# ANN Can Predict Survival of Patients with Heart Failure

### Nidaa Samir Kalb, Yasmine Ahmed Al-Najjar, Karam Omar Ahmed

Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Engineering & Information Technology, Al-Azhar University - Gaza, Palestine

Abstract: Cardiovascular diseases kill approximately 18 million people every year worldwide, and they mainly exhibit as myocardial infarctions and heart failures. Heart failure occurs when the heart cannot pump enough blood to meet the needs of the body. Artificial Neural Network (ANN) can predict patients' survival from their data and can individuate the most important features among those included in their medical records. Methods: In this paper, we analyze a dataset of 132 patients with heart failure collected from UCI machine Learning Repository. We applied ANN classifier to both predict the patient's survival, and rank the features corresponding to the most important risk factors. The results of the proposed ANN model in predicting patients' survival was 100%. This model has the potential to impact on clinical practice, becoming a new supporting tool for physicians when predicting if a heart failure patient will survive or not. Indeed, medical doctors aiming at understanding if a patient will survive after heart failure or not.

Keywords: Cardiovascular heart diseases, Heart failure, ANN, Feature ranking

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a class of diseases that involve the heart or blood vessels. CVD includes coronary artery diseases (CAD) such as angina and myocardial infarction (commonly known as a heart attack). Other CVDs include stroke, heart failure, hypertensive heart disease, rheumatic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, abnormal heart rhythms, congenital heart disease, valvular heart disease, carditis, aortic aneurysms, peripheral artery disease, thromboembolic disease, and venous thrombosis [3].

The underlying mechanisms vary depending on the disease. Coronary artery disease, stroke, and peripheral artery disease involve atherosclerosis. This may be caused by high blood pressure, smoking, diabetes mellitus, lack of exercise, obesity, high blood cholesterol, poor diet, and excessive alcohol consumption, among others. High blood pressure is estimated to account for approximately 13% of CVD deaths, while tobacco accounts for 9%, diabetes 6%, lack of exercise 6% and obesity 5%. Rheumatic heart disease may follow untreated strep throat [2].

It is estimated that up to 90% of CVD may be preventable. Prevention of CVD involves improving risk factors through: healthy eating, exercise, avoidance of tobacco smoke and limiting alcohol intake. Treating risk factors, such as high blood pressure, blood lipids and diabetes is also beneficial. Treating people who have strep throat with antibiotics can decrease the risk of rheumatic heart disease. The use of aspirin in people, who are otherwise healthy, is of unclear benefit.[5,6,7,8,9]

Cardiovascular diseases are the leading cause of death in all areas of the world except Africa. Together CVD resulted in 17.9 million deaths (32.1%) in 2015, up from 12.3 million (25.8%) in 1990. Deaths, at a given age, from CVD are more common and have been increasing in much of the developing world, while rates have declined in most of the developed world since the 1970s. Coronary artery disease and stroke account for 80% of CVD deaths in males and 75% of CVD deaths in females. Most cardiovascular disease affects older adults. In the United States 11% of people between 20 and 40 have CVD, while 37% between 40 and 60, 71% of people between 60 and 80, and 85% of people over 80 have CVD. The average age of death from coronary artery disease in the developed world is around 80 while it is around 68 in the developing world. Diagnosis of disease typically occurs seven to ten years earlier in men as compared to women [1]

There are many cardiovascular diseases involving the blood vessels. They are known as vascular diseases.

- Coronary artery disease (also known as coronary heart disease and ischemic heart disease)
- Peripheral arterial disease disease of blood vessels that supply blood to the arms and legs
- Cerebrovascular disease disease of blood vessels that supply blood to the brain (includes stroke)
- Renal artery stenosis
- Aortic aneurysm

There are also many cardiovascular diseases that involve the heart [4,5].

- Cardiomyopathy diseases of cardiac muscle
- Hypertensive heart disease diseases of the heart secondary to high blood pressure or hypertension

- Heart failure a clinical syndrome caused by the inability of the heart to supply sufficient blood to the tissues to meet their metabolic requirements
- Pulmonary heart disease a failure at the right side of the heart with respiratory system involvement
- Cardiac dysrhythmias abnormalities of heart rhythm
- Inflammatory heart disease
  - Endocarditis inflammation of the inner layer of the heart, the endocardium. The structures most commonly involved are the heart valves.
  - Inflammatory cardiomegaly
  - Myocarditis inflammation of the myocardium, the muscular part of the heart, caused most often by viral infection and less often by bacterial infections, certain medications, toxins, and autoimmune disorders. It is characterized in part by infiltration of the heart by lymphocyte and monocyte types of white blood cells.
  - Eosinophilic myocarditis inflammation of the myocardium caused by pathologically activated eosinophilic white blood cells. This disorder differs from myocarditis in its causes and treatments.
- Valvular heart disease
- Congenital heart disease heart structure malformations existing at birth
- Rheumatic heart disease heart muscles and valves damage due to rheumatic fever caused by Streptococcus pyogenes a group A streptococcal infection.

