MPG Prediction Using Just NN

Yara Ibrahim Al Barsh, Maram Khaled Duhair, Hassan Jassim Ismail

Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology, Al-Azhar University - Gaza, Palestine

Abstract: During the course of this research, imposing the training of an artificial neural network to predicate the MPG rate for present thru forthcoming automobiles in the foremost relatively accurate evaluation for the approximated number which foresight the actual number to help through later design and manufacturing of later automobile, by training the ANN to accustom to the relationship between the skewing of each later stated attributes, the set of mathematical combination of the sequences that could be excavate the Miles Per Gallon(MPG) by the system and using both the Gradient Descent Algorithm and the Normalized Square Error Technique explicitly lure the Final Parameter Norm and Scaling layer and Bounded Layering rules implicitly. And so on the system should be able to produce immune approximations `and calculations to make better of results of What the Actual output estimation.

Keywords: ANN, artificial neural network, automobile, fuel efficiency, predict fuel consumption

1. INTRODUCTION

While the thermal efficiency (mechanical output to chemical energy in fuel) of petroleum engines has increased since the beginning of the automotive era to a current maximum of 36.4% this is not the only factor in fuel economy. The design of automobile as a whole and usage pattern affects the fuel economy. Published fuel economy is subject to variation between jurisdictions due to variations in testing protocols.

One of the first studies to determine fuel economy in the United States was the Mobil Economy Run, which was an event that took place every year from 1936 (except during World War II) to 1968. It was designed to provide real fuel efficiency numbers during a coast to coast test on real roads and with regular traffic and weather conditions. The Mobil Oil Corporation sponsored it and the United States Auto Club (USAC) sanctioned and operated the run. In more recent studies, the average fuel economy for new passenger car in the United States rose from 17 mpg in 1978 to more than 22 mpg in 1982.2The average fuel economy in 2008 for new cars, light trucks and SUVs in the United States was 26.4 mpgUS (8.9 L/100 km).3 2008 model year cars classified as "midsize" by the US EPA ranged from 11 to 46 mpgUS(21 to 5 L/100 km)4 However, due to environmental concerns caused by CO2 emissions, new EU regulations are being introduced to reduce the average emissions of cars sold beginning in 2012, to 130 g/km of CO2, equivalent to 4.5 L/100 km (52 mpgUS, 63 mpgimp) for a diesel-fueled car, and 5.0 L/100 km (47 mpgUS, 56 mpgimp) for a gasoline (petrol)-fueled car[5].

The average consumption across the fleet is not immediately affected by the new vehicle fuel economy: for example, Australia's car fleet average in 2004 was 11.5 L/100 km (20.5 mpgUS) [6], compared with the average new car consumption in the same year of 9.3 L/100 km (25.3 mpgUS)[7].

Problem Statement

In this project we will train an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to give better estimates for MPG rates all over the automobile industry. The learning method involved is feed-forward learning. Such calculation would help to reduce the efforts needed to design and analyze automobile fuel consumption with such limited factors "attributes (cylinders, displacement factor, horsepower, weight, acceleration, model year and origins of manufacturing).

2. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS

The historical prospect

Warren McCulloch and Walter Pitts [8] (1943) created a computational model for neural networks based on mathematics and algorithms called threshold logic. This model paved the way for neural network research to split into two approaches. One approach focused on biological processes in the brain while the other focused on the application of neural networks to artificial intelligence. This work led to work on nerve networks and their link to finite automata[9].

Artificial Neural Network;

Artificial Neural Networks are computing algorithms that can solve complex problems imitating animal brain processes in a simplified manner[10].

Perceptron-type neural networks consist of artificial neurons or nodes, which are information processing units arranged in layers and interconnected by synaptic weights (connections). Neurons can filter and transmit information in a supervised fashion in order to build a predictive model that classifies data stored in memory.

Typical ANN model is a three-layered network of interconnected nodes: the input layer, the hidden layer, and the output layer. The nodes between input and output layers can form one or more hidden layers. Every neuron in one layer has a link to every other neuron in the next layer, but neurons belonging to the same layer have no connections between them (Figure 1). The input layer receives information from the outside world, the hidden layer performs the information processing and the output layer produces the class label or predicts continuous values. The values from the input layer entering a hidden node are multiplied by weights, a set of predetermined numbers, and the products are then added to produce a single number. This number is passed as an argument to a nonlinear mathematical function, the activation function, which returns a number between 0 and 1 [11].



Figure 1. Neural network architecture.



