

# $\delta$ -Dot Cubic Ideals of BZ-algebra

Dr. Areej Tawfeeq Hameed<sup>1</sup> and Huda Ali Abdul-hussein Almwail<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Iraq.  
 E-mail: [areej.tawfeeq@uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:areej.tawfeeq@uokufa.edu.iq)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa, Iraq.  
 E-mail: [areej238@gmail.com](mailto:areej238@gmail.com)

*Abstract:* In this paper, the notions of  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideals and  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra  $s$  in BZ-algebras are introduced and several properties are investigated. The image and inverse image of them in BZ-algebras are defined and studied.

**Keywords**— BZ-algebras,  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $s$ ,  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra  $s$ , of  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $s$ , homomorphism of BZ-algebra product.

## 1. Introduction

K. Is'eki and S. Tanaka [22] studied ideals and congruences of BCK-algebras. S. M. Mostafa and et al. [26] were introduced a new algebraic structure which is called KUS-algebras and investigated some related properties. The concept of a fuzzy set, was introduced by L.A. Zadeh [30]. O.G. Xi [28] applied the concept of fuzzy set to BCK-algebras and gave some of its properties. Y. B. Jun and et al. [23] were introduced the notion of cubic ideals in BCK-algebras, and they discussed some related properties of it. In [21], A.T. Hameed and et al. introduced the notion of cubic KUS-ideals of KUS-algebra and they were studied the homomorphic of cubic KUS-ideals. In [1], A.T. Hameed and et al. introduced the notion of cubic AT-ideals of AT-algebra and they discussed some related properties of it. In this paper, we introduce the notion of cubic ideal  $s$  of BZ-algebra and we study the homomorphic image and inverse image of cubic ideal  $s$  of BZ-algebra.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic definitions and preliminaries proprieties of ideal  $s$  and fuzzy ideal  $s$  in BZ-algebra such that we include some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper.

**Definition 2.1([2-4])** Let  $X$  be a set with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant  $\perp$ . Then  $(X; *, \perp)$  is called an **BZ-algebra** if the following axioms satisfied: for all  $k, y, z \in X$ ,

(BZ-1)  $((k * z) * (y * z)) * (k * y) = \perp$ ;

(BZ-2)  $k * \perp = k$ ;

(BZ-3)  $k * y = \perp$  and  $y * k = \perp$  implies that  $k = y$ .

**Example 2.2([2-4])** Let  $X = \{ \perp, 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$  in which  $(*)$  is defined by the following table:

*	$\perp$	1	2	3	4
$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$
1	1	$\perp$	1	$\perp$	$\perp$
2	2	2	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$
3	3	3	1	$\perp$	$\perp$
4	4	3	4	3	$\perp$

Then  $(X; *, \perp)$  is an BZ-algebra.

**Remark 2.3([2-4])** Define a binary relation  $\leq$  on BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \perp)$  by letting  $k \leq y$  if and only if  $k * y = \perp$ .

**Proposition 2.4([2-4])** In any BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \perp)$ , the following properties hold: for all  $k, y, z \in X$ ,

(P-1)  $k * ((k * y) * y) = \perp$ ;

(P-2)  $k * k = \perp$ ;

(P-3)  $k * (y * z) = y * (k * z)$ ;

(P-4)  $((k * y) * y) * y = k * y$ ;

(P-5)  $(k * y) * \perp = (k * \perp) * (y * \perp)$ ;

(P-6)  $(k * y) * ((z * k) * (z * y)) = \perp$ ;

**Proposition 2.5([2-4])** Let  $(X; *, \perp)$  be an BZ-algebra.  $X$  is satisfies for all  $k, y, z \in X$ ,

(P-7)  $k \leq y$  implies  $y * z \leq k * z$ ;

(P-8)  $k \leq y$  implies  $z * k \leq z * y$ .

**Definition 2.6([2-4]).** Let  $(X; *, \perp)$  be an BZ-algebra and let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ .  $S$  is called a **subalgebra of  $X$**  if  $k * y \in S$  whenever  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$ .

**Definition 2.7([2-4]).** A nonempty subset  $I$  of an BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \perp)$  is called an **ideal of  $X$**  if it satisfies the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

(I<sub>1</sub>)  $\perp \in I$ ,

(I<sub>2</sub>)  $(k * y) \in I$  and  $k \in I$  imply  $y \in I$ .

**Proposition 2.9 ([2-4]).** Every ideal of BZ-algebra is a subalgebra.

**Proposition 2.8 ([2-4]).** Let  $\{I_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of ideal  $s$  of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \perp)$ . The intersection of any set of ideal  $s$  of  $X$  is also an ideal.

**Definition 2.9 ([13,14]).** Let  $(X; *, \perp)$  and  $(Y; *, \perp)$  be nonempty sets. The mapping  $f: (X; *, \perp) \rightarrow (Y; *, \perp)$  is called a **homomorphism** if it satisfies:

$f(k * y) = f(k) * f(y)$ , for all  $k, y \in X$ . The set  $\{k \in X \mid f(k) = \perp\}$  is called **the kernel of  $f$**  denoted by  $\ker f$ .

