

# Efficacy of Different Insecticides against Pod Borer *Helicoverpa Armigera* in Gram Field Jaffarabad Balochistan

Ghulam Ali<sup>1</sup>, Mitha khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Abdul Rehman<sup>2</sup>, Sami ullah khan<sup>3</sup>, Abdul Raof khan<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Qayyum Zehri<sup>4</sup>, Abdul Waris<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture Research institute ARI Sariab Quetta Balochistan.

<sup>2</sup>Directorate Agriculture Research Loralai Balochistan.

<sup>3</sup>Lasbela university uthal balochistan.

<sup>4</sup>Agriculture Officer Agriculture Extension Balochistan.

Corresponding Author email: [ghulamnabigola@gmail.com](mailto:ghulamnabigola@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** This study was carried out at jaffarabad on chickpea variety DG 91. Two applications were done to determine the efficacy of Match 030 EC, Polytrin-C 400 EC, Lorsban 50 EC insecticides at 15 days interval. The observation was recorded before treatment and after 24, 48, 72, 96 hours and one and two week. The pre-treatment count of the *H. armigera* in plot applicationed with Match (1.90±0.18) which was decreased to 1.33±0.19, 1.00±0.30, 0.73±0.12, 0.67±0.13, 0.70±0.13 and 0.40±0.09/plant, respectively; followed by the plot applicationed with Polytrin-C the *H. armigera* population for the observation of pre-treatment (2.70±0.26) which was decreased to 2.00±0.19, 1.70±0.19, 1.53±0.17, 1.07±0.17, 1.03±0.21 and 0.40±0.09/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96, one and two week of application, respectively. in the same way, on the plot applicationed with Lorsban, the pre-treatment pod borer population was (3.17±0.21) reduced to 2.73±0.19, 2.37±0.19, 2.07±0.18, 1.93±0.30, 1.70±0.22 and 1.50±0.24/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. In case of untreated control plots, the population of the *H. armigera* remained frequently high all through the study period which was 3.77±0.45 before treatment, 3.97±0.42, 4.00±0.54, 4.20±0.67, 4.23±0.51, 4.80±0.62 and 5.30±0.43/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. The second application results showed that the *H. armigera* population varied significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) when observed after 24, 48, 72, 96, one and two week after of application; while pre-treatment population on the experimental units was almost uniform and non-significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) After second application the population of *H. armigera* before treatment with Match was (2.00±0.17) declined to 1.60±0.18, 0.97±0.13, 0.83±0.13, 0.70±0.13, 0.57±0.10 and 0.23±0.08/plant, respectively; followed by the plot applicationed with Polytrin-C whereas the pre-treatment observation of pest population (2.80±0.24) decreased to 2.57±0.25, 2.07±0.24, 1.90±0.26, 1.67±0.26, 1.53±0.27 and 1.47±0.22/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96, one and two week of application, respectively. correspondingly, on the plot applicationed with Lorsban, the pre-treatment pest population was (3.17±0.21) reduced to 2.73±0.19, 2.37±0.19, 2.07±0.18, 1.93±0.30, 1.70±0.22 and 1.50±0.24/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. In case of untreated control plots, the population of the *H. armigera* remained persistently high all through the study period which was 3.77±0.45 before treatment, 3.97±0.42, 4.00±0.54, 4.20±0.67, 4.23±0.51, 4.80±0.62 and 5.30±0.43/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. The observations of the second application showed that all the insecticides tested in this study against *H. armigera* were effective to control the population. Maximum crop yield was recorded in plot treated with Match and minimum crop yield was recorded in control plot. On the basis of efficacy of insecticides, the insecticides were ranked as Match > Polytrin-C > Lorsban.

