# Anomalous Software Analytics Jump Oriented Programming Effect for the Anomalies Sampling

<sup>1</sup>Mourad M.H Henchiri, <sup>2</sup>Mouhammed Henchiri, <sup>3</sup>Sharyar Wani

<sup>1</sup>University of Nizwa, Oman, CEMIS, IS dept.

mourad@unizwa.edu.om

<sup>2</sup>University of Gafsa, Tunisia, FSG, CS dept.

mouhammed@fsg.edu.om

<sup>3</sup>IIUM University, Malaysia, KICT, IS dept.

sharyarwani@iium.edu.my

Abstract—Sniffers and spoofers are the basic network data flow capturing solutions to do a deep discovery of essential entities behind an APT move on a platform or which play a preponderant role in the dynamics of a network flow is a crucial objective in the analysis of complex systems' security. To achieve this objective, we propose the use of flow variability and travel time measures as well as dynamic path congestion factors to enrich centrality measures in complex networks. Integrating network dynamics requires the collection and management of big data from various sources, especially from recorded datasets used upon historical APTs[1, 2, 6]. The concept of adequate data flow sampling has been integrated into the proposed smart environment. We also present the big data architecture, as well as the orchestration of software components, both for data capture, generation of congestion events, calculation of time centralities, analytics and visualization. The designed final work constitutes a fundamental building block analyzing in generating macro-regulation and traffic monitoring in heterogeneous environments.

Keywords: network monitors, sniffer, spoofer, APT, time measure, flow variability, specific software, OSI model, DFMS, capturing, sampling.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Often considered one of the crucial security measures, the study of APT mobility seen in various platforms across history. This mobility was the building block behind the analysis of the spread of obfuscated backdoors, also, those analysis showed the impact of the APT mobility upon the size of operator networks; more traffic causes more chances for obfuscation and anomalies detection failure. This is a phenomena that has to be explored, flow capturing and sampling system as an internally organized and in order to carry out a scientific analysis of the phenomena occurring in our environment, we carry out all kinds of as a single unit in relation to its environment or to other systems measurements[2]. Our knowledge progresses as the data [4]. This makes us infront of a complexity, where data collected validates certain hypotheses to the detriment of others. The tools and processes for measuring, managing and analyzing data therefore form the basis from which the understanding of our environment develops, collected, managed, analyzed, following an often standardized process. The development of the tools necessary for the implementation of a data processing process relied on different models; data pull or data push model:

Data Pull model: We need to seek for the data

Data Push model: Data gets manifested by itself.

Thus, often such a flow metaphor naturally is presented to manifest the data movement. At the time where the data pull model does not prevent nor block the consideration of data flows. Usually, with such a model, the data will have to survive path constraints as they will have to wait, at one point or another, for a utility to be consumed. In this research we are also highlighting the advances in electronics and computing that have enriched the practice of data collection and management. The constant is the increase in processing capacities, both in terms of acquisition, storage and access to data. But when information

has to be extracted instantly from continuously collected data, going through a traditional DBMS can be a bottleneck. Where our main focus is on data analysis and anomalies detection based on variables study, and in order to cope with this eventuality, a new systems era has recently appeared: DFMS (data flow management systems).

This is to be considered and solved when exploring a data whole where the elements are intimately linked, which function transmission is a systematic process, that should be trespassed.

#### NETWORK DATA FLOW MONITORING II. **TECHNIQUES**

The common behavior amongst the totality of the sniffers and spoofers is the first operation in monitoring, which is the capture of frames. However, there are several possible cases:

- Capture over a period of time with rotation (use of a circular "buffer")
- Capture in real time manually (the user starts and stops the capture)
- Capture with automatic limitations (in time or over size ...)

On the other hand, it can be useful to limit the data captured to those that are involved during the analysis:

Vol. 4 Issue 12, December - 2020, Pages: 30-35

- Filtering by protocols
- Filtering by addresses
- Limiting the size of captured packets

# Data packet size limiting:

In theory, nothing limits the size of an IP packet: you can put as much data as you want behind the header. But in practice, the size of a package is not infinite. The various network devices do not have infinite memory and have a fixed limit, which must be taken into account.

## - Automatic Stop On Threshold

With referring to all available data capturing utilities, in our case of study is the wireshark, it is possible to limit the capture on 3 criteria: number of packets, size of the capture and delay in time. These three criteria can be combined. This automatic shutdown helps reduce analysis work later and does not overwrite an important event [3].

## - Circular captures

This is the most interesting model, especially if it has sufficient disk space. Indeed, network problems are often fleeting and when an incident occurs, the time of activating a capture does not allow the origin of the problem to be found. On the other hand, a linear capture allows you to go back through the history of captured frames but the manipulation of a single file is often large and difficult in size. Circular capture solves these problems:

- → An analysis tool would make a round capturing life cycle;
- → As per the wireshark, we were able to generate a list of files of one(1) hour of captured data each, and we were able to ring the buffer used for a 24 hours life cycle(circle).

This way, circular captures never escape data that existed on the network. and this on the intention of analysing and using each of the one hour captured files within the next 24 hours from the capture time. otherwise it would be rewritten by the wireshark's new captured file.

Circular capturing is an added value to the anomalies detection industry when specifying the risk of losing a network behavior cause. It is to recule in time within the captured files and then it would be feasible to detect the anomaly cause.

# - Filtering captures

Numerous are the tools that can be used for filtering the data flow, inclusive firewalls, IDSs, IPSs... Wireshark enhances the stream filtering, and if the stream to be monitored is correctly identified (server, network range, port numbers), it is possible to record only the frames that correspond to it. For that, Wireshark allows you to apply a filter on the packets to be recorded.

