

On Three – Monotone Approximation

Mayada Ali Kareem

Mathematics Department
 University of Babylon
 Hilla , Babylon, Iraq

pure.meyada.ali@uobabylon.edu.iq

Abstract: This paper is review about three monotone approximation. We recall some general properties with important propositions.

1. Introduction

A mapping \mathfrak{H} which is defined on $\mathcal{I} := [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$, is real-valued and \mathfrak{C} is belong to \mathbb{N} . Denote by

$$\mathfrak{H}[\mathfrak{z}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}] := \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{C}} \frac{\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}_i)}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{z}_i - \mathfrak{z}_j)},$$

the $\mathfrak{C}th$ size divided of \mathfrak{H} at the points $\mathfrak{z}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. The mapping \mathfrak{H} is called \mathfrak{C} – monotone in $[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$, if $\mathfrak{H}[\mathfrak{z}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}] \geq 0$ for all $\mathfrak{C} + 1$ distinct points $\mathfrak{z}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} \in [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$. The set of all \mathfrak{C} – monotone mapping in $[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$ is denote by $\Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, hence in particular, the sets of nondecreasing and convex mappings in $[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$ are $\Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^1$ and $\Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$ respectively. The set of all bounded mapping which is having a convex derivative on $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v})$ is $\Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$. Note that if $\mathfrak{H} \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, $\mathfrak{C} \geq 2$, then \mathfrak{H} is continuous on $(\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v})$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{d}+), \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{v}-)$ exist and are finite.

For $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}$, and an interval $\mathcal{J} \subset [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$, the symbol $\|\mathfrak{H}\|_{\mathcal{J}}$ is denoted to the usual supnorm of \mathfrak{H} on \mathcal{J} , and for $\mathfrak{h} > 0$ the $\mathfrak{v}th$ modulus of smoothness of \mathfrak{H} is denoted by $\omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{h}; \mathcal{J})$, with the step \mathfrak{h} on \mathcal{J} . For the interval $[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]$ itself we write $\|\mathfrak{H}\| := \|\mathfrak{H}\|_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}$ and $\omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{h}) := \omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{h}; [\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}])$.

2. Review

Proposition 2.1: [4]

Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}) := \mathfrak{K}'(\mathfrak{z})$, $\mathfrak{z} \in (\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v})$. Given an integer $\kappa \geq 2$, a partition $\mathfrak{d} := \mathfrak{z}_0 < \mathfrak{z}_1 < \dots < \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} := \mathfrak{v}$, and a piecewise polynomial $\delta \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$ of degree $\leq \kappa - 1$, with k-nots \mathfrak{z}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1$, such that

$$\delta(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}_i), i = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1,$$

there exists a piecewise polynomial $\xi \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$ of degree $\leq \kappa$ with k-nots \mathfrak{z}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1$, for which

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi\| \leq \epsilon \max_{1 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{C}} \|\mathfrak{H} - \xi\|_{L_1[\mathfrak{z}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{z}_i]},$$

where ϵ is an absolute constant, and $\|\cdot\|_{L_1[\mathfrak{z}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{z}_i]}$ denotes the L_1 -norm on $[\mathfrak{z}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{z}_i]$. In fact $\epsilon \leq 25$.

Proposition 2.2 : [4]

Suppose $\mathfrak{H} \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$, $\kappa \geq 2$, and $\mathfrak{z}_{-1} := \mathfrak{d} := \mathfrak{z}_0 < \mathfrak{z}_1 < \dots < \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} := \mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}+1}$. Then for each piecewise polynomial $\delta \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$ of degree $\leq \kappa - 1$ with k-nots \mathfrak{z}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1$, there is a piecewise polynomial $\delta_1 \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$ of degree $\leq \kappa - 1$, with the same k-nots such that