**Keywords:** insecticides, Pod borer, *H. armigera* Chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*, Balochistan

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) which is essential legume crop throughout Asian region. It was documented that this was almost being sown since over 7000 years. It is cultivated as staple and cash crop on little to huge areas and its immature seeds, shoots and pods also being utilized as a vegetable (Weber et al., 2011). Due to its nutritional values especially its seed which is highly enriched with protein (25.3-28.9%); considered as a most important human consumed food (Sherestha et al., 2015)

In field, *Helicoverpa armigera* is a serious insect pest which is damage grains and responsible to cause economical loss to chickpea production. Beside chickpea, it is also attacks on cabbage, tomato, maize, peanuts, tobacco, cotton and other

pulses. Adult female lays singly 300-500 eggs on host plants. Eggs are yellowish, shiny and lay singly on whole plant parts. The incubation period of eggs approximately two to five days and new emerge larvae infest to pods, it is reported that a caterpillar is capable to infest 40 pods. The length of larva is 40 mm long and hairy in appearance with different colors and for pupation the larvae drops to the ground. The adults of *H. armigera* are about 14 to 18 mm in its body length and 30-36 mm in its wing expanse. The hind wings are lighter and whitish in color. The forewings are marked with black spots of varying size on upper side and grayish wavy lines. One complete generation may takes 30-37 days in favorable conditions. There are total five to seven generations in a season (Malik, 2014; Deshmukh et al., 2015). *H. armigera* is considered as major pest of

chickpea crop which cause heavy economic losses by reducing quality and quantity of production. (Khare and Ujagir, 2014).

Various types of chemicals have been reported to be effective in against this particular pest of chickpea in the field. For the management of *H. armigera*, its Economic Injury Levels (EIL) were reported as 0.92 and 0.90 larvae/meter row under irrigated and arid regions, respectively (Prabhakar *et al.*, 2015). The application of synthetic insecticides should only be done when pest population crossed the economic threshold level (ETL). Most of the insecticides are belonging to organophosphates, carbamates and synthetic pyrethroids etc. Their indiscriminate use has created number of well known problems (Dhingara *et al.*, 2013). Insecticidal resistance in pests has often been a problem and it is only one of the most valuable reasons why insecticides with a new mode of action have been needed. (Masanori *et al.*, 2015; Balikai *et al.*, 2013). The chemical insecticides can be reduced the loss which caused by *H. armigera*. (Balasubramanian *et al.*, 2013). During the pod formation stage, the infestation of *H. armigera* increased greatly (Balasubramanian *et al.*, 2013; Jadhav and Suryawanshi, 2013; Patel and Koshiya, 2015). Owing to the application of insecticides has resulted in many problems such as emergence of new pests, minor pests becoming major pests, increase in the insect resistance to insecticides and pesticide contamination in the environment. Hence, it is necessary to assess the lethal potential of new chemicals against the target species. Many synthetic insecticides namely Match, Larsbean, Profenophose, Chloropyriphose, Flubendiamide, Chlorantraniliprole, Indoxacarb and Proclaim have been found powerfull for controlling *H. armigera* (Sahito *et al.*, 2013; Abbas *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, this study was conducted to observe the efficacy of different insecticides which can be used to control *H. armigera* in gram field.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was conducted during 2018-19 at jaffarbad with plot size (9350 ft<sup>2</sup>) for this purpose variety of DG 91, was sown on 17-11-2018. It was designed through complete randomized block design with three replication of each treatment. Before application of insecticides calibration was done by application the plot with water for calibrate water requirements coverage plants. Three treatments with control were used to evaluate the efficacy of insecticides to compare with control. The insecticides namely Match 030 EC, Polytrin-C 400 EC, Lorsban 40 EC, and control (untreated) was used. The observation was taken before treatment and after treatment on 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours and after 1 week and two week. 16 litter application tank. The

second application was done after two week observation with same methodology. The observation was taken randomly from ten plants/replication of each treatment. After harvesting the crop yield data in insecticide treated plots as well as control plots was also recorded. The recorded data was calculated with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Least Significant Difference.

