Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra

Dr. Areej Tawfeeq Hameed¹ and Showq Mohammed Abrahem²

1Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq.

E-mail¹: areej.tawfeeq@uokufa.edu.iq
E-mail²: areej.tawfeeq@uokufa.edu.iq

2Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq.

showqm.ibriheem@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of tripolar on intuitionistic fuzzy of RG-algebra, as well as to state and prove various theorems and properties. The tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-algebras and tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideals are also investigated for their fuzzy relations.

Keywords— RG-algebra, intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra, intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal, tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra, tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal, the homeomorphic of them.

1. Introduction

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [18], there have been a lot of generalizations of this core concept. The notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets developed by Atanassov [1-4] is one among them. Fuzzy sets give a degree of membership of an element in a given set, while intuitionistic fuzzy sets give both degrees of membership and of non membership.

Both degrees belong to the interval [2,1], and their sum should not exceed 1. It is known that the class of BCK-algebra is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. Recently, Senapati and et al. [16-17] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy Hideals in BCK-algebras. The concept of fuzzy translations in fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras has been discussed respectively. R.A.K. Omar, [14] have developed the notion of RG-algebras, RG-ideals, RG-subalgebras and examined the relations among them and P. Patthanangkoor, offered the concept of homomorphism of RG-algebras and investigated certain associated properties, [15]. A.T. Hameed and et al. proposed and investigated new concepts of fuzzy RG-subalgebras and fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra and investigate some of its features, several theorems, properties are stated and proved in [9]. A.T. Hameed and S.M. Abrahem introduced the notion of doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of RGalgebras and studied the homomorphism image and inverse image of doubt fuzzy RG-ideals also prove that the Cartesian product of doubt fuzzy RG-ideals are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals in [10]. A.T. Hameed and et al. introduced and studied new concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebras and intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra and investigate some of its properties, several theorems, properties are stated and proved in [11]. A.T. Hameed and S.M. Abrahem introduced the notion of tripolar fuzzy RG-ideals of RGalgebras and studied the homomorphism image and inverse image of tripolar fuzzy RG-ideals also prove that the Cartesian product of tripolar fuzzy RG-ideals are tripolar fuzzy RG-ideals in [12].

2. Preliminaries

As a prelude to the following sections, we provide some definitions and preliminary findings here.

<u>Definition 2.1. [14]</u>: An algebra $(X; *, \supset)$ is referred to as RG-algebra if All of the following conditions are met: $\forall j, y, z \in X$,

(i)
$$j * \supseteq j$$
,

(ii)
$$j * y = (j * z) * (y * z)$$
,

$$(iii)j * y = y * j = \exists imply j = y.$$

Remark 2.2. [14]: In $(X; *, \supset)$ an RG-algebra, As previously stated, there *is a* definition. a binary relation (\leq) by putting $j \leq y \leftrightarrow j * y = \supset$.

Definition 2.3.[9]:

Let $(X; *, \supset)$ be an RG-algebra and S be a nonempty subset of X. Then S is known an RG-subalgebra of X if $j * y \in S$, for any $j, y \in S$.

<u>Definition 2.4. [14,15]</u>: Let $(X;*, \supset)$ be an RG-algebra, a nonempty subset Iof X is called **an RG-ideal of X** if $\forall j, y \in X$

ii) $j * y \in I$ and $\beth * j \in I$ imply $\beth * y \in I$.

Proposition 2.5. [14,15]: In an RG-algebra $(X;*, \supset)$, every RG-ideal *is a* RG-subalgebra of X.

<u>Proposition 2.6. [14]</u>: In any RG-algebra $(X; *, \supset)$, the following hold: $\forall j, y, z \in X$,

$$i)$$
 $j * j = \Box$,

ii)
$$\supset *(\supset *j) = j$$
,

iii)
$$j * (j * y) = y$$
,

iv)
$$j * y = \supset \leftrightarrow y * j = \supset$$
,

v)
$$j * \beth = \beth \text{ implies } j = \beth$$
,

$$vi) \quad \beth * (y * j) = j * y.$$

Theorem 2.7. [15]:

If h: $(X; *, \beth) \rightarrow (Y; *`, \beth`)$ is a homomorphism of an

RG-algebras respectively X,Y, then

- 1) $h(\Box) = \Box'$.
- 2) h is injective \leftrightarrow if ker h = { \supset }.

Definition 2.8. [17]:

For anyte [\supset ,1] and a fuzzy subset μ of a nonempty set X, the set

 $U(\mu, t) = \{j \in X \mid \mu(j) \ge t\}$ is known to as **an upper level cut of** μ , and the set

 $L(\mu, t) = \{j \in X \mid \mu(j) \le t\}$ is known to as **lower level cut of \mu.**

Definition 2.9.[9]:

Let $(X; *, \exists)$ be an RG-algebra; a fuzzy subset μ of X is called a **fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X**, if $\forall y, j \in X$, $\mu(j * y) \ge \min \{\mu(j), \mu(y)\}$.

Definition 2.10.[9]:

Let (X; *, 0) be an RG-algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is called a **fuzzy RG-ideal of X** if It meets the following requirements.: $\forall y, x \in X$,

- (i) $\mu(\exists) \ge \mu(j)$,
- (v) $\mu(\exists * y) \ge \min \{\mu(j * y), \mu(\exists * j)\}$.

Proposition 2.11. [9]:

Every fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra $(X; *, \Sigma)$ is a fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Proposition 2.12.[9]:

- 1- The intersection of any set of fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RG-algebra $(X; *, \ \)$ is also fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.
- 2- The union of any set of fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RGalgebra is also fuzzy RG-subalgebra, where is chain (Noetherian).
- 3- The intersection of any set of fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra $(X; *, \exists)$ is also fuzzy RG-ideal of X.
- 4- The union of any set of fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra is also fuzzy RG-ideal, where is chain (Noetherian).

Definition 2.13.[1,2]:

Let $h:(J;*, \supset) \to (Y;*', \supset')$ be a homeomorphism from the set X into the set Y. If μ is a fuzzy subset of X, then the fuzzy subset $h(\mu)$ in Y defined by:

h

 $(\mu)(q)=$

 $\begin{cases} \{\sup\{\mu(j): j \in h^{-1}(q)\} & \text{if } h^{-1}(q) = \{j \in X, h(j) = q\} \neq \emptyset \\ \beth & \text{otherwies} \end{cases}$

It's alleged that the image of μ under f.

Definition 2.14.[8]:

- 1) A fuzzy subset μ of algebra (X; *, \supset) has inf property if for any subset T of X, there exist $t_0 \in T$ such that μ (t_0) = $\inf_{t \in T} \mu(t)$.
- 2) A fuzzy subset μ of algebra (X; *, \beth) has inf property if for any subset T of X, there exist $t_0 \in T$ such that $\mu(t_{\beth}) = \sup \{\mu(t) | t \in T\}$.

Definition 2.15.[10]:

Let $(X; *, \exists)$ be an RG-algebra. μ be a fuzzy subset of X, μ is known as a **doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X** if $\forall j, y \in X$ $\mu(j * y) \leq \max\{\mu(j), \mu(y)\},$

Definition 2.16.[10]:

Let (X; *, 0) be an RG-algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is known as a doubt fuzzy RG-ideal of X if $\forall y, x \in X$,

1. μ (⊃)≤ μ (j).

 $2.\mu(\exists * y) \leq \max\{\mu(j*y), \mu(\exists * j)\}.$

Proposition 2.17.[10]:

Every doubt fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra $(X; *, \ \beth)$ is a doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Definition 2.18.[1]:

If $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | j \in X\}$ and $B = \{(j, \mu_B(j), \nu_B(j), \nu_B(j),$

(j)) $|j \in X|$ are two intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of X, then $1)A \subseteq B$ if and only if $j \in X$, $\mu_A(j) \le \mu_B(j)$ and

 $v_A(j) \ge v_B(j)$.

2)A = B iff $j \in X$, $\mu_A(j) = \mu_B(j)$ and $v_A(j) = v_B(j)$.

