the quadratic function $q(x)$, then it is a minimizer of the general function $f(x)$, iii) if $x^{*}$ is a local minimizer of the quadratic function $q(x)$, then it is a local minimizer of the general function $f(x)$.

In (Boland, 1979), it was first observed that $q(x)$ and $f(q(x))$ have determined the same search directions so that the finite termination property for their algorithm is satisfied. Various authors have published related works in the area see for example; (AlAssady, et.al, 1993), (Al-Assady and Al-Bayati, 1994), (Al-Bayati, 1992), (Hu et.at, 1994), (Gaoyi_Wu, et.al, 2018), and (Yuan, G. and $\mathrm{Hu}, \mathrm{W} ., 2018$ ). A conjugate gradient method which minimizers the function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=(q(x))^{P}, P>0 \quad \text { and } \quad x \in R^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in at most n step has been described by (Fried, 1971) and the special case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q(x))=\varepsilon_{1} q(x)+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{2} q^{2}(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are scalars, has investigated by (Boland et.al, 1979). (Tassopoulos and Storey, 1984a and 1984b) have proposed two different rational models that are denoted by T/S. their non-quadratic models are defined by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q(x))=\frac{\left(\varepsilon_{1} q(x)+1\right)}{\varepsilon_{2} q(x)}, \varepsilon_{2}<0 \text { and } q(x)>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are scalars, and
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$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q(x))=\frac{\varepsilon q(x)}{(1+q(x))}, \varepsilon>0 \text { and } q(x)>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the following logarithmic non-quadratic model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q(x))=\log \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1} q(x)}{\varepsilon_{2} q(x)+1}\right), \varepsilon_{2}<0 \text { and } q(x)>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been suggested by (Al-Mashhadany and Al- Assady, 1997).
Here we are going to list outlines of the (Tassopoulos and Storey, 1984a) CG-algorithm:

### 2.1 Tassopoulos and Storey (T/S) CG-Algorithm.

The outline of the T/S algorithm is as follows:
Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$ an initial estimate of the minimizer $x^{*}$ and a scalar $\mathcal{E}$.

Step1: Set $d_{0}=-g_{0}$
Step2: For $i=1,2, \cdots$
compute $x_{i}=x_{i-1}+\lambda_{i-1} d_{i-1}$, where $\lambda_{i-1}$ is the minimizer of $f$ on $d_{i-1}$
Step3: Define $n=\frac{\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{2}$ and $w=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$
where $f_{i}=F\left(q\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and $f_{i-1}=F\left(q\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)$
compute $\eta=n+w$ and $\left(\eta f_{i-1}-n w\right)$
Step4: If $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon$, then set $\rho_{i}=1.0$ and go to Step(7), where $\varepsilon$ is a small number (i.e $\left.0.1 E-10\right)$
Step5: If $\left|\eta f_{i-1}-n w\right| \leq w$, then set $\rho_{i}=\sqrt{f_{i-1} / f_{i}} \quad$, and go to Step(7) else go to step(6)
Step6: Compute $\rho_{i}=[n /(2 n+w)]^{2}$
Step7: Calculate the new direction: $d_{i}=-g_{i}+\rho_{i}\left\{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2} /\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}\right\} d_{i-1}$
(this direction is modified for standard (FR) formula for the new model)
Step8: Check for convergence,
if $\left\|g_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, then stop, else go to $\operatorname{Step}(9)$
Step9: Check for restarting criterion,
if $i=n$, go to step(7), else set $i=i+1$, and go to $\operatorname{Step}(2)$.