## 2. AN ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

An Artificial Neural Network is a multilayer perceptron (MLP) is a class of feed forward[6-20]. The term MLP is used ambiguously, sometimes loosely to any feedforward [21-32] ANN, sometimes strictly to refer to networks composed of multiple layers[33-44] of perceptron (with threshold activation). Multilayer perceptron are sometimes colloquially referred to as "vanilla" neural networks, especially when they have a single hidden layer[45-57].

An MLP consists of at least three layers of nodes: an input layer, a hidden layer and an output layer. Except for the input nodes, each node is a neuron that uses a nonlinear activation function. MLP utilizes a supervised learning technique called backpropagation for training[58]. Its multiple layers and non-linear activation distinguish MLP from a linear perceptron. It can distinguish data that is not linearly separable [59].

If a multilayer perceptron has a linear activation function in all neurons, that is, a linear function that maps the weighted inputs to the output of each neuron, then linear algebra shows that any number of layers can be reduced to a two-layer input-output model. In MLPs some neurons use a *nonlinear* activation function that was developed to model the frequency of action potentials, or firing, of biological neurons[60].

The two historically common activation functions are both sigmoids, and are described by

$$y(v_i) = anh(v_i) ext{ and } y(v_i) = (1 + e^{-v_i})^{-1}$$

In recent developments of deep learning the rectifier linear unit (ReLU) is more frequently used as one of the possible ways to overcome the numerical problems related to the sigmoid [61].

The first is a hyperbolic tangent that ranges from -1 to 1, while the other is the logistic function, which is similar in shape but ranges from 0 to 1. Here  $y_i$  is the output of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  node (neuron) and  $v_i$  is the weighted sum of the input connections. Alternative activation functions have been proposed, including the rectifier and softplus functions. More specialized activation functions include radial basis functions (used in radial basis networks, another class of supervised neural network models)[62].

The MLP consists of three or more layers (an input and an output layer with one or more *hidden layers*) of nonlinearly-activating nodes. Since MLPs are fully connected, each node in one layer connects with a certain weight  $w_{ij}$  to every node in the following layer.

Learning occurs in the perceptron by changing connection weights after each piece of data is processed, based on the amount of error in the output compared to the expected result. This is an example of supervised learning, and is carried out through backpropagation, a generalization of the least mean squares algorithm in the linear perceptron [63].

We can represent the degree of error in an output node j in the  $n^{th}$  data point (training example) by:

$$e_j(n) = d_j(n) - y_j(n)$$

Where d is the target value and y is the value produced by the perceptron. The node weights can then be adjusted based on corrections that minimize the error in the entire output, given by:

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = rac{1}{2}\sum_j e_j^2(n).$$

Using gradient descent, the change in each weight is:

$$\Delta w_{ji}(n) = -\eta rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(n)}{\partial v_j(n)} y_i(n)$$

Where  $y_i$  is the output of the previous neuron and  $\eta$  is the *learning rate*, which is selected to ensure that the weights quickly converge to a response, without oscillations [64].

The derivative to be calculated depends on the induced local field  $v_i$ , which itself varies. It is easy to prove that for an output node this derivative can be simplified to [65]:

$$-rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(n)}{\partial v_j(n)}=e_j(n)\phi'(v_j(n))$$

Where  $\phi'$  is the derivative of the activation function described above, which itself does not vary. The analysis is more difficult for the change in weights to a hidden node, but it can be shown that the relevant derivative is [66]

$$-rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(n)}{\partial v_j(n)}=\phi'(v_j(n))\sum_k-rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(n)}{\partial v_k(n)}w_{kj}(n).$$

This depends on the change in weights of the  $k^{th}$  nodes, which represent the output layer. So to change the hidden layer weights, the output layer weights change according to the derivative of the activation function, and so this algorithm represents a backpropagation of the activation function.