- $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}_i) = \delta_1(\mathfrak{z}_i), i = 0, \dots, \mathfrak{C}$,
- $\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta_1\|_{[\mathfrak{z}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{z}_i]} \leq \epsilon(\mathfrak{r}) \|\mathfrak{H} - \delta\|_{[\mathfrak{z}_{i-2}, \mathfrak{z}_{i+1}]}, i = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}$,

where $\epsilon(\mathfrak{r})$ is depending only on \mathfrak{r} , ($\epsilon(\mathfrak{r})$ is constant), the scale of the partition $\mathfrak{z}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{r} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{C}-1} \left\{ \frac{\mathfrak{z}_{i+1} - \mathfrak{z}_i}{\mathfrak{z}_i - \mathfrak{z}_{i-1}}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}_i - \mathfrak{z}_{i-1}}{\mathfrak{z}_{i+1} - \mathfrak{z}_i} \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.3 : [4]

Let $\mathfrak{K} \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}) := \mathfrak{K}'(\mathfrak{z}), \mathfrak{z} \in (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v})$. Given an integer $\kappa \geq 2$, a partition $\mathfrak{z}_{-1} := a =: \mathfrak{z}_0 < \mathfrak{z}_1 < \dots < \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} := \mathfrak{v} =: \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}+1}$, and a piecewise polynomial $\delta \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^2$ of degree $\leq \kappa - 1$, with k-nots $\mathfrak{z}_\iota, \iota = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1$, there is a piecewise polynomial $\xi \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$ of degree $\leq \kappa$ with k-nots $\mathfrak{z}_\iota, \iota = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1$, for which

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi\| \leq \epsilon(r) \max_{1 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{z}_i - \mathfrak{z}_{i-1}) \|\mathfrak{H} - \delta\|_{[\mathfrak{z}_{i-2}, \mathfrak{z}_{i+1}]},$$

where m is the scale, and $\epsilon(r) \leq \epsilon r$ for some absolute constant ϵ .

Proposition 2.4 : [4]

Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{D} \geq 0$, be integers such that either $\mathfrak{D} \geq 2$ or $2 \leq \kappa + \mathfrak{D} \leq 3$. Then for each $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{[-1,1]}^{(\mathfrak{D})} \cap \Delta_{[-1,1]}^2$ there exist piecewise polynomials $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta_{[-1,1]}^2$ of degree $\leq \kappa + \mathfrak{D} - 1$ such that δ_1 has \mathfrak{C} equidistant k-nots, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta_1\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{H}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]),$$

and δ_2 has k-nots on the Chebyshev partition, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta_2\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}^{\varphi}(\mathfrak{H}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]).$$

Proposition 2.5 : [4]

Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{D} \geq 0$, be integers such that either $\mathfrak{D} \geq 3$ or $3 \leq \kappa + \mathfrak{D} \leq 4, (\kappa, \mathfrak{D}) \neq (4, 0)$. Then for each $\mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{C}_{[-1,1]}^{(\mathfrak{D})} \cap \Delta_{[-1,1]}^3$ there exist piecewise polynomials $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Delta_{[-1,1]}^3$ of degree $\leq \kappa + \mathfrak{D} - 1$, such that ξ_1 has \mathfrak{C} equidistant k-nots, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi_1\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{K}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]),$$

And ξ_2 has k-nots on the Chebyshev partition, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi_2\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}^{\varphi}(\mathfrak{K}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]).$$

Proposition 2.6 : [4]