## 3. RESULTS

### Population fluctuation of *H. armigera* after first application

After application of first application the population of *H. armigera* distressed by planned insecticides was statistically analyzed and described the analysis of variance. It was observed that variation in inhabitants after application of initial dose of synthetic insecticides were non-significant for pre-treatment count and significant for *H. armigera* population after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours, and one week and two weeks of application.

Population of *H. armigera* on experimental plot shown in (Table-1) that after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 week of application, the pre-treatment population on plot treated with Match (1.90±0.18) which was decreased to 1.33±0.19, 1.00±0.30, 0.73±0.12, 0.67±0.13, 0.70±0.13 and 0.40±0.09/plant, respectively; followed by the plot applicationed with Polytrin-C the pest population of pre-treatment (2.70±0.26) which was decreased to 2.00±0.19, 1.70±19, 1.53±0.17, 1.07±0.17, 1.03±0.21 and 0.97±0.24/plant after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. Similarly, plot applicationed with Lorsban, the pre-treatment population of pod borer (3.07±0.22) which was decreased to 2.40±0.20, 2.10±0.19, 2.07±0.17, 1.57±0.21, 1.47±0.18 and 1.17±0.10/plant after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. In control plots, a rise trend in population of the *H. armigera* was recorded throughout the experiment which was 3.83±0.48 before treatment, 4.00±0.40, 4.20±0.52, 4.23±0.45, 4.37±0.43 4.43±0.48 and 5.13±0.40/plant after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. It was observed that all the insecticides were having good results to minimize pest population below the economy injury level but their effectiveness was decreased as application time increasing manner as the population after 15 days reached at economic injury level. On the basis of effect of insecticides on *H. armigera* population, the insecticides were ranked as Match > Polytrin-C > Lorsban.

### Population fluctuation of *H. armigera* after second application

The results of the second application as the population of *H. armigera* distressed by different insecticides was analyzed

statistically and the analysis of variance confirmed that the differences in population after second application of synthetic insecticides were non-significant for before treatment count and significant for population after 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours, and 7 and 15 days of application.

The population of pod borer after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application shown in (Table-2) that the pre-treatment pest population treated with Match was (2.00±0.17) declined to 1.60±0.18, 0.97±0.13, 0.83±0.13, 0.70±0.13, 0.57±0.10 and 0.23±0.08/plant, respectively; followed by the plot application with Polytrin-C whereas the pre-treatment pest population (2.80±0.24) decreased to 2.57±0.25, 2.07±0.24, 1.90±0.26, 1.67±0.26, 1.53±0.27 and 1.27±0.22/plant after 24, 48, 72, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. Similarly, on the plot application with Lorsban, the pre-treatment pest population was (3.17±0.21) reduced to 2.73±0.19, 2.37±0.19, 2.07±0.18, 1.93±0.30, 1.70±0.22 and 1.50±0.24/plant after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. In order to

untreated control plots, the population of *H.armigera* stayed persistently high all through the study period which was 3.77±0.45 before treatment, 3.97±0.42, 4.00±0.54, 4.20±0.67, 4.23±0.51, 4.80±0.62 and 5.30±0.43/plant after 24 hours, 48 hours, 72 hours, 96 hours, 1 week and 2 weeks of application, respectively. The observations of the second application of various insecticides also specify that all applied insecticides examined in this study against *H. armigera* were capable to manage *H. armigera*. In the light of decrease of insect pest population observation after 15 days of the application, the status of insecticide stayed same as observed at the time of first application as Match > Polytrin-C > Lorsban.

**Yield of gram crop treated with different insecticides**

The figure-1 indicates that crop yield was recorded as 20, 17, 15 and 10 munds/acre in plots treated with Match, Polytrin-C, Lorsban and Control, respectively. Maximum crop yield was observed in plot which was treated with match and minimum crop yield was observed in control plot.