The term "multilayer perceptron" does not refer to a single perceptron that has multiple layers. Rather, it contains many perceptrons that are organized into layers. An alternative is "multilayer perceptron network". Moreover, MLP "perceptrons" are not perceptrons in the strictest possible sense. True perceptrons are formally a special case of artificial neurons that use a threshold activation function such as the Heaviside step function. MLP perceptrons can employ arbitrary activation functions. A true perceptron performs *binary* classification, an MLP neuron is free to either perform classification or regression, depending upon its activation function[67].

The term "multilayer perceptron" later was applied without respect to nature of the nodes/layers, which can be composed of arbitrarily defined artificial neurons, and not perceptrons specifically. This interpretation avoids the loosening of the definition of "perceptron" to mean an artificial neuron in general.

MLPs are useful in research for their ability to solve problems stochastically, which often allows approximate solutions for extremely complex problems like fitness approximation.

MLPs are universal function approximators as shown by Cybenko's theorem, so they can be used to create mathematical models by regression analysis. As classification is a particular case of regression when the response variable is categorical, MLPs make good classifier algorithms[68].

MLPs were a popular machine learning solution in the 1980s, finding applications in diverse fields such as speech recognition, image recognition, and machine translation software, but thereafter faced strong competition from much simpler (and related) support vector machines. Interest in backpropagation networks returned due to the successes of deep learning[69].

## 3. METHODOLOGY

We have collected the dataset from UCI Machine Learning repository that was developed by University of California, School of Information and Computer Science [70]. This dataset was prepared by Dr. Evlin Kinney, The Reed Institute, Maimi, FL, USA [70].

# **3.1 Input and output Features**

The Echocardiogram dataset consists of 132 samples and 13 features. The features: mult, name, and group were skipped. The remaining features either numeric or nominal (categories). All nominal Features were replaced with numeric values starting with 0 to maximal count of different values in the feature. The second step is normalizing all numeric values to be between 0 and 1 (as shown in Table 1). Table 2 shows the output attribute and its distribution.

| S.N. | Attribute                 | Secription  | Туре    | Category |
|------|---------------------------|---|---------|----------|
| 1    | survival                  | the number of months patient survived (has survived, if patient<br>is still alive). Because all the patients had their heart attacks at<br>different times, it is possible that some patients have survived<br>less than one year but they are still alive. | Numeric | Input    |
| 2    | still-alive               | a binary variable. 0=dead at end of survival period, 1 means still alive  | Boolean | Input    |
| 3    | age-at-heart-attack       | age in years when heart attack occurred   | Numeric | Input    |
| 4    | pericardial-effusion      | Pericardial effusion is fluid around the heart. 0=no fluid, 1=fluid   | Binary  | Input    |
| 5    | fractional-<br>shortening | a measure of contractility around the heart lower numbers are increasingly abnormal   | Numeric | Input    |
| 6    | epss                      | E-point septal separation, another measure of contractility.<br>Larger numbers are increasingly abnormal.   | Numeric | Input    |
| 7    | lvdd                      | left ventricular end-diastolic dimension. This is a measure of the size of the heart at end-diastole. Large hearts tend to be sick hearts.  | Numeric | Input    |
| 8    | wall-motion-score         | a measure of how the segments of the left ventricle are moving  | Numeric | Input    |
| 9    | wall-motion-index         | equals wall-motion-score divided by number of segments seen.<br>Usually 12-13 segments are seen in an echocardiogram. Use this<br>variable instead of the wall motion score.  | Numeric | Input    |
| 10   | mult                      | a derivate variable which can be ignored  | Ignored | Input    |
| 11   | name                      | the name of the patient (replaced them with "name")   | Ignored | Input    |
| 12   | group                     | meaningless, ignore it  | Ignored | Input    |
| 13   | alive-at-1                | Boolean-valued. Derived from the first two attributes. 0 means patient was either dead after 1 year or had been followed for less than 1 year. 1 means patient was alive at 1 year.   | Boolean | Output   |

 Table1: Attribute description

| Table 2: Class alive-at-1 feature d | distribution |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
|-------------------------------------|--------------|

| Class code | Class     | Number of instances |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1          | Not Alive | 50                  |
| 2          | Alive     | 24                  |
| 3          | ?         | 58                  |

