

Integrating Chatbot In An Inquiry-Based Approach In Teaching Science

EARL CRIS B. RIGGS¹ and Lee G. Baraquia, EdD²

¹Science Teacher, Department of Education
Pagadian City, Zamboanga del Sur
earlcris.riggs@sccpag.edu.ph

²Education Program Supervisor Policy in Planning and Research Division
Deped- Regional Office IX
Pagadian City, Zamboanga del Sur

Abstract: *Science can be a challenging subject for both teachers and students. As technology in education is constantly evolving, this study aimed to explore the integration of Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science. This exploration measured the effect of Chatbot on the students' academic achievement and science engagement. The research participants consisted of thirty (30) grade 8 students from Pagadian City Science High School for the school year 2022-2023. This exploration utilized pre-experimental research design in order to determine the improvement of the students' academic achievement integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. This study focused on the One Group Pre-test-Post-test design where only the experimental group is selected as the study subjects. In this study there was no control group as this design advised. By employing Mean and Paired Samples T-test, results revealed there is a very high significant difference between the level of students' engagement and achievement before and after Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science in the first, second, and third trial runs. Therefore, integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science is highly recommended to maximize the learning of the students. Findings of the study also provided for the better implementation of the current science curriculum, which is geared towards producing active learners through technology integration.*

Keywords— chatbot technology; chatbot in science education; inquiry-based approach; student's science engagement; academic achievement

1. INTRODUCTION

Technology integration in education has created new possibilities in order for students to obtain knowledge and work with others, and engage in more interactive and personalized learning experiences. The extensive usage of the internet and mobile devices has made access to online resources for students simpler and connect with their peers, teachers, and experts worldwide. Additionally, the development of new educational technologies such as virtual and augmented reality, learning management systems, and artificial intelligence is leading to new pedagogical approaches and innovative teaching and assessment methods. These developments are helping to create a more dynamic and a stimulating setting for learning and student success. Technological innovations are influencing every aspect of life, and continuous technological advances are changing how a learner learns (Sürmelioglu and Seferoglu 2019, 48).

Science can be a challenging subject for both teachers and students. Science is not just about memorizing facts and formulas, but also understanding complex concepts and scientific principles and enhancing one's capacity for critical thought and problem solving. This context can make it difficult for some students to grasp the material and for some teachers to convey it effectively. Additionally, Science is constantly evolving, so teachers need to feature the most recent advancements and discoveries in the field. However,

when taught effectively, Science can be a fascinating and engaging subject that can inspire to encourage youngsters to major in science and technology (Hadzigeorgiou and Schulz 2019, 2). A crucial pedagogical strategy used by educators in a variety of subjects, including science, is inquiry-based learning (Del Greco, Bernadowski, and Parker 2018, 75).

One of the popular modern teaching methods used in science education is Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL), which has been demonstrated to be a highly effective way to learn science. IBL is based on the principles of social constructivism, which recognize the student's potential in the classroom (Ünlü and Dökme 2020, 120). Recently, the 5Es approach has been expanded to include two additional phases, Elicit and Extend, resulting in a 7Es instructional model (Eisenkraft 2003, 56). Moreover, studies have shown that incorporating technology into inquiry-based learning can have a positive impact on students' academic performance and attitudes towards learning (Thongkoo, Panjaburee, and Daungcharone 2017, 527).

A Chatbot, sometimes known as a "talking bot," is an emerging type of software in the field of information technology (Shorey et al. 2019), able to engage in vocal or written dialogues with human users and respond to their questions utilizing the question-and-answer method (Lee and Park 2019, 79). Since technology in education today is changing how students learn, many potential methods with technical support can be integrated as a digital learning tool to help students' study information through individualized

learning support (Singh 2021). Additionally, some research has combined collaborative learning with mobile learning (Aghajani and Adloo 2018, 433).

The primary action of a chatbot begins with the user's input. The message was then analyzed by NLP (Natural Language Processing), and the chatbot responded by replying to the message based on the database. For example, if a user sends a "how are you?" message, the chatbot will look through the database for answers that match this question, such as "I'm doing OK", "Great!" etc. The ability of a chatbot to meet the needs of users has long been an issue in the field of information retrieval (Zhao et al. 2016, 5). Chatbots may be divided into two types based on how they are designed: those that are programmed according to predefined commands (rule-based Chatbot) (Singh, Joesph, and Jabbar 2019, 2) and those that are based on artificial intelligence (AI) (Zamora 2017, 2). Facebook launched its Messenger platform in April 2016 with the goal of enabling chatbots to be integrated into the application. Since then, the platform has amassed over 1.3 billion monthly users (Constine 2018, 5), accounts with more than 300,000 chatbots, and 8 billion messages are sent and received daily between companies and customers (Johnson 2018).

