

On The Statistically Convergent on Some Classes of Difference Double Sequence Spaces of Fuzzy Numbers Described by Double Modulus Functions

Aqeel Mohammed Hussein

University of Al-Qadisiyah , Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics,

E-mail: aqeel.Hussein@qu.edu.iq

Abstract: In this paper, we offer the statistically convergent on some classes of difference double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers described by double modulus functions and so introduce the double sequence space of strongly p -Cesaro summable and discuss the properties like completeness, and other properties.

Keywords—Statistically convergent , strongly p -Cesaro summable , convergent-free, solidity, monotony, symmetry , double sequence , fuzzy number, double modulus function.

1. INTRODUCTION

We present the \bar{c} and \bar{c}_0 of statistically convergent and statistically null double sequences, respectively, by combining the spaces $\bar{c}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_F^2$, $\bar{c}_0(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_F^2$ and so introduce the strongly p -Cesaro summable double sequence space $w(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n, p)_F^2$. The statistically convergent of sequences spaces was independently introduced by Quick [3], Buck [1], and Schoenberg [9]. From a sequence space perspective, Fridy and Orhan [5], Salat [8], Connor [2], Fridy [4], Maddox [6], Rath and Tripathy [7], Tripathy ([10], [11], [12]), Tripathy and Sen [13], and others studied it and linked it to summability.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

It is satisfies the following condition :

- (a) $M(\mathfrak{A}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = 0$.
- (b) $M(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2) \leq M(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M(\mathfrak{A}_2)$, $\forall \mathfrak{A}_1 \geq 0, \mathfrak{A}_2 \geq 0$.
- (c) M is increasing.
- (d) M is continuous from the right at 0 implies that $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a modulus function

A double modulus functions is a function : $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ $\exists M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{S}) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}), M_2(\mathfrak{S}))$, where $M_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $M_2: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

These functions are increasing, continuous from the right at 0, that hold the following conditions :

- i) $M_1(\mathfrak{A}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = 0$ and $M_2(\mathfrak{S}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S} = 0$ then $M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{S}) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}), M_2(\mathfrak{S})) = (0, 0) \Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{S}) = (0, 0)$
- ii) $M_1(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2) \leq M_1(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M_1(\mathfrak{A}_2)$ and $M_2(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2) \leq M_2(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M_2(\mathfrak{A}_2)$ then $M(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2), M_2(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2)) \leq (M_1(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M_1(\mathfrak{A}_2), M_2(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M_2(\mathfrak{A}_2)) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}_1), M_2(\mathfrak{A}_1)) + (M_1(\mathfrak{A}_2), M_2(\mathfrak{A}_2)) = M(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M(\mathfrak{A}_2) \Rightarrow M(\mathfrak{A}_1 + \mathfrak{A}_2) \leq M(\mathfrak{A}_1) + M(\mathfrak{A}_2)$

It is satisfies the following conditions :

1. F is a convex if for each $F(r_2) \geq F(r_1) \wedge F(r_3) = \min\{F(r_1), F(r_3)\}$, $\forall r_1 < r_2 < r_3$, $\forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. F is normal if there is a $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F(r_0) = 1$.
3. F is upper-semi-continuous $\forall a \in \mathbb{I}$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $F^{-1}([0, a + \varepsilon))$ is open in the usual topology of \mathbb{R}
4. F is a non-negative fuzzy number $\forall r < 0$ implies $F(r) = 0$ leads to $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a fuzzy real number .

The set of all non-negative fuzzy numbers of $\mathbb{R}(I)$ denoted by $\mathbb{R}^*(I)$. Let $\mathbb{R}(I)$ denote the set of all fuzzy numbers which are upper-semi continuous , normal .

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, the set $S(\{u, t \in \mathbb{N}: |\mathfrak{W}_{ut} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ tends to $\mathfrak{W} = (\mathfrak{W}_{ut})$ is statistically convergent to L . It is written as $\mathfrak{W}_{ut} \xrightarrow{\text{stat}} L$ or $\text{stat} \lim_{u,t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{W}_{ut} = L$.

Assume that $x \in W^2 = \{\mathfrak{W}_{ut} = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}): \mathfrak{W}_{ut} \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and Let p be a real positive number. If there is a real number $L \ni \lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n |\mathfrak{W}_{ut} - L|^p = 0$, x is said to be a strongly p -Cesaro Summable sequence. In this case, we say that x is strongly p -Cesaro Summable to L .