### 2.1 Tassopoulos and Storey (T/S) CG-Algorithm

The outline of the T/S algorithm is as follows:
Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$ an initial estimate of the minimizer $x^{*}$ and a scalar $\varepsilon$.
Step1: Set $d_{0}=-g_{0}$
Step2: For $i=1,2, \cdots$
compute $x_{i}=x_{i-1}+\lambda_{i-1} d_{i-1}$, where $\lambda_{i-1}$ is the minimizer of $f$ on $d_{i-1}$
Step3: Define $n=\frac{\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{2}$ and $w=f_{i}-f_{i-1}$
where $f_{i}=F\left(q\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ and $f_{i-1}=F\left(q\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)$
compute $\eta=n+w$ and $\left(\eta f_{i-1}-n w\right)$
Step4: If $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon$, then set $\rho_{i}=1.0$ and go to $\operatorname{Step}(7)$, where $\varepsilon$ is a small number (i.e $\left.0.1 E-10\right)$
Step5: If $\left|\eta f_{i-1}-n w\right| \leq w$, then set $\rho_{i}=\sqrt{f_{i-1} / f_{i}}$, and go to Step(7) else go to step(6)
Step6: Compute $\rho_{i}=[n /(2 n+w)]^{2}$
Step7: Calculate the new direction: $d_{i}=-g_{i}+\rho_{i}\left\{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2} /\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}\right\} d_{i-1}$
(this direction is modified for standard (FR) formula for the new model)
Step8: Check for convergence,

$$
\text { if }\left\|g_{i}\right\| \leq \varepsilon, \text { then stop, else go to } \operatorname{Step}(9)
$$

Step9: Check for restarting criterion,
if $i=n$, go to step(7), else set $i=i+1$, and go to Step(2).

## 3. New Proposed non-quadratic CG-Algorithm

In this section, another new logarithmic model was investigated and tested on some well-known set of standard nonlinear test functions, An extended CG-algorithm is developed which is based on this new model which scales $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ by the natural logarithmic function for the rational $q(x)$ functions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q(x))=\log \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1} q(x)}{1-\varepsilon_{2} q(x)}\right), \varepsilon_{2}<0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first observe that $q(x)$ and $F(q(x))$ given by (7) have identical contours, though with different function values, and they have the same unique minimum point denoted by $x^{*}$. For any general function $f$ satisfying the condition (1) it is shown in (Boland et al. 1979) that the updating process given below generates identical conjugate search directions and the same sequence of approximation points $x_{i}$ to the minimizer $x^{*}$, as does the original method of (Fletcher- Reeves 1964) when applied to $f(x)=q(x)$. In order to modify the property (iii) in the following way:
$"$ the optimal point $x^{*}$ is a global minimizer of the quadratic function $q(x)$ implies that is a global minimizer of the general function $f(x)$ " . In this study, we have suggested a new logarithmic model which is defined in eq.(7) based on the on standard theorem of (Renpu Ge, 1989) which is illustrated below:

Suppose $F(x)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}{g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)} \quad \text { where } \quad x^{T}=\left(x_{1}^{T}, x_{2}^{T}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)>0 \quad \text { and } \quad g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)>0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from equation (3) and (4) that:
$\log F(x)=\log f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\log g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$
is a separable function.
Thus according to the following theorem which states:
Theorem 3.1. $x^{* T}=\left(x_{1}^{* T}, x_{2}^{* T}, \cdots, x_{n}^{* T}\right)$ is a global minimizer of a rational separable function $F(x)$ if and only if every sequence of points $x_{i}^{*},(i=1,2, \cdots, n)$ is a global minimizer of the general function $f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$.

Proof: See (Renpu Ge, 1989).
we can conclude that $x^{*}$ is a global minimizer of $\log F(x)$ if and only if $x_{1}^{*}$ and $x_{2}^{*}$ are respectively global minimizers of $\log f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $-\log g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, the monotonicity of $\log t$ implies that $x^{*}$ is a global minimizer of $F(x)$ if and only if $x_{1}^{*}$ and $x_{2}^{*}$ are respectively global minimizers of the function $f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$.