**Theorem 2.10 ([2-4]).** Let  $f: (X; *, \perp) \rightarrow (Y; *, \perp)$  be a homomorphism of an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ , then:

A.  $f(\perp) = \perp$ .

B.  $f$  is injective if and only if  $\ker f = \{ \emptyset \}$ .

C.  $k \leq y$  implies  $f(k) \leq f(y)$ .

**Theorem 2.11 ([2-4]).** Let  $f: (X; *, \supset) \rightarrow (Y; *, \supset)$  be a homomorphism of an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ , then:

(F<sub>1</sub>) If  $S$  is an subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $f(S)$  is an subalgebra of  $Y$ .

(F<sub>2</sub>) If  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ , then  $f(I)$  is an ideal of  $Y$ , where  $f$  is onto.

(F<sub>3</sub>) If  $H$  is an subalgebra of  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(H)$  is an subalgebra of  $X$ .

(F<sub>4</sub>) If  $J$  is an ideal of  $Y$ , then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

(F<sub>5</sub>)  $\ker f$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

(F<sub>6</sub>)  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a subalgebra of  $Y$ .

**Definition 2.12([30]).** Let  $(X; *, \supset)$  be a nonempty set, a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is a function  $\mu: X \rightarrow [\supset, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.13 ([29]).** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $(X; *, \supset)$ , for  $t \in [\supset, 1]$ , the set  $L(\mu, t) = \mu_t = \{k \in X \mid \mu(k) \geq t\}$  is called a **level subset of  $\mu$** .

**Definition 2.14([5]).** Let  $(X; *, \supset)$  be an BZ-algebra, a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is called a **fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$**  if for all  $k, y \in X$ ,

$$\mu(k * y) \geq \min\{\mu(k), \mu(y)\}.$$

**Definition 2.15([5]).** Let  $(X; *, \supset)$  be an BZ-algebra, a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is called a **fuzzy ideal of  $X$**  if it satisfies the following conditions, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(FBZ_1) \quad \mu(\supset) \geq \mu(k),$$

$$(FBZ_2) \quad \mu(y) \geq \min\{\mu(k * y), \mu(k)\}.$$

**Proposition 2.17([5]).**

1- The intersection of any set of fuzzy ideal s of BZ-algebra is also fuzzy ideal.

2- The union of any set of fuzzy ideal s of BZ-algebra is also fuzzy ideal, where is chain.

**Proposition 2.18([5]).** Every fuzzy ideal of BZ-algebra is a fuzzy subalgebra.

**Proposition 2.19([5]).**

1- Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \supset)$ . If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if for every  $t \in [\supset, 1]$ ,  $\mu_t$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

2- Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \supset)$ ,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if for every  $t \in [\supset, 1]$ ,  $\mu_t$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.20([5]).** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of BZ-algebra  $X$  and if  $x \leq y$ , then  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.21 ([33]).** Let  $f: (X; *, \supset) \rightarrow (Y; *, \supset)$  be a mapping nonempty sets  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy subset of  $X$ , then the fuzzy subset  $\beta$  of  $Y$  defined by:

$$f(\mu)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\mu(k) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{k \in X, f(k) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ \supset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is said to be **the image of  $\mu$  under  $f$** .

Similarly if  $\beta$  is a fuzzy subset of  $Y$ , then the fuzzy subset  $\mu = (\beta \circ f)$  of  $X$  (i.e the fuzzy subset defined by

$$\mu(x) = \beta(f(x)), \text{ for all}$$

$k \in X$ ) is called **the pre-image of  $\beta$  under  $f$** .

**Definition 2.22 ([29]).** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a set  $X$  has sup property if for any subset  $T$  of  $X$ , there exist  $t_0 \in T$  such that  $\mu(t_0) = \sup\{\mu(t) \mid t \in T\}$ .

**Proposition 2.23 ([5]).** Let  $f: (X; *, \supset) \rightarrow (Y; *, \supset)$  be a homomorphism between BZ-algebras  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

1- For every fuzzy subalgebra  $\beta$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\beta)$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

2- For every fuzzy subalgebra  $\mu$  of  $X$ ,  $f(\mu)$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of  $Y$ .

3- For every fuzzy ideal  $\beta$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(\beta)$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

4- For every fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of  $X$  with sup property,  $f(\mu)$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $Y$ , where  $f$  is onto.

Now, we will recall the concept of interval-valued fuzzy subsets.

**Remark 2.24[1,8].** An interval number is  $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ , where

$$\supset \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1. \text{ Let } I \text{ be a closed unit interval, (i.e., } I = [\supset, 1]).$$

Let  $D[\supset, 1]$  denote the family of all closed subintervals of  $I = [\supset, 1]$ , that is,  $D[\supset, 1] = \{\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \mid a^- \leq a^+, \text{ for } a^-, a^+ \in I\}$ .

Now, we define what is known as refined minimum (briefly,  $\text{rmin}$ ) of two element in  $D[\supset, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.25[1,7].** We also define the symbols  $(\succcurlyeq)$ ,  $(\preccurlyeq)$ ,  $(=)$ ,  $\text{rmin}$  and  $\text{rmax}$  in case of two elements in  $D[\supset, 1]$ . Consider two interval numbers (elements numbers)

$$\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+], \tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+] \text{ in } D[\supset, 1] : \text{ Then}$$

(1)  $\tilde{a} \succcurlyeq \tilde{b}$  if and only if,  $a^- \geq b^-$  and  $a^+ \geq b^+$ ,

(2)  $\tilde{a} \preccurlyeq \tilde{b}$  if and only if,  $a^- \leq b^-$  and  $a^+ \leq b^+$ ,

