Teachers' and School Administrators' Preparedness and Practices in Inclusive Education

Gladys L. Lagura, EdD¹ and Annabel M. Tubasis²

¹Caraga State University, Butuan City, Caraga Region, Philippines <u>gllagura@carsu.edu.ph</u>

Ata-atahon Elementary School, Nasipit, Agusan Del Norte, Philippines <u>annabeltubasis@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: The transformation of the education system from exclusive to inclusive education necessitates a significant shift in thinking as well as a process of systemic reform and coordinated effort at multiple levels among education's key movers the teachers and school administrators. This study sought to ascertain teachers' and school administrators' preparedness and practices in inclusive education. The descriptive-correlation analysis utilizing the survey technique was used. Through random sampling a survey questionnaire was administered to 357 teachers and 27 administrators in the public schools in the division of Agusan del Norte. Findings have shown that as to the level of preparedness for inclusive education of teachers and administrators in terms of school leadership, school climate, curriculum instruction, individual student support, and teachers' knowledge, skills and attitudes, individual student support got the highest mean (4.05). As to the extent of inclusive education practices among teachers and administrators, the overall mean is 3.94 which implies that there is a positive inclusive education implementation. Moreover, data reveal that there is a significant relationship between the participants' level of preparedness in the implementation of inclusive education and their practices. Thus, the result of this study can pave the path for more meaningful inclusive education implementation in all the schools in Agusan del Norte Division.

Keywords: Inclusive education, preparedness, practices

1.INTRODUCTION

Education for All (EFA) is a policy anchored in the International Mandate promulgated by the Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education which requires governments to promote the approach of Inclusive Education enabling schools, both public and private learning institutions to serve children at all levels, particularly those with special educational needs.

However, numerous challenges are encountered in the implementation of inclusive education (Echeita Sarrionandia, 2022). Some of these challenges are inadequate human resources, lack of budget, and minimal level of awareness (Shiwakoti, 2022). Walton et al. (2022) also mentioned the problem of lack of material resources and trained teachers. Additional challenge in the Philippine inclusive education is the current remote learning modalities implemented by DepEd (Cahapay, 2022; Garcia, 2022). Cortes et al. (2022) stated that learning is high inside a friendly and dynamic classroom, but, during the pandemic, students' performance is declining. Whereas teachers teaching indigenous learners with disabilities needs much more support, because these learners have double discrimination (Tejano, 2022). Tejano (2022) also added that the K to 12 Curriculum policies is not presently applicable to the present conditions of indigenous learners with disabilities. Cahapay (2020) also raised the concern that learners from indigenous groups could be further disadvantaged after the pandemic.

All research developments these recent internationally and locally provide this research solid grounding on the importance of exploring the topic on inclusive education. The exploration of inclusive education especially within the context of Caraga Region would provide relevant insights to education stakeholders on the real situation of the teaching and learning within inclusive classrooms of the region. This would in turn give the national government relevant data that would be useful in DepEd inclusive education policy formulation. Moreover, the result of this study can help DepEd Agusan del Norte Division in formulating relevant and localized policies regarding inclusive education where the main goal is to ultimately help in educating all learners regardless of diversities.

2.THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored on the social-constructionist theory of Berger and Luckmann (1966). Rapp and Corral-Granados (2021) suggested the use of social-constructionist theory of Berger and Luckmann (1966) to frame inclusive education. Berger and Luckmann (1966) defined institutionalization as 'the social construction of reality' and claimed that people socially construct institutions through daily communication with each other. Social-constructionism explains how inclusion theories and principles relate to early childhood education Jamero, (2019) as cited by Rapp and Corral-Granados (2021) and defined the conceptual

Vol. 7 Issue 5, May - 2023, Pages: 80-84

framework of inclusion in the United States (Dudley-Marling and Burns 2014).

2.1 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study determined the level of preparedness, and practices, in inclusive education among teachers and school administrators in the Division of Agusan del Norte. Specifically, this study aimed to:

- 1. Assess the level of preparedness for inclusive education of teachers and administrators, terms of: school leadership; school climate; curriculum instruction; individual student support; and teachers' knowledge, skills and attitudes.
- 2. Find out the extent of the inclusive education practice among teachers and administrators.
- 3. Investigate the significant relationship between the participants' level of preparedness in the implementation of inclusive education and their practices.