#### **3.2 Dataset preprocessing**

There are some missing values in the 13 attributes of the Echocardiogram dataset. The missing values in all input attributes (the first 12 attributes) were replaced with average value of that attribute. But for the output attribute (alive-at-1feature), it was handled with a different way. There were 58 missing values in the output attribute as shown in Table 3. The missing values of the output

attribute were replaced according to first and second attribute. If the second attribute value was zero, the corresponding missing value in the output value was replaced with a zero otherwise it was replaced with a one.

|             | 0                         |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| Attribute # | Number of Missing Values: |
| 1           | 2                         |
| 2           | 1                         |
| 3           | 5                         |
| 4           | 1                         |
| 5           | 8                         |
| 6           | 15                        |
| 7           | 11                        |
| 8           | 4                         |
| 9           | 1                         |
| 10          | 4                         |
| 11          | 0                         |
| 12          | 22                        |
| 13          | 58                        |

We used the following equation to normalize the features in the Echocardiogram dataset:

$$X_i = (X_i - X_{min}) / (X_{man} - X_{min})$$
 eq.(1)

For the nominal features we have done two things:

- Replaced the different values of that features with numeric values starting with 0
- We normalized the features using the above equation where the possible values between 0 and 1.

For all other features we normalized their values to be in the range of 0 to 1 using the normalized equation stated above.

### 3.3 Building the ANN Model

We used Just Neural Network (JNN) tool [71] to build a multilayer ANN model. The proposed model consists of four Layers: Input Layer with 9 nodes, First Hidden Layer with 3 nodes, Second Layer with 1 node, and Output Layer with one node as can be seen in Figure 3.

We have sat the parameters of the proposed model as follows: Learning Rate 0.6 and the Momentum to be 0.8, and Average Error rate to be 0.01 (as shown in Figure 2).

### 3.4 Evaluating the ANN model

The Echocardiogram dataset consists of 132 samples with 13 attributes as in Table 1 and Table 2. We imported the preprocessed CSV file of the Echocardiogram dataset into the JNN environment (as seen in Figure 1). We divided the imported dataset into two sets (Training and Validation) randomly using the JNN tool. The Training consists of approximately 67% (88 samples) and the validation set consists of 33% of the dataset (44 samples). After making sure that the parameter control was sat properly, we started training the ANN model and kept eye on the learning curve, error loss and validation accuracy. We trained the ANN model for 101 cycles. The best accuracy we got was 100% (as seen in Figure 4). We determined the most influential factors in the Echocardiogram dataset as in Figure 5. Figure 6 shows the summary of the proposed model.