3) $A \cap B = \{(j, (\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(j), (v_A \cup v_B)(j) | j \in X\}$

4) $A \cup B = \{(j, (\mu_A \cup \mu_B)(j), (v_A \cap v_B)(j) | j \in X\}$

Proposition 2.19.[10]:

- 1- The intersection *of any* set of doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RG-algebra $(X; *, \; \beth)$ is *also* doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X, where is chain (Arterian).
- 2- The union of any set of doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RG-algebra is also doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra.
- 3- The intersection of any set of doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra (X; *,) *is also* doubt fuzzy RG-ideal of X, where is chain (Arterian).
- 4- The union of any set of doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra is also doubt fuzzy RG-ideal.

Definition 2.20[11].

Let $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | j \in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of

RG-algebra (X; * , \supset). A is called to be an intuitionistic

fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X if

(IFS₁) $\mu_A(j^*y) \ge \min \{\mu_A(j), \mu_A(y)\},$ (IFS₂) $\nu_A(j^*y) \le \max \{\nu_A(j), \nu_A(y)\}.$

That mean μ_A is a fuzzy RG-subalgebra and ν_A is a doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra.

Proposition 2.21[11].

An intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | j \in X\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy RG- subalgebra of RG-algebra $(X; *, \beth)$, if any $t \in [\beth,1]$, the set $U(\mu_A, t)$ and $L(\nu_A, s)$ are RG-subalgebras.

Proposition 2.22[11].

In an intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebra $A=\{(j,\mu_A(j),\nu_A(j))| j\in X\}$ if the upper level and lower level of $(X; *, \supset)$, are RG-subalgebra, for all $t\in [\supset,1]$, then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Definition 2.23[11].

Let $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | j \in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of

RG-algebra (X; * , \supset). A is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X if, $\forall y,j \in X$, then

1) $\mu_A(\exists) \ge \mu_A(j)$ and $\nu_A(\exists) \le \nu_A(j)$,

2) $\mu_A(\exists * y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(j * y), \mu_A(\exists * j)\}\ \text{and}\ \nu_A(\exists * y) \le \max\{\nu_A(j * y), \nu_A(\exists * j)\}.$

That means μ_A is a fuzzy RG-ideal and ν_A is a doubt fuzzy RG-ideal.

Proposition 2.24[11].

If an intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A=\{(j,\mu_A(j),\nu_A(j))|j\in X\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy RG- ideal of RG-algebra $(X; *, \supset)$, then for any $t\in [\supset,1]$, the set $U(\mu_A,t)$ and $L(\nu_A,s)$ are RG-ideals of X.

Proposition 2.25[11].

If An intuitionistic fuzzy subset $A=\{(j,\mu_A(j),\nu_A(j))|\ j\in X\}$ the sets $U(\mu_A,t)$ and $L(\nu_A,s)$ are RG-ideals of RG-algebra $(X;\ ^*,\ ^\square)$, for all $t\in [\ ^\square,1]$, then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy subset is intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra X.

Proposition 2.26[11].

Let $A=\{(j,\mu_A(j),\nu_A(j))| j\in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra $(X; *, \exists)$, then A is an intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Definition 2.27. [1]:

A mapping h: $(X; *, \supset) \rightarrow (Y; *, \supset)$ be a homeomorphism of BCK-algebra for any

$$\begin{split} \text{IFSA} &= \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | \ j \in X\} \ \text{in } Y, \text{ we define} \\ \text{IFS A}^h &= \left\{ \left(j, \mu_A^h(j), \nu_A^h\left(j\right)\right) \middle| \ j \in X \right\} \text{in } X \text{ by } \ \mu_A^h(j) = \\ \mu_A(h(j)), \\ \nu_A^h\left(j\right) &= \nu_A\big(h(j)\big), \ \forall j \in X. \end{split}$$

Definition 2.28. [12]:

Let $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi}^{P}(j)): j \in X\}$ is a tripolar fuzzy subset of X and $r, t \in [\exists, 1], k \in [-1, \exists]$ the set $\Psi^{k,r,t} = \{j \in X: \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j) \leq k, \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j) \geq r, \tau_{\Psi}^{P}(j) \geq t\}$

is said to be $(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})$ -tri -cut set of $\Psi=\left(X:\mu_{\Psi}^{N},\mu_{\Psi}^{P},\tau_{\Psi}^{P}\right)$.

Definition 2.29. [12]:

A tripolar fuzzy subset $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi}^{P}(j)): j \in X \}$ of X is **A tripolar fuzzy subalgebra of X** if it satisfies the following for all $j, y \in X$

 $(TS_1) \quad \mu^N_{\Psi}(j*y) \leq \text{max} \, \big\{ \mu^N_{\Psi}(j), \mu^N_{\Psi}(y) \big\},$

 $(\textbf{TS}_2) \quad \mu^P_{\Psi}(j*y) \geq \min \bigl\{ \mu^P_{\Psi}(j), \mu^P_{\Psi}(y) \bigr\},$

 $(\mathbf{TS_3}) \quad \tau_{\Psi}^P(j*y) \leq \max \{ \tau_{\Psi}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi}^P(y) \}.$

Theorem 2.30. [12]:

Let $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi}^{P}(j)) : j \in X\}$ be a tripolar fuzzy subset of RG-algebra X . If Ψ is a tripolar fuzzy subalgebra of X, then for any $r, t \in [\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \], \Psi^{k,r,t}$ is a subalgebra of X .

Theorem 2.31. [12]:

Definition 2.32. [12]:

A tripolar fuzzy subset $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j), t_{\Psi}^{P}(j)): j \in X\}$ of X is **A tripolar fuzzy RG-ideal of X** if it satisfies the following for all $j, y \in X$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TI}_1) \ \ \mu^N_{\Psi}(\ \beth) \leq \ \mu^N_{\Psi}(j) \ \ \text{and} \ \mu^N_{\Psi}(\ \beth * y) \leq \max \big\{ \mu^N_{\Psi}(j * y), \mu^N_{\Psi}(\ \beth * j) \big\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TI}_2) \; \mu_{\Psi}^P(\; \beth) \geq \; \mu_{\Psi}^P(j) \; \text{ and } \mu_{\Psi}^P(\; \beth * y) \geq \min \bigl\{ \mu_{\Psi}^P(j * y), \mu_{\Psi}^P(\; \beth * j) \bigr\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TI}_3) \ \tau_{\Psi}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ \tau_{\Psi}^P(j) \ \text{ and } \tau_{\Psi}^P(\ \beth * y) \leq \text{max} \big\{ \tau_{\Psi}^P(j * y), \tau_{\Psi}^P(\ \beth * j) \big\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.33. [12]:

Let $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi}^P(j)) : j \in X \}$ be a tripolar fuzzy subset of RG- algebra X. If Ψ is a tripolar fuzzy RG-ideal of X, then for any $r,t \in [\ \beth,1]$, $k \in [-1\ ,\ \beth], \Psi^{k,r,t}$ is an RG-ideal of X.

Theorem 2.34. [12]:

Let $\Psi = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi}^{P}(j)) : j \in X\}$ be a tripolar fuzzy subset of RG- algebra X.if $\Psi^{k,r,t}$ is an RG-ideal of X for all $r,t \in [\ \supset,1]$, $k \in [-1,\ \supset]$.

Then Ψ is a tripolar fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

X	ב	1	2	3
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$	-0.7	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
$ au_{\Psi_1}^P$	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3
$v_{\Psi 2}^N$	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3
$v_{\Psi 2}^P$	-0.6	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
$\sigma^{P}_{\Psi 2}$	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2

Proposition 2.35. [12]:

Every tripolar fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra (X;*, \supset) is a tripolar fuzzy subalgebra of X.

3. Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RGalgebra

In this section, we give the topic of a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebras of RG-algebra X.

Definition 3.1.

Let $A=\{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) | j \in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of RG-algebra $(X; *, \beth)$, a tripolar fuzzy subset $\Psi_1 = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)) : j \in X\}$ of X and a tripolar doubt fuzzy subset $\Psi_2 = \{(j, \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \mu_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \tau$

 $\{(j, v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j)): j \in X\}$ of X. Then a fuzzy subset $\Phi =$

 $\{(j, \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j), v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j)): j \in X \}$ is said to be a **tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-**

subalgebra of X denoted by

 $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ if it satisfies the following for all $y, j \in X$,

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TIFS}_1) \; \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*y) & \leq \text{max} \left\{ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(y) \right\} \; \text{and} \; v^N_{\Psi_2}(j*y) \\ y) & \geq \text{min} \left\{ v^N_{\Psi_2}(j), v^N_{\Psi_2}(y) \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$(\mathbf{TIFS}_{2}) \ \mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(j * y) \ge \min \left\{ \mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(j), \mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(y) \right\} \ \text{and} \ \ v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(j * y) \le \max \left\{ v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(j), v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(y) \right\},$$

(TIFS₃)
$$\tau_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j * y) \le \max\{\tau_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^{P}(y)\}$$
 and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^{P}(j * y) \ge \min\{\sigma_{\Psi_2}^{P}(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^{P}(y)\}.$

Example 3.2.