3.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study determined the level of preparedness, practices and challenges in inclusive education among 357 teachers and 27 school administrators in the Division of Agusan del Norte. The descriptive-correlation analysis utilizing the survey technique was used. There were three experts in the field of Inclusive Education examine the content and construct validity of the questionnaire where it was tried out to teachers and administrators within the DepEd Agusan del Norte Division. Moreover mean, was used to determine the level of preparedness, practices, and challenges encountered in implementing Inclusive Education and Pearson Product Moment Correlation, was used to determine the significant relationship between the level of preparedness and the teachers and administrators' practices.

4.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents the summary of the areas where the level of preparedness for inclusive education of teachers and administrators in terms of school leadership, school climate, curriculum instruction, individual student support, and teachers' knowledge, skills and attitudes where assessed.

Table 1. Summary of the areas on the level of preparedness for inclusive education of teachers and administrators

		Mean	Description
1.	School Leadership	3.68	Frequently
2.	School Climate	3.79	Frequently
3.	Curriculum Instruction	3.67	Frequently
4.	Individual Student Support	4.05	Frequently

		Mean	3.83	Frequently
	and Attitudes.		3.93	rrequentry
5.	Teachers' Knowledge	, Skills	3.95	Frequently

Legend: 4.50 – 5.00 – Always; 3.50 – 4.49 – Frequently; 2.50 – 3.49 – Sometimes; 1.50 – 2.49 – Seldom; 1.00 – 1.49 – Never

It can be gleaned that among the five areas, individual student support got the highest mean (4.05). This is where collaboration with parents and guardians to ensure that all kids are really integrated, respected, and become productive members of the classroom community. Thurlow et al. (2022) confirm this, stating that working with parents and guardians enhances their beliefs, attitudes, and values about inclusive education. On the other hand, the area of curriculum and instruction got the lowest mean (3.67), this implies that teachers need to modify curricular goals and classroom instruction to adapt to the needs of students with special needs. Pocaan (2022) emphasized curriculum customization and adaptation toward holistic and context-based teaching methodologies to fulfill the needs of all types of learners.

Table 2 presents the mean distribution of the extent of inclusive education practices among teachers and administrators.

Table 2. Mean Distribution of the extent of inclusive

education practices

education practices						
I	nclusive Education Practices	Mea n	Description			
1.	The school establishes an environment that allow all students to move freely.	4.30	Frequently			
2.	Teachers create a safe, reinforcing, and warm environment.	4.35	Frequently			
3.	Teachers show consistency in structure and routine.	4.18	Frequently			
4.	Teachers modify curricular goals and classroom instruction to adapt to the needs of students with special needs.	3.99	Frequently			
5.	The school provides all students with access to flexible learning choices and effective paths for achieving educational goals in spaces where they	4.05	Frequently			
6.	Teachers develop specific support and interventions for needs that can be both supportive for individuals with these needs and for all learners at the same time	4.02	Frequently			