There is little barrier to entry when it comes to using a Facebook Messenger chatbot. Facebook Messenger is the third most popular smartphone application globally, with 68 percent of users (Hartmans 2017, 8). Users benefit from a familiar user interface, not necessary to download and set up additional applications, and 24/7 availability. A drawback of the instant messaging software is that other discussions can disrupt your conversation (Pereira and Díaz 2018).

The utilization of the social platform's already-existing infrastructure, the personalization of the discussion, and the chatbot's availability on mobile and the web are just a few advantages for chatbot creators. Any programming language or a third-party no-code platform using a visual development environment can be used to create chatbots (Smutný and Schreiberova 2020, 103).

As technology in education is constantly evolving, the Chatbot may significantly impact the sector. This work may serve as a stepping stone for researchers attempting to realize this prospect. This task would be accomplished by determining what skills the Chatbot would have in an educational context and whether it could stand alone or require other technology to bring pedagogical value to education (Roos 2018, 46).

These technologies that aid in the teaching-learning process are a significant advantage in making the lessons more manageable and will also improve students' performance in science. As a response to the things mentioned above, the researcher is challenged to develop an instruction that includes the use of Chatbots in helping the students gain more knowledge and, in effect, increase their academic achievement and student engagement in science. In addition, the researcher will observe the positive influence of using such technology in increasing the students' class participation and will provide a better performance outcome.

Because of the potential and possibility of Chatbot technology to meet the real-time information needs of the students and as a digital learning tool to integrate in an Inquiry-based approach for use in education, as well as the limited availability of research on Chatbot technology in educational contexts, particularly in the Philippines, the current study aimed to improve Filipino students' Science concepts by integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. Through this digital platform, the goal of using a chatbot in the classroom is to increase students' interest in science, their academic achievement, and to provide them with positive, individualized learning support.

2. Research Questions

This study aimed to investigate the effects on students' engagement and academic achievement with the integration of Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science to the Grade 8 students at the junior high school department of one of the schools in the Division of Pagadian City. It also discussed the difficulties and issues found when utilizing the innovative intervention. Moreover, it determined the insights gained as the strategy when utilize in the classroom.

It aimed to answer the following questions in particular:

1. What is the level of students' science engagement before and after integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science in the first, second, and third trial runs?
2. What is the level of students' science achievement using Chatbot in an inquiry-based approach as revealed by the pretest and posttest results in the first, second, and third trial runs?
3. Is there a significant difference in students' engagement in science when Chatbot is integrated into an inquiry-based approach in teaching science?
4. Is there a significant difference in students' achievement in science when Chatbot is integrated into an inquiry-based approach in teaching science?

3. Research Methods

Research Design

This study utilized Quasi-experimental, time series, pretest and posttest, single group design in order to determine the improvement of the students' academic achievement integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. With this approach, the impact of the intervention is assessed both before and after the experiment. Single group was employed in this study to ensure the comparison is only between the pretest and posttest results only and the external factors such as individual differences between participants can be minimized.

Participants and Other Sources of Data Information

The study is conducted at the junior high school department of one of the secondary schools of the Division of Pagadian City during the 4th grading period of the school year 2022–2023, utilizing 42 Grade 8 students from Grade 8 Arthropoda

were considered participants in this study. In this study, all students were taught using Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science.

Research Instrument

The study used three lesson plans which integrated Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science and were subjected to validation by the content experts that were master teachers in the division of Pagadian City.

Science competencies and concepts discussions were based on the content standards stipulated in the science curriculum guide. Pre- and post-tests measured students' cognitive and problem-solving proficiencies before the start of the lesson and after the end of the lesson. A Science achievement test developed by the researcher and subjected to validity and reliability testing. Experts in the field of science were asked to judge each question. The Cronbach's alpha value of the tests, after which it was subjected to reliability statistics, is 0.7, which is an acceptable value.

In order to determine the academic achievement level of the students in learning the Science concepts using the Chatbot, the researcher prepared a 15 item Multiple Choice type pretest and posttest in the three (3) lesson plans.

