

Study New Types of Resolvable Spaces via Ideal bitopological space

Hawraa S. Abu Hamad Al-Ali¹ and Yiezi K. Al-Talkany²

Faculty of Computer Science and Maths :dept.of mathematics, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq
e-hauraas.alali@uokufa.edu.iq
Faculty of Education:dept.of mathematics, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq
e-yiezi k .Altalkany@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract: In this paper we define a new type of resolvable spaces depended on the lambda ideal open set, these new types include: weakly- λI -resolvable space, weakly- λI -I-resolvable space, Weakly- λI -T-resolvable space, λI -T-resolvable space, in addition to studying some properties and generating theorem for these sets and spaces.

Keywords: ideal space, bitopological space, I-density, λI -dense, weakly- λI -dense set and λI -resolvable space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Kuratowski [18] has introduced the concept of ideal in topological spaces. One of the most important concepts which they were defined in ideal topological spaces of local function was studied by Kuratowski [19]. After that, this concept was developed by presenting a different of studies related to concept of ideal topological spaces, such as Jankovic and Hamlet [13], R.Vaidyanathaswamy [25], who were among the first to present a studies related to some topological concepts, Also A.Abdel Monsef, Radwan [2], Lashien.E.F, Nasef.A.A [22], and Al-Swidi, L.A, AL. Rubaye M.S [5] where they presented an important studied deals with the concept of I-open set in addition Al-Swidi .L.A., introduced a different studies with some of researchers in a different types of spaces and sets we can see that in [1, 7,9,10,14,15].

Njasted.O [24] defined concept α -open sets and defined via ideal concept and this study introduced by Abdel-Monsef.M.E, Nasef, Radwan.A.E. and Esmaeel. A.B [2].

Kelly [21] has introduced the concepts of bitopological spaces by defining two topologies on a set X.

The investigation on various aspects of resolvability of topological spaces has been carried the concept of resolvable space was studied by Hewitt [14] called a resolvable space. After that Chandan Chattopadhyay and Uttam Kumar Roy [12] studies the resolvability, irresolvability space and properties of maximal spaces.

Al-Swidi, L.A Abdaalbaqi, L. S., Hawraa Abbas Al-Bawi [11] introduced the concept of the weakly-I-dense set and invested it with other topological spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Definition [18]

A nonempty collection I of subsets of X is called to be an ideal on X, if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $A \in I$, and, $B \subseteq A \rightarrow B \in I$ (heredity).
- (2) $A \in I$, and, $B \in I \rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ (finite additivity).

2.2 Definition [19]

Let (X, T) be a topological space with an ideal I on X, a set operator $(.)^*: p(X) \rightarrow p(X)$, defined as follow $A^*(I, T) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin I, \text{ for every } U_x \in T\}$, Which is called the local function of A with respect to I and T.

2.3 Remark [15]

Every I-dense is T^* -dense and, then T- dense

2.4 Definition [15]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space, then X is called a resolvable space iff there exist two disjoint dense set A, B, such that $A \cup B = X$

2.5 Definition [15]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space, then X is called I- resolvable space iff X has two disjoint I- dense set A, B, such that $A \cup B = X$.

2.6 Theorem1[15]

If (X, T, I) is I-resolvable space, then I is condense.

2.7 Definition [17]

A subset A of X is called λI -open set iff for each $x \in A$, and for each α_I -open set W_x such that $A \subseteq W$, satisfy that $x \in \{x : U_x \cap \text{int}_{T^*} (W) \notin I, \text{ for each } U_x \in T\}$. The family of all λI -open set denoted by $O_{\lambda I}(X)$

2.8 Definition [17]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space an operator $(.)^{*\lambda I} : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ called λI -local function of A with respect to I and λI -open set is define as follow, for each $A \subseteq X$

$A^{*\lambda I} (I, \lambda I\text{-open}) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I, \text{ for each subset } U \in O_{\lambda I}(x)\}$, when there is no chance for confusion $A^{*\lambda I} (I, \lambda I\text{-open})$ denoted by $A^{*\lambda I}$.

