

Intuitionistic Fuzzy K-ideal in Q-algebra

Mortda Taeh Shadhan and Habeeb Kareem Abdullah1

Department of Mathematics
University of Karbala
Mortda.taeh@uokerbala.edu.iq

Abstract : We consider the intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of Q-algebra and image (preimage) of intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal in Q-algebra, and investigate some of their properties. Moreover, we introduce definition constant intuitionistic fuzzy set A_1 , and investigate some related properties.

1 Introduction

Q-algebra is introduced by H.S. Kim ([2]) in 2001. The concept of fuzzy set was first initiated by Zadeh ([8]) in 1965 intuitionistic fuzzy set have been defined by G.Takeuti and S.Titanti in ([9]). H.K Abdullah and H.K.jawad([3]) stud. K-ideal and fuzzy K-ideal in Q-algebra In this paper, we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals in Q-algebra and fuzzy intuitionistic image (inverse) of intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideals in Q-algebra and investigate some result. We also studied the intersection and the union is not necessary to verify the definition of intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal and showed some important relationships between the intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal and showed that the converse is not always true. We also added a property to make the converse true, which is the involutory property. We also added a property to make the converse true, which is the involutory property. We also showed the relationship of k-ideal to the lower and upper-cut set in Q-algebra.

2 Background

In this section, we recalled the definitions Q-algebra, bounded Q-algebra, unit, involution intuitionistic fuzzy set, (α, β) -cut set, homomorphism, epimorphism, monomorphism, isomorphism, intuitionistic fuzzy ideal.

Definition (2.1) [2]

A Q-algebra is a set X with a binary operation \star and constant 0 that fulfilled the following axioms:

- 1- $x \star x = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$
- 2- $x \star 0 = x, \quad \forall x \in X.$
- 3- $(x \star y) \star z = (x \star z) \star y, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Remark(2.2) [2]

In a Q-algebra X , we can define a binary relation \leq by putting x^* represented relation \leq by which $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \star y = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

Definition(2.3) [1]

A Q-algebra $(X, \star, 0)$ is called bounded if there is an element $e \in X$ that satisfies $x \leq e, \quad \forall x \in X.$ Then e is said to be a unit, we denoted $e \star x$ by x^* , for each $x \in X$ in bounded Q-algebra.

Remark(2.4)

From now on, all Q-algebra will be bounded with only one unit.

Definition(2.5)[1]

For a bounded Q-algebra X , if element x of X satisfies $x^{**} = x$, then x is called an involution if every element of X is an involution, we call X is an involutory Q-algebra.

Proposition (2.6) [3]

In bounded Q-algebra X , for any $x, y \in X$, the following are hold:

- 1- $e^* = 0, \quad 0^* = e$
- 2- $x^* \star y = y^* \star x$
- 3- $0 \star y = 0$
- 4- $e^* \star x = 0$
- 5- $x^{**} \leq x$

Definition(2.7)[3]

Let $(X, *, 0)$ be a bounded Q-algebra and I be a nonempty subset of X . Then I is called K-ideal of X satisfies :

- 1- $0 \in I$
- 2- $y * x \in I, y \in I$ implies $x \in I, \forall x \in X$.

In short we use $(K-ID)$ instead of K-ideal.

Definition(2.8)[5]

An intuitionistic fuzzy set ($I-F-S$ for short) A in a set X is object having the form $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$, such that $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\nu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denoted the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$), and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in X$ for the sake of simplicity, we shall use the notation $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle \}$ instead of $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$

Definition(2.9)[4]

Let X be a Q-algebra. An intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy Q-subalgebra of X if it satisfies

- 1- $\mu_A(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}, \forall x \in X$.
- 2- $\nu_A(x * y) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x), \nu_A(y)\}, \forall x \in X$.

