

Optimal Planning of Wind Turbine based DG Units in Distribution System Considering Uncertainties

Hasanov Mansur

Faculty of Power engineering and Radio electronics
Jizzakh Polytechnic Institute
Jizzakh city, Uzbekistan
mansur_hasanov@mail.ru

Abstract: Global environmental problems associated with traditional energy generation have led to a rapid increase in the use of renewable energy sources (RES) in power systems. The integration of renewable energy technologies is commercially available nowadays, and the most common of such RES technology is wind turbine (WT). This paper proposes an application of Differential Evolution (DE) algorithm for determining the optimal allocation of WT based distributed generation (DG) units in the distribution system (DS) with the aim of minimizing the total power and energy losses.

Keywords—uncertainties; wind turbine; differential evolution algorithm; distribution system

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, considerable attention has been paid to the usage of RES (such as WT, etc.) to minimize power losses due to global environmental problems associated with traditional generation. Many countries have been introduced or are proceeding towards the implementation of renewable energy policies like the Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard (RPS) [1]. Accepting an RPS is a production obligation of a certain percentage of the total electricity production from RES for a specific date. However, available WT energy is unstable and variable. However, high WT integration can lead to large power fluctuations, which may risk the provision of continuous power supply. In addition, the amount of WT energy that can be absorbed by the power system at a particular time may be significantly limited, since the available traditional units may not be able to respond to changes caused by WT units' fluctuations [1-3].

WT produces energy when exposed to wind speed, and several other components are needed to properly conduct, control, convert, distribute and store the energy produced by the turbine. In restructured power systems, the use of distributed generation energy resources, including wind turbine (WT), fuel cells, small micro turbines, etc. The advantage of distributed generation energy resources includes reducing power and energy losses, improving voltage profile (VP), and increasing network reliability. To achieve the advantages of DG units, the choice of the optimal location and size becomes a major problem [3-5].

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Objective function

The objective of this article is to minimize the real power and energy losses and improve the DS voltage [6-7].

Real power loss

The first term of the objective function is the real power loss, which is determined by equation (1)

$$P_{Loss} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_f} \sum_{k=1}^{n_s} R_k |I_k|^2 \quad (1)$$

Accordingly, minimizing the total active power losses in the DS leads to reduce the total active energy losses E_{loss} during 24 hrs as:

$$E_{loss} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} P_{loss}(t) \Delta t \quad (2)$$

where,

I_k – Is the current passing through line k

n_f – Is the total number of branches

n_s – Total number of sections in the system

R_k – Resistance of the line section between buses k and $k + 1$

Voltage Profile improvement

The second goal of this work is to improve the VP, which is represented by the VP index in equation (3) [8].

$$VP = \sum_{j=1}^{n_f} \sum_{k \in lb} |V_k - V_{ref,k}| \quad (3)$$

where,

lb – Collection of the load buses

$V_{ref,k}$ – Nominal voltage at load bus k .

V_k – Voltage amplitude at bus k .

3. WT AND LOAD MODELS

3.1.1 Wind speed modeling: Weibull PDF was chosen to evaluate the stochastic behavior of wind speed at a predetermined duration of time [9]. Weibull PDF for wind speed v_t (m/s) at the t -th time interval can be calculated as:

$$f_v(v^t) = \frac{k^t}{c^t} * \left(\frac{v^t}{c^t}\right)^{k^t-1} * \exp\left(-\left(\frac{v^t}{c^t}\right)^{k^t}\right) \quad \text{for } c^t > 1; k^t > 0 \quad (4)$$

The shaping rate (k^t) and scale rate (c^t) at t^{th} time interval are expressed as [9]:

$$k^t = \left(\frac{\sigma^t}{\mu_v^t}\right)^{-1.086} \quad (5.1)$$

$$c^t = \frac{\mu_v^t}{\Gamma(1+1/k^t)} \quad (5.2)$$

where, μ_v^t and σ^t are mean and Sd of wind speed at time interval ' t '.

