# Vocational Agricultural Education: Veritable Tool for Inculcating Entrepreneurial Skills in Youths of Bayelsa State

Obiyai, K.K. Ph.D

Department of Vocational and Technology Education, Faculty of Education, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State

osemenees@gmail.com

Abstract: The work examined Vocational Agricultural Education (VAE), a veritable tool for inculcating entrepreneurial skills in youths of Bayelsa State. The study adopted survey research design. The population was ninety-eight (98) graduands of Agricultural Education from the Niger Delta University (NDU), Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State. Purposeful sampling technique was used to draw out thirty (30) gradaunds of Agricultural Education Programme from 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 academic sessions respectively. The instrument of the study was a well-designed questionnaire in a four (4) Likert Scale with a decision mean point of 2.50. The questionnaire was validated by three experts in the Department of Vocational and Technology Education of NDU. While reliability was carried out with Cronbach Alpha coefficient test which gave a reliability coefficient of 0.62. The questionnaire was administered to thirty gradaunds that served as the sample for the study. The questionnaire had two parts, namely A and B. The A part deals with ex-students on identification of entrepreneurial skills available in Vocational Agricultural Education Programme. While, B was on the Focus Discussion Group (FDG) of graduands on empowerment offered by their training in VAEP to earn learning. The data collected for part A was analyzed using descriptive mean statistics and standard deviation with an acceptance of minimum mean value of  $\geq 2.50$  as accepted skill. The FGD data was analyzed using mean ranking which was subjected to Kendall's coefficient of concordance at 0.05% level of significance. The findings of the study showed their ability to provide training and employ others appeared weak but agreed that there are numerous factors militating against full realization of the aims of the VAE Programmes. It was therefore recommended that there is need to revisit the VAE programme. Provision is practical-oriented staff to perform regular supervising visits and commitment of more resources to enable AVEP to be more effective.

# Keywords: Entrepreneurial Skills, Inculcating, Veritable Tool, Vocational Agricultural Education, Youths

### INTRODUCTION

Vocational Agricultural Education is the imparting of vocational knowledge, skills to effect attitudinal change in youths towards agricultural production processes (Umoh, 2006). This is to empower the youths to acquire the basic agricultural production argued that principles and production practices. According to Wikipedia (2016) assured that such empowerments can be achieved through "hands-on-experience and guidance" for self-reliant. Tiough and Agishi (2004) reported that vocational agricultural education could also be an avenue to effect positive attitudinal change towards agriculture education in established farmers.

Consequently, vocational agricultural education programmes are often designed to offer the basic skill and knowledge

required to ensure sustainable interest in agriculture after undergoing formal training. Surprisingly and most often, graduates of vocational agricultural education programmes end up unemployed or could not practice practical agriculture (Amadi and Ekezie, 2018). In fact in Bayelsa State of Nigeria, the resultant is massive effect unemployment of graduates of agricultural education programmes. This has kept them in perpetual economic bondage and frustration (Obiyai, 2014), which is contributing to increase in social vices such as pipeline vandalism, kidnapping, hostage taking and general youths restiveness (Idoko, 2016). This unfortunate situation according to Arokoya and Ndeobi (2014) could be that the

available vocational agricultural education programmes in higher institutions may not be providing the needed career guidance, experiences and competences for participation in entrepreneurship in agricultural production. In addition, available programmes may not be well-tailored to provide hands-on training and experiences in agricultural production techniques for trainees self reliant. Nnodim and John-West (2016) attributed the present predicament to the teacher's lack of competence in imparting the required skills. Whereas, Amadi and Ekezie (2018) argued that the present day vocational agricultural education programmes may not sufficiently embody hands on training experience for the trainees self reliance at the completion of their training scheme. In Fact, it has earlier been advocated (Oroko, 2014) that an effective starting point of any vocational education scheme is the identification of available entrepreneurial skills addressed by the training programme. It is against this background, this study was conducted.

### PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The Purpose of the study is to examine and identify what skills are embedded in higher institutions vocational agricultural programmes, the extent they empower trainees to be self-reliant and militating factors. Specifically the study's objectives are:

1. Identify what vocational skills are embedded in higher institutions' agricultural education programmes in Bayelsa State.

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November - 2023, Pages: 210-214

- 2. Examine the extent these identified skills empowered grandaunts to be self-reliant, hence reducing unemployment and poverty especially in Crop production (cassava), Livestock Production (Poultry) and Fish Production (Fish Farming)
- 3. Identify factors militating against full implementation of vocational Agricultural Education Programmes.

# METHODOLOGY

The Study was conducted in Bayelsa State in the Niger Delta, Nigeria located between Longitude 4.30° North and Latitude 6.0° East (Dada, et el 2007). The State is bisected by numerous rivers, creeks and creek lets. Consequently, fishing and farming including trading are the main occupation of the residents. Purposeful sampling was used to draw out and thirty graduands of Agricultural Education Program from the Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa especially 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 academic sessions. The sample population was randomly selected from the Niger Delta University (NDU) Alumni records.