### 3.1 Out line of the New Proposed Algorithm

Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$ an initial estimate of the minimizer $\mathrm{x}^{*}$.
Step1: Set $d_{0}=-g_{0}$.
Step2: For $\mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots$

$$
\text { compute } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}-1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}-1}
$$

where $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ is the optimal step size obtained by the line search procedure.
Step3: Calculate $\exp (f)=1+f+f^{2 / 2}!+f 3 / 3!+\ldots$

$$
\text { and define } \quad \mathrm{n}=\lambda_{\mathrm{i}-1} \mathrm{gT}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}-1} / 2 .
$$

$$
\mathrm{w}=\exp \left(f_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\exp \left(f_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) .
$$

$$
\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{n} \exp \left(f_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

Step4: If $|\mathrm{w}| \leq 1$. e-6 or $|\mathrm{c}| \leq 1 . e-6$, then set $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}=1.0$ and go to step (6) else go to Step(5).
Step5: Compute

$$
\rho_{i}=\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{w}\right)^{2}
$$

where the derivation of scaling $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}$ will be presented below.
Step6: Calculate the new search direction

$$
d_{i}=-g_{i}+\beta_{i} d_{i-1}
$$

where $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ is defined by different formulae according to variation and it is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{i}^{F R}=\rho_{i}\left(\frac{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}}\right), & \quad \text { (modified Fletcher and Reeves 1964), } \\
\beta_{i}^{H S} & =\frac{g_{i}^{T}\left(\rho_{i} g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)}{d_{i-1}^{T}\left(g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)}, \\
\beta_{i}^{P R} & =\frac{g_{i}^{T}\left(\rho_{i} g_{i}-g_{i-1}\right)}{\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|^{2}},
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (modified Hestenes and stiefle 1952), } \quad \text { (modified Polak and Ribière 1969), } \quad \text {, }
$$

CG-methods are usually implemented by restarts in order to avoid an accumulation of errors affecting the search directions. It is therefore generally agreed that restarting is very helpful in practice, so we have used the following restarting criterion in our practical investigations. If the new direction satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}^{T} g_{i} \geq-0.8\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then a restart is also initiated. This new direction is sufficiently downhill.

### 3.2 Derivation of the New proposed Algorithm

The implementation of the extended CG-method has been performed for general functions $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x}))$ of the form of eq.(7). The unknown quantities $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}$ were expressed in terms of available quantities of the algorithm (i.e. function and gradient values of the objective function ). It is first assumed that neither $\mathcal{E}_{1}$, nor $\boldsymbol{E}_{2}$ is zero in eq.(7) . Solving eq.(7) for $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\frac{\exp (f)}{\varepsilon_{2}\left(\exp (f)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the expression for $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}$ (henceforth $\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i}^{N E W}=\frac{f_{i-1}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the quantity that has to be determined explicitly is $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)$.
During every interaction $\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)$ must be evaluated as a function of known available quantities.
From the relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{i}=f_{i}^{\prime} G\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& g_{i-1}=f_{i-1}^{\prime} G\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where G is the Hessian matrix and $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ is the minimum point, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i}^{N E W}=\frac{f_{i-1}^{\prime}}{f_{i}^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{g_{i-1}^{T}}{g_{i}^{T}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{i}-x^{*}}{x_{i-1}-x^{*}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i-1}^{T}\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)=g_{i-1}^{T}\left(x_{i-1}+\lambda_{i-1} d_{i-1}-x^{*}\right) \\
& =g_{i-1}^{T}\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right)+\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i}^{T}\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right)=g_{i}^{T}\left(x_{i}-\lambda_{i-1} d_{i-1}-x^{*}\right) \\
& =g_{i}^{T}\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since, $\quad g_{i}^{T} d_{i-1}=0$
Therefore we can express $\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i}^{T}=\frac{g_{i-1}^{T}\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right)+\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{g_{i}^{T}\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (14), we get:
$\rho_{i}^{N E W}=\frac{f_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right)^{T} G\left(x_{i-1}-x^{*}\right)+\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)^{T} G\left(x_{i}-x^{*}\right)}$
Therefore,
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}=\frac{2 f_{i-1}^{\prime} q_{i-1}+\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{2 f_{i}^{\prime} q_{i}} \\
& \rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}=\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}\left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_{i}}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}}{2 f_{i}^{\prime} q_{i}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $\left(\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_{i}}\right)$ and $f_{i}^{\prime} q_{i}$ can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{q_{i-1}}{q_{i}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}^{N E W}}} \sqrt{\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}}  \tag{18}\\
f_{i}^{\prime} q_{i} & =\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (18) and (19) in (17), gives :
$\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}=\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_{i}^{N E W}}} \sqrt{\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}}+\frac{\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1} / 2\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}$
Using the transformation:-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i-1} g_{i-1}^{T} d_{i-1}=2 n \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) and (21), it follows that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}}\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}}+\frac{n\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)}{\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left(\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}\right)\left(\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right)+ \\
n\left(\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right) \frac{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} / \varepsilon_{2}\right) \\
\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{2}}=\frac{\left(\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)\right)^{2}-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right) \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)+n \cdot\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)-n \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)} \\
=\frac{-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)\right)+n \cdot\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)-n \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the following transformation:
$w=\exp \left(f_{i}\right)-\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)$
The above equation can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{2}}=\frac{-\left(w \cdot \exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)-n \cdot\left(\exp \left(f_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right)}{w-n \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting equation (22) in equation(13), we get:
$\rho_{i}^{\text {NEW }}=\left(\frac{\exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{\exp \left(f_{i-1}\right)}\right)\left(\frac{n \cdot \exp \left(f_{i}\right)}{w}\right)^{2}$
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## 4. Numerical Results and Conclusions