(3)  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$  if and only if,  $a^- = b^-$  and  $a^+ = b^+$ ,

(4)  $\text{rmin}\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\min\{a^-, b^-\}, \min\{a^+, b^+\}]$ ,

(5)  $\text{rmax}\{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\max\{a^-, b^-\}, \max\{a^+, b^+\}]$ ,

**Remark 2.26 [1,7].** It is obvious that  $(D[\supset, 1], \preccurlyeq, \vee, \wedge)$  is a complete lattice with  $\tilde{\supset} = [\supset, \supset]$  as its least element and  $\tilde{1} = [1, 1]$  a its greatest element. Let  $\tilde{a}_i \in D[\supset, 1]$  where  $i \in \Lambda$ . We

define  $\text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = [\text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \text{rinf}_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+]$ ,  $\text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a}_i = [\text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^-, \text{rsup}_{i \in \Lambda} a_i^+]$ .

**Definition 2.27[1,7].** An **interval-valued fuzzy subset  $\tilde{\mu}_A$  on  $X$**  is defined as

$$\tilde{\mu}_A = \{ \langle k, [\mu_A^-(k), \mu_A^+(k)] \rangle \mid k \in X \}. \text{ Where } \mu_A^-(k) \leq \mu_A^+(k), \text{ for all } k \in X. \text{ Then the ordinary fuzzy subsets } \mu_A^- : X \rightarrow [\supset, 1] \text{ and } \mu_A^+ : X \rightarrow [\supset, 1] \text{ are called a } \mathbf{lower\ fuzzy\ subset\ and\ an\ upper\ fuzzy\ subset\ of\ } \tilde{\mu}_A \mathbf{ respectively.}$$

Let  $\tilde{\mu}_A(k) = [\mu_A^-(k), \mu_A^+(k)]$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_A : X \rightarrow D[\supset, 1]$ , then  $A = \{ \langle k, \tilde{\mu}_A(k) \rangle \mid k \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.28([1,7]).** Let  $(X; *, \supset)$  be a nonempty set. A cubic set  $\Omega$  in a structure  $\Omega = \{ \langle k, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle \mid k \in X \}$ , which is briefly denoted by  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \lambda_\Omega \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega : X \rightarrow D[\supset, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega$  is an interval-valued fuzzy subset of  $X$  and  $\lambda_\Omega : X \rightarrow [\supset, 1]$ ,  $\lambda_\Omega$  is a fuzzy subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.29([1,7]).** For a family  $\Omega_i = \{ \langle k, \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_i}(k) \rangle \mid k \in X \}$  on fuzzy subsets of  $X$ , where  $i \in \Lambda$

and  $\Lambda$  is index set, we define the join ( $\vee$ ) and meet ( $\wedge$ ) operations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \Omega_i &= (\bigvee_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_i})(k) = \sup\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_i}(k) \mid i \in \Lambda\}, \\ \bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \Omega_i &= (\bigwedge_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_i})(k) = \inf\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_i}(k) \mid i \in \Lambda\}, \end{aligned}$$

### 3. $\delta$ -dot Cubic Subalgebras of BZ-algebra

In this section, we will introduce a new notion called cubic subalgebras of BZ-algebra and study several properties of it.

**Definition 3.1[19].** Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be a BZ-algebra. A cubic set

$\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is called **cubic subalgebra of  $X$**  if, for all  $x, y \in X$ :  
 $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k * y) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y)\}$ , and  $\lambda_\Omega(k * y) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(y)\}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be a BZ-algebra. A cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is called  **$\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$**  if

$\delta \in (\sqsupset, 1]$ , for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \cdot \delta$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta = \lambda_\Omega(k) \cdot \delta$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{\sqsupset, 1, 2, 3\}$  in which the operation  $*$  as in example  $*$  be defined by the following table:

*	$\sqsupset$	1	2	3
$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
1	1	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
2	2	2	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
3	3	3	3	$\sqsupset$

Then  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  is a BZ-algebra. Define a cubic set  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is fuzzy subset  $\mu: X \rightarrow [\sqsupset, 1]$  by:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.9] & \text{if } k = \{\sqsupset, 1\} \\ [0.1, 0.6] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lambda_\Omega = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } x = \{\sqsupset, 1\} \\ 0.6 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Define a cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  and  $\delta = 0.5$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \begin{cases} [0.15, 0.45] & \text{if } x = \{\sqsupset, 1\} \\ [0.05, 0.3] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0.05 & \text{if } x = \{\sqsupset, 1\} \\ 0.3 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The  $\delta$ -dot cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be a BZ-algebra, then it is clearly that

$$\Omega^1 = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^1(k), \lambda_\Omega^1(k) \rangle = \Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be a BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $X$  such that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , where  $\delta \in (\sqsupset, 1]$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k * y) \cdot \delta \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta$ , and  $\lambda_\Omega(k * y) \cdot \delta \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta$ .

**Proof.** For all  $k, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k * y) \cdot \delta \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k) \cdot \delta, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &= \lambda_\Omega(k * y) \cdot \delta \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega(k) \cdot \delta, \lambda_\Omega(y) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of a BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  is a generalization of a cubic subalgebra of  $X$  and a cubic subalgebra of  $X$  is special case, when  $\delta = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  be a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x)$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k)$ , for all

$x \in X$ .