Definition(2.10)[7]

Let α be a mapping from a set X to a set G if $B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is an IFS in X , then the pre-image of B under α denoted by $\alpha^{-1}(B) = \{ \langle x, \alpha^{-1}(\mu_B(x)), \alpha^{-1}(\nu_B(x)) \rangle \}$ such that

$\alpha^{-1}(\mu_B(x)) = \mu_B(\alpha(x))$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\nu_B(x)) = \nu_B(\alpha(x))$ and if $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ is IFS in X , then the image of A under α denoted by $\alpha(A) = \{ \langle g, \alpha_{sup}(\mu_A(g)), \alpha_{inf}(\nu_A(g)) \rangle : g \in G \}$, where

$$\alpha_{sup}(\mu_A(g)) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in \alpha^{-1}(g)} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \alpha^{-1}(g) \neq \phi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_{inf}(\nu_A(g)) = \begin{cases} \inf_{x \in \alpha^{-1}(g)} \nu_A(x) & \text{if } \alpha^{-1}(g) \neq \phi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

Definition(2.11)[5]

IF $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ and $B = \{ \langle x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$

Be any two IFS of A

- 1- $A \subseteq B$ if and only if for all $x \in X, \mu_A(x) \geq \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) \leq \nu_B(x)$.
- 2- $A = B$ if and only if for all $x \in X, \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$ and $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$.
- 3- $A \cap B = \{ \langle x : (\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x), (\nu_A \cup \nu_B)(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ Where $(\mu_A \cap \mu_B)(x) = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}$ and $(\nu_A \cup \nu_B)(x) = \max\{\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)\}$
- 4- $A \cup B = \{ \langle x : (\mu_A \cup \mu_B)(x), (\nu_A \cap \nu_B)(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ Where $(\mu_A \cup \mu_B)(x) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}$ and $(\nu_A \cap \nu_B)(x) = \min\{\nu_A(x), \nu_B(x)\}$

In general, if $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is family of I-F-S $\in X$

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha(x) = (\inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x), \alpha \in \Lambda\}, \sup\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(x), \alpha \in \Lambda\}) \text{ and}$$

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha(x) = (\sup\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x), \alpha \in \Lambda\}, \inf\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(x), \alpha \in \Lambda\})$$

Definition(2.12)[6]

For any Intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ of a set X we define a (α, β) -cut of A as the crisp set $\{ x \in X \mid \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha, \nu_A(x) \leq \beta \}$ of X and it is denoted by $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ or $A_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Definition(2.13)[4]

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ In Q-algebra X is called an Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (In short I-F-ID) if

- 1- $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.
- 2- $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.
- 3- $\mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X$.
- 4- $\nu_A(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x * y), \nu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X$.

Definition(2.14)

Let I be a subset of A Q-algebra X and let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ s.t $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 1$. Define the I - f - S $A_I: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by :

$$\mu_{A_I} = \begin{cases} \alpha & : \text{if } x \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu_{A_I} = \begin{cases} \beta & : \text{if } x \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then A_I is called constant intuitionistic fuzzy set.

3 Intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal

We define intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal and give some example and describe its relationship with an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and give it some results .

Definition(3.1)

An intuitionistic fuzzy set A In bounded Q-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called an Intuitionistic fuzzy k-ideal (In short(I - F - k - ID) if

- 1- $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \quad \& \quad \nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$
- 2- $\mu_A(x^*) \geq \min\{\mu_A(y^* * x), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$
- 3- $\nu_A(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A(y^* * x), \nu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

Example (3.2)

Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ and let $*$ be a binary operation on X which is defined by

| * | 0 | a | b | c | d |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | a | 0 | a | 0 | 0 |
| b | b | b | 0 | b | 0 |
| c | c | 0 | c | 0 | 0 |
| d | d | d | 0 | b | 0 |

Its clear that $(X, *, 0)$ is a bounded Q-algebra with unit d .