|       | intro gene |             |            | -             |            |               |           |             |             |           | 1.Comw     | 100.00 |
|-------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------|
|       | 41271765   | attit-erive | 404-92-044 | pertitationa+ | frantimal+ | ingaa .       | -Doma     | WALL-BUILD+ | 8811-B0110+ | mila .    | A1179-01-1 | 1.5    |
| #1    | 0.0826     | ht., 0000   | 1.7054     | 1.0041        | 0.4187     | 0.228C        | D.SULF    | 11.1242     | 8.000d      | 5.4624    | 2.0025     |        |
| #1 )  | 0.3830     | 8.0000      | 8.7388     | 8-0088        | 0.0187     | 0.3800        | 0.1891    | 1.3243      | 1.7500      | 112429    | 0.0088     |        |
| +2    | 0.2805     | 361 00 OH   | 8.5107     | 11.0011       | 0143.87    | 0.1890        | 0.2468    | 0.3243      | 8-0006      | 8.4624    | 0.0009     |        |
| #3    | 1.0000     | 36.0000     | 1,4901     | 810068        | 0.4050     | 0.3815        | 0.\$119   | 0.3764      | 8,2250      | 6.0404    | 0.0000     |        |
| +1    | 02220      | z_8000      | Robins     | 2.0088        | 0.2669     | 0.5580        | 0.7691    | 1.1124      | 1.4254      | 2.223     | 0.0003     |        |
| 91    | 0.4559     | 1000        | 1.4411     | 11-5201       | 0.4587     | 0+3250        | 20,44株:   | 1-2708      | 8,0004      | 1.505     | 0,0008     | 1      |
| 1 I I | 0.2277     | 8,000E      | 1.5294     | 11/0041       | 0.3687     | 0.7760        | 0.6973    | 1.5541      | 8,4375      | 0.0005    | 3,0088     | T      |
| a1 .  | 0.10773    | 30,8008     | 10.4402    | 810068        | 025888     | 0.2#80        | 0.6510    | 1.1141      | 810006      | 5.4634    | 0.0068     |        |
| #1    | 0.3830     | 8-800E      | 8.2357     | 8.0088        | 0.8882     | 0.0000        | 0.4211    | 8.2784      | 8.0700      | 0.4847    | 5.0000     |        |
| #7    | 0-4985     | 8,4000      | 8.0728     | 1.0041        | 0,0287     | 0.3280        | 20.4888   | 11.9849     | 8.0998      | 0;4347    | 0.0008     |        |
| #10   | 0.1750     | 11-8800     | 8.6238     | 8.0068        | 0.0000     | 0.4890.       | 0.4280    | 11.4324.    | 8,4000      | 0.0006    | 1.0089     | T      |
| #11   | 0.8122     | M. 0000     | 1.5294     | 1.0000        | 0,7223     | 0:1280        | 0.2070    | 0.0704      | 8.0766      | 5,4648    | 0,0000     | T      |
| #11'  | 0.0122     | R. 800E     | 8.7483     | 1.0065        | 0.5433     | 0:3800        | 0.2761    | 0.3243      | 8,0008      | 014426    | 6,0085     |        |
| #T1   | 0.7718     | 8-800E      | 8.4912     | 8.0083        | 0.2333     | 0.2890        | 0.8141    | 8.3243      | 810008      | 014624    | 0.0003     | T      |
| #14   | 0.1062     | \$1.000H    | 1.5294     | 11-0011       | 0,1885     | 0.5750        | 0.7605    | 0.2814      | 8,8456      | 1.1372    | 1.0050     | T      |
| ¥13   | 0.04207    | 0.0000      | T. 2012    | 1.0082        | 0.4000     | 0.1114        | 0.441T    | 0.2248      | 8.0006      | 0.4424    | 0.0000     |        |
| 914   | 1-2084     | 31.0000     | 1,4487.    | 1.0000        | 5:4187     | 0.2780        | 0.8228    | 8.4804      | 8.5304      | 1,9462    | 1.0008     | T      |
| 817.  | 0-0002     | \$1:900H    | 1.3219     | 1,0000        | 0-3000     | 0.9890        | 0.8457    | 8,8940      | 8-9000      | 4,3889    | L,0088     |        |
| #11   | 0.3555     | 1.4008      | IL-007E -  | 11100411      | 611999     | 014250        | 0.7945    | 1.1428      | 8,1665      | 111111111 | 0.0088     | T      |
| +19   | 0.0170     | 1-8008      | 1.6018     | 1.0088        | 0.3680     | 0.3780        | 20.4908   | 16-4787     | 8.4254      | 0.0885    | 1.0088     | T      |
| #20   | 0.1131     | N           | 28,8887    | 11,0000       | 0.3333     | 1.3832        | 10.8853   | 8-8738      | 8.1128      | 3,3888    | 1,0008     |        |
| AT3   | 0.0126     | 1.0000      | 1.0004     | 1.0088        | 0,2855     | 0.4790        | 0.7940    | 8-3197.     | 8.1900      | 1.1068    | 1.0000     | T      |
| #22.  | 0.8552     | 11.000E     | 0.7481     | #100EE        | 0.3667     | 0.8285        | 0.5356    | 1.1466      | 8.2556      | 8,1188    | L.0096     | T      |
| +11   | 0.8872     | 3.8008      | 8.7058     | 8.0085        | 012667     | 0.0895        | 0.5224    | 0.1622      | 8.0006      | 8.2352    | 1,0003     |        |
| 821   | 6.8420     | F. 800E     | 3.3488     | 8.0009        | 0.8005     | 0.1478        | 0.2601    | 0.2142      | 810588      | 1.1988    | 0.0003     |        |
| #25   | 0.9005     | 8,0000      | 8.0728     | 8.0088        | 0,4833     | 0.5790        | 0.3400    | 0.2142      | 8.3555      | 8.1069    | 0,0080     | T.     |
| \$25  | 0.5025     | 6.9906      | H.0000.    | 8.0000        | 0.4888     | 0.1250        | 0.4140    | 121245      | 8.0000      | 014624    | 0.0088     | Т.     |
| 141   | 4. 1111    | the sector  | PL         | N. 19944      | a =111     | Protection of | he d'atte | diama -     | B. 4944     | product   | No. or en  |        |





Figure 2: Control of the parameters of the proposed ANN model

1.0000

0.9000

0.5000

0.7090

0.6000

0.5000 0.4000

0.3000

0.2008 0.1000

Ū

Layer:

Nodes:

Weights

22

50

Input

10

11

33

Hidden 1

з

44

3

55

Hidden 2

1

65

n

Output

1

88



Training examples Validating examples Within 10.0% range.