In this study, we offer and define these spaces as follows :

$$\bar{c}(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2 = \left\{ ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}) \in W_F^2 : \text{stat} - \lim \left[M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, L_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}, L_2)}{\rho} \right) \right] = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, L \in \mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{I}) \right\}, \text{ where } (\mathfrak{W}_{ut}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}), L = (L_1, L_2).$$

$$\bar{c}_0(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2 = \left\{ ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}) \in W_F^2 : \text{stat} - \lim \left[M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right] = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

$$w(M, \Delta_m^n, p)_F^2 = \left\{ ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}) \in W_F^2 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^n \left[M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ut}, L_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ut}, L_2)}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = 0, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, L \in \mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{I}) \right\}.$$

We also define

$$(mm)_F^2(M) = \bar{c}(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2 \cap \ell_\infty(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2.$$

$$(mm_0)_F^2(M) = \bar{c}_0(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2 \cap \ell_\infty(M, \Delta_m^n)_F^2.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1:

$(mm)_F^2(M)$ and $(mm_0)_F^2(M)$ are complete metric space by the metric

$$g(\mathfrak{W}, \mathfrak{V}) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{nm} \sum_{j=1}^{nm} \bar{d} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}, (\mathfrak{V}_1)_{ij}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}, (\mathfrak{V}_2)_{ij}) \right) + \inf \left\{ (\rho, \rho) > (0, 0) : \sup_{rs} \left(M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{V}_1)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{V}_2)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq (1, 1) \right\}.$$

Proof:

Assume $\mathfrak{W}^{(\ell, k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_{rs}^{(\ell, k)})_{r,s=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy double sequence in $(mm)_F^2(M)$.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0. \text{ Choose } r > 0 \ni \left(M_1 \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right) \right) \geq (1, 1), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0, \exists \text{ a positive integer } n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon) \ni g \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(uv)}) \right) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{rx_0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{rx_0} \right).$$

As,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{nm} \sum_{j=1}^{nm} \bar{d} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(uv)}) \right) + \inf \left[(\rho, \rho) > (0, 0) : \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell, k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell, k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1, 1) \right] < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0.$$

(3-1)

This leads that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{nm} \sum_{j=1}^{nm} \bar{d} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(uv)}) \right) < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0. \\ \Rightarrow \bar{d} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(uv)}) \right) < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0, \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, nm.$$

Therefore $((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)})$ are Cauchy double sequences in $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$ by the completeness property of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$, so is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$, $\forall i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, nm$.

$$\text{Let } \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij} \text{ and } \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell, k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij} \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, nm.$$

(3-2)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Moreover, } & \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\ & \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0 \\ (3-3) \quad & \Rightarrow \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{g(\mathfrak{W}^{(\ell,k)}, \mathfrak{W}^{(uv)})} \right) \vee \right. \\ & \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{g(\mathfrak{W}^{(\ell,k)}, \mathfrak{W}^{(uv)})} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1) \leq \left(M_1 \left(\frac{r_x_0}{2} \right) \vee \right. \\ & \left. M_2 \left(\frac{r_x_0}{2} \right) \right), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of M , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d} \left((\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)}), (\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)}) \right) \leq \\ \left(\frac{r_x_0}{2}, \frac{r_x_0}{2} \right) \cdot g \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(uv)}) \right), \forall \ell, k, u, v \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

n_0 .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d} \left((\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)}), (\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)}) \right) \leq \\ \left(\frac{r_x_0}{2}, \frac{r_x_0}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{r_x_0}, \frac{\varepsilon}{r_x_0} \right) = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d} \left((\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)}), (\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)}) \right) \leq \\ \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)})$, $(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)})$ are Cauchy double sequence in $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$, so is convergent in $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$ by the completeness property of $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$.