The Instrument of study was a well-designed questionnaire in a 4 points Likert scale with a decision point of 2.50. The questionnaire was validated by experts in the Department of Vocational and Technical Education of NDU. Reliability was carried out with Crombach and Alpha coefficient test which gave a reliability coefficient 0.62. The questionnaire was in two parts (A & B). Part A sought from the graduands responses if the programme of study embedded elements of Entrepreneurial skills based on the Likert Scale of Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD). In hence part B, the sample population was arranged into three (3) groups hence each academic session constituted a group and Focal Group Discussion (FGD) approach was used to cilicit group response by conscious. Each group at

different setting was asked to rank seven identified entrepreneurial skills area that they can use to earn a living after graduation. The ranking was based on 4 points Likert type scale to Strongly Agree (4), Agree (3), Disagree (2) and Strongly Disagree (1).

Data Collected for Part A was analyzed using, descriptive statistics mean and standard deviation with an acceptance of minimum mean value of  $\geq 2.50$  as accepted skills. The FGD data was analyzed using mean, ranking which was subjected to Kendall's Coefficient of Concordance at 0.05% level of significance.

The Kendall test Statistics is W = 
$$\frac{12 \sum D^2}{M - (N)(N^2 - 1)}$$
 (Downie & Health, 1970)

Where:

W = Kendall Coefficient of Concordance

D = Difference of the Sum of ranks of each session from the overall ranking mean.

 $D^2$ = Square of D

M = Number of academic sessions (Group 3)

N = Total Number of Entrepreneurial skills suggested (7) Empowerment Strategy for earning living & contribute to society.

 $N^2$  = Square of N

 $\Sigma$  = Summation.

If  $W \le 0$ , No agreement but if W => 1, Perfect agreement.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the mean responses of the 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 graduand of Vocational Agricultural Education (VAE) from the Niger Delta University on Entrepreneurial skills embedded in their programme of Study.

Table 1: Mean Responses of Ex-Students on identification of Entrepreneurial skills available in Vocational Agricultural Education Programmes.

S/N		2015 - 2016	2016 - 2017	2017 - 2018	Decision		
		Graduands	Graduands	Graduands			
	Crop Production						
1.	Site Selection	3.47 ±0.62	3.53 ±0.65	4.67 ±0.45	Accepted		
2.	Land Preparation	3.27 ±0.64	3.60 ±0.62	3.43 ± 0.27	Accepted		
3.	Selection of Cultivars	3.17 ±0.98	3.73 ±0.58	3.67 ±0.32	Accepted		
4.	Plant Population Mgt.	2.50± 1.14	3.43 ± 0.77	3.47 ± 0.30	Accepted		

