Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

# Error Analysis on the Written Paragraphs of Communication Students of Cagayan State University

## **Christine Angel N. Cuarteros**

Bachelor of Arts in English Cagayan State University – Carig Campus Tuguegarao City, Philippines cuarteroschristineangel@gmail.com

Abstract: This study sought to identify errors in the written paragraphs of graduating communication students at both the Andrews and Carig Campuses of Cagayan State University. The research employed a descriptive-comparative research design and utilized the stratified random sampling technique. The primary instrument for data collection was a questionnaire. Data analysis involved the use of frequency count, percentage, weighted mean, t-test, and ANOVA. The findings revealed that communication students exhibited a substantial number of errors in written paragraphs, particularly in sentence construction, with grammar following closely. Factors such as age, course of study, and language exposure were identified as potential contributors to the magnitude of errors observed among the students.

## Keywords— error analysis, language exposure, magnitude of errors in language

#### 1. Introduction

English, as a global language, unifies nations and is widely embraced for communication in various industries. It plays a crucial role in advancing corporate goals and enhancing productivity. Despite historical perceptions of difficulty, English has become the second language for Filipinos, especially significant in the context of the ASEAN Integration.

For Filipino students, proficiency in English, encompassing both written and spoken skills, is vital, given the evolving educational landscape and international employment opportunities. Mastery of the four language skills—listening, speaking, reading, and writing—is essential for effective communication.

Writing, considered the most challenging skill, is integral for clear expression. However, tertiary-level students often struggle with writing skills. To address this, analyzing errors in written outputs becomes a proposed solution. Corder's insights emphasize the importance of understanding and correcting errors in the language learning process.

This study focuses on identifying the main errors in written paragraphs of graduating communication students at Cagayan State University's Andrews and Carig campuses. The results aim to guide teachers in addressing these errors, improving students' paragraph-writing proficiency.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Research Design

The study employed a descriptive-comparative design to delineate the respondents' profiles, specifically in terms of sex, age, course, parents' highest educational attainment, frequency of reading, frequency of viewing, and language exposure. Additionally, this design was utilized to characterize the errors committed in mechanics, grammar,

and sentence structure. Furthermore, a comparative analysis was conducted to ascertain variations in the magnitude of errors when respondents were grouped according to their profile variables.

### 2.2 Locale of the Study

This study, conducted at Cagayan State University, Tuguegarao City (2017-2018), focused on programs at Andrews and Carig campuses. Respondents included a Bachelor of Secondary Education Major in English from Andrews and students from AB Mass Communication, AB English, and BS Industrial Commercial Communication at Carig, chosen for their significant exposure to the English language, both spoken and written.

## 2.3. Analysis of Data

After identifying errors using a coding scheme, the data were tabulated, analyzed, and interpreted in alignment with the study's objectives. Frequency, percentage, and weighted means were employed to analyze writing errors among respondents, specifically focusing on mechanics, grammar, and sentence structure.

The respondents' profile, encompassing sex and age, was then examined. The ranking was applied to identify the most prevalent errors committed by the participants. Subsequently, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was utilized to discern any significant differences in the magnitude of errors among respondents when grouped according to their profile variables.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION

The majority of respondents, constituting 79.38%, are females, indicating female dominance in communication courses. Regarding age, most respondents fall under 20

(59.53%), aligning appropriately with their tertiary education level.

In terms of academic programs, Bachelor of Secondary Education-English has the highest frequency (39.18%), followed by Bachelor of Arts in English (36.08%). Notably, the teaching profession remains attractive to the community.

As for parental education, a considerable number of mothers (25.77%) completed high school, while fathers with a high school education account for 30.93%.

In language preference, 54.64% of respondents speak Ilokano, reflecting diverse linguistic backgrounds.

In terms of reading habits, the majority read journals (45.36%), newspapers (43.30%), and dictionaries (36.08%), indicating exposure to varied reading materials.

Regarding media consumption, television is the most frequented (81.44%), followed by internet usage (59.79%), and radio listening (30.93%), illustrating widespread engagement with mass media.

The highest-rated item is "I attend English as a subject in school," with a weighted mean of 4.72 (always). This suggests a consistent exposure to the English language through curriculum inclusion.

## 3.2 Magnitude of Errors Committed in Mechanics

Error type	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Wrong	191	67.73	1
Punctuation			
Wrong	57	20.21	2
Spelling			
Missing	34	12.06	3
Total	282	100	

Results reveal that the most prevalent error in mechanics is the incorrect use of punctuation, constituting 67.73%, followed by misspellings at 20.21%, with omissions being the least at 12.06%.

This aligns with Guillermo's (1992) assertion that Filipino students face challenges in placing punctuation correctly due to carelessness and lack of concentration. Etinurwati's (2016) study supports this, indicating that none of the 220 respondents were categorized as excellent in using correct punctuation in descriptive writing, attributing the issue to a lack of mastery of the rules. This deficiency echoes the findings of Rahmawati (2014), who noted that traditional teaching of writing often neglect punctuation.