Define the I-F-S A and B in X by :

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : \text{if } x = 0, b, d \\ 0.2 & : \text{if } x = a, c \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \nu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : \text{if } x = 0, b, d \\ 0.8 & : \text{if } x = a, c \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0.4 & : \text{if } x = 0, a, d \\ 0.3 & : \text{if } x = b, c \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0.6 & : \text{if } x = 0, a, d \\ 0.7 & : \text{if } x = b, c \end{cases}$$

Then A is a I - F - k - ID in X since

$$\mu_A(x^*) \geq \mu_A(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_A(x) \leq \nu_A(y) \quad , \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

While B is not -F - k - ID , because $\alpha_B(c^*) = 0.3 \not\geq \min\{\alpha_B(d^* * c), \alpha_B(d)\} = 0.4$.

Proposition(3.3)

Every I - F - ID of bounded Q-algebra is I - F - K - ID .

Proof :

Assume that A be an I-F ideal of a bounded Q-algebra X then by Definition(2.16) we have

- 1- $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \quad \& \quad \nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x) \quad \forall x \in X.$
- 2- $\mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X . \text{then}$
 $\mu_A(x^*) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x^* * y), \mu_A(y)\} , \quad \forall x, y \in X$
 $= \min\{\mu_A(y^* * x), \mu_A(y)\}$ (by Proposition(2.6))
- 3- $\nu_A(x) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x * y), \nu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X . \text{then}$
 $\nu_A(x^*) \leq \max\{\nu_A(x^* * y), \nu_A(y)\} , \quad \forall x, y \in X$
 $= \max\{\nu_A(y^* * x), \nu_A(y)\}$ (by Proposition(2.6))

Thus A is I - F - K - ID of X .

Remark (3.4)

The converse of Proposition (3.3) needs to be not true in general and in the following example , we can show that

Example (3.5)

In Example (3.2) , A is I - F - K - ID in X but it is not I - F - ID , because

$$\mu_A(c) = 0.2 \not\geq \min\{\mu_A(c * d), \mu_A(d)\} = 0.5$$

Proposition(3.6)

Every $I - F - k - ID$ in an involutory Q-algebra is $I - F - k - ID$.

Proof :

Suppose that A be a $I - F - k - ID$ of X then by Definition (3.1) we have

- 1- $\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \quad \& \quad v_A(0) \leq v_A(x) \quad \forall x \in X .$
- 2- $\mu_A(x) = \mu_A(x^{**}) \geq \min\{\mu_A(y^* * x^*), \mu_A(y)\}$
 $= \min\{\mu_A(x^{**} * y), \mu_A(y)\}$
 $= \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X .$
- 3- $v_A(x) = v_A(x^{**}) \leq \max\{v_A(y^* * x^*), v_A(y)\}$
 $= \max\{\mu_A(x^{**} * y), \mu_A(y)\}$
 $= \max\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X .$

Proposition(3.7)

Let A be an $I - F - k - ID$ of a bounded Q-algebra X , then

- 1- $\mu_A(x^*) \geq \mu_A(e)$ and $v_A(x^*) \leq v_A(e) , \quad \forall x \in X .$
- 2- $\mu_A(x^{**}) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $v_A(x^{**}) \geq v_A(x) , \quad \forall x \in X .$
- 3- if $x^* \leq y$, then $\mu_A(y) \leq \mu_A(x^*)$ and $v_A(y) \geq v(x^*) , \quad \forall x, y \in X .$

Proof :

- 1. Since A is $I - F - k - ID$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(x^*) &\geq \min\{\mu_A(e^* * x), \mu_A(e)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(0 * x), \mu_A(e)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(0), \mu_A(e)\} \\ &= \mu_A(e) \end{aligned}$$

- 2 – Since A is $-F - K - ID$, then for any $x \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(x) &= \min\{\mu_A(0) , \mu_A(x)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(x^* * x^*) , \mu_A(x)\} \\ &\leq \mu_A(x^{**}) , \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} v_A(x) &= \max\{v_A(0) , v_A(x)\} \\ &= \max\{v_A(x^* * x^*) , v_A(x)\} \\ &\leq v_A(x^{**}) , \end{aligned}$$

