Breast Diffuse Neurofibroma: Case Report

El hassan El ghali, Jihane Meziyane, Auragh Sokayna, Achraf Miry, Sara Koualla, Hanane Saadi, Hafsa Taheri, Ahmed Mimouni ,Aya Oufkir, Amal Bennani

Gynecology and obstetrics department, Mohammed VI university hospital, Oujda, Morocco Pathology department, Mohammed VI university hospital, Oujda, Morocco Faculty of medicine and pharmacy of Oujda, Mohammed First University of Oujda, Morocco Plastic surgery department, Mohammed VI university hospital, Oujda, Morocco *Corresponding author: El Ghali El Hassan, dr.elghali2016@gmail.com

Abstract: Neurofibroma are considered to be the most common nerve sheath tumor. These tumors can occur either sporadically or be related to neurofibromatosis. Neurofibromas rarely occur in the breast, and have a predilection for the nipple-areola complex. The localized variant of neurofibroma is the most common form. We hereby report the case of a 29 years old female patient, presenting for a left areolar diffuse neurofibroma, with no association to neurofibromatosis.

Introduction

Neurofibromas (NF) are considered to be the most common nerve sheath tumor. [1]

Neurofibromas most often occur in a sporadic manner. Meanwhile, they are encountered in 95% of neurofibromatosis1 patients.

Although the nipple-areola is the most frequent location for breast neurofibromas, few case reports can be found in the English literature, and cases of breast neurofibromas that are not related to neurofibromatosis are even rarer. [2,3]

We hereby report the case of a 29 years old female patient, with a left areolar diffuse neurofibroma with noassociation to neurofibromatosis type 1.

Patient and observation

We report the case of a 29 years old female patient, gravida 3, para 3, with no family or personal history of breastcancer. She presented for an important diffuse thickening of the left areola and nipple. She reports a progressive enlargement of her breast since her young age, especially worsening in relation to periods of breast-feeding. She reports No associated pain, no blood or purulent discharge.

Clinical examination found a large 16cm soft and diffuse thickening of the areolar and nipple region, inducing a remarkable disfiguration of the breast. The lesion was slightly pigmented, with no ulceration or bleeding. (Figure 1)

An ultrasound was performed revealing a highly vascular and hypoechogenic nature of the lesion. The lesion appeared to be illcircumscribed with no infiltration of the deep mammary tissue.

A contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance (MR) of the breast was also performed, revealing an ill-circumscribed hypo-intense lesion in T1 weighted sequence. The lesion showed heterogeneously high T2 signal intensity and an heterogenous hypersignal after gadolinium administration.

a core biopsy of the lesion was performed. The pathological examination revealed presence of loose, hypocellular spindle cell proliferation made of Schwann cells and fibroblasts. The stroma was loose and contained many mast cells.No mitoses or atypia were identified. A diagnosis of neurofibroma was established.

The patient has undergone a resection of the tumor, followed by reconstructive surgery. (Figure 2)

The resected specimen was received in the pathology laboratory. Microscopic examination showed a similar proliferation, as observed on the core biopsy. However, on the resection specimen, we could appreciate the ill-circumscribed and the focal infiltrative nature of the lesion. This proliferation was often entrapping lactiferous ducts and showed Meissner corpuscles. (Figures 3, 4 and 5)

After 9 months of follow up, no complications have been identified and no lesions suggestive of neurofibromatosishave appeared.

Discussion

Neurofibroma (NF) is considered to be the most common nerve sheath tumor. NFs can occur either sporadically, or berelated to neurofibromatosis type I (von Recklinghausen's disease). [1] They usually manifest after adolescence, in a younger age group when compared to schwannoma. [1]

NFs present as a polypoid or nodular, soft brownish or fleshcoloured lesions, and are frequently cutaneous and maydevelop anywhere in the tegument.

When diagnosing a NF, it is important to examine carefully the patient for other signs of neurofibromatosis. [1]

In our case, no other signs favoring neurofibromatosis has been found, and the patient is currently under follow-up.

NFs are known for their benign behavior although the plexiform variant have the highest tendency to undergomalignant transformation. NFs have a high rate of local recurrence. [3]

Histologically, NFs have a typical appearance, made of a well-circumscribed, unencapsulated dermal or subcutaneouslesion. A loose proliferation of spindle cells is observed. The cytoplasm is pale and scanty. The nuclei are elongated and wavy. The stroma is fibrillar, collagenous and sometimes myxoid. Sometimes, S-100 negative/CD34 positive multinucleated floret-like giant cells can be observed. [1]

The stroma classically contains mast cells, and shows no biphasic appearance as observed in schwannoma.