Validating: 198.00% OK

100 101 Learning Cycles

44

Score: 0 Score: 44



1

Echocardiogram 101 cycles. Target error 0.0100 Average training error 0.043452 The first 10 of 10 Inputs in descending order.

| Column     | Input Name  | Importance  | Relative Importance |
|------------|---|---|---------------------|
| 0136427598 | survival<br>still-alive<br>pericardial-effusion<br>lvdd<br>fractional-shortening<br>age-at-heart-attack<br>wall-motion-score<br>epss<br>mult<br>wall-motion-index | 14.5767<br>9.9281<br>5.6040<br>3.9933<br>3.1306<br>2.2211<br>1.7053<br>1.6114<br>1.4841<br>0.8741 |                     |

**Figure 5**: The most influential Feature in the proposed ANN model

| Details of Echocardiogram  |                                    |  | X                      |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| General<br>Echocardiogram  |                                    |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Learning cycles: 101   |                                    | AutoSave cycles: 100   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Training error: 0.0434   | 52                                 | Validating error: 0.005785   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Validating results: 100.00   | % correct af                       | ter rounding.  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Grid   |                                    | Network  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Input columns:<br>Dutput columns:<br>Excluded columns:<br>Training example rows:<br>Validating example rows:<br>Querying example rows:<br>Excluded example rows:<br>Duplicated example rows: | 10<br>1<br>88<br>44<br>0<br>0<br>0 | Input nodes connected:<br>Hidden layer 1 nodes:<br>Hidden layer 2 nodes:<br>Hidden layer 3 nodes:<br>Output nodes: | 10<br>3<br>1<br>0<br>1 |  |  |  |  |
| Controls   | 0.5500                             |  | 71.00                  |  |  |  |  |
| Learning rate:   | 0.5592                             | Momentum: U.   | /163                   |  |  |  |  |
| Validating 'correct' target:   | 100.00%                            |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| l arget error:   | 0.0100                             | Decay.   |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Validating rules   | Validating rules                   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| No columns have rules  | : set.                             | The median value is used.  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| ☑ Show when a file is opened   |                                    |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| History  | <u>S</u> ave                       | <u>R</u> efresh  | ose                    |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6: Details of the proposed ANN model

## 4. CONCLUSION

An Artificial Neural Network model for predicting two Echocardiogram dataset: Alive or Not Alive, using features obtained from UCI Machine Learning Repository was presented. The model used was feed forward backpropagation algorithm for training the proposed ANN model using JNN tool. The factors for the model were obtained from dataset which represents Echocardiogram features. The model was tested and the accuracy rate was 100%. This model has the potential to impact on clinical practice, becoming a new supporting tool for physicians when predicting if a heart failure patient will survive or not. Indeed, medical doctors aiming at understanding if a patient will survive after heart failure or not.