In order to test the effectiveness of the new proposed CG-algorithm which has been used to extend the standard CG- method, the comparative tests involve several well-known test functions (see Appendix) has been chosen and solved numerically by utilizing the new and established methods.
Tables (1), (2) and (3) utilize the comparisons between our proposed new algorithm which is corresponding to the new rational non-quadratic model represented in eq.(7), denoted by (NEW), the classical CG-method, denoted by (CG), the rational model of Tassopoulos and Storey, denoted by (T/S), the method of (Al-Mashhadany and Al- Assady, 1997), denoted by (H/N) for low; intermediate and high dimension well-known nonlinear test problems.
The identical linear search procedure was used, namely, the cubic fitting procedure described by (Bundy, 1984) and also the used in each case so that $\left\|g_{i-1}\right\|<1 \times 10^{-5}$. Specifically quantity the number of function calls (NOF), the number of iterations (NOI).

Table1:- Comparisons of different methods for non-quadratic models $2 \leq n \leq 10$

| Test Functio n | n | CG |  | T/S |  | H/N |  | NEW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ |
| Rosen | 2 | 31 | 73 | 31 | 73 | 31 | 73 | 29 | 68 |
| Powell | 4 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 38 | 96 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 34 | 80 |
| Wood | 4 | 28 | 61 | 36 | 78 | 36 | 75 | 30 | 64 |
| Miele | 4 | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Dixon | 10 | 22 | 46 | 18 | 44 | 21 | 44 | 18 | 44 |
| Total |  | $18$ | 47 | 16 9 | $43$ | 19 | $47$ | 15 | 38 9 |
|  |  | 8 | 2 | 9 |  | 6 |  | 5 | 9 |

It is obvious that the new proposed CG-algorithm, for this set of low dimensionality test functions, improve the classical CGalgorithm in about ( $18 \%$ ) NOI and ( $20 \%$ ) NOF. Also the new proposed CG-algorithm improve the T/S algorithm in (9\%) NOI and ( $12 \%$ ) NOF. Finally the new proposed CG- improve H/N algorithm in about ( $21 \%$ ) NOI and ( $21 \%$ ) NOF.

Table2:- Comparison of different methods for non-quadratic models $20 \geq n \leq 80$

| Test Functio n | n | CG |  | T/S |  | H/N |  | NEW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ |
| Dixon | 20 | 15 | 37 | 15 | 37 | 15 | 37 | 15 | 37 |
| Nondig. | 20 | 24 | 61 | 24 | 61 | 24 | 61 | 24 | 60 |
| Wood | 40 | 51 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 90 | 35 | 74 | 34 | 71 |
| OSP | 40 | 25 | 62 | 18 | 51 | 23 | 65 | 23 | 65 |
| Powell | 60 | 67 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 90 | 36 | 74 | 28 | 59 |
| Rosen | 60 | 23 | 56 | 23 | 57 | 18 | 41 | 27 | 63 |
| Wood | 80 | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 43 | 90 | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 67 |
| Powell | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 95 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $29$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |

Clearly the new proposed CG-algorithms beats CG-method in (41\%)NOI and (37\%) NOF; T/S method in (20\%) NOI and ( $18 \%$ ) NOF; $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{N}$ method in ( $23 \%$ ) NOI and (19\%) NOF.