**Proof.** For all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(\sqsupset * x) \cdot \delta \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset * x), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x)\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \min\{[\mu_A^-(\sqsupset * x), \mu_A^-(x)], [\mu_A^+(\sqsupset * x), \mu_A^+(x)]\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \min\{[\mu_A^-(\sqsupset), \mu_A^-(x)], [\mu_A^+(\sqsupset), \mu_A^+(x)]\} \cdot \delta \\ &= [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] \cdot \delta \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \cdot \delta \\ &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x)\} = \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.7.** If a  $\delta$ -dot cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra, then  $\Omega^\delta(k * y) = \Omega^\delta(k * ((y * \sqsupset) * \sqsupset))$ , for all  $k, y \in X$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $X$  be a BZ-algebra and  $x, y \in X$ , then we know that  $y = (y * \sqsupset) * 0$ . Hence,  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * ((y * \sqsupset) * \sqsupset))$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) = \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * ((y * \sqsupset) * \sqsupset))$ . Therefore  $\Omega^\delta(k * y) = \Omega^\delta(k * ((y * \sqsupset) * \sqsupset))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.**

Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be a BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subset of  $X$  such that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , for some  $\delta \in (\sqsupset, 1]$ , then  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Assume that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$  for some  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x * y) \cdot \delta &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x * y) \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \cdot \delta, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta. \\ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x * y) &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y)\} \text{ and so} \\ \lambda_\Omega(x * y) \cdot \delta &= \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x * y) \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(x), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega(x) \cdot \delta, \lambda_\Omega(y) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega(x), \lambda_\Omega(y)\} \cdot \delta. \\ \lambda_\Omega(x * y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega(x), \lambda_\Omega(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subset of  $X$  such that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , for some  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , then the cubic  $\Omega$  of  $X$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\delta$ -dot cubic  $\Omega^\delta$  of  $X$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \mu(x) \cdot \delta = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x)$ , and  $\lambda_\Omega(x) \geq \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x)$  then  $\Omega(k)$  is a fuzzy S-extension of  $\Omega^\delta(k)$ , for all  $k \in X$  and since  $\Omega$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $\Omega^\delta$  of  $\mu$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra, by Proposition (3.8).  $\square$

**Definition 3.10[19].**

For a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of an BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \supseteq)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , with  $t \leq \delta$ , let  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s) = \{k \in X \mid \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k) \geq \tilde{t}, \lambda_\Omega(k) \leq s\}$ .

**Proposition 3.11.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  If  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , then for all  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , with  $t \leq \delta$ , then the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Assume that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$  and let  $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , be such that  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $k, y \in X$  such that  $k, y \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) \geq \tilde{t}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y) \geq \tilde{t}$  and

$\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \leq s$ ,  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(y) \leq s$ . Since  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \geq \tilde{t} \text{ and } \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) \leq \\ \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\} &\leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12.** Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset

$\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$ . If the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$ , for all  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , with  $t \leq \delta$ , then  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Suppose that  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is a subalgebra of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) < \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\}$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) > \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\}$ .

Consider  $\tilde{\delta} = 1/2 \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) + \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \}$  and

$$\delta = 1/2 \{ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) + \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \}.$$

We have  $\tilde{\delta} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ , and  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) < \tilde{\delta} < \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\}$ , and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) > \delta > \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\}$ .

It follows that  $x, y \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ , and  $(k * y) \notin \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ . This is a contradiction and therefore  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset

$\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$  if and only if,  $\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}$ , and  $\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}$  are fuzzy subalgebras of  $X$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta$  are anti-fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}$ ,  $\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta$  be fuzzy subalgebras of  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k * y) &\geq \min\{\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y)\}, \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k * y) \geq \\ \min\{\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)\} &\text{ and} \\ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\}. \text{ Now,} \\ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &= [\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k * y)] \\ &\geq [\min\{\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y)\}, \\ \min\{\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)\}] & \\ &= \min\{[\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k)], [\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)]\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $\Omega$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , for any  $k, y \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k * y)] &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)\} \\ &= \min\{[\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k)], [\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)]\} \\ &= [\min\{\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y)\}, \\ \min\{\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)\}] & \\ &= [\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y)], \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k * y) &\geq \min\{\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{-\delta}(y)\}, \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k * y) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(k), \mu_\Omega^{+\delta}(y)\} \text{ and} \\ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_\Omega^{-\delta}$  and  $\mu_\Omega^{+\delta}$  are fuzzy subalgebras of  $X$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta$  are anti-fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.14.**

Let  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $X$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (\supseteq, 1]$ . If  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1$ , then the  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra  $\Omega^{\delta_2}$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\Omega^{\delta_1}$   $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

**Proof:** For every  $k \in X$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (\supseteq, 1]$  and  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta_2} ( k ) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta_2 \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta_1 = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta_1} ( k ), \text{ and} \\ \lambda_\Omega^{\delta_2} ( k ) &= \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta_2 \geq \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta_1 = \lambda_\Omega^{\delta_1} ( k ), \text{ then} \\ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta_2} ( k ) &\geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta_1} ( k ), \text{ and } \lambda_\Omega^{\delta_2} ( k ) \geq \lambda_\Omega^{\delta_1} ( k ), \text{ therefore } \Omega^{\delta_2} \\ &\text{is a fuzzy S-extension of } \Omega^{\delta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $X$ , then  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $\mu$ , by Proposition (3.8). Hence  $\Omega^{\delta_2}$  of  $X$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra  $\Omega^{\delta_1}$  of  $X$ .  $\square$

**4.  $\delta$ -dot Cubic Ideals of BZ-algebra**

In this section, we shall define the notion of  $\delta$ -dot cubic of ideals, and we study some of the relations, theorems, propositions and examples of  $\delta$ -dot cubic of ideals of BZ-algebra.