3.1.2 WT power generation: The hourly WT average output power corresponds to a specific time interval ' t ' (P_{WT}^t) can be expressed as (6). A typical day for three years is generated in p.u., as shown in Fig. 4.

$$P_{WT}^t = \sum_{g=1}^{n_s} P_{WT_o}(v_g^t) f_b(v_g^t) \quad (6)$$

where ' g ' denotes a stage factor and n_s is the number of wind speed discrete stage. v_g^t is the g^{th} stage of wind speed at t^{th} time interval.

The WT power generation [9] with an average wind speed (v_{ag}) for stage " g " is expressed as:

$$P_{WT_o} = \begin{cases} 0 & v_{ag} < v_{cin} \quad \text{or} \quad v_{ag} > v_{cout} \\ (A * v_{ag}^3 + B * P_r) & v_{cin} \leq v_{ag} \leq v_r \\ P_r & v_r \leq v_{ag} \leq v_{cout} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where P_r is the nominal power rate that WT can be generated; v_{cout} is cut-out; cut-in (v_{cin}) and nominal (v_r) wind speed, respectively, constants A and B are achieved as 9]:

$$A = \frac{P_r}{(v_r^3 - v_{cin}^3)} \quad (8.1)$$

$$B = \frac{v_{cin}^3}{(v_r^3 - v_{cin}^3)} \quad (8.2)$$

3.2 Load model

The load demand for the system is modelled corresponding to the normalized daily 24- hours load curve with a peak of 1 pu, as shown in Fig. 1 [10-11]. The load factor (LF) can determine as the field beneath the load curve, the load curve in p.u. subdivide by the sum of time interval [10]

$$LF = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \frac{\text{per.unit. Demand}(t)}{24} \quad (9)$$




Fig 1. Normalized daily active load curve and WT output. The voltage-dependent load demand model, which includes variable load over time, can be calculated as [10-11]:

$$P_k(t) = P_{ok}(t) * V_k^{n_p} \quad (10)$$

$$Q_k(t) = Q_{ok}(t) * V_k^{n_q}$$

where, P_k and Q_k represent active and reactive power injected at node k . P_{ok} and Q_{ok} represent the active and reactive power loads injected at nodes k . V_k represents the voltage value at node k , and n_p and n_q represent active and reactive load demand voltage indexes, respectively [10-11], where $n_p = 1.51$ and $n_q = 3.4$.

4. DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION ALGORITHM (DEA)

Differential Evolution Algorithm (DEA) is a robust and versatile function optimizer that is easy to use and delivers results in a very short period [12]. It is a simple and extremely powerful method of evolutionary computation that solves real-life problems based on the principles of natural evolution. The optimization process is carried out using three main operations: mutation, crossover, and selection. Once in every generation, each vector of parameters of the current population becomes a target vector or a parent vector. For each target vector, the mutation operation creates a new parameter vector, called the mutant vector, by adding the weighted difference between two randomly selected vectors to a third (also randomly selected) vector. The crossover operation generates a new vector, a test vector, by mixing the parameters of the mutant vector with the parameters of the target vector. If the trial vector has a better fitness value than the target vector, then the trial vector replaces the target vector in the next generation. Thus, after each generation, a new modified set is created, and this continues until the iteration is completed or a globally optimal solution is obtained. The flow chart of DEA is shown in Fig.2.




Fig. 2. Flow chart for DEA

5. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

Based on the proposed methodology, a program was written in MATLAB software. To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed approach, the program was applied to test systems at nominal load. The test system is a standard 33-bus DS with a total load of 3.7 MW and 2.3 MVar as shown in Fig.3. The power flow is performed using $S_{base} = 100\text{MVA}$ and $V_{base} = 12.66\text{ kV}$ [13]. The power losses in the base case are 210.986 kW. The VP index is 0.90378 pu.




Fig.3. The standard 33-bus distribution system

In this paper, the power flow is analyzed using the reverse / forward sweep algorithm in the base case. Without WT based DG allocation and with optimal WT based DG allocation. The observed results are presented in Table 1 and Table 2. It can be seen from the results that the integration three WT based DG units improve DS performance.