#### References

- Mozaffarian D, et al. (2013). "Heart disease and stroke statistics -- 2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association". Circulation. 127(1): e6-e245.
- 2. Mendis S, et al. (2011). Global Atlas on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Control (PDF). World Health Organization in collaboration with the World Heart Federation and the World Stroke Organization. pp. 3-18.
- Naghavi M, et al. (2015). "Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the 3. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013". Lancet. 385 (9963): 117-71.
- Wang H, et al. (2016). "Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for 4. the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015". Lancet. 388 (10053): 1459-1544.
- Abu Nada, A. M., et al. (2020). "Age and Gender Prediction and Validation Through Single User Images Using CNN." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research 5. (IJAER) 4(8): 21-24.
- Abu Nada, A. M., et al. (2020). "Arabic Text Summarization Using AraBERT Model Using Extractive Text Summarization Approach." International Journal of Academic 6. Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(8): 6-9.
- Abu-Saqer, M. M., et al. (2020). "Type of Grapefruit Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(1): 1-5. 7.
- Afana, M., et al. (2018). "Artificial Neural Network for Forecasting Car Mileage per Gallon in the City." International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology 124: 51-59. Al Barsh, Y. I., et al. (2020). "MPG Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(11): 7-16. 8.
- 9
- Alajrami, E., et al. (2019). "Blood Donation Prediction using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(10): 1-7. 10
- Alajrami, E., et al. (2020). "Handwritten Signature Verification using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR) 3(12): 39-44. Al-Araj, R. S. A., et al. (2020). "Classification of Animal Species Using Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(10): 23-31. 11.
- 12. 13.
- Al-Atrash, Y. E., et al. (2020). "Modeling Cognitive Development of the Balance Scale Task Using ANN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(9): 74-81.
- 14 Alghoul, A., et al. (2018). "Email Classification Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 2(11): 8-14.
- Al-Kahlout, M. M., et al. (2020). "Neural Network Approach to Predict Forest Fires using Meteorological Data." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 15. 4(9): 68-72.
- 16. Alkronz, E. S., et al. (2019). "Prediction of Whether Mushroom is Edible or Poisonous Using Back-propagation Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR) 3(2): 1-8.
- Al-Madhoun, O. S. E.-D., et al. (2020). "Low Birth Weight Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 4(11): 8-14. 17.
- Al-Massri, R., et al. (2018). "Classification Prediction of SBRCTs Cancers Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 18. 2(11): 1-7.
- Al-Mobayed, A. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Car Performance Using JNN." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 19. 4(9): 139-145.
- Al-Mubayyed, O. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Overall Car Performance Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR) 3(1): 20. 1-5.
- 21. Alshawwa, I. A., et al. (2020). "Analyzing Types of Cherry Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(1): 1-5.
- Al-Shawwa, M., et al. (2018). "Predicting Temperature and Humidity in the Surrounding Environment Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic 22. Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(9): 1-6.
- 23 Ashqar, B. A., et al. (2019). "Plant Seedlings Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(1): 7-14.
- Bakr, M. A. H. A., et al. (2020). "Breast Cancer Prediction using JNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(10): 1-8. 24
- Barhoom, A. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Titanic Survivors using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(9): 8-12. 25
- 26. Belbeisi, H. Z., et al. (2020). "Effect of Oxygen Consumption of Thylakoid Membranes (Chloroplasts) From Spinach after Inhibition Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 4(11): 1-7.
- 27 Dalffa, M. A., et al. (2019). "Tic-Tac-Toe Learning Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 3(2): 9-19.
- Dawood, K. J., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Mushroom Prediction." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(10): 9-17. 28.
- 29 Dheir, I. M., et al. (2020). "Classifying Nuts Types Using Convolutional Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(12): 12-18.
- El-Khatib, M. J., et al. (2019). "Glass Classification Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 3(2): 25-31. 30.
- El-Mahelawi, J. K., et al. (2020). "Tumor Classification Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(11): 8-15. 31.
- El-Mashharawi, H. Q., et al. (2020). "Grape Type Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(12): 41-45. 32. 33. Elzamly, A., et al. (2015). "Classification of Software Risks with Discriminant Analysis Techniques in Software planning Development Process." International Journal of Advanced
- Science and Technology 81: 35-48. 34.
- Elzamly, A., et al. (2015). "Predicting Software Analysis Process Risks Using Linear Stepwise Discriminant Analysis: Statistical Methods." Int. J. Adv. Inf. Sci. Technol 38(38): 108-115
- Elzamly, A., et al. (2017). "Predicting Critical Cloud Computing Security Issues using Artificial Neural Network (ANNs) Algorithms in Banking Organizations." International 35. Journal of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering 6(2): 40-45.
- Habib, N. S., et al. (2020). "Presence of Amphibian Species Prediction Using Features Obtained from GIS and Satellite Images." International Journal of Academic and Applied 36. Research (IJAAR) 4(11): 13-22.
- Harz, H. H., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Diabetes Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(10): 14-22. 37.
- Hassanein, R. A. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Predicting Workplace Absenteeism." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(9): 62-38 67
- 30 Heriz, H. H., et al. (2018). "English Alphabet Prediction Using Artificial Neural Networks." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(11): 8-14.
- 40. Jaber, A. S., et al. (2020). "Evolving Efficient Classification Patterns in Lymphography Using EasyNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(9): 66-73.
- 41. Kashf, D. W. A., et al. (2018). "Predicting DNA Lung Cancer using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(10): 6-13.
- 42 Khalil, A. J., et al. (2019). "Energy Efficiency Predicting using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 3(9): 1-8.
- 43. Kweik, O. M. A., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network for Lung Cancer Detection." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(11): 1-7.
- 44. Maghari, A. M., et al. (2020). "Books' Rating Prediction Using Just Neural Network." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 4(10): 17-22.
- Mettleq, A. S. A., et al. (2020). "Mango Classification Using Deep Learning." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 3(12): 22-29. 45.
- Metwally, N. F., et al. (2018). "Diagnosis of Hepatitis Virus Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Pedagogical Research (IJAPR) 2(11): 1-7. 46.
- Mohammed, G. R., et al. (2020). "Predicting the Age of Abalone from Physical Measurements Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic and Applied 47. Research (IJAAR) 4(11): 7-12.
- 48 Musleh, M. M., et al. (2019). "Predicting Liver Patients using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 3(10): 1-11.
- Oriban, A. J. A., et al. (2020). "Antibiotic Susceptibility Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(11): 1-6. 49.
- Qwaider, S. R., et al. (2020). "Artificial Neural Network Prediction of the Academic Warning of Students in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology in Al-Azhar 50. University-Gaza." International Journal of Academic Information Systems Research (IJAISR) 4(8): 16-22.
- Sadek, R. M., et al. (2019). "Parkinson's Disease Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) 3(1): 1-51
- Salah, M., et al. (2018). "Predicting Medical Expenses Using Artificial Neural Network." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 2(20): 11-17. 52.
- Salman, F. M., et al. (2020). "COVID-19 Detection using Artificial Intelligence." International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER) 4(3): 18-25. Samra, M. N. A., et al. (2020). "ANN Model for Predicting Protein Localization Sites in Cells." International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR) 4(9): 43-50. 53
- 54
- Shawarib, M. Z. A., et al. (2020). "Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Survival Prediction Using JNN." International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) 4(10): 23-55. 30
- 56. Zaqout, I., et al. (2015). "Predicting Student Performance Using Artificial Neural Network: in the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology." International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology 8(2): 221-228.