Immunohistochemically, expression of S-100 protein is observed in 30 to 50% of cells. Expression of CD34 and EMA isvariable. [1]

Ultrastructural evaluation of NFs shows an admixture of Schwann cells, fibroblasts and perineurial cells. [1]

Many NF variants have been described. Among these, the diffuse variant can be related to neurofibromatosis type I inup to 30% of cases. It generally occurs on the head, neck or trunk. [1] It presents clinically as an ill-defined area of subcutaneous thickening, as was the case for our patient, whereas the conventional form of NF tends to present as appendices of the nipple, mimicking an accessory nipple or molluscum pendulum. [4] Histologically, it is characterized by a diffuse infiltrative growth, with a collagenous stroma. This variant classically presents many Meissnerian corpuscles, as found in our case. [1]

Neurofibromatosis type 1 is the most frequent genodermatosis. It is a dominant genetic disorder, occurring secondary to an alteration of the NF1 gene expression. The NF1 gene is located on chromosome 17 and has a tumor suppressor function, which explains the occurrence of numerous benign and malignant tumors when its function is lost. [5]

Neurofibromas are encountered in 95% of neurofibromatosis 1 patient and are therefore considered a hallmark of thisentity.

The diagnosis of NF1 can be easy when cutaneous stigmata are present. In some instances, no multiple NFs can be identified and café-au-lait patches can be discreet, emphasizing a careful examination of a patient diagnosed with aNF. [6]

NFs rarely occur in the breast and nipple-areola complex is the most usual location of this entity. [6]

The majority of reported breast NFs in the literature are related to neurofibromatosis type 1. to our knowledge, this is the first case of breast diffuse neurofibroma that is not related neurofibromatosis 1. [7]

Solitary neurofibromas are ideally treated with surgical excision. [8] **Conclusion**

Nipple-areola complex neurofibromas are rare and occur also in patients with no history of neurofibromatosis type 1. The diagnosis of NF should lead to a careful skin examination to identify any cutaneous stigmata or other neurofibromas.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

Authors' contributions

International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) ISSN: 2643-9824 Vol. 7 January 7, July 2023, Pages 1, 7

Vol. 7 Issue 7, July - 2023, Pages: 1-7

All mentioned authors have equally contributed to: research in the English literature, redaction of the manuscript, collection of the patient's data, analyzing of the radiological and pathological data.

Acknowledgements (if any)

None

Tables and figures (if any)

- Figure 1. A large disfiguring, slightly pigmented mass is observed on the left breast.
- Figure 2. The resected specimen containing the tumoral mass.
- Figure 3. Microphotography showing a hypocellular ill-circumscribed proliferation made of bland spindle cells(star). A lactiferous duct is also seen (arrow). HE, 100X
- Figure 4. Microphotography showing an admixture of Schwann cells (Arrow), fibroblasts (arrow head) and presence of many mast cells (star). HE, 400X
- Figure 5. Microphotography showing infiltration of the normal adipose tissue and presence of numerous Meissner corpuscles. HE, 200X





International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) ISSN: 2643-9824 Vol. 7 Issue 7, July - 2023, Pages: 1-7



International Journal of Academic Health and Medical Research (IJAHMR) ISSN: 2643-9824 Vol. 7 Issue 7, July - 2023, Pages: 1-7





References

- [1] Calonje, J. E., Brenn, T., Lazar, A. J., & McKee, P. H. (2011). Pathology of the Skin. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- [2] Charu V and Cimino-Mathews A (2017) Peripheral nerve sheath tumors of the breast Semin Diagn Pathol34(5) 420–426 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semdp.2017.05.011 PMID: 28647116
- [3] Olopade, O. I., & Pichert, G. (2001). Cancer genetics in oncology practice. Annals of oncology, 12(7), 895-908.
- [4] Friedrich RE, Hagel C (2010) Appendices of the nipple and areola of the breast in neurofibromatosis type 1 patients are neurofibromas. Anticancer Res 30(5): 1815-1817.
- [5] Philpott C, Tovell H, Frayling IM, Cooper DN, Upadhyaya M, et al. (2017) The NF1 somatic mutational landscape in sporadic human cancers. Hum Genomics 11(1): 13.
- [6] Zhou Y, Pan B, Mao F, Zhu Q, Huo Z, et al. (2012) A Hidden Breast Lump Covered by Nipple Appendices in a Patient with von Recklinghausen Disease: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. Clin Breast Cancer 12(1): 71-75.
- [7] Olopade, O. I., & Pichert, G. (2001). Cancer genetics in oncology practice. Annals of oncology, 12(7), 895-908.
- [8] Rotili, A., De Maria, F., Di Venosa, B., Ghioni, M., Pizzamiglio, M., Cassano, E., & Moratti, M. (2018). Solitary breast neurofibroma: imaging aspects. ecancermedicalscience, 12.