Let $X = \{ 2, 2, 1, 3 \}$ in which * is defined , as seen here,:

We determine the tripolar fuzzy subset of $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ by:

Then
$$\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$$
 is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Proposition 3.3.

Every tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra $\Phi = \left(X \colon \mu_{\Psi_1}^N \,, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P \,, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P \,, v_{\Psi_2}^N \,, v_{\Psi_2}^P \,, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P \right) \text{ of RG-algebra } (X; \\ \quad * \,, \, \, \beth), \text{ satisfies the inequalities} \\ \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\,\, \beth) \leq \, \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \,\, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\,\, \beth) \geq \, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\,\, \beth) \leq \\ \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j) \text{ and}$

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) \geq \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $i \in X$.

Proof.

For any $j \in X$, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \beth) = \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*j) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)\,,\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)\} = \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j) \\ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) = \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*j) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)\,,\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)\} = \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j) \ \text{and} \\ \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) = \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j*j) \leq \max\{\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)\,,\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)\} = \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j). \end{array}$$
 Thus

$$\begin{array}{l} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \ \beth) = v_{\Psi_2}^N(j*j) \geq \min\ \{v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), v_{\Psi_2}^N(j)\} = v_{\Psi_2}^N(j) \\ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) = v_{\Psi_2}^P(j*j) \leq \max\ \{v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), v_{\Psi_2}^P(j)\} = v_{\Psi_2}^P(j) \ \text{and} \\ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) = \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j*j) \geq \min\ \{\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j)\} = \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j). \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.4.

An tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N \,, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P \,, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P \,, v_{\Psi_2}^N \,, v_{\Psi_2}^P \,, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$ is an tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of RG-algebra $(X; \, ^* \,, \, \, ^2),$ if for any $t, \, s \in [\quad \, ^2,1],$ the sets $U\big(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\big)\,, U\big(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t\big)$, $U\big(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t\big)$ are RG-subalgebras of X and $L\big(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s\big)\,, L\big(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,s\big)$, $L\big(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s\big)$ are RG-subalgebras of X.

Proof

Let $\Phi=\left(X\!:\mu^N_{\Psi_1}$, $\mu^P_{\Psi_1}$, $\tau^P_{\Psi_1}$, $v^N_{\Psi_2}$, $v^P_{\Psi_2}$, $\sigma^P_{\Psi_2}\right)$ be a tripolar

fuzzy	*	ב	1	2	3
Tuzzy	ר	ב	1	2	3
X and	1	1	ב	3	2
	2	2	3	L	1
	3	3	2	1	П

intuitionistic RGsubalgebra of sets

$$U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t),U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t),U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t) \text{ and } L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s),L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,s),L(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s) \text{ Since } \Phi = \left(X:\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,\tau_{\Psi_2}^P,v_{\Psi_2}^P,\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right) \text{ be a tripolar are nonempty sets.}$$

If follows that for $j \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t)$, $y \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t)$, then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j) \ge t, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(y) \ge t$ which follow $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * y) \ge t$ $\min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(y)\} \ge t$, So that $j * y \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t)$.

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^p, t)$ is an RG-subalgebra of X. Similarity,

 $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ are an RG-subalgebras of X.

We prove that $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$ is an RG-subalgebra of X.

 $j \in L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s\big) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s\big) \quad \text{and} \quad$ $\mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(j) \leq$ s and $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(y) \leq s$.

If follows that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y) \le \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(y)\} \le s$, So that $j * y \in L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$.

Hence $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$ is an RG-subalgebra of X. Similarity,

 $U(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P, s)$ and $U(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ are an RG-subalgebras of X.

Proposition 3.5.

In a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$, if the upper level and lower level of $(X; *, \beth)$, are RG-subalgebras, for all t, $s \in [$ \supset ,1], then Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Proof

Assume that for each $t, s \in [2,1]$, the sets $U\big(\mu^P_{\Psi_1},t\big)\,,U\big(v^N_{\Psi_2},t\big)\ ,\,U\big(\sigma^P_{\Psi_2},t\big)\ \text{ and }\ L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s\big)\,\,,\,L(\tau^P_{\Psi_1},s)$ $L(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ are RG-subalgebras of X. If there exist j, $\dot{y} \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * \dot{y}) < \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\dot{y})\}$, then $\dot{t} =$ $\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j*\dot{y}) + \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^{P}(\dot{y})\})$

 $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*\dot{y}) < \dot{t}, j*\dot{y} \notin U(\mu_A,\dot{t})$ is not RG-subalgebra that mean it is contradiction.

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t)$ is an RG-subalgebra of X. Similarity,

 $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ are an RG-subalgebra of X.

Now, $\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*\acute{y}) > max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(\acute{y})\}$, then $\acute{s}=$ $\frac{1}{2} (\mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(j * \acute{y}) + \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(\acute{y})\})$

 $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*\dot{y}) < \dot{s}$, $j*\dot{y} \notin L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,\dot{s})$ is not doubt RGsubalgebra that mean it is contradiction

Hence $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$ is an RG-subalgebra of X. Similarity,

 $L(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ and $L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P, s)$ are an RG-subalgebra of X.

Hence $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra X.

Theorem 3.6.

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of an RG-algebra $(X; *, \beth)$. Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of $X \leftrightarrow$ the fuzzy sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X and $\mu^N_{\Psi 1}$, $\tau^P_{\Psi 1}$ and $v^P_{\Psi 2}$ are doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X.

Proof

intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra.

Cleary, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X. For all $j, y \in X$, we have

 $v_{\Psi_2}^N(j*y) \ge$ $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * y) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(y)\}$ }, $\min\{v_{\Psi_2}^{N}(j), v_{\Psi_2}^{N}(y)\}$ and

 $\sigma^P_{\Psi 2}(j*y) \geq \min\{\sigma^P_{\Psi 2}(j), \sigma^P_{\Psi 2}(y)\}.$

Hence $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X.

And $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(y)\}, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y) \leq$ $\max\{\tau_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(y)\}$ and

 $v_{\Psi_2}^P(j * y) \le \max\{v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), v_{\Psi_2}^P(y)\}.$

Hence $\mu^{N}_{\Psi 1}$, $\tau^{P}_{\Psi 1}$ and $v^{P}_{\Psi 2}$ are doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras

The conversely, assume that the fuzzy sets $\mu^P_{\Psi 1}$, $v^N_{\Psi 2}$ and $\sigma^P_{\Psi 2}~$ are fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X and $\mu^N_{\Psi 1}$, $\tau^P_{\Psi 1}~$ and $v^P_{\Psi 2}$ are doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X, by Definition (3.1),

Hence $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra.

Theorem 3.7.

Let h: $(X; *, \supset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \supset')$ be a homomorphism of RGalgebras, if $\Phi = (Y: \mu'^N_{\Psi_1}, \mu'^P_{\Psi_1}, \tau'^P_{\Psi_1}, v'^N_{\Psi_2}, v'^P_{\Psi_2}, \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2})$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of Y with sup and inf properties, then the pre-image $h^{-1}(\Phi) =$

 $\left(X : h^{-1}\left({\mu'}_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}\right), h^{-1}\left({\mu'}_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}\right), h^{-1}\left({\tau'}_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}\right), h^{-1}\left({v'}_{\Psi_{2}}^{N}\right), h^{-1}\left({v'}_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}\right), h^{-1}\left({\sigma'}_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}\right)\right)$ of Φ under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RGsubalgebra of X.