2.9 Theorem [17]

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and let A, B are subset of X , then the following statement is hold:

- 1- If $A \in I$, then $A^{*\lambda I}(I) = \emptyset$.
- 2- If $I = \{\emptyset\}$, then $A \cup B \subseteq A^{*\lambda I} \cup B^{*\lambda I}$
- 3- For any ideal, then $(A \cup B)^{*\lambda I} = A^{*\lambda I} \cup B^{*\lambda I}$
- 4- For any ideal, then $(A \cap B)^{*\lambda I} = A^{*\lambda I} \cap B^{*\lambda I}$
- 5- If $I \subseteq J$, then $A^{*J} \subseteq A^{*\lambda I}$
- 6- If $U \in T$, then $U \cap A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq (U \cup A)^{*\lambda I}$
- 7- If $U \in T^\alpha$, then $U \cap A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq (U \cup A)^{*\lambda I}$
- 8- $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I}(A)$.
- 9- $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I}(A^{*\lambda I})$.
- 10- $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} \subseteq A^{*\lambda I}$

2.10 Definition [17]

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space for any $A \subseteq X$ we define:

$$Cl^{*\lambda I} (A)(I, T) = A \cup A^{*\lambda I}$$

2.11 Theorem

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and let A, B are sub set of X , then the following statement is hold:

- 1- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (x) = X$.
- 2- If $A \subseteq B$, then $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I}(B)$
- 3- $A \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I} (A)$.
- 4- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A \cup B) = Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) \cup Cl^{*\lambda I}(B)$.
- 5- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A \cap B) = Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) \cap Cl^{*\lambda I}(B)$.
- 6- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) = Cl^{*\lambda I} (Cl^{*\lambda I} (A))$.
- 7- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) \subseteq Cl(A)$. If I is condense.
- 8- $Cl^{*\lambda I} (A) \subseteq Cl^*(A)$. If x is true space.
- 9- $Cl(A^{*\lambda I}) = A^{*\lambda I}$
- 10- $Cl^*(A) \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I} (A)$. If I is condense.
- 11- $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq Cl^{*\lambda I} (A)$.

2.12 Proposition [17]

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and let A, B are sub set of X then the following statement is hold:

- 1- If I is condense set, then $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq A^*$.
- 2- If X is true space, then $A^* \subseteq A^{*\lambda I}$

2.13 Definition [16]

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and let A be subset of X is called λI -dense set iff $A^{*\lambda I} = X$

2.14 Proposition [16]

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space, then the following properties hold:

- 1- Let I is condense, then every λI -dense is I -dense set.
- 2- Let x is true space, then every λI -dense is T^* -dense set
- 3- Let I is condense, then every λI -dense is T -dense set.

2.15 Definition [11]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$, then A is called weakly $-I$ -dense if $A^{**} = X$

2.16 Remark [11]

Every weakly $-I$ -dense is I -dense and hence T^* -dense and T -dense.

2.17 Remark [11]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$ with I is condense, then if A is I -dense in X , then A is weakly $-I$ -dense in X .

2.18 Lemma [11]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space, then the following statements are hold:

- 1- if A is weakly $-I$ -dense of X , then $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in T$
- 2- if A is weakly $-I$ -dense of X , then $A^* \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in T$

2.19 Remark [11]

Let (X, T, I) be an ideal topological space with I and J ideals on X and A subset of X , then :

If $I \subseteq J$, then $A^{**}(J) \subseteq A^{**}(I)$.

2.20 Corollary[11]

Let (X, T, I) be a topological space, I and J ideals on X such that $I \subseteq J$. If A is weakly $-J$ -dense in X , then A is weakly $-I$ -dense in X .

3. On λI -resolvable spaces

3.1 Definition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and $A \subseteq X$, then A is called weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense if $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$.

3.2 Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with a topology $T = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}\}$ and $I = \Phi$, then weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense $= \{X, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

3.3 Definition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space, then I is called λI -condense if $I \cap O_{\lambda I}(x) = \Phi$.

3.4 Example:

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, with a topology $T = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}\}$ and $I = \Phi$, then $I \cap O_{\lambda I}(x) = \Phi$

3.5 Theorem :

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space. If I is λI -condense, then $X^{*\lambda I} = X$

Proof

Suppose $X^{*\lambda I} \neq X$, then there exist $x \in X$ such that $x \notin X^{*\lambda I}$, then there exist $H \in O_{\lambda I}(x)$

Such that $H \cap x \in I$, then $H \in I$. Since $H \in O_{\lambda I}(x)$, then $H \in I \cap O_{\lambda I}(x)$

But I is λI -condense, then $H \notin I \cap O_{\lambda I}(x)$ and this is contradiction, and then $X^{*\lambda I} = X$.

3.6 Remark :

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space. I and J ideals on X such that $I \subseteq J$. If A is weakly $-\lambda J$ -dense then A is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense

Proof:

Since $I \subseteq J$ by theorem (2.9)(5) we have $(A^{*\lambda J})^{*\lambda J} \subseteq (A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I}$, and since $(A^{*\lambda J})^{*\lambda J} = X$, then $X = (A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I}$, hence A is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense.