fo di be	eachers employ strategies or diverse students to always isplay more appropriate	4.07		19. Learners with special needs		
di be		4 07		interest with their nears in the		
be	isplay more appropriate		Frequently	interact with their peers in the	3.78	Frequently
	1 2 11 1	T.U/	requentry	inclusion during break and	3.70	requentry
8. T	ehavior in all places.			entry time.		
	'eachers incorporate visual,			20. Teachers expose learners with		
	actile, and kinesthetic			special needs to a wide variety		
	naterials and activities to	4.00	Frequently	of challenging tasks that		
		4.00	Trequentry		3.78	Frequently
	neet a variety of learners			would keep them attentive and		
	eeds.			engaged throughout the		
	eachers use class-wide			lessons.		
rc	outines and procedures to			21. Teachers adopt individualized	3.88	Frequently
sı	upport classroom	4.09	Frequently	instruction.	3.00	requentry
m	nanagement and learning of			22. Teachers develop lesson plans		
	ll students.			that include multiple		
10. T				perspectives and are designed	3.87	Frequently
	ifferentiated instruction and			with diversity, equity, and	3.07	requentry
	rovided reading materials	4.09	Frequently	inclusion in mind.		
		4.09	riequentry		204	
	ased on their reading level			Mean	3.94	Frequently
	nd/or interests.			Legend: 4.50 – 5.00 – Always; 3.50 –		
	Assessment of students with			2.50 - 3.49 - Sometimes; 1.50 - 2.49	Seldon	n; 1.00 – 1.49 -
sr	pecial needs is done in	3.68	Frequently	Never		
si	imilar ways as with regular	3.00	rrequentry			
st	tudents.			As to the extent of inclusive educ	cation pr	actices among
12. S	tudents with special needs			teachers and administrators the over-		
	eel comfortable with the			implies that there is a positive		
	ssessment methodology of	3.80	Frequently			
	eachers.			implementation, that educators ar		
				inclusive education to instructional n		
	The school offers a variety of			approaches. Where the study can also		
	ssessment formats that are			on teachers create a safe, reinforcing,		
	nclusive of student cultural			which have the highest mean of 4.35		
di	iversity and learning needs	3.74	Frequently	by Abubakar et al. (2023), that creating	ng a safe,	affirming, and
aı	nd include opportunities for			warm environment improves childre	n' social	and emotional
g	roup, peer, and self-			learning in inclusive classrooms.		
_	ssessment.					
	eachers collaborate with			Table 3 shows the significant rel	ationchir	hetween the
	arents/guardians to ensure			participants' level of preparedness in		
	nat all students are truly					dementation of
		4.13	Frequently	inclusive education and their practice	S.	
	ntegrated, valued, and		• •			
	ecome effective members of			Table 3. Significant relationship		
	ne classroom community.			preparedness for inclusive educat	ion and	the teachers
15. G	General Education Teachers			and school administrators' practic	ces	
CO	ollaborate with SPED			r- p- 1-		. Decisio
T	eachers and other experts to	3.32	Sometimes	Variables valu valu	nterpreta	atı n
	nact inclusive pedagogies in			e e	on	
	neir classrooms.					
	eachers help learners with			Describes :-		
	*			Practices in		
	pecial needs with social	3.85	Frequently	inclusive		
	ntegration in the school		1 2	education		
	nvironment.					
17. T	eachers incorporate teaching			and		
	bout diversity _ race, class,	3.99	Frequently			
			• •	I amal of		
al				Leveloi		
al et	thnicity, and ability.			Level of inclusive		
al et 18. L	thnicity, and ability. earners with special needs	3 <i>7</i> 6	Frequently	inclusive		
al et 18. L pa	thnicity, and ability.	3.76	Frequently			

•	School leadershi p	.730	.000	Significant	Reject Ho
•	School climate	.747	.000	Significant	Reject Ho
•	Curriculu m instructio	.823	.000	Significant	Reject Ho
•	n Individua I student support	.822	.000	Significant	Reject Ho
•	Teachers' knowledg e, skills, and attitudes	.872	.000	Significant	Reject Ho

Level of significance at 0.05

Table 3 demonstrates the considerable association between the level of preparedness for inclusive education and the practices of teachers and school administrators. In terms of school leadership, inclusive education practices were significantly connected to inclusive education preparedness (r = 0.730, p = 0.000). Several studies also advocated for inclusive education readiness through school leadership (Rasmitadila, Megan Asri, & Reza, 2022).

In terms of school atmosphere, inclusive education practices were significantly connected to inclusive education preparedness ($r=0.747,\ p=0.000$). Wray, Sharma, and Subban (2022) discovered that school atmosphere was substantially associated to teacher self-efficacy for inclusive education.

Furthermore, inclusive education practices were found to be significantly related to inclusive education preparedness in terms of curriculum and instruction (r=0.823, p=0.000). Implementing instructional strategies in the classroom helps teachers prepare for inclusive education (Dioso et al., 2022).

In terms of individual student support, inclusive education practices were also substantially connected to inclusive education preparedness (r = 0.822, p = 0.000). Fung et al. (2022) believe that individual student support is important in inclusive education since it helps to address student diversity.

Finally, in terms of teachers' knowledge, skills, and attitudes, inclusive education practices were significantly related to inclusive education preparedness (r=0.872, p=0.000). Chua and Bong (2022), Bahdanovich Hanssen and Erina (2022), studies have all highlighted the importance of teachers' knowledge, abilities, and attitudes in inclusive education achievement.