Figure 2. Neural network active node.

In Fig.2, the net sum of the weighted inputs entering a node j and the output activation function that converts n neuron's weighted input to its output activation (the most commonly used is the sigmoid function). arc given by the following equations respectively.

$$S_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_{ij}$$
 and $O_j = \frac{1}{1 + e^{S_j}}$

The neuron. and therefore the ANN. has two modes of operation. the training mode and the using mode. During the training phase, a data set with actual inputs and outputs will he used as examples to teach the system how to predict outputs. This supervised learning begins with random weights and, by using gradient descent search algorithms like Backpropagntion, adjusts the weights to be applied to the task at hand. The difference between target output values and obtained values is used in the error function to drive learning [12]. The error function depends on the weights. which need to be modified in order to minimize the error. For a

given training set {(x1,t1), (x2,t2),....(xk.tk)} consisting of k ordered pairs of n inputs and m dimensional vectors (n-inputs, moutputs), which are called the input and output patterns, the error for the output of each neuron can be defined by the equation: E1 = 1/2(oj - tj)2. while the error function of the network that must be minimized is given by:

$$E_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} (O_{j} - t_{j})^{2}$$

while Oj is the output produced when the input pattern Xj from the training set enters the network. and t1 is the target value [13]. During the training mode, each weight is changed adding to its previous value the quantity

$$\Delta w_{ij} = -\gamma \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}$$

where γ is a constant that gives the learning rule. The higher the learning rate, the faster the convergent will be but the searching path may trapped around the optimal solution and convergence become impossible. Once a set of good weights have been found, the neural network model can take another dataset with unknown output values and predict automatic the corresponding outputs.

3.1 MPG Definition

The fuel economy of an automobile is the relationship between the distance traveled and the amount of fuel consumed by the vehicle. Consumption can be expressed in terms of volume of fuel to travel a distance, or the distance travelled per unit volume of fuel consumed. Since fuel consumption of vehicles is a significant factor in air pollution, and since importation of motor fuel can be a large part of a nation's foreign trade, many countries impose requirements for fuel economy. Different methods are used to approximate the actual performance of the vehicle. The energy in fuel is required to overcome various losses (wind resistance, tire drag, and others) encountered while propelling the vehicle, and in providing power to vehicle systems such as ignition or air conditioning. Various strategies can be employed to reduce losses at each of the conversions between the chemical energy in the fuel and the kinetic energy of the vehicle.

Driver behavior can affect fuel economy; maneuvers such as sudden acceleration and heavy braking waste energy.



Fuel economy is the relationship between the distance traveled and fuel consumed.

Fuel economy can be expressed in two ways:

Units of fuel per fixed distance

Generally expressed as liters per 100 kilometers (L/100 km), used in most European countries, China, South Africa, Australia and New Zealand. British and Canadian law allow for the use of either liters per 100 kilometers or miles per imperial gallon.15 Recently, the window sticker on new US cars has started displaying the vehicle's fuel consumption in US gallons per 100 miles, in addition to the traditional MPG number[16].

The Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) regulations in the United States, first enacted by Congress in 1975,17 are federal regulations intended to improve the average fuel economy of cars and light trucks (trucks, vans and sport utility vehicles) sold in the US in the wake of the 1973 Arab Oil Embargo. Historically, it is the sales-weighted average fuel economy of a manufacturer's fleet of current model year passenger cars or light trucks, manufactured for sale in the United States. Under Truck CAFE standards 2008–2011 this changes to a "footprint" model where larger trucks are allowed to consume more fuel. The standards were limited to vehicles under a certain weight, but those weight classes were expanded in 20S'.

5. Methodology

The JustNN tool was used to develop the ANN model. The structure of the neural network was set as feed-forward, in which the output layer connects only to the previous layer. ANN training used 67% of the 398 cases and 33% of cases were selected for the validation set.

All cases were randomly selected by the JustNN tool. The parameters considered the input layer for the neural network training can be seen in the following adjacent figures, combined with other parameters describing the combinations of the tictac-toe game regression. A total of eight input parameters were used in the development of the ANN model (see Appendix). Several configurations of ANN were tested in other to find the best performing combination of number of hidden layers and nodes per layer. In all configurations, Eq. was used as activation function to smooth the output signal of each node:

$$s(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$$

where x is the sum of the weighted input of each previous node plus the bias of the node itself. ANN results were evaluated based on the coefficient of determination of all strategies possible to the game mind, the mean bias error -

The role of uncertainty in the ANN predictions was investigated with the support of additional ANNs. In these additional ANN, the uncertain parameters were not included as input variable. The accuracy of these ANNs was then compared to the accuracy of the original ANN (which includes all eight input parameters).