3.7 Proposition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space, then: If I is condense, then every $Cl^{\lambda I}(A) \subseteq Cl(A)$.

Proof:

Let $x \in Cl^{\lambda I}(A)$, then $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in O_{\lambda I}(x)$ if $x \notin Cl(A)$, then there exist $H \in T(x)$, $H \cap A = \emptyset$ since I is condense, then H is λI -open set, then exist λI -open set H , $H \cap A = \emptyset$ and this is contradiction.

3.8 Theorem :

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space, then the following properties hold :

- 1- If x is true space, then every weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense is dense set.
- 2- If I is condense, then every weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense is I -dense.
- 3- every weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense is λI -dense.
- 4- If I is condense and λI -codense, then every dense is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense.
- 5- If I is λI -codense, then every I -dense is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense.
- 6- If I is λI -codense, then every λI -dense is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense

Proof:

1- since $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$, by theorem (2.9)(10), $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} \subseteq A^{*\lambda I}$,

Then $A^{*\lambda I} = X$, by theorem (2.11)(8), then $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq Cl(A)$, then $A^{*\lambda I} = X$

2- since $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$, by theorem (2.9)(10), $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} \subseteq A^{*\lambda I}$ and by theorem (2.12)(1), then $A^{*\lambda I} \subseteq A^*$, then $A^* = X$

3- since $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$ by theorem (3.8)(2), by theorem (2.12)(2) then $A^{*\lambda I} = X$

4- Since A is dense set then $Cl(A) = X$ by proposition (3.7), then $Cl^{\lambda I}(A) = X$ by theorem (2.9)(8), then $A^{*\lambda I} = X$, since I is λI -codense, then $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$.

5- Since A is I -dense, then $A^* = X$, by proposition (2.12)(2), then $(A^*)^{*\lambda I} \subseteq (A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I}$, then $X^{*\lambda I} \subseteq (A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I}$ since I is λI -codense, then $x \in (A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I}$, then $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$.

6- Since A is λI -dense. Then $A^{*\lambda I} = X$ and I is λI -codense, then $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$.

3.9 Theorem :

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and A subset of X , then the following properties hold :

- 1- if A is λI -dense, then $A \cap U \neq \Phi$ for each $U \in O_{\lambda I}$
- 2- If A is weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense, then $A^{*\lambda I} \cap U \neq \Phi$ for each $U \in O_{\lambda I}$.

Proof

1- Since $A^{*\lambda I} = X$ for each $x \in X$, $x \in A^{*\lambda I}$, then $U \cap A \neq \Phi$ for each $U \in O_{\lambda I}$.

2- Since $(A^{*\lambda I})^{*\lambda I} = X$ for each $x \in X$, $x \in A^{*\lambda I}$ then $A^{*\lambda I} \cap U \neq \Phi$ for each $U \in O_{\lambda I}$.

3.10 Definition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space, then X is called λI -resolvable space iff X has two disjoint λI -dense set A, B subset of X , such that $A \sqcup B = X$.

3.11 Proposition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space and A, B subset of X , then the following properties hold :

- 1- If I is condense, then every λI -resolvable space is I -resolvable space .
- 2- Let X is true space, then every I -resolvable space is λI -resolvable space.
- 3- every λI -resolvable space is resolvable space.
- 4- If X is resolvable space, then X is not necessary λI -resolvable space.

Proof:

- 1- Since X is λI -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of x such that $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \phi$ where A and B are λI -dense sets . So by proposition(3.8). We have that A and B are I -dense sets and, then X is I -resolvable space .
- 2- Since X is I -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \phi$ where A and B are I -dense sets . So by proposition(2.12)(2). We have that A and B are λI -dense sets and, then X is λI -resolvable space .
- 3- Since X is λI -resolvable space, then there there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \phi$ where A and B are λI -dense sets . So by proposition(3.8)(3). We have that A and B are dense sets and, then X is resolvable space .
- 4- Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, with a topology $T = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$ and $I = \{\phi\}$. Clearly that x is resolvable space but not λI -resolvable space.

3.11 Proposition:

Let (X, T, T^α, I) be an ideal bitopological space with tow ideals I, J and $I \subseteq J$. If X is weakly $-\lambda J$ -resolvable , then X is weakly $-\lambda I$ -resolvable.

Proof:

Since X is weakly $-\lambda J$ -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of x such that $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \phi$ where A and B are weakly λJ -dense sets. So by proposition(3.6). We have that A, B are weakly $-\lambda I$ -dense set, and then X is weakly $-\lambda I$ -resolvable.