5.         Soil Management         3.13 ±0.73         3.47 ±0.65         3.47 ±0.13         Accepted           6.         Need Management         3.27 ±0.69         3.73 ±0.45         3.80 ±0.13         Accepted           7.         Agrochemical application         3.13 ±0.65         3.43 0.77         3.37 ±0.40         Accepted           8.         Disease & Pest Control         3.17 ±1.45         3.70 ±0.38         3.47 ±0.31         Accepted           9.         Harvesting Mgt.         2.73 ±0.73         3.60 ±0.62         3.47 ±0.31         Accepted           10.         Processing/Marketing         3.10 ±0.06         3.70 ±0.75         3.60 ±0.32         Accepted           Management         Livestock Production         11.         Stock Selection         3.67 ±0.22         3.53±0.72         4.00 ±0.74         Accepted           12.         Selection & Mgt.         3.67 ±0.22         3.53 ±0.02         5.33 ±0.13         Accepted           13.         Stocking Population         3.47 ±0.93         3.33 ±0.50         5.33 ±0.13         Accepted           14.         Stocking house Mgt.         3.67 ±0.48         3.13 ±0.83         3.37 ±0.33         Accepted           15.         Stock Feeding Mgt.         3.53 ±0.62         3.27 ±0.58								
7. Agrochemical application 3.13 ±0.65 3.43 0.77 3.37 ±0.40 Accepted 8. Disease & Pest Control 3.17 ±1.45 3.70 ±0.38 3.47 ±0.30 Accepted 9. Harvesting Mgt. 2.73 ±0.73 3.60 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.31 Accepted 10. Processing/Marketing 3.10 ±0.06 3.70 ±0.75 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management    Livestock Production	5.	Soil Management	3.13 ±0.73	3.47 ±0.65	3.47 ±0.13	Accepted		
8. Disease & Pest Control 3.17 ±1.45 3.70 ±0.38 3.47 ±0.30 Accepted 9. Harvesting Mgt. 2.73 ±0.73 3.60 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.31 Accepted 10. Processing/Marketing 3.10 ±0.06 3.70 ±0.75 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management      Livestock Production	6.	Need Management	3.27 ±0.69	3.73 ±0.45	3.80 ±0.13	Accepted		
9. Harvesting Mgt. 2.73 ±0.73 3.60 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.31 Accepted Management    Livestock Production	7.	Agrochemical application	3.13 ±0.65	3.43 0.77	$3.37 \pm 0.40$	Accepted		
10. Processing/Marketing   3.10±0.06   3.70±0.75   3.60±0.32   Accepted	8.	Disease & Pest Control	3.17 ±1.45	$3.70 \pm 0.38$	3.47 ±0.30	Accepted		
Livestock Production   Stock Selection   3.67 ±0.22   3.53±0.72   4.00 ±0.74   Accepted   System   Stocking   Population   3.47 ± 0.93   3.33 ± 0.50   5.33 ±0.13   Accepted   Stocking   Population   Population   Stocking   Population	9.	Harvesting Mgt.	2.73 ±0.73	3.60 ±0.62	3.47 ±0.31	Accepted		
Livestock Production           11. Stock Selection         3.67 ±0.22         3.53±0.72         4.00 ±0.74         Accepted           12. Selection & Mgt. of Housing System         3.80 ± 0.41         3.60 ±0.73         3.40 ±0.31         Accepted           13. Stocking Population Management         3.47 ± 0.93         3.33 ± 0.50         5.33 ±0.13         Accepted           14. Stocking house Mgt.         3.67 ± 0.48         3.13 ±0.83         3.37 ±0.33         Accepted           15. Stock Feeding Mgt.         3.53 ±0.62         3.27 ±0.58         3.33 ±0.32         Accepted           16. Stock health Mgt.         3.73 ±0.33         3.47 ±0.93         3.60 ±0.27         Accepted           17. Water Management         3.87 ±0.35         3.20 ±0.81         3.20 0.18         Accepted           18. Marketing Systems Systems         3.47 ±0.63         3.47 ±0.51         3.67 ±0.27         Accepted           20.         Fish Farming           21. Production System Selection         3.47 ±0.63         3.27 ±0.51         3.58 ±0.31         Accepted           22. Choice of Mgt. Level         3.63 ±0.63         3.27 ±0.69         3.53 ±0.31         Accepted           23. Site Selection of Species         3.53 ±0.68         3.60 ±0.73         3.27 ±0.26         Accepte	10.	Processing/Marketing	3.10± 0.06	3.70 ± 0.75	3.60±0.32	Accepted		
11. Stock Selection 3.67 ±0.22 3.53±0.72 4.00 ±0.74 Accepted System  12. Selection & Mgt. of Housing 3.80 ± 0.41 3.60 ±0.73 3.40 ±0.31 Accepted System  13. Stocking Population 3.47 ± 0.93 3.33 ± 0.50 5.33 ±0.13 Accepted Management  14. Stocking house Mgt. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.13 ±0.83 3.37 ±0.33 Accepted 15. Stock Feeding Mgt. 3.53 ±0.62 3.27 ±0.58 3.33 ±0.32 Accepted 16. Stock health Mgt. 3.73 ±0.33 3.47 ±0.93 3.60 ±0.27 Accepted 17. Water Management 3.87 ±0.35 3.20 ±0.81 3.20 0.18 Accepted 18. Marketing Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted Management 19. Waste Management 3.63 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.51 3.80 ± 0.60 Accepted 19. Waste Management 3.63 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.51 3.58 ±0.31 Accepted 19. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ±0.63 3.27 ±0.69 3.53 ±0.31 Accepted 19. Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 19. Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 19. Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 19. Selection 6 Species 3.53 ±0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted 19. Selection 19. S		Management						