Once the role of uncertainty was clarified, the sensitivity of the ANN to different input parameters was investigated. For this purpose, ANN was used to calculate 1 additional variation for each one of the 398 original simulation cases. In these variations, only one parameter was change at a time using the 8 discreet input values. This procedure allowed the calculation of individual changes in the single output due to individual changes in each one of the inputs. Uncertainty was not taken into account in the sensitivity analysis.

5.1 Data Set

The data set contains the information for creating the predictive model. It comprises a data matrix in which columns represent variables and rows represent instances. Variables in a data set can be of three types: The inputs will be the independent variables; the targets will be the dependent variables; the unused variables will neither be used as inputs nor as targets. Additionally, instances can be: Training instances, which are used to construct the model; selection instances, which are used for selecting the optimal order; testing instances, which are used to validate the functioning of the model; unused instances, which are not used at all.

5.2 Variables table

The following table depicts the names, units, descriptions and uses of all the variables in the data set. The numbers of inputs, targets and unused variables here are 7, 1, and 0, respectively.

#	Attribute name	Scope	Type of attribute
1	mpg	continuous	Input
2	cylinders	multi-valued discrete	Input
3	displacement	continuous	Input

Table1: attributes of the datas	et
---------------------------------	----

International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER)
ISSN: 2643-9085
Vol. 5 Issue 4, April - 2021, Pages: 16-26

4	horsepower	continuous	Input
5	weight	continuous	Input
6	acceleration	continuous	Input
7	model year	multi-valued discrete	Input
8	origin	multi-valued discrete	Input
9	car name	string (unique for each instance)	Output

5.3 Neural network parameters

The following figure shows the parameters of the proposed neural network model. The total number of parameters is 20, like learning rate and momentum.

Learning Learning rate 0.16 🔽 Decay 🗖 Optimize Momentum 0.18 🔽 Decay 🗂 Optimize	Target error stops
Validating Cycles before first validating cycle 100 Cycles per validating cycle 100 Select 0 examples at random from the Training examples = 270	Validating stops Image: Constraint of the stops are C within 10 % of desired outputs or Correct after rounding
Slow learning	□ <u>S</u> top after 20.0000 seconds □ Stop <u>o</u> n 0 cycles

Figure3: Shows the Control parameter of ANN model

5.4 Scaling Data

The size of the un-scaling layer is 1, the number of outputs. The un-scaling method for this layer is the minimum and maximum. The following table shows the values which are used for scaling the inputs, which include the minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation. The data after scaling is shown in Figure 4.

🔆 MPG							[- • ×
	mpg	cylinders	displaceme+	horsepower	weight	accelerati+	model year	origin 🚽
#0	0.2394	1.0000	0.6176	0.4565	0.5361	0.2381	0.0000	0.0000
#1	0.1596	1.0000	0.7287	0.6467	0.5897	0.2083	0.0000	0.0000
#2	0.2394	1.0000	0.6460	0.5652	0.5169	0.1786	0.0000	0.0000
#3	0.1862	1.0000	0.6098	0.5652	0.5160	0.2381	0.0000	0.0000
#4	0.2128	1.0000	0.6047	0.5109	0.5206	0.1488	0.0000	0.0000
# 5	0.1596	1.0000	0.9328	0.8261	0.7735	0.1190	0.0000	0.0000
#6	0.1330	1.0000	0.9974	0.9457	0.7771	0.0595	0.0000	0.0000
#7	0.1330	1.0000	0.9612	0.9185	0.7652	0.0298	0.0000	0.0000
#8	0.1330	1.0000	1.0000	0.9728	0.7973	0.1190	0.0000	0.0000
#9	0.1596	1.0000	0.8320	0.7826	0.6343	0.0298	0.0000	0.0000
#10	0.1596	1.0000	0.8140	0.6739	0.5529	0.1190	0.0000	0.0000
#11	0.1330	1.0000	0.7028	0.6196	0.5659	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
#12	0.1596	1.0000	0.8579	0.5652	0.6090	0.0893	0.0000	0.0000
#13	0.1330	1.0000	1.0000	0.9728	0.4176	0.1190	0.0000	0.0000
#14	0.3989	0.2000	0.1163	0.2663	0.2152	0.4167	0.0000	1.0000
#15	0.3457	0.6000	0.3359	0.2663	0.3459	0.4464	0.0000	0.0000
#16	0.2394	0.6000	0.3385	0.2772	0.3292	0.4464	0.0000	0.0000
#17	0.3191	0.6000	0.3411	0.2120	0.2762	0.4762	0.0000	0.0000
#18	0.4787	0.2000	0.0749	0.2283	0.1466	0.3869	0.0000	1.0000
#19	0.4521	0.2000	0.0749	0.0000	0.0629	0.7440	0.0000	0.5000
#20	0.4255	0.2000	0.1085	0.2228	0.3003	0.5655	0.0000	0.5000
#21	0.3989	0.2000	0.1008	0.2391	0.2316	0.3869	0.0000	0.5000
#22	0.4255	0.2000	0.0930	0.2663	0.2160	0.5655	0.0000	0.5000
#23	0.4521	0.2000	0.1370	0.3641	0.1761	0.2679	0.0000	0.5000
#24	0.3191	0.6000	0.3385	0.2391	0.2935	0.4167	0.0000	0.0000
#25	0.0266	1.0000	0.7545	0.9185	0.8511	0.3571	0.0000	0.0000
#26	0.0266	1.0000	0.6176	0.8370	0.7834	0.4167	0.0000	0.0000
#27	0.0532	1.0000	0.6460	0.8913	0.7851	0.3274	0.0000	0.0000
#28	0.0000	1.0000	0.6098	0.7989	0.8843	0.6250	0.0000	0.0000
#29	0.4787	0.2000	0.0749	0.2283	0.1466	0.3869	0.0833	1.0000
	0 5052	2000	1050	0 2201	0 10/6	0 4464	0 0000	