3.12 Definition:

A nonempty (X, T, T^α, I) is called:

- 1- weakly- λI -resolvable , if X is the disjoint union of two weakly- λI -dense.
- 2- weakly- λI - I -resolvable , if X is the disjoint union of two weakly- λI -dense and I -dense.
- 3- weakly- λI - T -resolvable, if X is the disjoint union of two weakly- λI -dense and T -dense.
- 4- λI - T -resolvable , if X is disjoint union of two λI -dense and T -dense.

3.13 Theorem:

- 1- Let I is condense , if X is weakly - λI -resolvable ,then X is weakly- λI - I -resolvable.
- 2- Let X is true space , if X is weakly - λI -resolvable ,then X is weakly- λI - T -resolvable.
- 3- Let X is true space , if X is weakly - λI -resolvable, then X is λI - T -resolvable.
- 4- Let I is condense , if X is weakly- λI - I -resolvable space ,then X is weakly- λI - T -resolvable space.
- 5- If X is weakly- λI - I -resolvable space ,then X is λI - T -resolvable space.
- 6- If X is weakly- λI - T -resolvable space , then X is λI - T -resolvable space.
- 7- Let I is λI - condense, if X is weakly- λI - I -resolvable, then X is weakly - λI -resolvable space.
- 8- Let I is condense and I is λI - condense, if X is weakly- λI - T -resolvable space then X is weakly - λI -resolvable space.
- 9- Let I is condense and I is λI - condense, if X is λI - T -resolvable space then X is weakly - λI -resolvable space.
- 10- Let I is λI - condense, if X is λI - T -resolvable space, then X is weakly - λI - T -resolvable space.

Proof:

- 1- Since X is weakly - λI -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X where A and B are weakly - λI -dense sets such that $A \sqcup B = X$, and $A \cap B = \Phi$. So by theorem (3.8)(2). We have that B is I -dense set and then X is weakly- λI - I -resolvable.
- 2- Since X is weakly - λI -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X where A and B are weakly - λI -dense sets such that $A \sqcup B = x$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$, and by theorem (3.8)(1). We have that B is T -dense set and, then x is weakly- λI - T -resolvable.
- 3- Since X is weakly - λI -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X where A and B are weakly - λI -dense sets such that $A \sqcup B = X$, $A \cap B = \Phi$ and by theorem (3.8)(3), then A is λI -dense set and by theorem(3.8)(1) B is T -dense set such that A is λI -dense and B is T -dense where $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$ then X is λI - T -resolvable.
- 4- Since X is weakly - λI - I -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that A is weakly - λI -dense set and B is I -dense where $A \cap B = \phi$ and $A \sqcup B = X$. So by theorem(2.3) we have that B is T -dense set, then X is weakly - λI - T -resolvable space.
- 5- Since X is weakly - λI - I -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \sqcup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$, and A is weakly - λI -dense set and B is I -dense set by theorem(3.8)(3), then A is λI -dense and by theorem (2.3) B is T -dense set , then X is λI - T -resolvable space .

6- Since X is weakly λI -I-resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$, and A is weakly λI -dense set and B is T -dense set by theorem(3.8)(3), then A is λI -dense, then X is $\lambda I - T$ -resolvable space .

7- Since X is weakly λI -I-resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$, A is weakly λI -dense set and B is I -dense set, since I is λI -condense, then B is weakly λI -dense and A is weakly λI -dense set, then X is weakly λI -resolvable.

8-Since X is weakly λI - T -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \Phi$, A is weakly λI -dense and B is T -dense by theorem (3.8)(4), then B is weakly λI -dense, then X is weakly λI -resolvable.

9-Since X is λI - T -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ where A is λI -dense set and B is T -dense set by theorem(3.8)(4), then B is weakly λI -dense and by theorem (3.8)(6) A is weakly λI -dense set, then X is weakly λI -resolvable space .

10-Since X is λI - T -resolvable, then there exist A, B subset of X such that $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ where A is λI -dense set and B is T -dense set by theorem(3.8)(6), then A is weakly λI -dense, then X is weakly λI -resolvable space .

3.14 Remark:

1-Since not every T -dense set is I -dense set, then not necessary that weakly λI - T -resolvable space is weakly λI -I-resolvable space .