Data Gathering Procedure

The researcher obtained approval from the Office of the Schools Division Superintendent of Pagadian City and Office of the School Principal to carry out the study in the area to ensure the research's validity. The researcher also need permission from the teacher in charge of the class and the head of the science department. Research participants were oriented about the goal and relevance of the study, the risks and benefits of the case study, as well as the fact that the study entailed commitment and the protection of confidentiality, as part of the process for obtaining data. Informed consents were obtained from the participants' parents as part of the preliminary data gathering process. Research participants will complete a Student's Science Engagement Scale (SSES) questionnaire before and after integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science.

Data Analysis

Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in Teaching Science on Science engagement and achievement was processed quantitatively using a descriptive and inferential statistics. To test for the level of significant difference between the pretest and posttest, paired samples t-test was performed. The paired t-test is used in order to test the effects before and after the integration of Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. Thus, Science engagement and achievement of the learners were treated as the dependent variables in this exploration.

Processing of the data gathered was done on the computer using the software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), which provided for the accurate and reliable computation of the results.

4. Results and Discussion

Level of Students' Science Engagement in the First Trial Run. It shows the results in determining the students' level of Science Engagement in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science in first trial run. The overall level of engagement in learning science before Chatbot intervention was observed to be Very High ($M = 3.54$; $SD = 0.19$). Similarly, overall level of engagement in integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in learning science was also observed to be Very High ($M = 3.73$; $SD = 0.13$). Interestingly, item #9 indicated a level of engagement in the pre-intervention interpreted as High while the remaining twenty (20) items posted means interpreted as Very High. On the other hand, only one (1) indicator of students' engagement in the post intervention out of twenty-one (21) posted a mean interpreted as High while other indicators posted means interpreted as Very High. By and large, students' engagement both in pre-intervention and post-intervention showed approximately equivalent level of science engagement in the learning process.

Level of Students' Science Engagement in the Second Trial Run. Shows the results in determining the students' level of Science Engagement in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science in Second Trial Run. The overall level of engagement in learning science before Chatbot intervention was observed to be Very High ($M = 3.48$; $SD = 0.19$). Similarly, the overall level of engagement in integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in learning science was also observed to be Very High ($M = 3.71$; $SD = 0.12$). Interestingly, item #7, item #9 and item #12 indicated a level of engagement in the pre-intervention interpreted as High while the remaining eighteen (18) items posted means interpreted as Very High. On the other hand, only one (1) indicator of students' engagement in the post intervention out of twenty-one (21) posted a mean interpreted as High while other indicators posted means interpreted as Very High.

Level of Students' Science Engagement in the Third Trial Run. Shows the results in determining the students' level of Science Engagement in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science in trial run 3. The overall level of engagement in learning science before Chatbot intervention was observed to be Very High ($M = 3.56$; $SD = 0.16$). Similarly, the overall level of engagement in integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in learning science was also observed to be Very High ($M = 3.76$; $SD = 0.13$).

Based on the results, only item #9 indicated a level of engagement in the pre-intervention interpreted as High while the remaining twenty (20) items posted means interpreted as Very High. On the other hand, all indicators of students' Science engagement in the post intervention out of twenty-one (21) posted a mean interpreted as Very High.

Students' Engagement Using Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching

By employing Paired Samples T-test, Table 4 indicates the test of significant difference in students' engagement in learning Science before and after Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science during the first, second, and third trial runs.

In the first trial run, the table (t -value = -9.56; p -value = 0.001) reveals that there is a high significant difference between the level of students' engagement before and after Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science. In the second trial run, the table (t -value = -9.40; p -value = 0.001) reveals that there is a high significant difference between the level of students' engagement before and after Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science. In the third trial run, the table (t -value = -7.83; p -value = 0.001) reveals that there is a high significant difference between the level of students' engagement before and after Integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based Approach in Teaching Science.

This finding signifies that students' level of engagement in learning Science has significantly increased through the integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. This supports the claim that integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach enhances students' engagement in the teaching-learning process.

This implication is consistent with the study conducted that the Chatbot had a significant impact on student success and engagement (Lin and Chang 2020, 78).

Chatbots are one suitable learning method for students to evaluate their prior knowledge and can be used to collect class feedback (Grudin and Jacques 2019, 8). Chatbots, on the other hand, can encourage shy or hesitant students to express questions during a typical class session (Verleger and Pembridge 2018, 2).