Suppose $\xi \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3$ is a piecewise polynomial of degree $\leq \kappa, \kappa \geq 3$, with k-nots on the partition $\mathfrak{z}_{-1} := \mathfrak{b} =: \mathfrak{z}_0 < \mathfrak{z}_1 < \dots < \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} := \mathfrak{v} =: \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}+1}$. Then there is a piecewise polynomial ξ_1 of degree $\leq \kappa$ with the same k-nots, such that

$$\xi_1 \in \Delta_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^3 \cap \mathcal{C}_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^{(2)},$$

and

$$\|\xi - \xi_1\| \leq \epsilon(\kappa, r, \varsigma) \max_{1 \leq j \leq \mathfrak{C}-1} \omega_{\kappa+1}(\xi, \mathfrak{z}_{j+1} - \mathfrak{z}_{j-1}; [\mathfrak{z}_{j+1}, \mathfrak{z}_{j-1}]),$$

where $\epsilon(\kappa, r, \varsigma)$ depends only on κ, r, ς , where r is given and

$$\varsigma = \max_{0 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{C}} \frac{(i-1)(\mathfrak{z}_{i+1} - \mathfrak{z}_{i-1})}{\mathfrak{z}_i - \mathfrak{z}_i}.$$

Properties 2.7 : [4]

Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{D} \geq 0$, be integers such that either $\mathfrak{D} \geq 3$ or $\kappa + \mathfrak{D} = 4, (\kappa, \mathfrak{D}) \neq (4, 0)$. Then for each $\mathfrak{K} \in \mathcal{C}_{[-1,1]}^{(\mathfrak{D})} \cap \Delta_{[-1,1]}^3$ there exist piecewise polynomials $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Delta_{[-1,1]}^3 \cap \mathcal{C}_{[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{v}]}^{(2)}$ of degree $\leq \kappa + \mathfrak{D} - 1$, such that ξ_1 has \mathfrak{C} equidistant k-nots, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi_1\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}(\mathfrak{K}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]),$$

and ξ_2 has k-nots on the Chebyshev partition, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi_2\|_{[-1,1]} \leq \frac{\epsilon(\kappa, \mathfrak{D})}{\mathfrak{D}^{\mathfrak{C}}} \omega_{\kappa}^{\varphi}(\mathfrak{K}^{(\mathfrak{D})}, 1/\mathfrak{C}; [-1, 1]).$$

Proposition 2.8 : [4]

Let $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}} < \dots < \mathfrak{z}_1 < \mathfrak{z}_0$ be given and let $\mathfrak{K} \in \Delta^3[\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathfrak{z}_0]$ be a mapping with a derivative $\mathfrak{H} := \mathfrak{K}' \in \Delta^2(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathfrak{z}_0)$. Suppose, that $s \in \Delta^2(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathfrak{z}_0)$ is a piecewise polynomial of size κ (degree $\kappa - 1$) with nodes $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_0$, satisfying

- $\delta(\mathfrak{z}_\iota) = \mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{z}_\iota), \iota = 0, \dots, \mathfrak{C},$

- $\delta'(\beta_l+) \geq \xi'(\beta_l+), l = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C},$
- $\xi'(\beta_l-) \geq \delta'(\beta_l-), l = 0, \dots, \mathfrak{C} - 1.$

Then, there are at most \mathfrak{C} additional nodes $\theta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \dots, \theta_1$, such that

$$\beta_{\mathfrak{C}} < \theta_{\mathfrak{C}} < \beta_{\mathfrak{C}-1} < \theta_{\mathfrak{C}-1} < \beta_{\mathfrak{C}-2} < \dots < \theta_1 < \beta_0,$$

and a piecewise polynomial $\xi \in \Delta^3[\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \beta_0]$ of size $\kappa + 1$ with the nodes $\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \theta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \beta_{\mathfrak{C}-1}, \dots, \theta_1, \beta_0$, satisfying

$$\|\mathfrak{K} - \xi\|_{\epsilon[\beta_l, \beta_{l-1}]} \leq 2 \left\| \int_{\beta_l}^{(\cdot)} (\xi(\beta) - \delta(\beta)) d\beta \right\|_{\epsilon[\beta_l, \beta_{l-1}]}, l = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}$$

and such that $\mathfrak{K}(\beta_l) = \xi(\beta_l), l = 0, \dots, \mathfrak{C}.$

Proposition 2.9 : [1]

For each mapping $\mathfrak{K} \in \Delta^3$ and every $\mathfrak{C} \geq 1$, there is a quadratic spline $\xi \in \Delta^3$ on the Chebyshev partition $-1 = \beta_{\mathfrak{C}} < \dots < \beta_1 < \beta_0 = 1$, satisfying

$$|\mathfrak{K}(\beta) - \xi(\beta)| \leq \epsilon \omega_3(\mathfrak{K}, \rho_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta)), \beta \in [-1, 1],$$

where ϵ is a constant in an absolute value.