12. Selection & Mgt. of Housing 3.80 ± 0.41 3.60 ±0.73 3.40 ±0.31 Accepted System  13. Stocking Population 3.47 ± 0.93 3.33 ± 0.50 5.33 ±0.13 Accepted Management  14. Stocking house Mgt. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.13 ±0.83 3.37 ±0.33 Accepted Stock Feeding Mgt. 3.53 ±0.62 3.27 ±0.58 3.33 ±0.32 Accepted Stock health Mgt. 3.73 ±0.33 3.47 ±0.93 3.60 ±0.27 Accepted Marketing Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted Management  18. Marketing Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted Management  19. Waste Management 3.63 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.51 3.80 ± 0.60 Accepted Accepted Management  20. Fish Farming  21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ± 0.51 3.58 ± 0.31 Accepted Accepted Colore of Mgt. Level 3.63 ± 0.63 3.27 ± 0.69 3.53 ± 0.31 Accepted Colore of Mgt. Level 3.63 ± 0.63 3.27 ± 0.69 3.53 ± 0.31 Accepted Colore of Mgt. Level 3.63 ± 0.63 3.77 ± 0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted Colore of Species 3.53 ± 0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted Colore System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ± 0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted Colore Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ± 0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted Colore Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ± 0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted Management  29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ± 0.32 Accepted Management			Livestock Pro	duction				
System   Stocking	11.	Stock Selection	3.67 ±0.22	3.53±0.72	4.00 ±0.74	Accepted		
13. Stocking Population Management         3.47 ± 0.93         3.33 ± 0.50         5.33 ± 0.13         Accepted Management           14. Stocking house Mgt.         3.67 ± 0.48         3.13 ± 0.83         3.37 ± 0.33         Accepted           15. Stock Feeding Mgt.         3.53 ± 0.62         3.27 ± 0.58         3.33 ± 0.32         Accepted           16. Stock health Mgt.         3.73 ± 0.33         3.47 ± 0.93         3.60 ± 0.27         Accepted           17. Water Management         3.87 ± 0.35         3.20 ± 0.81         3.20 0.18         Accepted           18. Marketing         Systems         3.47 ± 0.63         3.47 ± 0.51         3.67 ± 0.27         Accepted           20.         Fish Farming           21. Production System Selection         3.47 ± 0.93         3.50 ± 0.51         3.80 ± 0.60         Accepted           22. Choice of Mgt. Level         3.63 ± 0.62         3.27 ± 0.69         3.53 ± 0.31         Accepted           23. Site Selection         3.40 ± 0.72         2.80 ± 0.73         3.27 ± 0.26         Accepted           24. Selection of Species         3.53 ± 0.68         3.60 ± 0.63         3.67 ± 0.13         Accepted           25. Culture System Const.         3.67 ± 0.48         3.77 ± 0.97         3.70 ± 0.14	12.	Selection & Mgt. of Housing	$3.80 \pm 0.41$	3.60 ±0.73	3.40 ±0.31	Accepted		
Management         14.       Stocking house Mgt.       3.67 ± 0.48       3.13 ±0.83       3.37 ±0.33       Accepted         15.       Stock Feeding Mgt.       3.53 ±0.62       3.27 ±0.58       3.33 ±0.32       Accepted         16.       Stock health Mgt.       3.73 ±0.33       3.47 ±0.93       3.60 ±0.27       Accepted         17.       Water Management       3.87 ±0.35       3.20 ± 0.81       3.20 0.18       Accepted         18.       Marketing       Systems       3.47 ± 0.63       3.47 ± 0.51       3.67 ± 0.27       Accepted         20.       Fish Farming         21.       Production System Selection       3.47 ± 0.93       3.50 ±0.51       3.58 ±0.31       Accepted         22.       Choice of Mgt. Level       3.63 ±0.63       3.27 ±0.69       3.53 ±0.31       Accepted         23.       Site Selection       3.40 ±0.72       2.80 ±0.73       3.27 ±0.26       Accepted         24.       Selection of Species       3.53 ±0.68       3.60 ±0.63       3.67 ±0.13       Accepted         25.       Culture System Const.       3.67 ±0.48       3.77 ±0.97       3.70 ±0.14       Accepted         26.       Stocking Regime Mgt		System						
14. Stocking house Mgt. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.13 ±0.83 3.37 ±0.33 Accepted 15. Stock Feeding Mgt. 3.53 ±0.62 3.27 ±0.58 3.33 ±0.32 Accepted 16. Stock health Mgt. 3.73 ±0.33 3.47 ±0.93 3.60 ±0.27 Accepted 17. Water Management 3.87 ±0.35 3.20 ± 0.81 3.20 0.18 Accepted 18. Marketing Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted 19. Waste Management 3.63 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.51 3.80 ± 0.60 Accepted 20. Fish Farming 21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ±0.51 3.58 ±0.31 Accepted 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ±0.63 3.27 ±0.69 3.53 ±0.31 Accepted 23. Site Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 24. Selection of Species 3.53 ±0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted 25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ±0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ±0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ± 0.50 3.67 ± 0.48 3.50 ±0.17 Accepted 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.42 ±0.30 Accepted Management 29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management	13.	Stocking Population	$3.47 \pm 0.93$	$3.33 \pm 0.50$	5.33 ±0.13	Accepted		
15. Stock Feeding Mgt. 3.53 ±0.62 3.27 ±0.58 3.33 ±0.32 Accepted 16. Stock health Mgt. 3.73 ±0.33 3.47 ±0.93 3.60 ±0.27 Accepted 17. Water Management 3.87 ±0.35 3.20 ±0.81 3.20 0.18 Accepted 18. Marketing Systems 3.47 ±0.63 3.47 ±0.51 3.67 ±0.27 Accepted Management 19. Waste Management 3.63 ±0.62 3.47 ±0.51 3.80 ±0.60 Accepted 20. Fish Farming 21. Production System Selection 3.47 ±0.93 3.50 ±0.51 3.58 ±0.31 Accepted 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ±0.63 3.27 ±0.69 3.53 ±0.31 Accepted 23. Site Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ±0.73 3.27 ±0.26 Accepted 24. Selection of Species 3.53 ±0.68 3.60 ±0.63 3.67 ±0.13 Accepted 25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ±0.48 3.77 ±0.97 3.70 ±0.14 Accepted 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ±0.81 3.50 ±0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ±0.50 3.67 ±0.48 3.50 ±0.17 Accepted 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ±0.50 3.63 ±0.62 3.42 ±0.30 Accepted Management 29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ±0.23 3.73 ±0.33 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management		Management				•		