Figure4: Scaled dataset

5.5 Neural network graph

A graphical representation of the network architecture is depicted next. It contains a scaling layer, a neural network. The yellow circles represent scaling neurons, the green circles the principal components, the blue circles perceptron neurons, the red circles un-scaling neurons, and the purple circles bounding neurons. The number of inputs is 7, the number of principal components is 7, and the number of outputs and bounding neurons are 1. The complexity, represented by the numbers of hidden neurons, is 3:1 (as seen in Figure 5).

Vol. 5 Issue 4, April - 2021, Pages: 16-26 MPG Net Input **Negative Weight** 0.200000 Activation 0.200000 Positive Weight Node 0 details. -0.367031 Bias Error 0.000000 Insignificant Weight Left Click Node for Details. 0. cylinders 1. displacement 2. weight 3. acceleration 10. 11. mpg 4. model year 5. origin

Figure 5: architecture of the proposed ANN model

5.6 Evaluation of the Model

6. car name

International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER)

ISSN: 2643-9085

First of all, for the evaluation of our study, we used a sample of 398 of MGP automobile. We developed a model able to differentiate between classes of automobile. Our model uses a neural network with one input layer, two hidden layers and one output layer. As input data for classifying MGP automobile we used attribute as shown in Figure 3. Our task was to predict the result based on the 7 input variables. We conducted a series of tests in order to establish the number of hidden layers and the number of neurons in each hidden layer. Our tests give us that the best results are obtained with two hidden layer. We used a sample of (398 records): 270 training samples and 128 validating samples. The network structure was found on a trial and error basis (as seen in Figure 5). We started with a small network and gradually increased its size. Finally, we found that the best results are obtained for a network with the following structure: 7I-2H-10, i.e. 7 input neurons, 2 hidden layers with (3x1) neurons, and an output layer with 1 neuron. For this study we used Just Neural Network (JNN)[57]. We trained the network for 7683 epochs (as shown in Figure 6) on a regular computer with 4 GB of RAM memory under the Windows 10 operating system. We got an accuracy of 92.97%. Figure 3 shows Parameters of the proposed ANN model. Figure 7 shows the factors, their importance and relative importance that affect the MGP automobile artificial Neural Model using Just NN environment. Figure 8 outlines the detail of the proposed ANN model.



Figure 6: Training and validation of the proposed ANN model

MPG 7683 cycles. Target error 0.0100 Average training error 0.004783 The first 7 of 7 Inputs in descending order.