- 3 – if $x^* \leq y$, i.e $x^* * y = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(x^*) &\geq \min\{\mu_A(y^* * x), \mu_A(y)\} , \quad (\text{since A is } I - F - K - ID) \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(x^* * y), \mu_A(y)\} \quad (\text{by Proposition (2.6), 2}) \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(0), \mu_A(y)\} \\ &= \mu_A(y) \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} v_A(x^*) &\leq \max\{v_A(y^* * x), v_A(y)\} , \quad (\text{since A is } I - F - K - ID) \\ &= \max\{v_A(x^* * y), v_A(y)\} \quad (\text{by Proposition (2.6), 2}) \\ &= \max\{v_A(0), v_A(y)\} \\ &= v_A(y) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem(3.8)

Let I be a subset of a bounded Q-algebra X . Then I is $K - ID$ of X if and only if A_I is an $I - F - K - ID$ of X .

Proof .

\Rightarrow

Suppose that A_I is not $I - F - K - ID$, then there exists $x , y \in X$ such that

$$\mu_{A_I}(x^*) = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \min\{\mu_{A_I}(y^*), \mu_{A_I}(y)\} = 1$$

$$v_{A_I}(x^*) = \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{v_{A_I}(y^*), v_{A_I}(y)\} = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } \mu_{A_I}(y^* * x) = 1 \quad , \quad \mu_{A_I}(y) = 1$$

$$\text{And } v_{A_I}(y^* * x) = 0 \quad , \quad v_{A_I}(y) = 0$$

Hence $y^* * x \in I , y \in I$

Thus $x^* \in I$ (since I is $K - ID$)

Thus implies $\mu_{A_I}(x^*) = 1$ and $v_{A_I}(x^*) = 0$ It leads to contradiction

Thus A_I is an $I - F - K - ID$ of X .

←

Let A_I be an $I - F - K - ID$ of X and $y^* * x, y \in I$

Then $\mu_{A_I}(y^* * x) = 1$, $\mu_{A_I}(y) = 1$

And $\nu_{A_I}(y^* * x) = 0$, $\nu_{A_I}(y) = 0$

But , $\mu_{A_I}(x^*) \geq \min\{\mu_{A_I}(y^* * x) , \mu_{A_I}(y)\} = 1$ and

$\nu_{A_I}(x^*) \leq \max\{\nu_{A_I}(y^* * x) , \nu_{A_I}(y)\} = 0$ (since A_I is $I - F - K - ID$)

Thus $\mu_{A_I}(x^*) = 1$ and $\nu_{A_I}(x^*) = 0$, i.e $x^* \in I$.

Hence I is $K - ID$ of X .

Proposition(3.9)

Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of $I - F - K - ID$ in bounded Q -algebra X .

Then $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ is an $I - F - K - ID$ of X .

Proof :

1- Since $\mu_{A_\alpha}(0) \geq \mu_{A_\alpha}(x) \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta , \forall x \in X$,

then $\inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(0)\} \geq \inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x)\}$.

So $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(0) \geq \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x)$ and $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \nu_{A_\alpha}(0) \leq \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \nu_{A_\alpha}(x)$,

Then $\sup\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(0)\} \geq \sup\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x)\}$

So $\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(0) \geq \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x)$

2- Let $x, y \in X$, Since $\mu_{A_\alpha}(x^*) \geq \min\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \mu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}$

Then $\inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x^*)\} \geq \inf\{\min\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \mu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}\}$,

$\geq \min\{\inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \mu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}\}$,

So $\mu_{A_\alpha}(x^*) \geq \min\{\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}$, and

$\nu_{A_\alpha}(x^*) \leq \max\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \nu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}$

Then $\sup\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(x^*)\} \leq \sup\{\max\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \nu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}\}$,

$\leq \max\{\sup\{\nu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \nu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}\}$,

So $\nu_{A_\alpha}(x^*) \leq \max\{\cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \nu_{A_\alpha}(y^* * x) , \cup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \nu_{A_\alpha}(y)\}$,

Hence $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ is an $I - F - K - ID$ of X .