**Definition 4.1.**

Let  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A cubic set  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  of  $X$  is called **cubic ideal of  $X$**  if, for all  $x, y \in X$ :

- (1)  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( \supseteq ) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( x )$  and  $\lambda_\Omega ( \supseteq ) \leq \lambda_\Omega ( k )$ ,
- (2)  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( y ) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k )\}$  and  $\lambda_\Omega ( y ) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega ( k * y ), \lambda_\Omega ( k )\}$ .

**Definition 4.2.**

Let  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  of  $X$  is called  **$\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$**  if it satisfies the following conditions: for all  $x, y \in X$ :

- (1)  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( x )$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( x )$ ,
- (2)  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( y ) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k )\}$  and  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( y ) \leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k )\}$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = \{ \supseteq, 1, 2, 3 \}$  in which the operation as in example \* be define by the following table:

*	$\supseteq$	1	2	3
$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$
1	1	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$
2	2	2	$\supseteq$	$\supseteq$
3	3	3	3	$\supseteq$

Then  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  is an BZ-algebra. Define a cubic set  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  of  $X$  is fuzzy subset  $\mu: X \rightarrow [ \supseteq, 1 ]$  by:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) = \begin{cases} [ 0.3, 0.9 ] & \text{if } x = \{ \supseteq, 2 \} \\ [ 0.1, 0.6 ] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda_\Omega = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } x = \{ \supseteq, 2 \} \\ 0.6 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define a cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  of  $X$  and  $\delta = 0.4$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ) = \begin{cases} [ 0.12, 0.32 ] & \text{if } x = \{ \supseteq, 2 \} \\ [ 0.04, 0.24 ] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \lambda_\Omega^\delta = \begin{cases} 0.04 & \text{if } x = \{ \supseteq, 2 \} \\ 0.24 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The  $\delta$ -dot cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 4.4.**

If  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  is a cubic ideal of  $X$ , then  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , where  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ .

**Proof :**

Assume that  $\Omega$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$  and let  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ . Then for all  $x, y \in X$ .

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( \supseteq ) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( \supseteq ) \cdot \delta \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \text{ and so } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k )$$

$$\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) = \lambda_\Omega ( \supseteq ) \cdot \delta \leq \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta = \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \text{ and so } \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k )$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( y ) &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( y ) \cdot \delta \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k )\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ) \cdot \delta, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k )\}. \text{ And} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( y ) &= \lambda_\Omega ( y ) \cdot \delta \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega ( k * y ), \lambda_\Omega ( k )\} \cdot \delta \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega ( k * y ) \cdot \delta, \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k )\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.**

Let  $(X ; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  is a cubic subset of  $X$  such that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , for some  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ , then  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ), \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \rangle$  is a cubic ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Assume that  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k ) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$  for some  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ . Let  $k, y, z \in X$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \cdot \delta \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( x ) \cdot \delta = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( x )$  and so  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k )$ .

$$\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) = \lambda_\Omega ( \supseteq ) \cdot \delta \leq \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta = \lambda_\Omega ( k ) \text{ and so } \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( \supseteq ) \leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k )$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( y ) \cdot \delta &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( y ) \\ &\geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta ( k )\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ) \cdot \delta, \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k ) \cdot \delta\} \\ &= \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k )\} \cdot \delta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( y ) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k * y ), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega ( k )\} \text{ and so } \lambda_\Omega ( y ) \cdot \delta = \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( y )$$

$$\leq \max\{\lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k * y ), \lambda_\Omega^\delta ( k )\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Omega}(k * y) \cdot \delta, \lambda_{\Omega}(k) \cdot \delta\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_{\Omega}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}(k)\} \cdot \delta. \\
 \lambda_{\Omega}(y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Omega}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}(k)\} \\
 \text{Hence } \Omega &= \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}(k) \rangle \text{ is a cubic ideal of } X.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.6.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subset of  $X$  such that  $\Omega^{\delta} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \rangle$  is  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , for some  $\delta \in [\supseteq, 1]$ , then then the cubic  $\Omega$  of  $X$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\delta$ -dot cubic  $\Omega^{\delta}$  of  $X$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(k) \geq \mu(k) \cdot \delta = \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)$ , and  $\lambda_{\Omega}(k) \geq \lambda_{\Omega}(k) \cdot \delta = \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)$  then  $\Omega(k)$  is a fuzzy S-extension of  $\Omega^{\delta}(k)$ , for all  $k \in X$  and since  $\Omega$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then  $\Omega^{\delta}$  of  $\mu$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal, by Proposition (4.4). □

**Proposition 4.6.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset  $\Omega^{\delta} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \rangle$  of  $X$ . If  $\Omega^{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic AB-ideal of  $X$ , then for all  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[\supseteq, 1]$  and  $s \in [\supseteq, 1]$ , with  $t \leq \delta$ , then the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is an ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Assume that  $\Omega^{\delta} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$  and let  $\tilde{t} \in D[\supseteq, 1]$  and  $s \in [\supseteq, 1]$ , be such that  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $k * y \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y) \geq \tilde{t}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y) \leq s$ ,  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \leq s$ .