Column	Input Name	Importance	Relative Importance
4 2 5 7 6 1 8	weight displacement acceleration origin model year cylinders car name	8.7499 6.7626 6.3721 4.3035 3.5268 3.2289 2.0368	

Figure 7: Most important features of the MGP automobile model

Details of MPG			×		
General MPG					
Learning cycles: 7683		AutoSave cycles: 100			
Training error: 0.00478	3	Validating error: 0.005447			
Validating results: 92.97%	correct after	er rounding.			
Grid		Network			
Input columns: Output columns: Excluded columns: Training example rows: Validating example rows: Querying example rows: Excluded example rows: Duplicated example rows:	7 1 270 128 0 0	Input nodes connected: Hidden layer 1 nodes: Hidden layer 2 nodes: Hidden layer 3 nodes: Output nodes:	7 3 1 0 1		
Controls					
Learning rate:	0.1600	Momentum: 0	.1800		
Validating 'correct' target:	100.00%				
Target error:	0.0100	Decay.			
Validating rules		Missing data action			
No columns have rules set.		The median value is used.			
Show when a file is opene	ed ,				
<u>H</u> istory <u>S</u>	ave	<u>R</u> efresh	ose		

Figure 8: Details of the proposed ANN model

3. CONCLUSION

Data pre-processing helps in producing cleaning data which can be used in building a more accurate architecture. Table provided in the testing section shows the comparison between the results obtained for auto mpg data set using various algorithms on same data. Algorithms used (Normalized Squared Error + Gradient Descent).

In This paper, we used the classification power of a neural network to classify auto mpg. Our network achieved an accuracy of 92.977%. We used the JustNN environment for building the network that was a feed forward Multi-Layer Perceptron with one input layer, two hidden layer and one output layer. The average predictability rate was 92.97% for classification of MGP automobile.