Remark (3.10)

Note that union of $I - F - K - ID$ in general is not necessarily $-F - K - ID$, as shown in the following example .

Example (3.11)

Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ and let $*$ be a binary operation on X which is defined by

| $*$ | 0 | a | b | c | d | q |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | a | 0 | a | 0 | 0 | a |
| b | b | b | 0 | b | 0 | 0 |
| c | c | c | c | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d | d | d | c | b | 0 | 0 |
| q | q | q | a | 0 | 0 | 0 |

it is clear that $(X, *, 0)$ is bounded $Q - algebra$ with unit d .

Define two $I - F - S A$ and B in X by :

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.7 & : \text{ if } x = 0, c \\ 0.3 & : \text{ if } x = a, b, d, q \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \nu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & : \text{ if } x = 0, c \\ 0.7 & : \text{ if } x = a, b, d, q \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & : \text{ if } x = 0, b \\ 0.4 & : \text{ if } x = a, c, d, q \end{cases} \quad \& \quad \beta_B(x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & : \text{ if } x = 0, b \\ 0.6 & : \text{ if } x = a, c, d, q \end{cases}$$

Notice that A and B are $I - F - K - ID$, since

$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(0) \leq \nu_A(x)$, $\forall x \in X$ and

$$\mu_A(x^*) = 0.3 \geq \min\{\mu_A(y^* * x), \mu_A(x)\} = 0.3 \quad \forall y \in X, x = 0, a, c$$

$$v_A(x^*) = 0.7 \leq \max\{v_A(y^* * x), v_A(x)\} = 0.7 \quad \forall y \in X, x = 0, a, c$$

$$\alpha_A(x^*) = 0.4 \geq \min\{\alpha_A(y^* * x), \alpha_A(y)\} = 0.4 \quad \forall y \in X, x = 0, a, b$$

$$\beta_A(x^*) = 0.6 \leq \max\{\beta_A(y^* * x), \beta_A(y)\} = 0.6 \quad \forall y \in X, x = 0, a, b$$

$A \cup B$ is not $I - F - K - ID$, where

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : \text{if } x = 0, c \\ 0.4 & : \text{if } x = a, d, q \\ 0.2 & : \text{if } x = c \end{cases} \quad v_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : \text{if } x = 0, c \\ 0.6 & : \text{if } x = a, d, q \\ 0.8 & : \text{if } x = c \end{cases}$$

Because

$$(\mu_A \cup \alpha_A)(0^*) = 0.4 \not\geq \min\{(\mu_A \cup \alpha_A)(y^* * 0), (\mu_A \cup \alpha_A)(y)\} = 0.7 .$$

Proposition(3.12)

let g be an isomorphism from bounded Q-algebra X into bounded Q-algebra Y .

then

- 1- The image of $I - F - K - ID$ is an $-F - K - ID$.
- 2- The inverse of $I - F - K - ID$ is an $I - F - K - ID$.

Proof:

1-Let A be an $I - F - K - ID$ in X

Now, $g(\mu_A(0)) = \mu_A(g^{-1}(0)) = \mu_A(0)$ (since g is one to one), then

$$\mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \quad \& \quad v_A(0) \leq v_A(x),$$

Since g is isomorphism,

$$\text{Then } \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(g^{-1}(y)) \text{ and } v_A(0) \leq v_A(g^{-1}(y)) \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

$$\text{Thus } \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(g^{-1}(y)) = g(\mu_A(y)) \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

$$\text{Now, } g(\mu_A(y^*)) = \mu_A(g^{-1}(y^*)) = \mu_A(g^{-1}(y))^* \text{ and}$$

$$g(v_A(y^*)) = v_A(g^{-1}(y^*)) = v_A(g^{-1}(y))^*$$

Since A is $I - F - K - ID$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(g^{-1}(y))^* &\geq \min\{\mu_A(x_1^* * g^{-1}(y)), \mu_A(x_1)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(x_1^* * x), \mu_A(x_1)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_A(g^{-1}(y_1^* * y)), \mu_A(g^{-1}(y_1))\} \end{aligned}$$