Since  $\Omega^{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , we get  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\} \geq \tilde{t}$  and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) \leq \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\} \leq s$ .

Hence the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is an ideal of  $X$ . □

**Proposition 4.7.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset  $\Omega^{\delta} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \rangle$  of  $X$ . If the set  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is an ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[\supseteq, 1]$  and  $s \in [\supseteq, 1]$ , with  $t \leq \delta$ , then  $\Omega^{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Suppose that  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is an ideal of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$  be such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y)\} \text{ and } \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) > \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}.$$

Consider  $\tilde{\delta} = 1/2 \{ \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) + \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\} \}$  and

$$\delta = 1/2 \{ \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) + \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\} \}.$$

We have  $\tilde{\delta} \in D[\supseteq, 1]$  and  $\delta \in (\supseteq, 1]$ , and  $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) < \tilde{\delta} < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}$ , and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) > \delta > \text{max}\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}$ .

It follows that  $x * y, x \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ , and  $(y) \notin \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$ . This is a contradiction and therefore  $\Omega^{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ . □

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra. A  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset

$\Omega^{\delta} = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$  if and only if,  $\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}$  and  $\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}$  are fuzzy ideal s of  $X$  and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}$  are anti-fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}, \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}$  and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}$  be fuzzy ideal s of  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k)\}, \\
 \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)\} \text{ and} \\
 \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}. \text{ Now,} \\
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) &= [\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(y)] \\
 &\geq [\min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k)\}, \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)\}] \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{[\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k * y)], [\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)]\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}, \text{ therefore } \Omega \text{ is a } \delta\text{-dot cubic ideal of } X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that  $\Omega^{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , for any  $k, y \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(y)] &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\} \\
 &= \text{rmin}\{[\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k * y)], [\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)]\} \\
 &= [\min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y)\}, \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)\}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}(k)\}, \\
 \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k * y), \mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}(k)\} \text{ and} \\
 \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(y) &\leq \max\{\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k * y), \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}(k)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_{\Omega}^{-\delta}$  and  $\mu_{\Omega}^{+\delta}$  are fuzzy ideals of  $X$  and  $\lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta}$  is anti-fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . □

**Proposition 4.9.**

Let  $(X; *, \supseteq)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(k), \lambda_{\Omega}(k) \rangle$  is a cubic ideal of  $X$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (\supseteq, 1]$ . If  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1$ , then the  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $\Omega^{\delta_2}$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\Omega^{\delta_1}$   $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ .

**Proof:**

For every  $k \in X$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (\supseteq, 1]$  and  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta_2}(x) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x) \cdot \delta_2 \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x) \cdot \delta_1 = \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}^{\delta_1}(x), \text{ and} \\
 \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta_2}(x) &= \lambda_{\Omega}(x) \cdot \delta_2 \geq \lambda_{\Omega}(x) \cdot \delta_1 = \lambda_{\Omega}^{\delta_1}(x), \text{ then}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta 2}(x) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^{\delta 1}(x)$ , and  $\lambda_\Omega^{\delta 2}(x) \geq \lambda_\Omega^{\delta 1}(x)$ , therefore  $\Omega^{\delta 2}$  is a fuzzy S-extension of  $\Omega^{\delta 1}$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is a cubic ideal of  $X$ , then  $\Omega^\delta$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $\mu$ , by Proposition (4.4).

Hence  $\Omega^{\delta 2}$  of  $X$  is a fuzzy S-extension of the  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $\Omega^{\delta 1}$  of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.**

Every  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of an BZ-algebra  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  be an BZ-algebra and  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  is a cubic ideal of  $X$  and  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset of  $X$ .

Since  $\Omega^\delta$  is an  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , then by Proposition (4.6), for every  $\delta \in (\sqsupset, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[\sqsupset, 1]$  and  $s \in [\sqsupset, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s) = \{k \in X \mid \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k) \geq \tilde{t}, \lambda_\Omega(k) \leq s\}$ , is ideal of  $X$ .

By Proposition (2.9), for every  $\delta \in (\sqsupset, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{t} \in D[\sqsupset, 1]$  and  $s \in [\sqsupset, 1]$ ,  $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, s)$  is subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

Hence  $\mu$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$  by Proposition (3.12).  $\square$

**Remark 4.11.** The converse of proposition (4.10) is not true as the following example:

**Example 4.12.** Let  $X = \{ \sqsupset, 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$  in which  $(*)$  is defined by the following table:

*	$\sqsupset$	1	2	3	4
$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
1	1	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
2	2	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
3	3	2	1	$\sqsupset$	$\sqsupset$
4	4	3	4	3	$\sqsupset$

Then  $(X; *, \sqsupset)$  is an BZ-algebra. Define a cubic set  $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k), \lambda_\Omega(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  is fuzzy subset  $\mu: X \rightarrow [\sqsupset, 1]$  by:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(k) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.9] & \text{if } x = \{ \sqsupset, 1, 2 \} \\ [0.1, 0.6] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\Omega = \begin{cases} 0.1 & \text{if } x = \{ \sqsupset, 1, 2 \} \\ 0.6 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define a  $\delta$ -dot cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  and  $\delta = 0.4$  as follows:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \begin{cases} [0.12, 0.32] & \text{if } x = \{ \sqsupset, 1, 2 \} \\ [0.04, 0.24] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\Omega^\delta = \begin{cases} 0.04 & \text{if } x = \{ \sqsupset, 1, 2 \} \\ 0.24 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The  $\delta$ -dot cubic set  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is not a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .

Note that  $\lambda_\Omega$  is not an anti-fuzzy ideal of  $X$  since

$$\lambda_\Omega(4 * 2) = \lambda_\Omega(4) = 0.24 > 0.04 = \max\{\lambda_\Omega((4 * 1) * 2), \lambda_\Omega(1)\} = \max\{\lambda_\Omega(3 * 2), \lambda_\Omega(1)\} = \max\{\lambda_\Omega(1), \lambda_\Omega(1)\} = \lambda_\Omega(1).$$

Hence  $\Omega^\delta$  is not  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ .

**5. Homomorphism of  $\delta$ -dot Cubic ideals ( subalgebras) of BZ-algebra**

In this section, we will present some results on images and preimages of

$\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $s$  of BZ-algebras.

**Definition 5.1[3].**

Let  $(X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be a mapping from the set  $X$  to a set  $Y$ . If  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset of  $X$ , then the cubic subset  $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta, \lambda_\beta \rangle$  of  $Y$  defined by:

$$f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta)(y) = \begin{cases} \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{k \in X, f(k) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ \sqsupset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\lambda_\Omega^\delta)(y) = \begin{cases} \text{inf}_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(k) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is said to be **the image of  $\Omega$  under  $f$** .

Similarly if  $\beta^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset of  $Y$ , then the cubic subset  $\Omega^\delta = (\beta^\delta \circ f)$  in  $X$  (i.e., the  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \text{ for all } k \in X$$

is called **the preimage of  $\beta$  under  $f$** ).

**Theorem 5.2.** A homomorphic preimage of  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra is also

$\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra.

**Proof.** Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be homomorphism from an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ .

If  $\beta^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a cubic subalgebra of  $Y$  and  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  the preimage of  $\beta^\delta$  under  $f$ , then

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \text{ for all } k \in X.$$

Let  $k \in X$ , then

$$(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(\sqsupset)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \text{ and } (\lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(\sqsupset)) \leq \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)) = \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k).$$

Now, let  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k * y) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k * y)) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k) *' f(y))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(y)) \} \\ &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y) \}, \text{ and} \\ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k * y) &= \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k * y)) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k) * f(y)) \\ &\leq \max \{ \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)), \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(y)) \} \\ &= \max \{ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y) \}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.3.** Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be a mapping from a set  $X$  into a set  $Y$ .  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subset of  $X$  has **sup and inf properties** if for any subset  $T$  of  $X$ , there exist  $t, s \in T$  such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) = \text{rsup}_{t \in T} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) \text{ and } \lambda_\Omega^\delta(s) = \text{inf}_{s \in T} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(s).$$

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be an epimorphism from an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ . For every  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra

$\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  with **sup and inf properties**, then  $f(\Omega^\delta)$  is a

$\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $Y$ .

**Proof.** By definition  $\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') = f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)) = \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x)$  and  $\lambda_\beta^\delta(y') = f(\lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)) = \text{inf}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x)$  for all  $y' \in Y$  and  $\text{rsup}(\emptyset) = [\sqsupset, \sqsupset]$  and  $\text{inf}(\emptyset) = \sqsupset$ . We have prove that  $\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k' * y') \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') \}$ , and  $\lambda_\beta^\delta(k' * y') \leq \max \{ \lambda_\beta^\delta(k'), \lambda_\beta^\delta(y') \}$ , for all  $k', y' \in Y$ .  
 $\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k' * y') = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(k' * y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k \sqsupset y \sqsupset)$   
 $\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k \sqsupset), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y \sqsupset) \}$   
 $\geq \text{rmin} \{ \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(k')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t), \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) \}$   
 $= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') \}$  and  
 $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k' * y') = \text{inf}_{t \in f^{-1}(k' * y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t)$   
 $\leq \max \{ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k \sqsupset), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y \sqsupset) \}$   
 $= \max \{ \text{inf}_{t \in f^{-1}(k')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t), \text{inf}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t) \}$   
Hence,  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.**

A homomorphic pre-image of  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal is also  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal.

**Proof.**

Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be homomorphism from an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ .

If  $\beta^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $Y$  and  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  the pre-image of  $\beta^\delta$  under  $f$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k))$ ,  $\lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k))$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $k \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset)) &= \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(\sqsupset)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \text{ and } (\lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset)) \\ &= \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(\sqsupset)) \leq \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(k). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $x, y \in X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y) &= \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(y)) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k * y)), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(k)) \} \\ &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k * (y * z)), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y) \}, \text{ and} \\ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y) &= \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(y)) \leq \max \{ \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(x * y)), \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(k)) \} \\ &= \max \{ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x * y), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.6.**

Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be an epimorphism from an BZ-algebra  $X$  into an BZ-algebra  $Y$ . For every  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  of  $X$  with **sup and inf properties**, then  $f(\Omega^\delta)$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $Y$ .

**Proof.**

By definition  $\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') = f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y)) = \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x)$  and  $\lambda_\beta^\delta(y') = f(\lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)) = \text{inf}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x)$  for all  $y' \in Y$  and  $\text{rsup}(\emptyset) = [\sqsupset, \sqsupset]$  and  $\text{inf}(\emptyset) = \sqsupset$ . We have prove that  $\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k' * y'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k') \}$ , and  $\lambda_\beta^\delta(k' * z') \leq \max \{ \lambda_\beta^\delta(k' * y'), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k') \}$ , for all  $k', y' \in Y$ .