16. Stock health Mgt. 3.73 ±0.33 3.47 ±0.93 3.60 ±0.27 Accepted 17. Water Management 3.87 ±0.35 3.20 ± 0.81 3.20 0.18 Accepted 18. Marketing Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted 19. Waste Management 3.63 ± 0.62 3.47 ± 0.51 3.80 ± 0.60 Accepted 20. Fish Farming 21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ± 0.51 3.58 ± 0.31 Accepted 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ± 0.63 3.27 ± 0.69 3.53 ± 0.31 Accepted 23. Site Selection 3.40 ± 0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 24. Selection of Species 3.53 ± 0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted 25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ± 0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ± 0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ± 0.50 3.67 ± 0.48 3.50 ± 0.17 Accepted 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.42 ± 0.30 Accepted Management 29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ± 0.32 Accepted Management	14.	Stocking house Mgt.	3.67 ± 0.48	3.13 ±0.83	3.37 ±0.33	Accepted		
17.       Water Management       3.87 ±0.35       3.20 ± 0.81       3.20 0.18       Accepted         18.       Marketing       Systems       3.47 ± 0.63       3.47 ± 0.51       3.67 ± 0.27       Accepted         19.       Waste Management       3.63 ± 0.62       3.47 ± 0.51       3.80 ± 0.60       Accepted         20.       Fish Farming         21.       Production System Selection       3.47 ± 0.93       3.50 ± 0.51       3.58 ± 0.31       Accepted         22.       Choice of Mgt. Level       3.63 ± 0.63       3.27 ± 0.69       3.53 ± 0.31       Accepted         23.       Site Selection       3.40 ± 0.72       2.80 ± 0.73       3.27 ± 0.26       Accepted         24.       Selection of Species       3.53 ± 0.68       3.60 ± 0.63       3.67 ± 0.13       Accepted         25.       Culture System Const.       3.67 ± 0.48       3.77 ± 0.97       3.70 ± 0.14       Accepted         26.       Stocking Regime Mgt.       3.97 ± 0.81       3.50 ± 0.92       3.73 0.14       Accepted         27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt.       3.60 ± 0.50       3.63 ± 0.62       3.42 ± 0.30       Accepted         Management <td colsp<="" td=""><td>15.</td><td>Stock Feeding Mgt.</td><td>3.53 ±0.62</td><td>3.27 ±0.58</td><td>3.33 ±0.32</td><td>Accepted</td></td>	<td>15.</td> <td>Stock Feeding Mgt.</td> <td>3.53 ±0.62</td> <td>3.27 ±0.58</td> <td>3.33 ±0.32</td> <td>Accepted</td>	15.	Stock Feeding Mgt.	3.53 ±0.62	3.27 ±0.58	3.33 ±0.32	Accepted	
18. Marketing Management       Systems Systems 3.47 ± 0.63 3.47 ± 0.51 3.67 ± 0.27 Accepted Management         19. Waste Management Materials       3.63 ± 0.62 3.47 ± 0.51 3.80 ± 0.60 Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted         Fish Farming         21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ± 0.51 3.58 ± 0.31 Accepted         22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ± 0.63 3.27 ± 0.69 3.53 ± 0.31 Accepted         23. Site Selection 3.40 ± 0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted         24. Selection of Species 3.53 ± 0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted         25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ± 0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted         26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ± 0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted         27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ± 0.50 3.67 ± 0.48 3.50 ± 0.17 Accepted         28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.42 ± 0.30 Accepted         Management         29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ± 0.32 Accepted         Management	16.	Stock health Mgt.	3.73 ±0.33	3.47 ±0.93	3.60 ±0.27	Accepted		
Management         19.       Waste Management       3.63 ±0.62       3.47 ±0.51       3.80 ± 0.60       Accepted         Fish Farming         21.       Production System Selection       3.47 ± 0.93       3.50 ±0.51       3.58 ±0.31       Accepted         22.       Choice of Mgt. Level       3.63 ±0.63       3.27 ±0.69       3.53 ±0.31       Accepted         23.       Site Selection       3.40 ±0.72       2.80 ± 0.73       3.27 ± 0.26       Accepted         24.       Selection of Species       3.53 ±0.68       3.60 ± 0.63       3.67 ± 0.13       Accepted         25.       Culture System Const.       3.67 ± 0.48       3.77 ±0.97       3.70 ± 0.14       Accepted         26.       Stocking Regime Mgt.       3.97 ± 0.81       3.50 ±0.92       3.73 0.14       Accepted         27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt.       3.60 ± 0.50       3.67 ± 0.48       3.50 ±0.17       Accepted         28.       Disease       and       Pest       3.60 ± 0.50       3.63 ± 0.62       3.42 ±0.30       Accepted         Management	17.	Water Management	3.87 ±0.35	$3.20 \pm 0.81$	3.20 0.18	Accepted		
19. Waste Management 20. Fish Farming  21. Production System Selection 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 23. Site Selection 24. Selection of Species 25. Culture System Const. 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ± 0.51 3.58 ± 0.31 3.58 ± 0.31 3.58 ± 0.31 3.58 ± 0.31 3.58 ± 0.31 3.59 ± 0.31 3.50 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 3.67 ± 0.13 3.67 ± 0.13 3.67 ± 0.13 3.67 ± 0.13 3.67 ± 0.13 3.67 ± 0.14 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ± 0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 3.70 ± 0.	18.	Marketing Systems	$3.47 \pm 0.63$	$3.47 \pm 0.51$	3.67 ± 0.27	Accepted		
Fish Farming  21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ±0.51 3.58 ±0.31 Accepted 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ±0.63 3.27 ±0.69 3.53 ±0.31 Accepted 23. Site Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 24. Selection of Species 3.53 ±0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted 25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ±0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ±0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ± 0.50 3.67 ± 0.48 3.50 ±0.17 Accepted 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.42 ±0.30 Accepted Management 29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management		Management						