Proof

1) Let $y, j \in X$, then

$$\begin{split} h^{-1} \left({{{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}}} \right) &(j*y) = {{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \Big(h(j*y) \Big) = {{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \big(h(j) \ \hat{*} \ h(y) \big) \\ &\geq min \big\{ {{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \big(h(j) \big), {{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \big(h(y) \big) \ \big\} \\ &= min \, \Big\{ h^{-1} \, \big({{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \big) (j), h^{-1} \, \big({{\mu '}_{\Psi 1}^{P}} \big) (y) \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $h^{-1}\left({\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^P\right)$ is a fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X. Similarity, $h^{-1}(v'_{\Psi_2}^N)$ and $h^{-1}(\sigma'_{\Psi_2}^P)$ are fuzzy RGsubalgebras of X.

and

2)
$$h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N)(j*y) = \mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(h(j*y)) = \mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(h(j)*h(y))$$

 $\leq \max\{\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(h(j)), \mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(h(y))\}$
 $= \max\{h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N)(j), h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N)(y)\}.$

Hence $h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N)$ is a doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Similarity, $h^{-1}(\tau'^{P}_{\Psi 1})$ and $h^{-1}(v'^{P}_{\Psi 2})$ are doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of X.

Hence $h^{-1}(\Phi)$ of Φ under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X. ■

Theorem 3.8.

Let h: (X;*, \supset) \rightarrow (Y;*', \supset ') be an epimorphism of RGalgebras, if $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$, $v_{\Psi_2}^P$, $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$) is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X with sup and inf properties, then the image $\Phi^h =$

 $\left(X: (\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h \, , (\mu^P_{\Psi_1})^h \, , (\tau^P_{\Psi_1})^h \, , (v^N_{\Psi_2})^h \, , (v^P_{\Psi_2})^h \, , (\sigma^P_{\Psi_2})^h \right) \text{ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of } Y.$

Proof

Let $a,b \in X$, then $j,y \in Y$ such that h(a)=j, h(b)=y, and h(a*b)=j*'y, h(a)=j, and h(b)=y. $(\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j*y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a*b)), (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a)), \text{ and } \\ (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(b)), \\ (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j*'y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a)*'h(b))=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a*b))\\ \geq min\{\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a)), \mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(b))\}\\ = min\{(\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j), (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(y)\} \ .$

Hence $(\mu_{\Psi 1}^P)^h$ is a fuzzy RG-subalgebra of Y.

*	ב	a	b	c
ב	ב	c	b	a
a	a	b	c	ב
b	b	a	ב	С
С	С	ב	a	b

Similarity, $(v_{\Psi 2}^N)^h$ and $(\sigma_{\Psi 2}^P)^h$ are fuzzy RG-subalgebras of Y.

and

$$(\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(j*y) = \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(a*b)), (\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(j) = \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(a)), \text{ and } (\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(y) = \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(b)),$$

$$\begin{split} (\mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}})^{h}(j*'y) &= \mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(a)*'h(b)) = \mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(a*b)) \\ &\leq \max\{\mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(a)), \mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(b))\} \\ &= \max\{(\mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}})^{h}(j), (\mu^{N}_{\Psi_{1}})^{h}(y)\} \end{split} .$$

Hence $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h$ is a doubt fuzzy RG-

X	ב	1	2	3
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$	-0.9	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
$ au_{\Psi_1}^P$	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
v _{Ψ2}	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2
ν ^P _{Ψ2}	-0.8	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
$\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2

subalgebra of Y.

Similarity, $(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h$ and $(v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h$ are doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebras of Y.

Hence $\Phi^h =$

 $\left(X: (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h, (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h, (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h\right)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of Y.

4. Tripolar Intuitionistic Fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra

In this section, we give the concept of a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra X.

Definition 4.1.

Let $A\!=\!\{(j,\mu_A(j)\,,\,\nu_A(j))\,|\,j\!\in\!X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of RG-algebra $(X;\,^*\,,\,\,^\gimel),$ a tripolar fuzzy subset $\Psi_1=\{(j,\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j),\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j),\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j))\colon j\in X\,\}$ of X and a tripolar doubt fuzzy subset $\Psi_2=\{(j,\nu_{\Psi_2}^N(j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^P(j),\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j))\colon j\in X\,\}$ of X . Then a fuzzy subset $\Phi=\{(j,\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j),\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j),\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^N(j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^P(j),\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j))\colon j\in X\,\}$

is said to be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X ($\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$), if it satisfies the following for all j, y \in X

$$(\textbf{TIFS_1}) \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(\ \ \beth) \leq \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(j), \ \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^{P}(\ \ \beth) \geq \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^{P}(\ \ \beth) \leq \\ \tau_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j) \ \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\textbf{TIFS_2}) \ \ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth * y) & \leq \max \left\{ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j * y), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(y) \right\} \ \text{and} \\ \ \ v^N_{\Psi_2}(\ \beth * y) & \geq \min \left\{ v^N_{\Psi_2}(j * y), v^N_{\Psi_2}(\ \beth * j) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

(TIFS₃)
$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\exists * y) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * y), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\exists * j)\}$$
 and $v_{\Psi_2}^P(\exists * y) \le \max\{v_{\Psi_2}^P(j * y), v_{\Psi_2}^P(\exists * j)\},$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TIFS_4}) \; \tau^P_{\Psi_1}(\; \beth * y) & \leq \max \bigl\{ \tau^P_{\Psi_1}(j * y), \tau^P_{\Psi_1}(\; \beth * j) \bigr\} \; \text{and} \\ \sigma^P_{\Psi_2}(\; \beth * y) & \geq \min \bigl\{ \sigma^P_{\Psi_2}(j * y), \sigma^P_{\Psi_2}(\; \beth * j) \bigr\}. \end{split}$$

Example 4.2.

Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ with * and constand (\supset) is defined by :

We determine the tripolar fuzzy subset of $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ by:

Then $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Proposition 4.3.

An tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is an tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of RG-algebra (X; *, 0), if for

any t, $s \in [\ \beth,1]$, the sets $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$, $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ are RG-ideals of X and $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s)$, $L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,s)$, $L(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s)$ are RG-ideals of X.

Proof

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X and sets

 $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$, $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ and $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s)$, $L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,s)$, $L(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s)$ are nonempty sets.

Since $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \ \) \le \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \) \ge \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \) \le \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) & \geq \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $j \in X$.

If follows that for $j*y\in U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right),\ \ \exists*j\in U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right)$, then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y)\geq t, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \exists*j)\geq t \ \text{which follow}\ \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \exists*y)\geq \\ \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \exists*j)\}\geq t, \ \text{So that}\ \ \exists*y\in U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right). \\ \text{Hence } U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right) \ \text{is an RG-ideal of } X.$

Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N, t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P, t)$ are an RG-ideals of X. We prove that $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$ is an RG-ideal of X.

$$\begin{split} &j*y\in L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s\big) \text{ and } & \beth*j\in L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s\big) \text{ and } & \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*y)\leq \\ &s \text{ and } & \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth*j)\leq s \ . \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{If follows that} & \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(\ \beth * y) \leq \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi 1}(j * y\), \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(\ \beth * y\)\} \leq s, \ \text{So that} & \ \beth * y \in L\big(\mu^N_{\Psi 1}, s\big)\ . \end{array}$

Hence $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s)$ is an RG-ideal of X.

Similarity, $U(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P, s)$ and $U(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ are an RG-ideals of X.

Proposition 4.4.

In a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$, if the upper level and lower level of $(X; *, \; \beth)$, are RG-ideals, for all $t, s \in [\; \beth, 1]$, then Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Proof

Assume that for each $t,s\in [\ \ \beth,1],$ the sets $U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right),U\left(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t\right)\ ,U\left(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t\right)\ \text{and}\ \ L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s)\ ,L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,s)$ $L(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s)\ \text{are}\ RG\text{-ideals}\ \text{of}\ X.$

If there exist $j \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\hat{\Sigma}) < \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$, then $\hat{t} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\hat{\Sigma}) + \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j))$

 $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P\big(\ \hat{\beth}\big)<\hat{t}\ ,\ \hat{\beth}\notin U(\mu_A,\hat{t})$ is not RG-ideal that mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \supseteq) \ge \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$, for all $j \in X$.

If there exist j, $\dot{y} \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\dot{\Im}*\dot{y}) < \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*\dot{y}), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\dot{\Im}*\dot{y})\}$, then $\dot{t} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\dot{\Im}*\dot{y}) + \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*\dot{y}), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\dot{\Im}*\dot{y})\}$

 $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P\big(\ \ \Im * \acute{y}\big) < \acute{t}\ ,\ \ \Im * \acute{y} \not\in U(\mu_A,\acute{t}) \ \text{is not RG-ideal that}$ mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \)\}.$

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t)$ is an RG-ideal of X. Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N, t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P, t)$ are an RG-ideals of X.