Table 1: The observed results for 33-bus system

WT- location	WT- size/PF	Power loss	Voltage profile (minimum voltage at the bus)
13	863/0.91		
30	1431/0.71	11.76	0.99 @ bus 8
24	1188/0.9		

Table 2 Daily energy loss for 33-bus system

Scenario	Energy loss (kW h)	Loss reduction %
Base case	2044.796	-
With WT	739.73	63

6. CONCLUSION

The proposed approach is used to reduce power losses, energy loss and improve VP in the distribution system. To test the effectiveness of the proposed approach was tested on a test system with a standard 33 bus system. For the 33-bus system, the loss reduction is 95% and energy loss reduction is 63 %. In addition, the VP performance is improved over the base system.

REFERENCES

- [1] Khasanov, Mansur, et al. "Rider Optimization Algorithm for Optimal DG Allocation in Radial Distribution Network." *2020 2nd International Conference on Smart Power & Internet Energy Systems (SPIES)*. IEEE, 2020.
- [2] Khasanov, Mansur, Salah Kamel, and Hussein Abdel-Mawgoud. "Minimizing Power Loss and Improving Voltage Stability in Distribution System Through Optimal Allocation of Distributed Generation Using Electrostatic Discharge Algorithm." *2019 21st International Middle East Power Systems Conference (MEPCON)*. IEEE, 2019.
- [3] Khasanov, Mansur, et al. "Allocation of Photovoltaic and Wind Turbine Based DG Units Using Artificial Ecosystem-based Optimization." *2020 IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2020 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC/I&CPS Europe)*. IEEE, 2020.
- [4] Khasanov, Mansur, et al. "Allocation of Distributed Generation in Radial Distribution Networks Using an Efficient Hybrid Optimization Algorithm." *2019 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT Asia)*. IEEE, 2019.
- [5] Khasanov, Mansur, et al. "Combined Tree Growth Algorithm for Optimal Location and Size of Multiple DGs with Different Types in Distribution Systems." *2019 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT Asia)*. IEEE, 2019.
- [6] Urinboy, Jalilov, and Mansur Hasanov. "Improvement Performance Of Radial Distribution System By Optimal Placement Of Photovoltaic Array." *International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)* 5.2 (2021): 157-159.

- [7] Kamel, Salah, et al. "Radial Distribution System Reconfiguration for Real Power Losses reduction by Using Salp Swarm Optimization Algorithm." *2019 IEEE Innovative Smart Grid Technologies-Asia (ISGT Asia)*. IEEE, 2019.
- [8] Abdel-Mawgoud, Hussein, et al. "Simultaneous Allocation of Multiple Distributed Generation Units in Distribution Networks Using Chaotic Grasshopper Optimization Algorithm." *2019 21st International Middle East Power Systems Conference (MEPCON)*. IEEE, 2019.
- [9] Kayal, Partha, and C. K. Chanda. "Optimal mix of solar and wind distributed generations considering performance improvement of electrical distribution network." *Renewable energy* 75 (2015): 173-186.
- [10] KHASANOV, Mansur, et al. "Optimal planning DG and BES units in distribution system considering uncertainty of power generation and time-varying load." *Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences* 29.2 (2021): 773-795.
- [11] Abdel-Mawgoud, H., et al. "A strategy for PV and BESS allocation considering uncertainty based on a modified Henry gas solubility optimizer." *Electric Power Systems Research* 191 (2021): 106886.
- [12] Storn, Rainer, and Kenneth Price. "Differential evolution a simple evolution strategy for fast optimization." *Dr. Dobb's journal* 22.4 (1997): 18-24.
- [13] Mashhour, M., M. A. Golkar, and SM Moghaddas Tafreshi. "Optimal sizing and siting of distributed generation in radial distribution network: Comparison of unidirectional and bidirectional power flow scenario." *2009 IEEE Bucharest PowerTech*. IEEE, 2009.