Table3:- Comparisons of different method for non-quadratic model $100 \leq n \leq 400$

| Test Functio n | n | CG |  | T/S |  | H/N |  | NEW |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{NI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OI} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{OF} \end{aligned}$ |
| OSP | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 59 | 28 | 68 | 23 | 64 | 35 | 43 |
| Nondig. | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ | 25 | 62 | 25 | 62 | 25 | 59 | 23 | 59 |
| Rosen | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 56 | 23 | 57 | 20 | 49 | 25 | 57 |
| Wood | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | 98 | 48 | 98 | 37 | 80 |
| Miele | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Powell | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Wood | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 47 | 98 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 61 |
| Rosen | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 23 | 56 | 23 | 57 | 22 | 51 | 23 | 65 |
| Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 57 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 97 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 28 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 64 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |

Clearly the new proposed CG-algorithms beats CG-method in ( $50 \%$ )NOI and (49\%) NOF; T/S method in (33\%) NOI and ( $34 \%$ ) NOF; $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{N}$ method in ( $16 \%$ ) NOI and ( $30 \%$ ) NOF.

## APPENDIX

1.Rosen-Brock Function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n} / 2}\left[100\left(x_{2 i}-x_{2 i-1}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{2 i-1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \mathrm{x}_{0}=(-1.2 ; 1.0 ; \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Generalized Powell Quadratics Functions:

$F(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n / 4}\left(x_{4 i-3}+10 x_{4 i-2}\right)^{2}+5\left(x_{4 i-1}-x_{4 i}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{4 i-2}-2 x_{4 i-1}\right)^{4}+10\left(x_{4 i-3}-x_{4 i}\right)^{4}$,
$\mathrm{x}_{0}=(3.0 ;-1.0 ; 0.0 ; 1.0)^{\mathrm{T}}$
3. Wood Function:
$\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n} / 4} 100\left[\begin{array}{l}\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-2}+\mathrm{x}^{2}{ }_{4 \mathrm{i}-3}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-3}\right)^{2}+90\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}^{2}{ }_{4 \mathrm{i}-1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-1}\right)^{2}+10.1\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-2}-1\right)^{2} \\ +\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}}-1\right)^{2}+19.8\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-2}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}}-1\right)\end{array}\right]$, $\mathrm{x}_{0}=(-3.0 ;-1.0 ;-3.0 ;-1.0 ; \ldots . .)^{\mathrm{T}}$
4. Miele Function:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n} / 4}\left[\exp \left(x_{4 i-3}\right)-x_{4 i-2}\right]^{2}+100\left(x_{4 i-2}-x_{4 i-1}\right)^{6}+ \\
& \quad\left[\tan \left(\mathrm{x}_{4 \mathrm{i}-1}-x_{4 i}\right)\right]^{4}+x_{4 i-3}^{8}+\left(x_{4 i}-1\right)^{2}, \\
& \mathrm{x}_{0}=(1.0 ; 2.0 ; 2.0 ; 2.0 ; \ldots) \mathrm{T} \\
& \text { 5. Non-Diagonal Variant of Rosen-Brock Function: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x)=\sum_{i=2}^{n}\left[100\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right] ; n>1, \\
& \mathrm{x}_{0}=(-1.0 ; \ldots)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. OSP Oren and Spedicato Powell Function:

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\left[\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right]^{2}, \quad \mathrm{x}_{0}=(1.0 ; \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

7. Dixon Function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x)=\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-x_{10}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{9}\left(x_{i}-x_{i+1}\right), \\
& x_{0}=(-1.0 ; \ldots)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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