Assume $\lim_{\ell,k} \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}$ and

$\lim_{\ell,k} \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n(\mathbb{I})$, $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

We must prove that,

$$\lim_{\ell,k} (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)} = \mathfrak{W}_1 \text{ and } \lim_{\ell,k} (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)} = \mathfrak{W}_2, \forall \mathfrak{W}_1, \mathfrak{W}_2 \in (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(M).$$

$$\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{\binom{n}{i}} (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{(n+i)(s+i)} \text{ and } \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs} =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{\binom{n}{i}} (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{(n+i)(s+i)}$$

(***)

And

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m d_{\infty} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(uv)}) \right) + \\ \inf \left\{ (\rho, \rho) > (0,0) : \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(uv)})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1) \right\} < \\ (\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

(3-1)

For $r, s = 1$, from (**) and (3-1), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{nm+1}^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{nm+1} \text{ and } \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{nm+1}^{(\ell,k)} = \\ (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{nm+1}, \forall n \geq 1, m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way of induction, we arrive that,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs} \text{ and } \\ \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs} \text{ and } \\ \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)} = \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \forall r, s \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ By the} \\ \text{continuity of } M \text{ and taking } u, v \rightarrow \infty \text{ and fixing } (\ell, k), \text{ it} \\ \text{follows that (3-3)} \\ \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\ \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1), \text{ for some } \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, on taking the infimum number p 's, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ (\rho, \rho) > (0,0) : \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1) \right\} < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall \ell, k \geq \\ n_0 \end{aligned}$$

(by (3-1))

consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m d_{\infty} \left(((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{ij}^{(uv)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{ij}^{(uv)}) \right) + \\ \inf \left\{ (\rho, \rho) > (0,0) : \sup_{rs} \left\{ M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs})}{\rho} \right) \right\} \leq (1,1) \right\} < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) + (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \\ (2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon), \forall \ell, k \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Which indicates that,

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)\right)\right) <$$

$(2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon), \forall \ell, k \geq n_0$.

i.e. $\lim_{\ell,k} (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_1)$ and $\lim_{\ell,k} (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)} = (\mathfrak{W}_2)$.

Now it's to show that $(\mathfrak{W}_1), (\mathfrak{W}_2) \in (\mathbb{m})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M})$.

Suppose $(\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)} \in (\mathbb{m})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M})$. Then,

$\forall \ell, k, \exists \mathbb{L}_{\ell,k} = ((\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}) \ni$

$$\text{stat} - \lim_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}))}{\rho} \right) \right) = (0,0), \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \mathbb{L}_{\ell,k} \in$$

$\mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{I}), \forall \ell, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have to show that,

i) $(\mathbb{L}_{\ell,k})$ converges to $\mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2), \forall \ell, k \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{ii) stat} - \lim_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \mathbb{L}_1))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(\ell,k)}, \mathbb{L}_2))}{\rho} \right) \right) = (0,0), \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \mathbb{L} =$$

$(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{I})$.

Since $((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)})$ are convergent double sequence of elements from $(\mathbb{m})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M})$.

So for given $\varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$$

Again, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{\ell,k}) = \mathcal{S}(\{r, s \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)\right) = (1,1).$$

And

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_{uv}) = \mathcal{S}(\{r, s \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{uv}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(uv)}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{uv}\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)\right) = (1,1)$$

Let $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_{\ell,k} \cap \mathbb{A}_{uv}$, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}) = 1$. We choose $r, s \in \mathbb{A}$. Then, $\forall \ell, k, u, v \geq n_0$, we have,

$$g\left(\left((\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{uv}\right), \left((\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{uv}\right)\right) \leq$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}\right), \left((\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(\ell,k)}\right)\right) +$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(\ell,k)}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}\right), \left((\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(uv)}\right)\right) +$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(uv)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{uv}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(uv)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{uv}\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) +$$

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{3\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{3\varepsilon}{3}\right) = (\varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

Since the double sequence $((\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}), ((\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k})$ are Cauchy convergence condition, it must be convergent to a fuzzy numbers $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$.

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k} = \mathbb{L}_1 \text{ and } \lim_{\ell, k \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k} = \mathbb{L}_2. \text{ Let } \mathfrak{z} > 0.$$

Its prove that,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{S}(\{r, s \in \mathbb{N} :$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{\ell,k}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{\ell,k}\right)\right) < (\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z})\right) = (1,1).$$

Since $(\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ab)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_1$ and $(\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(ab)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}_2$, there is $u, t \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ut)}, \mathfrak{W}_1\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(ut)}, \mathfrak{W}_2\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right).$$

The numbers u, t can be chosen in such a way that together with $g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ut)}, \mathfrak{W}_1\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(ut)}, \mathfrak{W}_2\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right)$, we have

$$g\left(\left((\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}, \mathbb{L}_1\right), \left((\mathbb{L}_2)_{ut}, \mathbb{L}_2\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right).$$