If there exist $j \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\dot{\Sigma}) > \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)$, then $\dot{\mathfrak{t}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\dot{\Sigma}) + \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j))$

 $\mu^N_{\Psi 1}\big(\ \hat{\beth}\big) > \hat{t}\ ,\ \hat{\beth} \notin U\big(\mu^N_{\Psi 1}, \hat{t}\big)$ is not RG-ideal that mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \Sigma) \le \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)$, for all $j \in X$.

If there exist j, $\dot{y} \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\dot{\beth} * \dot{y}) >$

$$\begin{split} & \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*\acute{y}), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}\big(\ \ <code-block>)\}, \ \text{then} \ \acute{t} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^N_{\Psi_1}\big(\ \ \gimel*\acute{y}\big) + \\ & \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*\acute{y}), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}\big(\ \ \gimel*\acute{y}\big)\}) \end{split}$$
</code>

 $\mu^N_{\Psi_1}\big(\ \ \hat{\gimel}*\acute{y}\big)>\acute{t}\ ,\ \ \hat{\gimel}*\acute{y}\notin U\big(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},\acute{t}\big)\ \text{is not RG-ideal that}$ mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(\ \ \exists * \acute{y}) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(j*\acute{y}), \mu_{\Psi_1}^{N}(\ \ \exists * \acute{y})\}.$

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,t)$ is an RG-ideal of X. Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ and $U(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$ are an RG-ideals of X.

Hence $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal X.

Theorem 4.5.

Let $\Phi = \left(X; \mu_{\Psi_1}^N \text{ , } \mu_{\Psi_1}^P \text{ , } \tau_{\Psi_1}^P \text{ , } v_{\Psi_2}^N \text{ , } v_{\Psi_2}^P \text{ , } \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P \right) \textit{be a}$ tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of an RG-algebra $(X; \ ^*, \ ^\square).$ Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of $X \ \leftrightarrow$ the fuzzy sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P \text{ , } v_{\Psi_2}^N \text{ and } \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P \text{ are fuzzy RG-ideals of } X \text{ and } \mu_{\Psi_1}^N \text{ , } \tau_{\Psi_1}^P \text{ and } v_{\Psi_2}^P \text{ are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of } X.$

Proof

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X and sets

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu_{\Psi_1}^N \,, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P \,, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P \,, v_{\Psi_2}^N \,, v_{\Psi_2}^P \,, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P \,\, \text{are nonempty sets.} \\ \text{And} \quad \mu_{\Psi_1}^N (\; \beth) \, \leq \, \mu_{\Psi_1}^N (j), \;\; \mu_{\Psi_1}^P (\; \beth) \, \geq \, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P (j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P (\; \beth) \, \leq \, \end{array}$

 $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) & \geq \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $j \in X$.

For all $j, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{split} & \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \sqsupset * y) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \sqsupset * j)\}, \, v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \sqsupset * y) \geq \\ & \min\{v_{\Psi_2}^N(j*y), v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \gimel * j)\} \ \text{ and } \quad \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \gimel * y) \geq \\ & \min\{\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j*y), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth * j)\}. \end{split}$$

Hence $\mu^P_{\Psi_1}$, $v^N_{\Psi_2}$ and $\sigma^P_{\Psi_2}$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of X.

And $\mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(\exists * y) \leq \max\{\mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(j * y), \mu_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(\exists * j)\},\$ $\tau_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(\exists * y) \leq \max\{\tau_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(j * y), \tau_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(\exists * j)\} \text{ and } v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(\exists * y) \leq \max\{v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(j * y), v_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}(\exists * j)\}.$

Hence $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^P$ are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of X.

Conversely, assume that the fuzzy sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of X and $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^P$ are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of X, by Definition (3.1),

Hence $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal .

Proposition 4.6.

If $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$, $v_{\Psi_2}^P$, $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$) is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of an RG-algebra (X; *, \(\sigma\), then Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

By Proposition (4.3), Proposition (2.11) and Proposition (3.5) . ■

Remark 4.7.

The converse of Proposition (4.5) is not true as the following example:

Example 4.8.

Let $X = \{ 2, 2, 1, 3 \}$ in which * is defined by the following table:

Then $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) \mid j \in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X

and v(j) is a doubt fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X v(j) =

$$\begin{cases} 0.3 & j \in \{ 2, 3 \} \\ 0.8 & j = 1 \\ 0.9 & j = 2 \end{cases}.$$

But v(j) is not a doubt fuzzy RG-ideal since Let j=1, y=2then $v(\exists * 2) = 0.9 \le max\{v(1 * 2), v(\exists * 1)\} = 0.8$. $A = \{(j, \mu_A(j), \nu_A(j)) \mid j \in X\}$ is not intuitionistic fuzzy RGideal of RG-algebra

Theorem 4.9.

Let h: $(X; *, \beth) \rightarrow (Y; *', \beth')$ be a homomorphism of RGalgebras, if $\Phi = (Y: \mu'^N_{\Psi_1}, \mu'^P_{\Psi_1}, \tau'^P_{\Psi_1}, v'^N_{\Psi_2}, v'^P_{\Psi_2}, \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2})$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of Y with sup and inf properties, then the pre-image $h^{-1}(\Phi) =$ $(X: h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N), h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^P), h^{-1}(\tau'_{\Psi_1}^P), h^{-1}(v'_{\Psi_2}^P), h^{-1}(v'_{\Psi_2}^P), h^{-1}(\sigma'_{\Psi_2}^P))$ Hence $h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N)$ is a doubt fuzzy RG-ideal of X. of Φ under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Proof

Let $\Phi = (Y: \mu'_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu'_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau'_{\Psi_1}^P, v'_{\Psi_2}^N, v'_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma'_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X and sets $\mu'^N_{\Psi 1}$, $\mu'^P_{\Psi 1}$, $\tau'^P_{\Psi 1}$, $v'^P_{\Psi 2}$, $v'^P_{\Psi 2}$, $\sigma'^P_{\Psi 2}$ are nonempty sets. And $\mu'^{N}_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth') \leq \mu'^{N}_{\Psi_1}(j'), \ \mu'^{P}_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth') \geq$

$$\mu'_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j'), \tau'_{\Psi_1}^{P}(\ \ \beth') \le \ \tau'_{\Psi_1}^{P}(j')$$
 and

 $v_{\Psi_2}^{\prime N}(\ \exists') \ge v_{\Psi_2}^{\prime N}(j'), \ v_{\Psi_2}^{\prime P}(\ \exists') \le v_{\Psi_2}^{\prime P}(j'), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^{\prime P}(\ \exists') \ge$ $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^{P}(j')$, for all $j' \in Y$.

Then
$$h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(\Sigma')) \leq h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(j')),$$

$$h^{-1}\left(\,\mu'^{p}_{\,\Psi 1}(\,\, \beth')\right) \geq \,\, h^{-1}\left(\mu'^{p}_{\,\Psi 1}(j')\right), h^{-1}\left(\tau'^{p}_{\,\Psi 1}(\,\, \beth)\right) \leq$$

$$h^{-1}(\tau'^{P}_{\Psi_1}(j'))$$
 and

$$\begin{array}{l} h^{-1}\left(v_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime N}(\ \beth')\right) \geq \ h^{-1}\left(v_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime N}(j')\right), \ h^{-1}\left(v_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime P}(\ \beth')\right) \leq \\ h^{-1}\left(v_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime P}(j')\right), h^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime P}(\ \beth')\right) \geq h^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\Psi_{2}}^{\prime P}(j')\right), \end{array}$$

Let $j', y' \in Y$ such that h(j) = j' and $h(y) = y', j, y \in X$,

$$\begin{array}{l} h^{-1} \ ({\mu'}_{\Psi 1}^P) (\ \ \Box' \ *' \ y') = {\mu'}_{\Psi 1}^P (h(\ \ \Box) \ * \ h(y)) = {\mu'}_{\Psi 1}^P \big(h(\ \ \Box \ * \ y) \big) \end{array}$$

\geq	*	ב	1	2	3
	П	П	1	2	3
	1	1	ב	3	2
	2	2	3	П	1
	3	3	2	1	ב

$$\min\{\mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(h(j) * h(y)), \mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(h(\beth) * 'h(j))\}$$

$$= \min\{\mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(h(j * y)), \mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{P}(h(\beth * j))\}$$

$$= min\, \Big\{ h^{-1}\, \big({\mu'}_{\Psi 1}^P\big)(j' *' y'), h^{-1}\, \big({\mu'}_{\Psi 1}^P\big)(\ \beth' *' j') \Big\}.$$

Hence $h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^P)$ is a fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Similarity, $h^{-1}\left(v'_{\Psi_{2}}^{N}\right)~$ and $h^{-1}\left(\sigma'_{\Psi_{2}}^{P}\right)$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of X.

and
$$h^{-1}({\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N)(\ \exists'\ *\ 'y') = {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N(h(\ \exists)\ *\ h(y)) = {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N(h(\ \exists\ *\ y))$$

$$\leq \max\{\mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(h(j*y)), \mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(h(\beth*j))\}$$

$$= \max\{\mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(h(j)*'h(y)), \mu'_{\Psi_{1}}^{N}(h(\beth)*'h(j))\}$$

=
$$\max\{h^{-1}(\mu'_{w_1}^N)(j'*'y'), h^{-1}(\mu'_{w_1}^N)(\exists'*'j')\}$$
.