**Table-1 Population fluctuation of *Helicoverpa armigera* after 1<sup>st</sup> application of different insecticides**

Treatments	Pre-treatment	Time after treatment					
		24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 hours	1 week	2 week
Match	1.91±0.18c	1.33±0.19c	1.00±0.30c	0.73±0.12c	0.67±0.13c	0.70±0.13c	0.40±0.09c
Polytrin-C	2.70±0.26bc	2.00±0.19bc	1.70±0.19bc	1.53±0.17b	1.07±0.17bc	1.03±0.21b	0.97±0.24bc
Lorsban	3.07±0.22ab	2.40±0.20b	2.10±0.19b	2.07±0.17b	1.57±0.21b	1.47±0.18b	1.17±0.10b
Control	3.83±0.48a	4.00±0.40a	4.20±0.52a	4.23±0.45a	4.37±0.43a	4.43±0.48a	5.13±0.40a
LSD	1.3448	2.9727	2.0374	1.1212	1.2214	0.7894	0.8435

**Table-2 Population fluctuation of *Helicoverpa armigera* after 2<sup>nd</sup> application of different insecticides**

Treatments	Pre-treatment	Time after treatment					
		24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 hours	1 week	2 week
Match	2.00±0.17c	1.60±0.18c	0.97±0.13c	0.83±0.13c	0.70±0.13c	0.57±0.10c	0.23±0.08c

Polytrin-C	2.80±0.24bc	2.57±0.25b	2.07±0.24b	1.90±0.26b	1.67±0.26b	1.53±0.27bc	1.27±0.22b
Lorsban	3.17±0.21ab	2.73±0.19b	2.37±0.19b	2.07±0.18b	1.93±0.30b	1.70±0.22b	1.50±0.24b
Control	3.77±0.45a	3.97±0.42a	4.00±0.54a	4.20±0.67a	4.23±0.51a	4.80±0.62a	5.30±0.43a
LSD	1.3384	2.9908	2.2257	1.5334	1.8432	1.0978	1.1023




Figure.1 Yield munds/acre

## 5. DISCUSSIONS

All the synthetic tested insecticides were capable against pod borer population and their capability was well determined even 15 days after application as the pest population after two weeks was under control. This study showed that after two weeks the efficacy of synthetic insecticides against *H. armigera* population in relationship with pre-treatment count showed that Match was observed as highest efficacy 0.40±0.09/plant after first application and 0.23±0.08/plant after second; while Polytrin-C ranked second with first application efficacy mean 0.97±0.24/plant and 1.27±0.22/plant after second application. Similarly, Lorsban showed highest efficacy mean 1.17±0.10/plant and 1.50±0.24/plant after first and second application. In the light of pest population decline after 15 days of application, the insecticides were ranked as

Match > Polytrin-C > Lorsban. Furthermore, the maximum production was observed in Match treated plots followed by

Polytrin-C, Lorsban and control plots, respectively. The observations of the current study are in accordance with various past scientists who have reported their study results comparable perspectives. Sreekanth *et al.* (2011) reported that acetamiprid, fipronil and thiamethoxam insecticides could be applied against *H. armigera* and also higher yield was achieved. Shah *et al.* (2013) confirmed the potential of Chlorpyrifos 40 EC, Match 50 EC, Lambdacyhalothrin 2.5 EC, endosulfan 35 EC and cypermethrin 10 EC insecticides that reduced the damage rate of *H. armigera* and also higher yield obtained from insecticide treated plots when evaluated to control. A experiment was reported by Javaid *et al.* (2015) and results revealed that Profenofos and Coragen reduced 85% and 90% infestation in pigeon pea field and remain effective even after 7 days o application. Thus, these insecticides confirmed potential against *H. armigera* with high grain yield. Therefore, in continuation of the many previous research works, this study also confirmed the potential of Match, Poytrin-C and Lorsban insecticides to

protect chickpea crop from *H. armiger*. However, Match was proved as having more effective properties against *H. armigera* in chickpea field followed by Polytrin-C and higher yield was also obtained from Match insecticide applicationed plots.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that all the applied synthetic insecticides were found effective against *H. armigera* even population was controlled after two weeks of application. Match insecticide was only found to be the most powerful among all three insecticides applied. In the light of pest population decline after 15 days of the application, the pesticides were ranked as Match > Polytrin-C > Lorsban.