References

- Koichiro Muta, Makoto Yamazaki, Junji Tokieda, Development of New-Generation Hybrid System THS II Drastic Improvement of Power Performance and Fuel Economy 2004-1. 01-0064
- 2. Paul R. Portney; Ian W.H. Parry; Howard K. Gruenspecht; Winston Harrington (November 2003). "The Economics of Fuel Economy Standards" . Resources For The Future. Archived from the original on 1 December 2007. Retrieved 4 January 2008.
- 3. G. Tyler Miller, Jr.; Scott E. Spoolman (2011). Living in the Environment. Cengage Learning. p. 396.
- "2008 Fuel Economy Guide" .US EPA. Retrieved 17 April 2013. 4.
- Abu Nada, A. M., et al. (2020). "Age and Gender Prediction and Validation Through Single User Images Using CNN." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research 5. (IJAER) 4(8): 21-24.
- Abu Nada, A. M., et al. (2020), "Arabic Text Summarization Using AraBERT Model Using Extractive Text Summarization Approach." International Journal of Academic 6. Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(8): 6-9.
- Abu-Saqer, M. M., et al. (2020). "Type of Grapefruit Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(1): 1-5. 7.
- Afana, M., et al. (2018). "Artificial Neural Network for Forecasting Car Mileage per Gallon in the City." International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology 124: 51-59. Al Barsh, Y. I., et al. (2020). "MPG Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(11): 7-16. 8.
- 9. 10
- Alajrami, E., et al. (2020). "Hood Donation Prediction using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(12): 1-7. Alajrami, E., et al. (2020). "Handwritten Signature Verification using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJARR) 3(12): 39-44. 11
- Al-Araj, R. S. A., et al. (2020). "Classification of Animal Species Using Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(10): 23-31. 12.
- 13. Al-Atrash, Y. E., et al. (2020). "Modeling Cognitive Development of the Balance Scale Task Using ANN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(9): 74-81.
- 14. Alghoul, A., et al. (2018). "Email Classification Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 2(11): 8-14.
- 15. Al-Kahlout, M. M., et al. (2020). "Neural Network Approach to Predict Forest Fires using Meteorological Data." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(9): 68-72.
- Alkronz, E. S., et al. (2019). "Prediction of Whether Mushroom is Edible or Poisonous Using Back-propagation Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied 16. Research (IJAAR) 3(2): 1-8.
- Al-Madhoun, O. S. E.-D., et al. (2020). "Low Birth Weight Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 4(11): 8-14. 17. Al-Massri, R., et al. (2018). "Classification Prediction of SBRCTs Cancers Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 18
- 2(11): 1-7.
- 19 Al-Mobayed, A. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Car Performance Using JNN." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 4(9): 139-145
- 20. Al-Mubayyed, O. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Overall Car Performance Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR) 3(1): 1-5
- Alshawwa, I. A., et al. (2020). "Analyzing Types of Cherry Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(1): 1-5. 21. Al-Shawwa, M., et al. (2018). "Predicting Temperature and Humidity in the Surrounding Environment Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic 22 Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(9): 1-6.
- Ashqar, B. A., et al. (2019). "Plant Seedlings Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(1): 7-14. 23
- 24
- Bakr, M. A. H. A., et al. (2020). "Breast Cancer Prediction using JNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(10): 1-8. Barhoom, A. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Titanic Survivors using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(9): 8-12. 25 Belbeisi, H. Z., et al. (2020). "Effect of Oxygen Consumption of Thylakoid Membranes (Chloroplasts) From Spinach after Inhibition Using JNN." International Journal of 26.
- Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 4(11): 1-7.
- 27. Dalffa, M. A., et al. (2019). "Tic-Tac-Toe Learning Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 3(2): 9-19.
- 28 Dawood, K. J., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Mushroom Prediction." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(10): 9-17.
- 29. Dheir, I. M., et al. (2020). "Classifying Nuts Types Using Convolutional Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(12): 12-18.
- 30. El-Khatib, M. J., et al. (2019). "Glass Classification Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 3(2): 25-31.
- El-Mahelawi, J. K., et al. (2020). "Tumor Classification Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(11): 8-15. 31.
- El-Mashharawi, H. Q., et al. (2020). "Grape Type Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(12): 41-45. 32
- Elzamly, A., et al. (2015). "Classification of Software Risks with Discriminant Analysis Techniques in Software planning Development Process." International Journal of Advanced 33. Science and Technology 81: 35-48.
- 34. Elzamly, A., et al. (2015). "Predicting Software Analysis Process Risks Using Linear Stepwise Discriminant Analysis: Statistical Methods." Int. J. Adv. Inf. Sci. Technol 38(38): 108-115.
- Elzamly, A., et al. (2017). "Predicting Critical Cloud Computing Security Issues using Artificial Neural Network (ANNs) Algorithms in Banking Organizations." International 35. Journal of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering 6(2): 40-45.
- Habib, N. S., et al. (2020). "Presence of Amphibian Species Prediction Using Features Obtained from GIS and Satellite Images." International Journal of Academic and Applied 36 Research (IJAAR) 4(11): 13-22.
- Harz, H. H., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Diabetes Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(10): 14-22. 37
- Hassanein, R. A. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Workplace Absenteeism." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(9): 62-38. 67
- Heriz, H. H., et al. (2018). "English Alphabet Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(11): 8-14. 39 Jaber, A. S., et al. (2020). "Evolving Efficient Classification Patterns in Lymphography Using EasyNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 40.
- 4(9): 66-73.
- Kashf, D. W. A., et al. (2018). "Predicting DNA Lung Cancer using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(10): 6-13. 41
- 42. Khalil, A. J., et al. (2019). "Energy Efficiency Predicting using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 3(9): 1-8.
- 43. Kweik, O. M. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Lung Cancer Detection." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(11): 1-7.
- Maghari, A. M., et al. (2020). "Books' Rating Prediction Using Just Neural Network." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 4(10): 17-22. 44.
- 45. Mettleq, A. S. A., et al. (2020). "Mango Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(12): 22-29.
- Metwally, N. F., et al. (2018). "Diagnosis of Hepatitis Virus Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(11): 1-7. 46. Mohammed, G. R., et al. (2020). "Predicting the Age of Abalone from Physical Measurements Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied 47.
- Research (IJAAR) 4(11): 7-12. 48. Musleh, M. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Liver Patients using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(10): 1-11.
- Oriban, A. J. A., et al. (2020). "Antibiotic Susceptibility Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(11): 1-6. 49
- 50. Qwaider, S. R., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network Prediction of the Academic Warning of Students in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology in Al-Azhar University-Gaza." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(8): 16-22.
- Sadek, R. M., et al. (2019). "Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 3(1): 1-51.
- Salah, M., et al. (2018). "Predicting Medical Expenses Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 2(20): 11-17. 52
- 53. Salman, F. M., et al. (2020). "COVID-19 Detection using Artificial Intelligence." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(3): 18-25.
- Samra, M. N. A., et al. (2020). "ANN Model for Predicting Protein Localization Sites in Cells." International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR) 4(9): 43-50. 54 Shawarib, M. Z. A., et al. (2020). "Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Survival Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Engineering and Information 55. Systems (IJEAIS) 4(10): 23-30.
- Zaqout, I., et al. (2015). "Predicting Student Performance Using Artificial Neural Network: in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology." 56. International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology 8(2): 221-228.

UCI Machine Learning repository (https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets.html)
EasyNN Tool