Proposition 2.10 : [1]

For each mapping $\mathfrak{K} \in \Delta^3$ and every $\mathfrak{C} \geq 2$, there exists a polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{C}} \in \Delta^3$ of degree $\leq \mathfrak{C}$, satisfying

$$|\mathfrak{K}(\beta) - P_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta)| \leq \epsilon \omega_3(\mathfrak{K}, \rho_{\mathfrak{C}}(\beta)), \beta \in [-1, 1],$$

where ϵ is a constant in an absolute value.

Proposition 2.11 : [2]

For every $\eta \geq 1$, there exists a constant $\epsilon_1(\eta) > 0$ so that the following statement is valid. Let $\xi \in \Delta^3 \cap L_p, 0 < p \leq \infty$, and let $\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a partition of $[-1, 1]$ such that $\eta(\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \leq \eta$. Then there exist a partition λ_r of $[-1, 1], r \leq 20\mathfrak{C}$, and a cubic ppf $\delta \in \xi_3(\lambda_r) \cap \Delta^3$ such that, for each $0 \leq \kappa \leq r - 1$, there exists $1 \leq t \leq \mathfrak{C} - 1$ such that

$$[\lambda_{\kappa}, \lambda_{\kappa+1}] \subseteq [\beta_{t-1}, \beta_{t+1}]$$

and

$$\lambda_{\kappa+1} - \lambda_{\kappa} \geq \epsilon_1(\eta)(\beta_{t+1} - \beta_{t-1}).$$

Also, for each $0 \leq t \leq \mathfrak{C} - 1$,

$$\|\xi - \delta\|_{L_p[\beta_t, \beta_{t+1}]} \leq \epsilon(\eta, p) \omega_4(f, [\beta_{t-1}, \beta_{t+2}])_p.$$

Proposition 2.12: [2]

Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $r \geq 3$. For any $\xi \in \Delta^3 \cap \mathcal{C}$ and every partition $\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of $[-1, 1]$ such that $\kappa(\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \leq \kappa$, there exists a spline $\delta \in \xi_{\mathfrak{D}}(\beta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \cap \Delta^3$ of minimal defect such that

$$\|\xi - \delta\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \epsilon(\mathfrak{D}, \kappa) \max_{1 \leq t \leq \mathfrak{C}-1} \omega_4(\xi, [\beta_{t-1}, \beta_{t+1}])_{\infty}.$$

Proposition 2.13 : [2]

Let $\mathfrak{D} \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\xi \in \Delta^3 \cap \mathcal{C}$, there exists a spline $\delta \in \xi_r(u_{\mathfrak{C}}) \cap \Delta^3$ of minimal defect such that

$$\|\xi - \delta\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \epsilon(r) \omega_4(\xi, \mathfrak{C}^{-1}, [-1, 1])_{\infty}.$$

Proposition 2.14 : [2]

Let $\mathfrak{D} \geq 3$ and $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\xi \in \Delta^3 \cap \mathcal{C}$, there exists a spline $\delta \in \xi_{\mathfrak{D}}(t_{\mathfrak{C}}) \cap \Delta^3$ of minimal defect such that

$$\|\xi - \delta\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \epsilon(\mathfrak{D}) \omega_4^{\varphi}(\xi, \mathfrak{C}^{-1})_{\infty},$$

where $\omega_4^{\varphi}(\xi, \mathfrak{C}^{-1})_{\infty}$ is the Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness of size 4.