Similarity, $h^{-1}(\tau'^{P}_{\Psi_1})$ and $h^{-1}(v'^{P}_{\Psi_2})$ are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of X.

Hence $h^{-1}(\Phi)$ of Φ under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Theorem 4.10.

Let h: $(X; *, \ \) \to (Y; *', \ \)' be an epimorphism of RG-algebras, if <math>\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X with sup and inf properties, then the image $\Phi^h =$

 $\left(X: (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h, (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h, (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h\right)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of Y.

Proof

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X and sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are nonempty sets. And $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \ \ \ \) \leq \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \ \) \geq \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \ \) \leq \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) \ge \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \le \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \ge \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $j \in X$.

Then $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(\ \ \supset) \le (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(j), \ (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(\ \supset) \ge$ $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(j), (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(\ \supset) \le (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(j)$ And $(v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h(\ \supset) \ge (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h(j), (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(\ \supset) \le (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(j),$ $(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(\ \supset) \ge (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(j).$

Let $a,b\in X,$ then $j,y\in Y$ such that $h\ (a)=j,\ h\ (b)=y,$ and $h\ (a*b)=j*'y\ ,h\ (a)=j,\ and\ h\ (b)=y.$ $(\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j*y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a*b)), (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(\ \beth*j)=\\ \mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*a)),\ and\ (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(\ \beth*y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*b)),\\ (\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(\ \beth*'y)=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*b))=\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*b)),\\ \geq \min\{\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a)*'h(b)),\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*'h(a))\}\\ = \min\{\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(a*b)),\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(h(\ \beth*a))\}\\ = \min\{(\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(j*y),(\mu^P_{\Psi 1})^h(\ \beth*j)\}\ .$

Hence $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h$ is a fuzzy RG-ideal of Y.

Similarity, $(v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h$ and $(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of Y.

and

$$(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(\ \ \exists \ *\ y) = \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(h(\ \ \exists \ *\ b)), (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(\ \ \exists \ *\ j) =$$

$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^N\big((h(\ \ \exists)\ *\ 'h(a))\big), \text{ and } (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(\ \ \exists \ *\ y) = \mu_{\Psi_1}^N\big((h(\ \ \exists)\ *\ 'h(b))\big).$$

$$\begin{split} (\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(\ \sqsupset *\ 'y) &= \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(\ \sqsupset) *\ 'h(b)) = \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(\ \sqsupset *\ b)) \\ &\leq max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(a) *\ 'h(b)), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(\ \beth) *\ 'h(b))\} \\ &= max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(a*b\)), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(h(\ \beth *\ a))\} \\ &= max\{(\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(j*y\), (\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h(\ \beth *\ j)\} \quad . \end{split}$$

Hence $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h$ is a doubt fuzzy RG-ideal of Y. Similarity, $(\tau_{\Psi_1}^p)^h$ and $(v_{\Psi_2}^p)^h$ are doubt fuzzy RG-ideals of Y.

Hence $\Phi^h = (X: (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h, (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h, (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of Y.

<u>5. Tripolar Intuitionistic Sub-implicative Fuzzy Ideal of</u> RG-algebra

Here, we explain what the notion is all about. of a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideals of RG-algebra.

Definition 5.1.

Let $A=\{(j,\mu_A(j),\nu_A(j))|\ j\in X\}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of RG-algebra $(X;\ ^*\ ,\ ^\square),$ a tripolar fuzzy subset $\Psi_1=\{(j,\mu_{\Psi_1}^N\ (j),\mu_{\Psi_1}^P\ (j),\tau_{\Psi_1}^P\ (j))\colon j\in X\,\}$ of X and a tripolar doubt fuzzy subset $\Psi_2=\{(j,\nu_{\Psi_2}^N\ (j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^P\ (j),\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\ (j))\colon j\in X\,\}$ of X. Then a fuzzy subset $\Phi=\{(j,\mu_{\Psi_1}^N\ (j),\mu_{\Psi_1}^P\ (j),\tau_{\Psi_1}^P\ (j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^N\ (j),\nu_{\Psi_2}^P\ (j),\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\ (j))\colon j\in X\,\}$

is said to be a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of \mathbf{X} ($\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$), if it satisfies the following for all $j, y \in X$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TIFS}_1) \ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth) \leq \ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j), \ \ \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth) \geq \ \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(j), \tau^P_{\Psi_1}(\ \beth) \leq \\ \tau^P_{\Psi_1}(j) \ \text{and} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\textbf{TIFS}_2) \ \ & \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*y^2) \leq \max \left\{ \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z*((j*y)*(y*\\ & j^2))), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z) \right\} \ \text{and} \\ & v^N_{\Psi_2}(j*y^2) \geq \min \left\{ v^N_{\Psi_2}(z*((j*y)*(y*\\ & j^2))), v^N_{\Psi_2}(z) \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$(\textbf{TIFS_3}) \; \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) \geq \min \bigl\{ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*$$

$$j^2)))$$
, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)$ and

$$v_{\Psi_2}^P(j*y^2) \le \max\{v_{\Psi_2}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*$$

$$j^2))),v_{\Psi 2}^{P}(z)\big\},$$

$$(\textbf{TIFS_4}) \ \tau^P_{\Psi 1}(j*y^2) \leq max \big\{ \tau^P_{\Psi 1}(z*((j*y)*(y*$$

$$j^2))),\tau^P_{\Psi 1}(z)\big\}$$
 and

$$\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j * y^2) \ge \min \{ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(z * ((j * y) * (y * ((j * y) * (y * ((j * y) * ((j$$

 $j^2))),\sigma^P_{\Psi 2}(z)\big\}.$

Proposition 5.2.

An tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is an tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of RG-algebra (X; *

, $\ \, \ \, \ \, \ \, \ \, \ \,)$, if for any $t,s\in [\ \, \ \, \ \, \ \, \ \,]$, the sets $U\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t\right)$, $U\left(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t\right)$, $U\left(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t\right)$, $U\left(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t\right)$ are subimplicative ideals of X and $L\left(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,s\right)$, $L\left(v_{\Psi_2}^P,s\right)$ are subimplicative ideals of X.

Proof

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar that mean it is intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X and sets Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y)$ $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P, t), U(v_{\Psi_2}^N, t), U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P, t)$ and $L(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, s), L(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P, s), L(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ $j^2)), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)$ are nonempty sets.

Since $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \beth) \le \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)$, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) \ge \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) \le \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) & \geq \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $j \in X$.

If follows that for $(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))) \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t), z \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$, then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))) \geq t, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z) \geq t$ which follow $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\} \geq t$, So that $j*y^2 \in U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$. Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$ is an subimplicative ideal of X. Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ are subimplicative ideals of X.

We prove that $L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s)$ is a subimplicative ideal of X. $(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))) \in L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s) \text{ and } z \in L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s) \text{ and } z \in L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s) \text{ and } \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2)))) \leq s \text{ and } \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z) \leq s \text{ .}$ If follows that $\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(j*y^2) \leq \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu^N_{\Psi_1}(z)\} \leq s, \text{ So that } j*y^2 \in L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s) \text{ .}$ Hence $L(\mu^N_{\Psi_1},s)$ is subimplicative ideal of X.

Similarity, $U(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P, s)$ and $U(v_{\Psi_2}^P, s)$ are subimplicative ideals of X.