## REFERENCES

Abbas, G., N. Hassan, M. Farhan, I. Haq and H. Karar. 2015. Effect of selected insecticides on *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Miller) and their successful management. *Advances in Entomology*, 3(1): 16-23.

Abdul, R., H. A. Saeed, L. H. Akhtar, S. Z. Siddiqi and A. Muhammad. 2017. Comparative efficacy of various insecticides to control gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) on chickpea. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2(2): 403-405.

Ahmed, K. S., T. Itino and T. Ichikawa. 2015. Duration developmental stages of *Callosobruchus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on Azuki bean and the effects of neem and sesame oils at different stages of their development. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(1): 332-335.

Ali, A., M. Aftab and M. Tufail. 2013. Criterion for the selection of high yielding genotypes and pure lines of chickpea. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Research*, 12(4): 90-94.

Atwal, A. S. and G. S. Dhaliwal. 2015. *Agricultural pests of South Asia and their management*. 5<sup>th</sup> edition. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, Pp 390.

Balasubramanian, G., P. C. S. Babu and T. R. Manjula. 2013. Efficacy of Spicturin against *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 88(2): 336-338.

Balikai, R. A., A. P. Biradar, S. Yelshethy and R. G. Tegelli. 2013. Relative efficacy of some selected insecticides against chick pea pod borer, Karnataka, *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 14(2): 346-348.

Bhalla, S., K. Gupta, B. Lal, M. L. Kapur and R. K. Khetrapal. 2011. Efficacy of various non-chemical methods against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). ENDURE International conference, Diversifying Crop Protection, October, 12-15, France.

Deshmukh, S. G., B. V. Sureja, D. M. Jethya and V. P. Chatar. 2015. Field efficacy of different insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting chickpea. *Journal of Legume Research*, 33(4): 269-273.

Dhingara, S., M. H. Kodandaram, R. S. Hedge and C. Srivastava. 2013. Evaluation of different insecticides mixture against third instars larvae of *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. *Annals Journal of plant protection Science*, 11(2): 274-276.

Dinesh, K., R. B. Singh, K. Kavita and R. S. Choudhary. 2017. Relative efficacy of newer insecticides and biopesticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in chickpea. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 15(3): 455-462.

Fernando, J., J. Grigolli, A. L. Faleiros, F. Lourenção and C. J. Ávila. 2015. Field efficacy of chemical pesticides against *Maruca vitrata* Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) infesting gram in Brazil. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 6(1): 537-544.

GOP. 2017. *Agriculture: Economic Survey of Pakistan*, 201 6-17, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Gujar, G. T. and T. D. Yadav. 2013. Feeding of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) and *Callosobruchus maculatus* L. in green gram. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 40(1): 108-112.

Jadhav, R. S. and D. S. Suryawanshi. 2013. Chemical control of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural University*, 23(1): 83-84.

Javaid, I., S. U. Farooq, M. Jamil and Y. Muhammad. 2015. Relative efficacy of selective insecticides against gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* H.) of chickpea. *Journal of Entomology*, 51(2): 355-369.

Kanchan, M., F. Mishra, K. Singh and C. P. M. Tripathi. 2013. Management of pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) infestation and productivity enhancement of gram crop (*Cicer aritenium*) through vermiwash with biopesticides. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 9(5): 401-408.

Khare, B. P. and R. Ujagir. 2014. Protection of pulse crops from insect pests ravages. *Ind. Farming Digest*, 10: 31-35.

Kumar, S., G. Singh, S. Kumar and A. Kumar. 2017. Evaluation of some novel insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) in black gram (*Vigna mungo*). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 15(3): 183-185.