Proposition 2.15 : [3]

Let $\kappa, \mathfrak{D} \in N, \kappa \geq 2, \mathfrak{D} \geq \kappa - 1, 0 < p \leq \infty, \mathfrak{H} \in \Delta_*^\kappa(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}) \cap L_p[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}]$, and let \mathfrak{s} be such that either $\mathfrak{s} \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{D}} \cap \Delta^\kappa(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v})$ or $(-\mathfrak{s}) \in (\Pi_{\mathfrak{D}} \setminus \Pi_\kappa) \cap \Delta^\kappa(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v})$. Then there exists δ such that

$$\delta \in \xi_{c(\kappa), \mathfrak{D}}[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}] \cap \Delta^\kappa[\mathfrak{H}](\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v})$$

and

$$\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta\|_{L_p[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}]} \leq \epsilon(p, \mathfrak{D}, \kappa) \|\mathfrak{H} - \mathfrak{s}\|_{L_p[\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}]}.$$

Proposition 2.16 : [2]

Let $\kappa, \mathfrak{D} \in N, \kappa \geq 2, \mathfrak{D} \geq \kappa - 1, 0 < p \leq \infty, \mathfrak{H} \in \Delta_*^\kappa(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{v}) \cap L_p$, $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a partition of $[-1, 1]$, and let σ be any ppf from $\xi_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{C}})$. Then there exist a constant $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_2(\kappa, \mathfrak{D}) \in N$ and a ppf $\in \xi_{\epsilon_2 \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}} \cap \Delta^\kappa$, such that

- δ has $\leq \epsilon_2$ pieces in each interval $[\mathfrak{z}_t, \mathfrak{z}_{t+1}]$, $0 \leq t \leq \mathfrak{C} - 1$, and
- $\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta\|_{L_p[\mathfrak{z}_t, \mathfrak{z}_{t+1}]} \leq \epsilon(\kappa, r, p) \|\mathfrak{H} - \sigma\|_{L_p[\mathfrak{z}_t, \mathfrak{z}_{t+1}]}, 0 \leq t \leq \mathfrak{C} - 1$.

Proposition 2.17 : [2]

For any $\kappa \in N, A > 0, 0 < p \leq \infty, \mathfrak{D} \in N, \mathfrak{C} \in N$ and $0 < \epsilon < 2$ there exists a mapping $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta^\kappa$ such that

$$\|\mathfrak{H} - \mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{D}}\|_{L_p[1-\epsilon, 1]} > A\omega_{\kappa+2}(\mathfrak{H}, [-1, 1])_p$$

for any $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{D}} \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{D}}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(\kappa)}(1) \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.18 : [2]

For any $\kappa \geq 4, \mathfrak{D} \in N, 0 < p \leq \infty$ and $A > 0$, there is $\mathfrak{C} \in N$ such that, for any partition $\sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of $[-1, 1]$ (into \mathfrak{C} subintervals), there exists a mapping $\mathfrak{H} \in \Delta^\kappa \cap C^{\kappa-2}$ such that

$$\|\mathfrak{H} - \delta\|_{L_p} > A\omega_3(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{C}^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p,$$

for any $\delta \in \xi_{\mathfrak{D}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{C}}) \cap \Delta^\kappa$.

References

- [1] A. Bondarenko, D. Leviatanc, A. P., Pointwise estimates for 3-monotone approximation, Journal of Approximation Theory 164 (2012) 1205–1232
- [2] G.A. Dzyubenko, K.A. Kopotunb, A.V. Prymak, Three-monotone spline approximation, Journal of approximation Theory 162 (2010) 2168–2183
- [3] K. Kopotun, A. Shadrin, On k-monotone approximation by free k-not splines, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34 (4) (2003) 901–924
- [4] D. Leviatana, A.V. Prymak, On 3-monotone approximation by piecewise Polynomials, Journal of Approximation Theory 133 (2005) 147 – 172