5.CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The level of preparedness for inclusive education of teachers and administrators in terms of school Climate, individual student support, and teachers' knowledge, skills, and attitudes for inclusive education was frequently practiced, they have good preparation. Moreover, teachers' and school administrators' practices in inclusive education are frequently demonstrated in almost all areas, where general education teachers collaborate with SPED teachers and other experts to enact inclusive pedagogies in their classrooms. Thus, the result of this study can pave the path for more meaningful inclusive education implementation in all the schools, especially in Agusan del Norte Division, Caraga Region, Philippines.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researchers would like to extend their utmost appreciation to the participants of the study; the teachers and administrators of Agusan del Norte, Caraga Region, Philippines.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abu Bakar, B., Price, A., Lee, D., & Wilson, E. (2023). Spirituality and pedagogy in tourism higher education: Voices of tourism educators. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Education*, *35*(1), 15-29.
- [2] Bahdanovich Hanssen, N., & Erina, I. (2022). Parents' views on inclusive education for children with special educational needs in Russia. *European journal of special needs education*, *37*(5), 761-775.
- [3] Cahapay, M. B. (2022). Tailoring remote special education for children with down syndrome during COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. International Journal of Developmental Disabilities, 1-9.
- [4] Chua, K. H., & Bong, W. K. (2022). Providing inclusive education through virtual classrooms: a study of the experiences of secondary science teachers in Malaysia during the pandemic. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1-18.
- [5] Cortes, V. M., Montero, A. I., Iligan, M. B., Santillan, D. Y., & Undalok, S. B. (2022). Teacher Classroom

 Management Practices in ar Inclusive Virtual

 Setting. International Journa ities and Education

 Development (IJHED), 4(3)
- [6] Dioso, M. M., Iglesia, A. B., Ramiro, F. M., & Iglesia, N. S. (2022). Readiness for Inclusive Special Education: A Survey among Inservice Teachers in Zamboanga City, Philippines. *International Journal of Early Childhood*, 14(03), 2022.

- [7] Dudley-Marling, C., and M. B. Burns. 2014. "Two Perspectives on Inclusion in the United States." Global Education Review 1 (1): 14–31.
- [8] Echeita Sarrionandia, G. (2022). Progress, challenges and barriers to the development of more inclusive education
- [9] Fung, C. Y., Su, S. I., Perry, E. J., & Garcia, M. B. (2022). Development of a socioeconomic inclusive assessment framework for online learning in higher education. In *Socioeconomic inclusion during an era of online education* (pp. 23-46). IGI Global.
- [10] Garcia, M. B. (Ed.). (2022). Socioeconomic Inclusion During an Era of Online Education. IGI Global.
- [11] Pocaan, J. M. (2022). Exploring teaching strategies and challenges towards a holistic context-based special education teaching strategies program. *The Normal Lights*, *16*(1), 29.
- [12] Rapp, A. C., & Corral-Granados, A. (2021). Understanding inclusive education—a theoretical contribution from system theory and the constructionist perspective. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1-17.
- [13] Rasmitadila, R., Megan Asri, H., & Reza, R. (2022). Teachers' Perceptions of the Role of Universities in

- Mentoring Programs for Inclusive Elementary Schools: A Case Study in Indonesia. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, 8(3), 333-339.
- [14] Shiwakoti, R. (2022). Policy Implementation Challenges of Special/Inclusive Education in Nepal. Molung Educational Frontier, 12(01), 190-207
- [15] Tejano, L. (2022). A Proposed Model and Framework for Developing a Curriculum for Indigenous Learners with Disabilities. *American Journal of Youth and Women Empowerment*, *I*(1), 1-5.
- [16] Thurlow, M. L., Liu, K. K., & Mentan, C. F. T. (2022). Engaging Parents or Guardians in Meeting the Needs of English Learners with Disabilities. *New Waves-Educational Research & Development*, 25(1).
- [17] Walton, E., Carrington, S., Saggers, B., Edwards, C., & Kimani, W. (2022). What matters in learning communities for inclusive education: a cross-case analysis. Professional development in education, 48(1), 134-148.
- [18] Wray, E., Sharma, U., & Subban, P. (2022). Factors influencing teacher self-efficacy for inclusive education: A systematic literature review. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 117, 103800.