## International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS) ISSN: 2643-640X

## Vol. 5 Issue 4, April - 2021, Pages: 47-56

- 57. McGill HC, et al. (2008). "Preventing heart disease in the 21st century: implications of the Pathobiological Determinants of Atherosclerosis in Youth (PDAY) study". Circulation. 117 (9): 1216–27.
- 58. A. Lofty and A. Benetton, "Using Probabilistic Neural Networks for Handwritten Digit Recognition", Journal of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, no. 4, (2011).
- 59. P. Khanate and S. Chitins, "Handwritten Devanagari Character Recognition using Artificial Neural Network", Journal of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, no. 1, (2011).
- 60. P. Erika and R. Udegbunam, "Application of neural network in evaluating prices of housing units in Nigeria: A preliminary investigation", J. of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 3, no. 1, (2010).
- 61. H. Martin and D. Howard, "Neural Network Design", 2nd Edition, Martin Hagan (2014).
- Askarzadeh, A., and A. Rezazadeh. 2013. "Artificial Neural Network Training Using a New Efficient Optimization Algorithm." Applied Soft Computing, 13(2): 1206–1213.
   Bartlett, P.L. 1998. "The Sample Complexity of Pattern Classification with Neural Networks: The Size of the Weights is More Important than the Size of the Network." IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 44(2): 525–536.
- 64. Blackwell, W.J., and F.W. Chen. 2009. Neural Networks in Atmospheric Remote Sensing. Boston: Artech House.
- 65. Clair, T.A., and J.M. Ehrman. 1998. "Using Neural Networks to Assess the Influence of Changing Seasonal Climates in Modifying Discharge, Dissolved Organic Carbon, and Nitrogen Export in Eastern Canadian Rivers." Water Resource Research, 34(3): 447–455.
- 66. Grape, D. 2013. Principles of Artificial Neural Networks. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific Publishing.
- 67. Maier, H.R., and G.C. Dandy. 1996. "The Use of Artificial Neural Networks for the Prediction of Water Quality Parameters." Water Resources Research, 32(4): 1013-1022.
- 68. Masters, T. 1993. Practical Neural Network Recipes in C++. New York: Academic Press.
- 69. Nuchitprasittichai, A., and S. Cremaschi. 2013. "An Algorithm to Determine Sample Sizes for Optimization with Artificial Neural Networks." AIChE Journal, 59(3): 805-812.
- 70. UCI Machine Learning repository (https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets.html)
- 71. EasyNN Tool