Chatbots are therefore a potential option for improving connection and motivation, providing personalized learning, and reducing loneliness and social isolation. It is feasible to pre-program responses to frequently requested questions and deliver immediate responses making it easier for students to ask inquiries and receive immediate answers (Cameron et al. 2017, 2). As a result, Chatbots can help teachers by answering repetitive questions and acting as virtual assistants or tutors for students (Singh 2021, 29). A student in a supportive learning environment that allows them to express their interest and become involved in the learning process will always succeed and engage more than a student who is exposed to less-interactive environment or a lack of stimulation (Dotterer and Lowe 2011).

This reflects the pretest and post test scores of the participants for the first trial run of this investigation.

In the first trial run, the pretest mean score was 5.30, suggesting that students initially scored an average of 5.30 out of the total points. The average post-test score was 12.13, indicating a substantial rise in the academic achievement of students. The pretest standard deviation of 1.41 and the post-test standard deviation of 1.72 demonstrate the variability of scores around their respective means. The pretest had the mean variability of around 1.41 points, whereas the post-test

had an average variability of about 1.72 points. The t -value of -19.94 with a p -value of 0.001 indicates that the difference between the pretest and post-test scores is highly significant. From the pretest to the post-test, there was a substantial rise in student achievement in science. The post-test scores had a higher mean score, indicating that students performed better in the post-test than in the pretest in the first trial run.

In the second trial run, the pretest had a mean score of 6.03 and a standard deviation of 1.61, indicating that the average score was 6.03. The post-test had a higher mean score of 12.33 and a slightly lower standard deviation of 1.42, indicating that scores had improved. The t -value of -14.96, with a p -value of 0.001, revealed that the difference between the pretest and post-test scores was highly significant.

In the third trial run, the pretest had a mean score of 8.33 and a standard deviation of 1.37, indicating that the initial average score was 8.33 with considerable variability. The post-test had a higher mean score of 13.50 and relatively greater standard deviation of 1.43, indicating that scores improved with partially increased variability. The t -value of -19.65, with a p -value of 0.001, revealed that the difference between the pretest and post-test scores was extremely significant. This provides substantial support against the null hypothesis, implying that the observed difference is unlikely to be the result of random chance. This finding signifies that students' level of achievement in learning Science has significantly increased through the integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching Science. This warrants the claim that Chatbot enhance students' achievement in the teaching-learning process.

The findings indicate a considerable improvement in student science achievement from the pretest to the posttest. The higher mean post-test score supports this finding, and the statistical significance of the results increases confidence in the observed improvement. This implication is consistent with the study conducted that individualized learning aid provided by Chatbots has a major impact on student learning and enjoyment (Winkler and Söllner 2018) despite the fact that various research on the effective adoption of Chatbots have been conducted (Dutta 2017).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

With the significant difference in the students' level of science engagement and academic achievement taught through integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science, then this approach could be used to enhance students' capabilities in understanding science concepts. The null hypothesis is rejected in the conducted three trial runs meaning, the students applied with integrating Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science obtained higher posttest scores.

Based on the results of the study, this concludes that integrating chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in teaching science results better students' engagement and academic achievement.

It is suggested that teachers may integrate Chatbot in an Inquiry-based approach in the learning process to maximize the students' engagement and learning outcomes and enabling teachers to explore new technology similar to Chatbot. It is suggested that other grade level and different subject matter to utilize chatbot to increase engagement and achievement in the learning process.

Since Chatbot can be utilized as a supplementary digital learning tool, it is recommended to further study would be conducted among various levels and subject matter.

Acknowledgment

As the researcher's research journey grows, this thesis shows that there is nothing to accomplish without making commitments. The researcher will be forever appreciative and beyond blessed for the compassionate encouragement and guidance of the people who became a part of the study and serve as inspirations to embark on this educational research journey with the steadfast and unwavering spirit that allowed him to achieve this humble achievement in his professional life. Sincere gratitude to Dr. Lee G. Baraquia, the thesis adviser, for his quick answer to the researcher's inquiries. Even late-night conversations were not found to be a problem for him. His guidance as well as instruction were superb. The manner he puts a lot of pressure on oneself to complete this study on time fits the researcher's nature and interests. He is an absolutely exceptional thesis adviser, and the researcher is sincerely honored to be his advisee. The distinguished members of the panel, led by Dr. Gilbert A. Celesio, as well as Dr. Genesis Naparan and Dr. Marie Conception L. Celesio, deserve thanks for their valuable expertise, perspectives, guidance, and instructions, which provided the researcher with greater depth of knowledge. The researcher is grateful to the content experts for validating and evaluating the research instruments. This study would be impossible to carry out without their helping hands. Special thanks to sir Mohamad Ali E. Ramber, you are the first person to push the researcher to continue professional development. Your words of encouragement and believing in the researcher's capabilities change the course of his life. To my supportive parents, Earl V. Riggs Jr and Leonora B. Riggs, who always dream to have a professional teacher in the family, your prayers made all these things to happen. To my siblings, thank you for your constant support and encouragement. The researcher cannot accomplish anything without your all-out support. To my partner in life, thank you for the support and inspiration to accomplish things with prayers. The researcher continues to dream and achieve more in life.