Fish Farming  21. Production System Selection 3.47 ± 0.93 3.50 ±0.51 3.58 ±0.31 Accepted 22. Choice of Mgt. Level 3.63 ±0.63 3.27 ±0.69 3.53 ±0.31 Accepted 23. Site Selection 3.40 ±0.72 2.80 ± 0.73 3.27 ± 0.26 Accepted 24. Selection of Species 3.53 ±0.68 3.60 ± 0.63 3.67 ± 0.13 Accepted 25. Culture System Const. 3.67 ± 0.48 3.77 ±0.97 3.70 ± 0.14 Accepted 26. Stocking Regime Mgt. 3.97 ± 0.81 3.50 ±0.92 3.73 0.14 Accepted 27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. 3.60 ± 0.50 3.67 ± 0.48 3.50 ±0.17 Accepted 28. Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50 3.63 ± 0.62 3.42 ±0.30 Accepted Management  29. Harvesting Regime 3.72 ± 0.23 3.73 ± 0.33 3.60 ±0.32 Accepted Management	19.	Waste Management	3.63 ±0.62	3.47 ±0.51	$3.80 \pm 0.60$	Accepted		
21.       Production System Selection $3.47 \pm 0.93$ $3.50 \pm 0.51$ $3.58 \pm 0.31$ Accepted         22.       Choice of Mgt. Level $3.63 \pm 0.63$ $3.27 \pm 0.69$ $3.53 \pm 0.31$ Accepted         23.       Site Selection $3.40 \pm 0.72$ $2.80 \pm 0.73$ $3.27 \pm 0.26$ Accepted         24.       Selection of Species $3.53 \pm 0.68$ $3.60 \pm 0.63$ $3.67 \pm 0.13$ Accepted         25.       Culture System Const. $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.77 \pm 0.97$ $3.70 \pm 0.14$ Accepted         26.       Stocking Regime Mgt. $3.97 \pm 0.81$ $3.50 \pm 0.92$ $3.73 \cdot 0.14$ Accepted         27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28.       Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         29.       Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted         Management $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted	20.					Accepted		
22. Choice of Mgt. Level $3.63 \pm 0.63$ $3.27 \pm 0.69$ $3.53 \pm 0.31$ Accepted         23. Site Selection $3.40 \pm 0.72$ $2.80 \pm 0.73$ $3.27 \pm 0.26$ Accepted         24. Selection of Species $3.53 \pm 0.68$ $3.60 \pm 0.63$ $3.67 \pm 0.13$ Accepted         25. Culture System Const. $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.77 \pm 0.97$ $3.70 \pm 0.14$ Accepted         26. Stocking Regime Mgt. $3.97 \pm 0.81$ $3.50 \pm 0.92$ $3.73 \cdot 0.14$ Accepted         27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28. Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         Management         29. Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted         Management		·						
23. Site Selection $3.40 \pm 0.72$ $2.80 \pm 0.73$ $3.27 \pm 0.26$ Accepted         24. Selection of Species $3.53 \pm 0.68$ $3.60 \pm 0.63$ $3.67 \pm 0.13$ Accepted         25. Culture System Const. $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.77 \pm 0.97$ $3.70 \pm 0.14$ Accepted         26. Stocking Regime Mgt. $3.97 \pm 0.81$ $3.50 \pm 0.92$ $3.73 \cdot 0.14$ Accepted         27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28. Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         Management         29. Harvesting Regime Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted         Management	21.	<b>Production System Selection</b>	$3.47 \pm 0.93$	3.50 ±0.51	3.58 ±0.31	Accepted		
24. Selection of Species $3.53 \pm 0.68$ $3.60 \pm 0.63$ $3.67 \pm 0.13$ Accepted         25. Culture System Const. $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.77 \pm 0.97$ $3.70 \pm 0.14$ Accepted         26. Stocking Regime Mgt. $3.97 \pm 0.81$ $3.50 \pm 0.92$ $3.73 \cdot 0.14$ Accepted         27. Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28. Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         Management         29. Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted         Management	22.	Choice of Mgt. Level	3.63 ±0.63	3.27 ±0.69	3.53 ±0.31	Accepted		
25.       Culture System Const. $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.77 \pm 0.97$ $3.70 \pm 0.14$ Accepted         26.       Stocking Regime Mgt. $3.97 \pm 0.81$ $3.50 \pm 0.92$ $3.73 \cdot 0.14$ Accepted         27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28.       Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         Management         29.       Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted         Management	23.	Site Selection	3.40 ±0.72	$2.80 \pm 0.73$	$3.27 \pm 0.26$	Accepted		
26.       Stocking Regime Mgt.       3.97 ± 0.81       3.50 ±0.92       3.73 0.14       Accepted         27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt.       3.60 ± 0.50       3.67 ± 0.48       3.50 ±0.17       Accepted         28.       Disease and Pest 3.60 ± 0.50       3.63 ± 0.62       3.42 ±0.30       Accepted         Management         29.       Harvesting Regime Regime 3.72 ± 0.23       3.73 ± 0.33       3.60 ±0.32       Accepted Accepted         Management	24.	Selection of Species	3.53 ±0.68	$3.60 \pm 0.63$	$3.67 \pm 0.13$	Accepted		
27.       Feeds & Feeding Mgt. $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.67 \pm 0.48$ $3.50 \pm 0.17$ Accepted         28.       Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted         Management         29.       Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted Accepted         Management	25.	Culture System Const.	$3.67 \pm 0.48$	3.77 ±0.97	$3.70 \pm 0.14$	Accepted		
28. Disease and Pest $3.60 \pm 0.50$ $3.63 \pm 0.62$ $3.42 \pm 0.30$ Accepted Management 29. Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted Management	26.	Stocking Regime Mgt.	$3.97 \pm 0.81$	3.50 ±0.92	3.73 0.14	Accepted		
Management 29. Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted Management	27.	Feeds & Feeding Mgt.	$3.60 \pm 0.50$	$3.67 \pm 0.48$	3.50 ±0.17	Accepted		
29. Harvesting Regime $3.72 \pm 0.23$ $3.73 \pm 0.33$ $3.60 \pm 0.32$ Accepted Management	28.	Disease and Pest	$3.60 \pm 0.50$	$3.63 \pm 0.62$	3.42 ±0.30	Accepted		
Management		Management						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29.	Harvesting Regime	3.72 ± 0.23	$3.73 \pm 0.33$	3.60 ±0.32	Accepted		
30. Marketing/Processing $3.57 \pm 1.11$ $3.53 \pm 1.71$ $3.33 \pm 0.13$ Accepted		Management						
	30.	Marketing/Processing	3.57 ± 1.11	3.53 ±1.71	3.33 ±0.13	Accepted		