Since

$$\text{stat} - \lim_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}))}{\rho} \right) \vee$$

$$\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{ut}))}{\rho} \right) \right) = (0,0), \text{ we have a subset } \mathbb{B} \text{ of } \mathbb{N}$$

such that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B}) = 1$, where $\mathbb{B} = \{r, s \in \mathbb{N} :$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_2)_{ut}\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\forall r, s \in \mathbb{B}, \text{ we have } g\left(\left(\mathfrak{W}_1, \mathbb{L}_1\right), \left(\mathfrak{W}_2, \mathbb{L}_2\right)\right) \leq$$

$$g\left(\left(\mathfrak{W}_1, (\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ut)}\right), \left(\mathfrak{W}_2, (\mathfrak{W}_2)^{(ut)}\right)\right) +$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}\right), \left((\mathfrak{W}_1)^{(ut)}, (\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}\right)\right) +$$

$$g\left(\left((\mathbb{L}_1)_{ut}, \mathbb{L}_1\right), \left((\mathbb{L}_2)_{ut}, \mathbb{L}_2\right)\right) < \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}, \frac{\mathfrak{z}}{3}\right) =$$

$$(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{z}).$$

Thus ,

$(\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M})$ is complete metric space .

Other case is similar .

Theorem 3.2 :

Let \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{M}_1 ,and \mathbb{M}_2 be three double modulus functions which satisfy Δ_2 - condition then :

- i) $(\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1) \subseteq (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M} \circ \mathbb{M}_1)$,
- ii) $(\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1) \cap (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_2) \subseteq (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1 + \mathbb{M}_2)$, where $\mathbb{M} = (\mathbb{M}_3, \mathbb{M}_4), \mathbb{M}_1 = (\mathbb{M}_5, \mathbb{M}_6), \mathbb{M}_2 = (\mathbb{M}_7, \mathbb{M}_8)$

Proof:

i) Let $(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1)$. For $\varepsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0 \exists (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \mathbb{M}_3(\eta) \vee \mathbb{M}_4(\eta)$. Then there's a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, with

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = 1 \exists \left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) <$$

$(\eta, \eta), \forall r, s \in A$, for some $\rho > 0, \forall \mathbb{L} = (\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2(\mathbb{I})$.

Assume $\mathfrak{W}_{rs} = \left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right)$,for some $\rho > 0$. Since $\mathbb{M} = (\mathbb{M}_3, \mathbb{M}_4)$

is increasing and continuous, we get

$$(\mathbb{M}_3, \mathbb{M}_4)(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = (\mathbb{M}_3, \mathbb{M}_4) \left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) < \left(\mathbb{M}_3 \left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_4 \left(\mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right) < (\mathbb{M}_3(\eta) \vee \mathbb{M}_4(\eta)) = (\varepsilon, \varepsilon),$$

for some $\rho > 0$.

Which implies that,

$$(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M} \circ \mathbb{M}_1).$$

iii) Suppose $(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1) \cap (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_2)$.

Then there is a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, with $\mathcal{S}(A) = 1 \exists$

$$\left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) <$$

$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall r, s \in A$ and for some $\rho > 0$.

And

$$\left(\mathbb{M}_7 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_8 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) <$$

$(\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \forall r, s \in A$ and for some $\rho > 0$.

The remainder of the proof is come of the equality,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mathbb{M}_5, \mathbb{M}_6) + (\mathbb{M}_7, \mathbb{M}_8)) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ = \left(\left(\mathbb{M}_5 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_6 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\mathbb{M}_7 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_8 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) \right) \\ < (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) + (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = (2\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon) , \text{ for some } \\ > 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This leads that,

$$(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in (\mathbb{M})_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\mathbb{M}_1 + \mathbb{M}_2).$$

Theorem 3.3 :

i) $w(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \subseteq \bar{C}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2$,

ii) For $= (\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) \in \bar{C}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2$, (\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) is strongly p -Cesaro summable to \mathfrak{W}_{00} , if it is bounded .