Here, stating the status of the wireshark network analyzer, and this is commonly seen amongst a wide range of professional network analyzers from different providers, error detection is a visualized process and for this, errors has to be eliminated from the analyzed packets and behavior study has to avoid errors consideration.

How to identify the origin of a network anomaly?

Every network administrator has heard this complaint: "the network is slow, I can't even have access to my applications to do my job!"

How can you identify if there is a network slowdown, or if the degradation comes from another base (application server, database, workstation)?

What network diagnostic tool can I use to determine if there is a network slowdown? Vast question... Your first target (as a network administrator) will be to look at the other bases where there is no congestion and where the latency times and retransmission rates are correct... and the one where there is material to investigate , in other parts of the application chain. How to proceed?

So, you have Wireshark present on your PC; Let's go!

Let's select our first complainant: we'll call him Bob. Let's follow these steps[4]:

Let's place a capture point either using TAPs or a port mirroring - (see our article on the subject) - on Bob's network connection, but also on the servers side. This means that you will need two appliances to capture the traffic, one for the stream to disk and one for the packet recording.

There, you realize that the degradations are intermittent: you are going to need to record the traffic over a large number of days... and ask Bob to carefully note the precise times when each degradation occurs (which he probably won't do; ).

After 2 or 3 days of traffic capture, the final battle arrives: analyzing 2GB of network trace files with Wireshark... Is it feasible? Not really...

Let's break these results down into chunks and do some manual response time calculations [5].

After a (long... and relatively partial) analysis of the PCAP files... you spent 3 days, you found no sign of network problem, bandwidth saturation or packet loss... but a large number of application transactions carried out in tens of seconds!

We will record this information in a report and send it to the team in charge of the applications, knowing that they are not big fanatics of reading network traces and moreover that they will receive this information with a certain level of confidence. suspicion.

Be prepared, however, to consider these same steps for every user complaint and for every new incident.

Vol. 4 Issue 12, December - 2020, Pages: 30-35

To learn how, in 4 simple steps, to diagnose performance degradation of networks and applications, download our "Performance Diagnosis Guide".




Figure 1: Errors visualization

**TECHNICAL READINGS** III. **AND** 

**ANALYTICS** 

Analyzing behavior based on data sampling is the most complex part from the behavioral study process, but if the capture options are used judiciously, this work of analysis will not take too long.

- Reading frames:
- according to the wireshark specificity there are variety of options to read and understand the data flow:
  - Abstract summary
  - protocols arborescence

Data visualization

A constraint that persists usually at every analysis phase is the error avoidance;

In first view, the rapid and expert analysis functionalities give the chance to the behavior study to avoid error circumstances and genuine warnings in addition to the notes and chats happening within the communicating processes for a genuine communication circuits realisation and maintain, which is the role of the session layer from the OSI standardization model.




Figure 2: Network flow errors categories

From another side, a normal analysis exposes the captured data stores with their properties; timing and location. using this normal analysis several data might be read from the first draft [7]:

- protocols hierarchy
- Packets length
- conversations (data transmission) details

## IV. TEXTS ANALYSIS

reconstructing the data flow is an option that must be implemented in order to understand the data in question. Wireshark has the capability to help and display the captured text in HTML format, where clearly we can differentiate the flow of the requests and the flow of the responses from the services' providers [8].




Figure 3: Network flow text analysis

#### V. AUDIT

Analysing captured data is not a worker agent functionality, yet, it is the role of the user agent, to be well skilled with less knowledge about network protocols.

An audit in this concern might start with a protocol used within the captured sample of flow.

Then, an analysis would lead to, particularly, analyse the TCP and UDP protocols, which are generalized amongst different communication solutions [7].

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Presenting a study of the factors that make a network data flow more readable and more accurate to deploy for an anomaly detection is a gathering of a multitude of steps that must figure sequentially. Besides, to the constraints to consider; the actor skills is a must requirement, a deep understanding of the protocols architecture and behavior is a must too. All are to avoid erroneous reporting and thus failure in analyzing flows behavior.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N.Brownlee, "RTFM: Applicability Statement," RFC2721(I nformational), Internet Engineering Task Force, October 1999. [Online].

  http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2721.tx
- [2] L. Deri, E. Chou, Z. Cherian, K. Karmarkar, and M. Patterson, "In-creasing Data Center Network Visibility with Cisco NetFlow-Lite," inProceedings of the 7th International Conference on Network and ServiceManagement, CNSM'11, 2011, pp. 1–6.

- [3] S. Alcock, P. Lorier, and R. Nelson, "Libtrace: A Packet Capture Analysis Library," SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 42–48, 2012.
- [4] F. Fusco and L. Deri, "High Speed Network Traffic Analysis withCommodity Multi-core Systems," inProceedings of the 10th ACMSIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement, IMC'10, 2010, pp.218–224.
- [5] B. Trammell and B. Claise, "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Information Elements," RFC 7013(Best Current Practice), Internet Engineering Task Force, September 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc7013.txt
- [6] P. Velan and R. Krejˇc´ı, "Flow Information Storage Assessment UsingIPFIXcol," inDependable Networks and Services. Proceedings of the 6thInternational Conference on Autonomous Infrastructure, Managementand Security, AIMS'12, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol.7279. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 155–158.
- [7] B. Li, J. Springer, G. Bebis, and M. H. Gunes, "A Survey of NetworkFlow Applications," Journal of Network and Computer Applications, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 567–581, 2013.
- [8] L. Hellemons, L. Hendriks, R. Hofstede, A. Sperotto, R. Sadre, and A. Pras, "SSHCure: A Flow-Based SSH Intrusion Detection System," inDependable Networks and Services. Proceedings of the 6th InternationalConference on Autonomous Infrastructure, Management and Security, AIMS'12, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 7279. SpringerBerlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 86–97.