Proposition 5.3.

In a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy subset $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$, if the upper level and lower level of $(X; *, \; \beth)$, are subimplicative ideals, for all t, s $\in [\; \beth, 1]$, then Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \supseteq) \ge \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$, for all $j \in X$.

If there exist j, y ∈ X be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) < \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\}$, then $\hat{t} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) + \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\})$ $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) < \hat{t}$, $j*y^2 \notin U(\mu_A, \hat{t})$ is not subimplicative ideal that mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * y^2) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z * ((j * y) * (y * j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\}.$

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$ is a subimplicative ideal of X. Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^N,t)$ and $U(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ are an subimplicative ideals of X. If there exist $\mathfrak{f}\in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\mathring{\mathfrak{I}})>\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\mathfrak{f})$, then $\mathfrak{f}=\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\mathring{\mathfrak{I}})+\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\mathfrak{f}))$

 $\mu^N_{\Psi_1}(\dot{\mathfrak{I}}) > \dot{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\dot{\mathfrak{I}} \notin U(\mu^N_{\Psi_1}, \dot{\mathfrak{t}})$ is not subimplicative ideal that mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \supset) \le \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j)$, for all $j \in X$.

If there exist j, $y \in X$ be such that $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) > \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z)\}$, then $\hat{t} = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) + \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z)\})$ $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) > \hat{t}$, $j*y^2 \notin U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \hat{t})$ is not subimplicative ideal that mean it is contradiction.

Then $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) \le max \{ \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z) \}.$

Hence $U(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,t)$ is a subimplicative ideal of X. Similarity, $U(v_{\Psi_2}^P,t)$ and $U(\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,t)$ are an subimplicative ideals of X. Hence $\Phi=\left(X:\mu_{\Psi_1}^N,\mu_{\Psi_1}^P,\tau_{\Psi_1}^P,v_{\Psi_2}^N,v_{\Psi_2}^P,\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X.

Theorem 5.4.

Let $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N , \mu_{\Psi_1}^P , \tau_{\Psi_1}^P , v_{\Psi_2}^P , v_{\Psi_2}^P , \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P \right)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of an RG-algebra $(X; *, \; \beth)$. Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of $X \leftrightarrow$ the fuzzy sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X and $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^P$ are doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X.

Proof

Let $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X and sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are nonempty sets. And $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \ \ \ \ \) \leq \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \) \geq \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \ \ \ \ \) \leq \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and

$$\begin{split} v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) &\geq \ v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \ \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \end{split}$$
 for all $j \in X$.

For all $j, y \in X$, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu_{\Psi 1}^P(j*y^2) \geq \min\{\mu_{\Psi 1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi 1}^P(z)\},\\ v_{\Psi 2}^N(j*y^2) \geq \min\{v_{\Psi 2}^N(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), v_{\Psi 2}^N(z)\} \ \ \text{and}\\ \sigma_{\Psi 2}^P(j*y^2) \geq \min\{\sigma_{\Psi 2}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \sigma_{\Psi 2}^P(z)\}.\\ \ \ \text{Hence}\ \mu_{\Psi 1}^P\ , v_{\Psi 2}^N\ \ \text{and}\ \ \sigma_{\Psi 2}^P\ \ \text{are subimplicative fuzzy ideals}\\ \ \ \text{of}\ X \end{array}$

And $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) \le \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z)\}, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j*y^2) \le \max\{\tau_{\Psi_1}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\}$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^P(j*y^2) \le \max\{v_{\Psi_2}^P(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), v_{\Psi_2}^P(z)\}.$

Hence $\mu^N_{\Psi_1}$, $\tau^P_{\Psi_1}$ and $v^P_{\Psi_2}$ are doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X.

Conversely, assume that the fuzzy sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X and $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^P$ are doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X, by Definition (5.1), hence $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$ a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal.

Proposition 5.5.

If $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of an RG-algebra

(X; *,
a
fuzzy
X.
Proof :

Let

te submiprieur ve ruzzy rueur or un				
X	Л	1	2	
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^{ m N}$	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	
$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$	0.5	0.3	0.3	
$ au_{\Psi_1}^{ ext{P}}$	0.2	0.3	0.3	
$v_{\Psi_2}^N$	0.5	0.3	0.3	
$v_{\Psi_2}^P$	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	
$\sigma^P_{\Psi_2}$	0.2	0.3	0.3	

⊃), then Φ is tripolar intuitionistic RG-ideal of

Ψ =

 $\big(X;\mu_{\Psi}^N$, μ_{Ψ}^P , $\tau_{\Psi}^P\big)$ is a tripolar subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X , then

$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j * y^2) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z * ((j * y) * (j * y^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\}.$$

Take j = y, then

$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j*j^2) \ge \min\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z*\left((j*j)*(j*j^2))\right), \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(z)\}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

 $\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(\ \gimel * j) \geq min\{\mu^P_{\Psi 1}(z*j), \mu^P_{\Psi 1}(\ \gimel * z)\}.$

Hence $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$ is a fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Similarity, $v_{\Psi 2}^N$ and $\sigma_{\Psi 2}^P$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of X.

And

$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*y^2) \le \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*y)*(j*y^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z)\}.$$

Take i = v, then

$$\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j*j^2) \le \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z*((j*j)*(j*j^2))), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z)\}, i.e.,$$

 $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\exists * j) \le \max\{\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(z * j), \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\exists * z)\}.$

Hence $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N$ is a fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Similarity, $\tau^P_{\Psi_1}$ and $v^P_{\Psi_2}$ are fuzzy RG-ideals of X. Then $\Phi = \left(X: \mu^N_{\Psi_1}$, $\mu^P_{\Psi_1}$, $\tau^P_{\Psi_1}$, $v^N_{\Psi_2}$, $v^P_{\Psi_2}$, $\sigma^P_{\Psi_2}\right)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X.

Remark 5.6.

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition (5.5)is not true in general.

Example 5.7.

Let $X = \{ \exists, 1,2 \}$ in which * is define by the following table:

*	ב	1	2
ב	ב	2	1
1	1	ב	2
2	2	1	ב

It is easy to show that $(X; *, \ \beth)$ is an RG-algebra. $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-ideal of X as this table

But not a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of RG-algebra X,because if

Proposition 5.8.

If $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N$, $\mu_{\Psi_1}^P$, $\tau_{\Psi_1}^P$, $v_{\Psi_2}^N$, $v_{\Psi_2}^P$, $\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$) is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of an RG-algebra $(X; *, \; \beth)$, then Φ is a tripolar intuitionistic fuzzy RG-subalgebra of X.

Proof

By Proposition (5.5) and Proposition (4.6) \cdot

Remark 5.9.

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition (5.8) is not true in general by Example (5.8).

Theorem 5.10.

Let h: $(X; *, \Sigma) \rightarrow (Y; *', \Sigma')$ be a homomorphism of RG-algebras, if $\Phi = (Y; \mu'^N_{\Psi_1}, \mu'^P_{\Psi_1}, \tau'^P_{\Psi_1}, \nu'^P_{\Psi_2}, \nu'^P_{\Psi_2}, \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2})$ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of Y with sup and inf properties, then the pre-image $h^{-1}(\Phi) =$

Then $h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(\Sigma')) \leq h^{-1}(\mu'_{\Psi_1}^N(\Sigma'))$,

$$\left(\begin{matrix} X: h^{-1} \left({{{\mu '}_{\Psi _{1}}^{N}}} \right), h^{-1} \left({{{\mu '}_{\Psi _{1}}^{P}}} \right), h^{-1} \left({{{\tau '}_{\Psi _{1}}^{P}}} \right), h^{-1} \left({{{v '}_{\Psi _{2}}^{N}}} \right), \\ h^{-1} \left({{{v '}_{\Psi _{2}}^{P}}} \right), h^{-1} \left({{\sigma '}_{\Psi _{2}}^{P}} \right) \end{matrix} \right) \text{ of } \Phi$$

under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X.