Proof:

i) Let $(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in w(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^2$. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < \infty$ and using the continuity of \mathbb{M} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\ \left. \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00})}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \geq (\varepsilon^p, \varepsilon^p) \cdot \left| \left\{ r, s \leq n : \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00})}{\rho} \right) \right] \geq \right. \\ \left. (\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \right|, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs})$ is statistically convergent to $(\mathfrak{W}_{00}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00})$. Consequently $(\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in \bar{C}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2$.

Thus ,

$$w(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \subseteq \bar{C}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2 .$$

ii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ and suppose $K =$

$$\bar{d}((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \bar{0}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \bar{0}) +$$

$$\bar{d}((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}, \bar{0}), ((\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}, \bar{0}) . \text{ Since } \mathfrak{W} = (\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) =$$

$((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in (\mathbb{M})^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{M})$ is bounded and statistically convergent to $(\mathfrak{W}_{00}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00})$, \exists a positive number

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \ni \frac{1}{n} \left\{ r, s \leq n : \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{K^p}, \forall n \geq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon).$$

Now, the set

$$\mathbb{J}_n = \left\{ r, s \leq n : \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

Then $\forall n \geq \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^n & \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \right]^p = \\ \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{J}_n} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{J}_n} & \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \right]^p + \right. \\ \left. \sum_{r \notin \mathbb{J}_n, r \leq n} \sum_{s \notin \mathbb{J}_n, s \leq n} & \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_1)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{00}))}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right) < \varepsilon, \text{ for some } \rho > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4 :

$\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^i)_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2, \forall 0 \leq i < n, \forall \mathbb{Z} = \bar{c} \text{ and } \bar{c}_0.$

Proof

Let $= (\mathfrak{W}_{rs}) = ((\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, (\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}) \in \bar{c}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^{n-1})_{\mathbb{F}}^2$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stat-lim}_{rs \rightarrow \infty} & \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_1)}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \mathbb{L}_2)}{\rho} \right) \right) = (0,0), \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2 \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\text{stat-lim}_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \bar{0})}{2\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^n(\mathfrak{W}_2)_{rs}, \bar{0})}{2\rho} \right) \right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{stat-lim}_{rs \rightarrow \infty} & \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{(r+1)(s+1)}, \bar{0})}{2\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{(r+1)(s+1)}, \bar{0})}{2\rho} \right) \right) \leq \text{stat-lim}_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{rs}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) + \text{stat-lim}_{rs \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{(r+1)(s+1)}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_m^{n-1}(\mathfrak{W}_1)_{(r+1)(s+1)}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) = (0,0). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way by induction, we have $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^i)_{\mathbb{F}}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_m^n)_{\mathbb{F}}^2, \forall 0 \leq i < n, \forall \mathbb{Z} = \bar{c} \text{ and } \bar{c}_0$.

References:

- [1] R.C. Buck, "Generalized asymptotic density"; Amer. Jour. Math., 75 (1953), 335-346.
- [2] J.S. Connor, "The statistical and strong p-Cesaro convergence of sequences"; Analysis; 8(1988), 47-63.
- [3] H. Fast, "Sur la convergence statistique"; Colloq. Math.-, 2(1951), 241-244.
- [4] J.A. Fridy, "On statistical convergence"; Analysis ;5(1985), 301-313.
- [5] J.A. Fridy & C. Orhan, "Statistical limit superior and limit inferior"; Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.; 125(12)(1997), 3625-3631.
- [6] I.J. Maddox, "Statistical convergence in locally convex spaces"; Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.; 104 (1988), 141-145.
- [7] D. Rato & B.C. Tripathy "On statistically convergent and statistically Cauchy sequences"; Indian J. pure appl Math.; 25(4) (1994), 381-386.
- [8] T. Salat, "On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers", Math. Slovaca, 30 (1980), 139-15.
- [9] I.J. Schoenberg, "The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods", Amer. Math. Monthly, 66 (1959), 361-375.
- [10] B.C. Tripathy, "On statistically convergence and statistically bounded sequences", Bull. Malaysian Math.

- Soc. (Second Ser.),20 (1997), 31-33.
- [11] B.C. Tripathy, "On statistical convergence", Proc. Estonian Acad.- Sci. Phy. Math,47(4) (1998), 299-303.
- [12] B.C. Tripathy, "Generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex spaces", Soochow J.Math., 30(4) (2004),431-446
- [13] B.C. Tripathy & M. Sen, " On generalized statistically convergent sequence spaces", Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 32(11) (2001),1689-1694.