Dr. Ma. Liza R. Tabilon, Schools Division Superintendent of DepEd Pagadian, for allowing me to conduct my study at one of the junior high schools in our division.

Dr. Crestina T. Parot, the school principal of our school, for giving permission to study this study. Finally, the Almighty God is the researcher's fundamental source of strength. He imparted skills, abilities, perseverance, passion, commitment, and dedication on the researcher to enable for the study to be accomplished.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Aghajani, Mojtaba, and Mahsa Adloo. 2018. "The Effect of Online Cooperative Learning on Students' Writing Skills and Attitudes through Telegram Application." *International Journal of Instruction* 11 (3): 433–448. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.11330a>.
- [2] Baraquia, L. G. (2019). Students' Science Engagement Scale (SSES): Developing the constructs to measure science engagement. *PANAGDAIT Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 1, 99–110, 2019. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339139596_Students'_Science_Engagement_Scale_SSES_Developing_the_Constructs_to_Measure_Science_Engagement
- [3] Cameron, Gillian, David M. Cameron, Gavin Megaw, Raymond Bond, Maurice Mulvenna, Siobhán O'Neill, Chérie Armour, and Michael F. McTear. 2017. "Towards a Chatbot for Digital Counselling." *BCS Learning & Development*, January. <https://doi.org/10.14236/ewic/hci2017.24>.
- [4] Colace, Francesco, Massimo De Santo, Marco Lombardi, Francesco Pascale, Antonio Pietrosanto, and Saverio Lemma. 2018. "Chatbot for E-Learning: A Case of Study." *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotics Research*, January, 528–533. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijmerr.7.5.528-533>.
- [5] Constine, J. (2018, July 25). 2.5 billion people use at least one of Facebook's apps. *Techcrunch.com*. <https://techcrunch.com/2018/07/25/facebook-2-5-billion-people/>
- [6] Del Greco, Robert, Carianne Bernadowski, and Susan W. Parker. 2018. "Using Illustrations to Depict Preservice Science Teachers' Self-Efficacy: A Case Study." *International Journal of Instruction* 11 (2): 75–88. <https://doi.org/10.12973/iji.2018.1126a>.
- [7] Department of Education. (2016). K to 12 Curriculum Guide SCIENCE. https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Science-CG_with-tagged-sci-equipment_revised.pdf
- [8] Deveci Topal, A., Dilek Eren, C., & Kolburan Geçer, A. (2021). Chatbot application in a 5th grade science course. *Education and Information Technologies*, 26(5), 6241–6265. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10627-8>
- [9] Dotterer, Aryn M., and Katie Lowe. 2011. "Classroom Context, School Engagement, and Academic