Since g is isomorphism

$$= \min\{\mu_A(y_1^* * y), \mu_A(y_1)\}$$

Thus

$$\mu_A(g^{-1}(y))^* \geq \min\{g(\mu_A(y_1^* * y)), g(\mu_A(y_1))\} \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_A(g^{-1}(y))^* &\leq \max\{v_A(x_1^* * g^{-1}(y)), v_A(x_1)\} \\ &= \max\{v_A(x_1^* * x), v_A(x_1)\} \\ &= \max\{v_A(g^{-1}(y_1^* * y)), v_A(g^{-1}(y_1))\} \end{aligned}$$

Since g is isomorphism

$$= \max\{v_A(y_1^* * y), v_A(y_1)\}$$

Thus

$$v_A(g^{-1}(y))^* \leq \max\{g(v_A(y_1^* * y)), g(v_A(y_1))\}$$

So $g(A)$ is an $I - F - K - ID$

2.Let B be an $I - F - K - ID$ in Y

$$\text{Now, } g^{-1}(\delta_B(0)) = \delta_B(g(0)) = \delta_B(g(0))$$

$$\text{And } g^{-1}(\beta_B(0)) = \beta_B(g(0)) = \beta_B(g(0))$$

Since B is an $I - F - K - ID$, then

$$\delta_B(0') \geq \delta_B(y) \text{ and } \beta_B(0') \leq \beta_B(y)$$

Since F is epimorphism, then

$$\delta_B(0') \geq \delta_B(g(x)) \text{ and } \beta_B(0') \leq \beta_B(g(x))$$

Thus , $g^{-1}(\delta_B(0)) \geq \delta_B(g(x)) = g^{-1}(\delta_B(x))$ and

$g^{-1}(\beta_B(0)) \geq \beta_B(g(x)) = g^{-1}(\beta_B(x))$

Now , $g^{-1}(\delta_B(x^*)) = \delta_B(g(x^*)) = \delta_B(g(x)^*)$ and

, $g^{-1}(\beta_B(x^*)) = \beta_B(g(x^*)) = \beta_B(g(x)^*)$

Since B is an I-F-S then

$\delta_B(g(x)^*) \geq \min\{\delta_B(x_1^* * g(x)), \delta_B(x_1)\}$ and

$\beta_B(g(x)^*) \leq \max\{\beta_B(x_1^* * g(x)), \beta_B(x_1)\}$

References:

- [1] H.K.Abdalah , H.K. Jawad, New types of Ideals in Q-algebra, Journal university ofkerbala, Vol.16 No.4 scienti_c. 2018.
- [2] J.Neggars, S. S. Ahn and H.S. Kim , on Q-algebras , Int . J . Math . Math. Sci . 27(12) (2001) ,pp.749-757.
- [3] H.K. Jawad , Some Types of Fuzzy Pseudo Ideals of Pseudo Q-algebra ,Thesis , University of Kufa , 2019.
- [4] S.M.Mostfa , M.A.Mokhtar and O.R.M.Elgedy , Intuitionistic fuzzy Q-Ideals in Q-algebras,Global Journal of pure and Applied Mathematics ,2(2012) , pp.135-144
- [5] K. T. Atanassov,"Intuitionistic fuzzy sets" , Fuzzy sets and Systems 35 (1986), 87-96.
- [6] D.K.Basnet , (α, β) – cut of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals ,NIFS, 16 (2010), 1, 22-27
- [7] D. Coker, " An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Setsand Systems 88 (1997), 81-89
- [8] L.A. Zadeh , ,Fuzzy sets , Information and Control 8 (1965) , 338-353.
- [9] G.TaKeuti and S.Titants , Intuitionistic fuzzy Logic and Intuitionistic fuzzy settheory, Journal of Symbolic Logic , 49 (1984) , 851-866.