Proof

Let
$$\Phi = \left(Y : \mu'^N_{\Psi_1} \,, \mu'^P_{\Psi_1} \,, \tau'^P_{\Psi_1} \,, v'^N_{\Psi_2} \,, v'^P_{\Psi_2} \,, \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2} \right) \ \ be \ a$$
 tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X and sets
$$\mu'^N_{\Psi_1} \,, \mu'^P_{\Psi_1} \,, v'^P_{\Psi_1} \,, v'^P_{\Psi_2} \,, v'^P_{\Psi_2} \,, \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2} \, \text{are nonempty sets.}$$
 And
$$\mu'^N_{\Psi_1} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \leq \mu'^N_{\Psi_1} (j'), \ \ \mu'^P_{\Psi_1} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \geq \\ \mu'^P_{\Psi_1} (j'), \tau'^P_{\Psi_1} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \leq \tau'^P_{\Psi_1} (j') \, \text{and}$$

$$v'^N_{\Psi_2} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \geq v'^N_{\Psi_2} (j'), \ \ v'^P_{\Psi_2} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \leq v'^P_{\Psi_2} (j'), \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2} \left(\ \ \Box' \right) \geq \\ \sigma'^P_{\Psi_2} (j'), \ \text{for all} \ \ j' \in Y.$$

Hence $h^{-1}({\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^P)$ is a subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X. Similarity, $h^{-1}({v'}_{\Psi_2}^N)$ and $h^{-1}({\sigma'}_{\Psi_2}^P)$ are subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X.

and
$$\begin{split} &h^{-1}\left({\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N\right)(j'*'y'^2) = {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N(h(j)*h(y^2)) = {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N\big(h(j*y^2)\big) \\ &\leq \max \; \{\; {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N \; (h\; (z*((j*y)*(y*y^2)))), {\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N(h(\; \Sigma))\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \max\{\mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(z) * h((h(j) * h(y) *' (h(y) * h(j^{2})), \mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(z)) \\ \} & = \\ & \max\{\mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(z) * h((h(j) * h(y) *' (h(y) * h(j^{2})), \mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}}(h(z)) \\ \} & = \max\{h^{-1}(\mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}})(z' *'((j' *' y') *'(y' * j'^{2}))), h^{-1}(\mu'^{N}_{\Psi_{1}})(z') \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h^{-1}\left({\mu'}_{\Psi_1}^N\right)$ is a doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X.

Similarity, $h^{-1}(\tau'_{\Psi_1}^P)$ and $h^{-1}(v'_{\Psi_2}^P)$ are doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideals of X.

Hence $h^{-1}(\Phi)$ of Φ under h in X is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X.

Theorem 5.11.

Let h: $(X; *, \ \) \to (Y; *', \ \)'' be an$ epimorphism of RG-algebras, if $\Phi = (X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X with sup and inf properties, then the image $\Phi^h = (X: (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h, (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h, (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h)$ is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of Y.

Proof

Let $\Phi = \left(X: \mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^N, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P\right)$ be a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideal of X and sets $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N, \mu_{\Psi_1}^P, \tau_{\Psi_1}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^P, v_{\Psi_2}^P, \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P$ are nonempty sets. And $\mu_{\Psi_1}^N(\ \beth) \leq \mu_{\Psi_1}^N(j), \ \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) \geq \mu_{\Psi_1}^P(j), \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(\ \beth) \leq \tau_{\Psi_1}^P(j)$ and $v_{\Psi_2}^N(\ \beth) \geq v_{\Psi_2}^N(j), \ v_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \leq v_{\Psi_2}^P(j), \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(\ \beth) \geq \sigma_{\Psi_2}^P(j),$ for all $j \in X$.

Then
$$(\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(\ \beth) \le (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h(j), \ (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(\ \beth) \ge$$

$$(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(j), (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(\ \beth) \le (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h(j)$$
And $(v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h(\ \beth) \ge (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h(j), (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(\ \beth) \le (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(j),$

$$(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(\ \beth) \ge (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h(j).$$

Let $a, b \in X$, then $j, y \in Y$ such that h(a)=j, h(b)=y, h(c)=z and $h(a*b^2) = j*'y^2$ and $f(c*((a*b)*(b*a^2))) = (z*'((j*'y)*'(y*'j^2)))$.

$$\begin{split} (\mu^P_{\Psi_1})^h(j*'y^2) &= \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(a)*'h(b^2)) = \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(a*b^2)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(c)*'((h(a)*'h(b))*(h(b)*'h(a^2)))), \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(c))\} \\ &= \min\{\mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(c*((a*b)*(b*a^2)))), \mu^P_{\Psi_1}(h(c))\} \end{split}$$

$$= min \big\{ (\mu^p_{\Psi 1})^h (z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), (\mu^p_{\Psi 1})^h(z) \big\}$$

Hence $(\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h$ is a subimplicative fuzzy ideal of Y. Similarity, $(v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h$ and $(\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h$ are subimplicative fuzzy ideals of Y.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{and} \\ (\mu^N_{\Psi 1})^h(j*'y^2) = \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h(a)*'h(b^2)) = \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h(a*b^2)) \\ & \leq \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h\;(c)*'((h\;(a)*'h\;(b))*\;(h\;(b)*'h\;(a^2)))), \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h(c))\} \\ & = \max\{\mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h(c*((a*b)*(b*a^2)))), \mu^N_{\Psi 1}(h(c))\} \\ & = \max\{(\mu^N_{\Psi 1})^h(z*((j*y)*(y*j^2))), (\mu^N_{\Psi 1})^h(z)\} \end{array}$$

Hence $(\mu^N_{\Psi_1})^h$ is a doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideal of Y. Similarity, $(\tau^P_{\Psi_1})^h$ and $(v^P_{\Psi_2})^h$ are doubt subimplicative fuzzy ideals of Y.

Hence
$$\Phi^h = (X: (\mu_{\Psi_1}^N)^h, (\mu_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (\tau_{\Psi_1}^P)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^N)^h, (v_{\Psi_2}^P)^h, (\sigma_{\Psi_2}^P)^h)$$
 is a tripolar intuitionistic subimplicative fuzzy ideals of Y.

References

- [1] K.T. Atanassov, **Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets**, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.20, no.1(1986), pp: 87-96.
- [2] K.T. Atanassov, **New Operations Defined over the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets**, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.61, no.2(1994), pp:137-142.
- [3] K. T. Atanassov, **On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory**. Published by Springer-Verlag Berlin: Heidelberg, 2012.
- [4] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets Theory and Applications, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, vol. 35, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, New York, 1999.
- [5] A.T. Hameed, Fuzzy Ideals of Some Algebras, PH. Sc. Thesis, AinShams University, Faculty of Sciences, Egypt, 2015.
- [6] AT. Hameed, and B.H. Hadi, Intuitionistic Fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebras, Journal of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, vol.10, 10-Special Issue, 2018.
- [7] A.T. Hameed, A.S. abed and A.H. Abed, TL-ideals of BCCalgebras, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 10, 11- Special Issue, (2018).
- [8] A.T. Hameed, Intuitionistic Fuzzy AT-ideals of ATalgebras, LAP LEMBERT Academic Publishing , Germany, 2019.
- [9] A.T. Hameed, S.M. Abrahem and A.H. Abed, **Fuzzy RG-Ideals of RG-algebra**, (2022), to appear.

- [10] A.T. Hameed, and S.M. Abrahem, **Doubt Fuzzy RG-ideals of RG-algebra**, (2022), to appear.
- [11] A.T. Hameed, and S.M. Abrahem A.H. Abed, Intuitionistic Fuzzy RG-Ideals of RG-algebra, (2022), to appear.
- [12] A.T. Hameed, and S.M. Abrahem, **Tripolar Fuzzy RG-ideals** of **RG-algebra**, (2022), to appear.
- [13] S.M. Mostafa, M.A. Abd-Elnaby and O.R. Elgendy, **Intuitionistic Fuzzy KU-ideals in KU-algebras**, Int. Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, vol.1, no.3(2011), pp:1379-1384.
- [14] R.A.K. Omar, **On RG-algebra**, Pure Mathematical Sciences, vol.3, no.2 (2014), pp.59-70.
- [15] P. Patthanangkoor, **RG-Homomorphism and Its Properties**, Thai Journal of Science and Technology, vol.7, no.5 (2018), pp: 452-459.
- [16] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, and M. Pol, Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy translations of intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Journal Eurasian Mathmatical, vol. 6, no. 1(2015), pp:96-114.
- [17] T. Senapati, M. Bhowmik, and M. Pol, Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy translations of intuitionistic fuzzy H-ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, vol. 19, no. 1(2013), pp:32-47.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, **Fuzzy Sets**, Inform. And Control, vol.8(1965), pp: 338-353.