Let  $f: (X; *, \sqsupset) \rightarrow (Y; *', \sqsupset')$  be epimorphism of BZ-algebras,

$\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$  has sup and inf properties and  $\beta^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k) \rangle$  the image of  $\Omega$  under  $f$ .

Since  $\Omega^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of  $X$ , we have

$$(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) \text{ and } \lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k), \text{ for all } k \in X$$

Note that,  $\sqsupset \in f^{-1}(\sqsupset')$  where  $\sqsupset, \sqsupset'$  are the zero of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(\sqsupset') &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(\sqsupset')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \\ &\geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k) = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(k')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k'), \text{ and} \\ \lambda_\beta^\delta(\sqsupset') &= \text{inf}_{t \in f^{-1}(\sqsupset')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t) = \lambda_\Omega^\delta(\sqsupset) \\ &\leq \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k) = \text{inf}_{t \in f^{-1}(k')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t) = \lambda_\beta^\delta(k'), \text{ for all } k \in X, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(\sqsupset') \geq \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k') \text{ and } \lambda_\beta^\delta(\sqsupset') \leq \lambda_\beta^\delta(k'), \text{ for all } k' \in Y.$$

For any  $k', y' \in Y$ , let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(k')$  and  $y_0 \in f^{-1}(y')$  be such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') = f(\lambda_\Omega^\delta(y)) = \text{inf}_{x \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(x \sqsupset y \sqsupset) = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x * y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t), \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y \sqsupset) = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t). \text{ then}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y \sqsupset) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(f(y \sqsupset))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') \\
 &= \text{rsup}_{(y \supset) \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y \supset) \\
 &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t). \text{ Also,} \\
 \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k \supset * y \supset) &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(k * y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(k \supset) = \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(k)} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y \supset) &= \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(y \supset)) \\
 &= \lambda_\beta^\delta(f(y')) \\
 &= \inf_{(y \supset) \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y \supset) \\
 &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t). \text{ Then}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(y') &= \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(y \supset) \\
 &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k_0 * y_0), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(k_0) \}, \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * y')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t), \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega^\delta(t) \} \\
 &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(x' * y'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(x') \} \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_\Omega^\delta(y') &= \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t) \\
 &\leq \max \{ \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x \supset * y \supset), \lambda_\Omega^\delta(x \supset) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x' * y')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t), \inf_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \lambda_\Omega^\delta(t) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\beta^\delta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta^\delta(k), \lambda_\beta^\delta(k) \rangle$  is a  $\delta$ -dot cubic ideal of

. □

## References

- [1] A.T. Hameed and B.H. Hadi, (2018), **Anti-Fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebras**, Journal Al-Qadisyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, vol.10, no.363-74.
- [2] A.T. Hameed and B.H. Hadi, (2018), **Cubic Fuzzy AT-subalgebras and Fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebra**, World Wide Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, vol.4, no.434-44.
- [3] A.T. Hameed and B.H. Hadi, 2018, **Intuitionistic Fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebras**, Journal of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, vol.10, 10-Special.
- [4] A.T. Hameed and B.N. Abbas, (2017), **Ideals of BZ-algebras**, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol.11, no.35, pp:1715-1723.
- [5] A.T. Hameed and B.N. Abbas, (2018), **Derivation of ideals and fuzzy ideals of BZ-algebra**, LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2018.
- [6] A.T. Hameed and B.N. Abbas, **On Some Properties of BZ-algebras**, Algebra Letters, vol.7, pp:1-12.
- [7] A.T. Hameed and B.N. Abbas, (2018), **Some properties of fuzzy ideal of BZ-algebras**, Journal of AL-Qadisyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, vol.10, no. 1, pp:1-7.
- [8] A.T. Hameed and E.K. Kadhim, 2020, **Interval-valued IFAT-ideals of AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), pp:1-5.
- [9] A.T. Hameed and N.H. Malik, (2021), **( $\beta, \alpha$ )-Fuzzy Magnified Translations of AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-13.
- [10] A.T. Hameed and N.H. Malik, (2021), **Magnified translation of intuitionistic fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebra**, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, (2021), pp:1-7.
- [11] A.T. Hameed and N.J. Raheem, (2020), **Hyper SA-algebra**, International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS), vol.4, Issue 8, pp.127-136.
- [12] A.T. Hameed and N.J. Raheem, (2021), **Interval-valued Fuzzy SA-ideals with Degree ( $\lambda, \kappa$ ) of SA-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-13.
- [13] A.T. Hameed, F. F. Kareem and S.H. Ali, 2021, **Hyper Fuzzy AT-ideals of AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), pp:1-15.
- [14] A.T. Hameed, H.A. Faleh and A.H. Abed, (2021), **Fuzzy Ideals of BZ-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-7.
- [15] A.T. Hameed, I.H. Ghazi and A.H. Abed, (2020), **Fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation ideal of BZ-algebras**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2020, pp:1-19.
- [16] A.T. Hameed, N.J. Raheem and A.H. Abed, (2021), **Anti-fuzzy SA-ideals with Degree ( $\lambda, \kappa$ ) of SA-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-16.