2-Since not every T -dense set is I -dense set, then not necessary that λI - T -resolvable space is weakly λI -I-resolvable space

Reference

- [1] Al Talkany, Y.K, "Study Special Case of Bitopological Spaces" Journal of Babylon, No.1(2007), 17.
- [2] Abd El-Monsef.M.E, Nasef.A.A, Radwan .A.E., Esmael.R.B "On λ -open sets with respect to an ideal "Journal of Advanced Studies in topology 5(3) (2014),1-9.
- [3] Ali Abdulsada, D, Al-Swidi, L.A.A "Compatibility of center Topology", IOP conference Series : Materials Science and Engineering 928(4). 2020.
- [4] Almohammed, R., Al-Swidi, L.A, "New concepts of fuzzy local function" Baghdad Science Journal 17(2), pp.515-522,2020 .
- [5] Al-Swidi, L.A., Al-Rubaye, M.S., "New classes of separation axiom via special case of local function," International Journal of Mathematical Analysis,8(21-24)pp.1119- 1131,2014.
- [6] Altalkany, Y.K., Al-Swidi, L.A.A., "On Some Types of Proximity W -set" Journal of physics: Conference Series, 2021.1963(1),012076.
- [7] Ali, R.D., Al-Swidi, L.A., Hadi, M.H., " On fuzzy intense separation axioms in fuzzy ideal topological space", Journal of Inter disciplinary Mathematics, 2022,25(5), pp1357-1363.
- [8] Al-Swidi, L.A., Qahtan, G.A., Hadi, M.H., Omran, A.A., "On the soft D -dense and D_c -dense in soft ideal topological spaces", Journal of Inter disciplinary Mathematics,2022,25(5),pp.1341- 1346.
- [9] Al Talkany, Y.K., Al-Swidi, L.A. "On proximity focal congested set in topological proximity spaces Journal of Inter disciplinary Mathematics 2022,25(5), pp. 1415-1420.
- [10] Abdalbaqi, L.S., Hadi, M.H., Al-Swidi, L.A., "On condensed set in ideal topological spaces" Mathematics,2022,25(5),pp1421- s1425
- [11] Al-Swidi, L.A. Abdalbaqi, L.S., Hawraa Abbas Al-Bawi, " Various Resolvable Space in Ideal topological spaces "Journal of the University of Babylon –Pure and Applied Sciences Volume (24) , Issue (4) of the Journal (2016).
- [12] C.Chattopdhyay "Dense sets ,Nowhere Dense sets and Ideal in Generalized Closure spaces" 59(2007),181-188.
- [13] Dragan Jankovic and T. R.Hamlett, "New Topologies from Old Via Ideals", The American Mathematical Monthly. Vol.97. No. 4 (Apr.,1990), pp. 295-310.
- [14] E. Hewitt, A problem of set –theoretic topology ,Duke Math. J., Vol.10,(1943),pp.309-333.
- [15] J. Dontchev, M Ganster , D.Rose , Ideal resolvability ,Topology Appl. Vol.93(1999), pp. 1-16.
- [16] Hawraa s. Abu Hamed Al-Ali, Yiezi K. Al-talkany "Some properties of density related to lambda ideal open sets"International Journal Of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS).2023 .Vol.7 .Iss:2 .pp.42-45.
- [17] Hawraa s. Abu Hamed Al-Ali, Yiezi K. Al-talkany "Specil Case Of Local Function"International Journal Of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS).2023 .Vol.7 .Iss:2 .pp.73-78.
- [18] Kuratowski. K., Topology I, Warszawa, 1933.
- [19] Kuratowski. K., Topology ,Academic Press, New York ,1966.
- [20] Kelley ,J. L., 1955 . General Topology ,D . Van Nostrand Company ,Inc.
- [21] Kelly.J.C, Bi topological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1963), no.3, 71-89.
- [22] Lashien .E.F, A.A.Nasef., "On ideals in general topology" J.Sci. 15(2) 1991, 19-3.
- [23] M.Ganster, "Preopen sets and resolvable spaces" Kyungpook Math.J., Vol .27 ,(2)(1987) , pp.135-143.
- [24] Njåstad, Olav. "On some classes of nearly open sets." Pacific journal of mathematics 15.3(1965):961-970. : 10.2140/pjm.1965.15.961
- [25] R.Vaidyanathaswamy , The localization theory in set topology ,Proc. Indian Acad . Sci.Vol . 20 (1945) ,pp 51-61.

- [26] S.Modak , "Remarks on Dense sets" International Mathematical forum ,Vol. 6 ,(2011), No. 44, 2153-2158 .
- [27] V.R. Devi, D. Sivaraj, T.T. Chelvam, Codense and completely condense ideals , Acta Math . Hungar.Vol.108(2005) ,pp.197-205.
- [28] W.W.Comfort and L.Feng,The union of resolvable spaces is resolvable, Math. Japan., Vol.38,(3)(1993),pp.413-414.