The graduands agreed that skills on crop production technology, animal production, fishery production etc. are embedded in the programme of study. The implication of the result is that Vocational and Technical Education of Niger Delta University has entrepreneurial content built in for effective transfer of knowledge to trainees. This is in line with the suggestions Adah and Adejohn (2004) in their study. They argued that Vocational always Agricultural Programme should always have basic skills of agricultural Production technologies embedded in it to train students. In fact, the Federal Republic of Nigeria (FRN 2004) emphasized that the main objective of Vocational Agricultural Education should among others contain skills that addresses the followings:

 To help students acquire basic knowledge of agricultural production technologies.

- ii. Expose trainees (students) to the various opportunities available in Agricultural production technics.
- iii. Prepare Students for occupations in agricultural production i.e entrepreneurial.

Also, Amadi and Ekezie (2018) recently reported that vocational Agricultural Education programmes need to stimulate students for entrepreneurial ship in Agriculture. The FGD mean ranking of the three groups of graduands as to the empowerment opportunities offered in their training is shown in Table 2

ISSN: 2643-9670

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November - 2023, Pages: 210-214

Table 2: Mean ranking of 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018 Graduands on empowerment offered by their training in VAEP to earn a living

S/N	Empowerment Variable	2015/2016 graduands	2016/2017 graduands	2017/2018 graduands	Total	Ranking
1.	Provide self-employment	4	4	4	12	1 <sup>st</sup>
2.	Provide basic needs of family	4	3	3	10	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3.	Have skills to train others	2	1	2	5	5 <sup>th</sup>
4.	Ability to employ others	2	2	1	5	5 <sup>th</sup>
5.	Can generate fund to pay taxes	3	3	3	9	3 <sup>rd</sup>
6.	Can supply agric. Commodities	3	3	3	9	3 <sup>rd</sup>
7.	Can contribute to State/National Economy	3	2	3	8	4 <sup>th</sup>

The results of the FGD sessions revealed that their training offered them empowerment. in entrepreneurial areas, These skills in descending order are self-employment, provision of basic needs for their family, supply of agricultural communities and ability to pay required taxes. This ranking collaborated with ranking noted by Amadi and Ekezie (2018) in their study of students in Rivers State Nigeria. Also Nnodem and Johnwest (2016) reported the ability noted by to generate income and employment opportunities are some of the benefits noted by ex-students from their training. However Tibi (2013) argued that Vocational Agricultural Education Programmes often failed to provide the needed

entrepreneurial content hence graduands are unproductive and unemployable (Egbule, 2012).