Kumbhar, M. M., S. S. Ali, K. H. Dhiloo, I. Kumbhar and R. Veasar. 2017. Effect of novel insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea crop under field conditions. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 7(2): 2250-2259.

Mahalakshmi, M. S., M. Sreekanth, M. Adinarayana, Y. P. Reni, Y. K. Rao and E. Narayana. 2016. Incidence, bionomics and management of spotted pod borer [*Maruca vitrata* (Geyer)] in major pulse crops in India-A review. Journal of Regional Agricultural Research Station, 37(1): 19-26.

Malik, B. A. 2014. Grain legumes; Crop Production. (Ed. Bashir, E. and R. Bantel) Nat. Book Found, Pp. 277-328.

Masanori, T., H. Nakao, T. Furuya, A. Seo, H. Kodama, K. Tsubata, S. Fujioka, H. Kodama, T. Hirooka and T. Nishimatsu. 2015. Flubendiamide, a novel insecticide highly active against lepidopterous insect pests. Journal of Pesticide Science, 30(4): 354-360.

Nenavati, R. N. and A. Kumar. 2017. Efficacy of certain novel insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on chickpea at Allahabad district. Journal of Experimental Zoology, 20(1): 439-442.

Niaz, A., A. Memon and A. A. Memon. 2015. Efficacy of different insecticides against lentil pod borer (*Helicoverpa* spp). Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 11(1): 94-97.

Nitharwal, R. S., A. Kumar, S. L. Jat and M. P. Chula. 2017. Efficacy of newer molecules against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner.) on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Plant Science, 16(4): 1224-1227.

Prabhakar, M. S., V. S. Yeshbir, S. P. Singh and Y. Singh. 2015. Economic injury levels of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hb) in chickpea as influenced by irrigation. Indian Journal of Entomology, 60(2): 109-115.

Pritish, J., Y. Kumar and R. Lal. 2017. Efficacy of the different insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. Journal of Annals of Biology, 33(1): 94-97.

Ram, S., A. Kumar, S. Jat and M. P. Chula. 2016. Efficacy of newer molecules of insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hub.) on Chickpea. International Journal of Science and Research, 65(2): 111-125.

Sahito, H. A., M. A. Lund, S. A. Bukhari, M. A. Talpur and A. H. Mastoi. 2013. Efficacy of different insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on tomato crop. International Journal of Medical and Applied Sciences, 12(3): 62-76.

Said, M. K., Y. I. Muhammad and A. K. Muhammad. 2016. Varietal screening of chickpea and the efficacy of different insecticides against chickpea pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera*. Journal of Plant Science, 65(2): 129-139.

Shah, Z. A., K. Muhammad and A. S. Muhammad. 2013. Efficacy of different insecticides against larval population density of gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) with reference to chickpea in Faisalabad-Pakistan.

International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 13(2): 189-196.

Shrestha, S. K., L. Munk and S. B. Mathur. 2015. Role of weather on Alternaria leaf blight disease and its effect on yield and yield components of mustard. Nepal Agricultural Research Journal, 6(2): 62-72.

Sreekanth, M., M. S. M. Lakshmi and Y. K. Rao. 2011. Evaluation of certain insecticides against gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). Journal of Plant Pathology, 11(2): 198-206.

Sreenivas, A. G., A. C. Hosamani, M. Bheemanna, B. K. Suresh and B. B. Patil. 2017. Efficacy of flubendiamide 480 SC against chilli fruit borer complex. Pesticide Research Journals, 20(2): 243-246.

Weber, R., B. Hrynczuk, B. R. Hrynczuk and W. Kita. 2011. Influence of the mode of tillage on diseases of culm base in some winter chickpea varieties, oats, and spring chickpea. Journal Agricultural Sciences, 14(2): 185-188.

Wood, J. A and M. A. Grusak. 2016. Nutritional value of chickpea in chickpea breeding and management. CAB International, Pp. 101-142