- Achievement in Early Adolescence.” *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 40 (12): 1649–60. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-011-9647-5>.
- [10] Dutta, Debasatwa. 2017. “Developing an Intelligent Chat-Bot Tool to Assist High School Students for Learning General Knowledge Subjects.” <https://repository.gatech.edu/entities/publication/55b7c7ba-a2c9-45fd-862b-b76a3c8df4df>.
- [11] Eisenkraft, Arthur. 2003. “Expanding the 5E Model.” *The Science Teacher* 70 (6): 56–59. <https://eric.ed.gov/?q=5e+AND+model&pg=5&id=EJ677483>.
- [12] Grudin, Jonathan, and Richard Jacques. 2019. “Chatbots, Humbots, and the Quest for Artificial General Intelligence.” *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, May, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300439>.
- [13] Hadzigeorgiou, Yannis, and Roland M. Schulz. 2019. “Engaging Students in Science: The Potential Role of ‘Narrative Thinking’ and ‘Romantic Understanding.’” *Frontiers in Education* 4 (May). <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2019.00038>.
- [14] Hartmans, A. (2017, August 30). These are the 10 most used smartphone apps. *Business Insider*. <https://www.businessinsider.com/most-used-smartphone-apps-2017-8>
- [15] Ischen, Carolin, Theo Araujo, Hilde a. M. Voorveld, Guda Van Noort, and Edith G. Smit. 2020. “Privacy Concerns in Chatbot Interactions.” In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 34–48. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39540-7_3.
- [16] Johnson, K. (2018, May 1). Facebook Messenger passes 300,000 bots. *VentureBeat*. <https://venturebeat.com/2018/05/01/facebook-messenger-passes-300000-bots>
- [17] Lee, Dong-Han, and Sang-In Park. 2019. “A Developmental Plan for an English Conversation Learning Chatbot through the Application of Elementary School English Textbooks.” *Co'deung Yeong'eo Gyo'yug* 25 (4): 79–100. <https://doi.org/10.25231/pee.2019.25.4.79>.
- [18] Lin, M. Z., & Chang, D. T. (2020). Enhancing post-secondary writers’ writing skills with a Chatbot: A mixed-method classroom study. *Educational Technology & Society*, 23(1), 78–92. <https://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/journals/ets/ets23.html#LinC20>
- [19] Pereira, Juanan, and Óscar Díaz. 2018. “A Quality Analysis of Facebook Messenger’s Most Popular Chatbots.” *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, April, 2144–50. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3167132.3167362>.
- [20] Roos, Sofie. 2018. “Chatbots in Education: A Passing Trend or a Valuable Pedagogical Tool?” *DIVA*. 2018. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1223692&dsid=267>.
- [21] Shorey, Shefaly, Emily Ang, John Yap, Esperanza Debby Ng, Siew Tiang Lau, and Chee-Kong Chui. 2019. “A Virtual Counseling Application Using Artificial Intelligence for Communication Skills Training in Nursing Education: Development Study.” *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 21 (10): e14658. <https://doi.org/10.2196/14658>.
- [22] Shuler, Carly. 2009. “Pockets of Potential: Using Mobile Technologies to Promote Children’s Learning.” 2009. <https://hal.science/hal-00696254/>
- [23] Singh, Jagdish Kaur a P Gurdeep, Minnu Helen Joesph, and Khurshid Begum Abdul Jabbar. 2019. “Rule-Based Chabot for Student Enquiries.” *Journal of Physics* 1228 (1): 012060. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1228/1/012060>.
- [24] Singh, Robin. 2021. “AI and Chatbots in Education: What Does The Future Hold?” *Medium*, May 10, 2021. <https://chatbotsmagazine.com/ai-and-chatbots-in-education-what-does-the-futurehold-9772f5c13960>.
- [25] Smutny, P., & Schreiberova, P. (2020). Chatbots for learning: A review of educational Chatbots for the Facebook Messenger. *Computers & Education*, 151, 103862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103862>
- [26] Sürmelioglu, Yeşim, and Süleyman Sadi Seferoğlu. 2019. “An Examination of Digital Footprint Awareness and Digital Experiences of Higher Education Students.” *World Journal on Educational Technology* 11 (1): 48–64. <https://doi.org/10.18844/wjet.v11i1.4009>.
- [27] Thongkoo, Krittawaya, Patcharin Panjaburee, and Kannika Daungcharone. 2017. “An Inquiry Blended SECI Model-Based Learning Support Approach for Promoting Perceptions and Learning Achievement of University Students.” 2017 6th IIAI International Congress on Advanced Applied Informatics (IIAI-AAI), July, 527–32. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iiiai-aa.2017.75>.
- [28] Ünlü, Zeynep Koyunlu, and İlbilge Dökme. 2020. “The Effect of Technology-Supported Inquiry-Based Learning in Science Education: Action Research.” *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health* 6 (2): 120–133. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.632375>.
- [29] Verleger, Matthew, and James J. Pembridge. 2018. “A Pilot Study Integrating an AI-Driven Chatbot in an Introductory Programming Course.” 2018 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, October, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1109/fie.2018.8659282>.
- [30] Winkler, Rainer, and Matthias Söllner. 2018. “Unleashing the Potential of Chatbots in Education: A State-Of-The-Art Analysis.” *Proceedings - Academy of Management* 2018 (1): 15903. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2018.15903abstract>.

- [31] Zhao, Yan, Nan Duan, Junwei Bao, Peng Chen, Ming Zhou, Zhoujun Li, and Jianshe Zhou. 2016. "DocChat: An Information Retrieval Approach for Chatbot Engines Using Unstructured Documents." Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics Volume 1: Long Papers (January). <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/p16-1049>.
- [32] Zamora, Jennifer. 2017. "Rise of the Chatbots." Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces Companion, March. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3030024.3040201>.