When the students ranking of this study were subjected to Kendal coefficient of Concordance analysis at 0.05% level of significance, a Coefficient of Concordance of  $W \geq 1$  was obtained. This implies that the students ranking of the entrepreneurial skills gained in their training were the same and beneficial.

The graduands' view of factors affecting the success of the Vocational Agricultural Education Programm. (VAEP) in shown in Table 2

Table 3: Mean ranking of 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018 Graduands on the factors militating against realization of Full benefit from their study

S/N	Constraint Variable	2015/2016 graduands	2016/2017 graduands	2017/2018 graduands	Total	Ranking
1.	Inadequate	4	4	4	12	1 <sup>st</sup>
2.	demonstration facilities Inadequate farm implement.	3	3	3	9	2 <sup>nd</sup>
3.	Inadequate practicals	3	2	2	6	4 <sup>th</sup>
4.	Inadequate qualified teaching Staff	2	2	3	7	3 <sup>rd</sup>
5.	Inadequate Supervisory	2	2	2	6	4 <sup>th</sup>
6.	Inadequate Funding	1	1	2	4	5 <sup>th</sup>

From Table 3, the factors militating against VAEP in achieving its full benefit to students are inadequate demonstration facilities, inadequate farm implements/practical orientation, and inadequate qualified Staff/Staff supervisions among others. This ranking is in line

with the findings by Amadi and Ekewe (2018) and Nnodem and Johnwest (2016). These authors in their separate studies in Rivers State noted that the most common factors militating against Vocational

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November - 2023, Pages: 210-214

Agricultural Education (VAE) in training Institutes includes lack/inadequate facilities, funds among others.

The ranking of these militating factors to VAE were subjected to Kendall Coefficient of Concordance (w) to see if the graduands agree on the factors noted at 0.05% level of significant. The resulting (W) was one and above which implies that the graduands in 2015/2016, 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 academic sessions agreed on these militating factors to VAE.

# **CONCLUSION**

Based on the study's findings, it could be concluded that Vocational Agricultural Education Programme in Niger Delta University has entrepreneural skills content embedded to training students. Consequently, graduands are equipped with the essential skills to be useful in society. These graduands hence are able to:

- Provide self-employment
- Provide basic needs of their family
- Generate income to pay taxes and
- Contribute to state/national economy.

However, their ability to provide training and employ others appear weak in this study. Graduands also agree that there are numerous factors militating against the full realization of the aims of VAE programmes. These include:

- Inadequate demonstration facilities
- Inadequate farm implements for practical's
- Inadequate qualified staff for field supervision among others.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

From the results of this study, it is recommended that:

- There is need to revisit the Vocational Agricultural Education Programmes with a view to providing more functional demonstration. Schemes
- Provision of Practical oriented staff to perform regular supervisory visits and Commitment of more resources/fund to enable AVEP to be more effective

- Arokoyu, A.A. & Ndeobi, P.L. (2014). Relevance of agricultural education to the development of Nigeria. 21st Century Economy.
- Dada, F.A.O., Jibrin, G.M. & Ileoma, A. (2009). *Nigerian* social atlas. Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
- Egbule, P.E. (2012). *Use of new information and communication technology innovation*. Available at http://w.w.w globalcomacademic.org.com. Retrieved 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2031.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2004). *National policy on education*. Yaba, Lagos, NERDC.
- Nnodim, A.U & Johnwest, E.K. (2016). Vocational skill. Competence of agricultural science teachers and youths empowerment in Rivers State. *International Journal of Education and Evaluation*. 2(3), 51 -58.
- Obiyai, K.K. (2014). Motivational approaches for enhancing youths' participation in agriculture. *Universal Journal on Sustainable Development Research*, 11(1) 16 21.
- Ochi, C.P.O. (2004). The importance agricultural education in manpower development. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research Development (MULJORED)*, 9(5) 30-34.
- Oroka, O.V. (2004). Vocational technical education: A vehicle for conveying skills required for youths' empowerment in Nigerian economy. *Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 1(3) 117 -124.
- Tibi, E.U. (2013). *Vocational agriculture in school for productivity and entrepreneurship: Are we teachers succeeding?* Paper presented at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Distinguished Lecture Series of College of Education, Agbor, Delta State October 17th 2013, Pp 15.
- Tiough, D.M. & Agishi, S.K. (2004). The importance of vocational education in Nigeria. *Benue State University Journal of Education*, (5), 152-153.
- Wikipedia (2016). *Agricultural education*. Available at <a href="http://en.m.wikipedia.org/wik/agriculturaleducation">http://en.m.wikipedia.org/wik/agriculturaleducation</a>.

  Retrieved 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2023

### REFERENCES

- Adah, O.C. & Adejohn, S.O. (2004). The importance of agricultural education in manpower development. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research Development* (MULIDRED), 9(5), 81-84.
- Amadi, N.S. & Ekezie, A.I.A. (2008). Influence of vocational agricultural education (VAE) on poverty reduction among youths in Rivers State. *International Journal of Agriculture and Earth Science* 4(1), 34-42.