Similarly, Alinsunod's (2014) research indicates that Filipino respondents encounter challenges, especially with punctuation. Raimes (2004) emphasizes punctuation as a visual aid for understanding written text but observes that inexperienced English writers struggle with punctuation conventions.

## **3.3 On Wrong Punctuations**

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence

P1	We learn best not in	We learn best not in
	being perfect but	being perfect (,) but
	rather in owning and	rather in owning and
	accepting our	accepting our
	mistakes.	mistakes.
P25	For some this may be	For some (,) this may
	a happy moment	be a happy moment
P64	As early as now you	As early as now (,)
	must tell them	you must tell them
	already	already
P75	How are you. I hope	How are you? I hope
	you're okay.	you're okay.

The extracts indicate issues with the use of punctuation among respondents, including omissions of periods, commas, and question marks. Noteworthy instances include P1, P25, and P64, where respondents failed to use a comma correctly. In P1, a compound sentence lacked a comma before the conjunction "but." In P25 and P65, commas were omitted after initial phrases such as "For some" and "As early as now." Additionally, in P75, a period was incorrectly used instead of a question mark for an interrogative statement.

## 3.4 On Misspelling

No. of	Misspelled word	Corrected word
Paper		
P74	Alway pray to God	Always pray to God.
P83	All of us have	All of us have
	dissisions in life.	decision in life.
P83	but <b>pls</b> put God	but <b>please</b> put God
	first	first
P44	This letter is <b>verry</b>	This letter is <b>very</b>
	emosional	emotional.
P51	You're intillegent,	You're intelligent,
	right?	right?
P56	ready to forgive us	ready to forgive us
	eventhough we	eventhough we
	committ mistakes.	commit mistakes.
P36	Go to your mother	Go to your mother
	and tell what	and tell what
	happenned.	happened.

Misspelled words ranked second among mechanical errors, suggesting potential weaknesses in students' comprehension of connected materials and word recognition. In P74, the respondent demonstrated carelessness and a lack of focus in writing. Additionally, P83, P74, P44, P51, P56, and P36 exhibited misspellings due to confusion over double letters and literal translation of pronunciation.

Lasaten (2014) attributes such spelling errors to phonetic perception and student carelessness. Wolff (2000) further supports this observation, noting lexical errors, particularly in spelling, in carefully prepared essays and informal written materials.

Adelstein and Pival (1984) argue that spelling conventions evolve, and changes in pronunciation may not

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

always be reflected in current conventions. This can result in misspellings when words are mispronounced.

## 3.5 On Missing Words

Missing words rank third among mechanical errors. In P26, P25, and P63, instances were observed where students omitted the words "be," "of," and "to" in their sentences, indicating inattentiveness and lack of focus in writing.

This observation aligns with Guillermo's (1992) assertion that this error may stem from students' inattention during the writing activity.

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P25	Telling someone you	Telling someone you
	are struggling is the	are struggling is the
	first step feeling	first step to feeling
	better.	better.
P63	Remember, stress,	Remember, stress,
	depression, and other	depression, and other
	mental health	mental health
	conditions are	conditions are nothing
	nothing to be	to be ashamed <i>of</i> .
	ashamed.	
P26	Your parents will	Your parents will
	always there for you.	always be there for
		you.

3.6 Magnitude of Errors Committed in Grammar

Error type	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Wrong Form	193	43.86	1
Wrong Part of	79	17.96	2
Speech			
Wrong	44	10.00	3
Agreement			
Wrong	42	9.54	4
Preposition			
Wrong Tense	34	7.73	5
Wrong	22	5.00	6
Determiner			
Wrong	17	3.86	7
Pronoun			
Wrong	5	1.15	8
Number			
Wrong	2	0.45	9
Comparative			
Wrong	2	0.45	9
Possessive			
Total	440	100	

The table reveals that the most prevalent grammar error is "wrong form," accounting for 43.86%, followed by "wrong part of speech" at 17.96%, "wrong agreement" at 10.00%, and "wrong preposition" at 9.54%. Other identified errors include "wrong tense" (7.73%), "wrong determiner" (5.00%), "wrong pronoun" (3.86%), and "wrong number" (1.15%). The least

frequent errors are "wrong comparative" and "wrong possessive," both at 0.45%.

3.7 On Wrong Form

No. of	ong Form Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper	mediteet sentence	sentence
P85	but don't forget to	but don't forget to
103	focus on your <b>study</b> .	focus on your <b>studies.</b>
P74	<i>your</i> pregnant but	you're pregnant but
F/4	you need to continue	you need to continue
P83		•
163	Now adays are	Nowadays, men are too confident in their
	really too confident in their decision	decisions
P75		
P/5	Don't just depended	Don't just <b>depend</b> on
D25	on your boyfriend	your boyfriend
P25	If you are stress or	If you are <b>stressed</b> or
DC2	depress	depressed
P52	instead of thinking	instead of thinking
	my problem	negatively
P20	negativity	TD1 .1 .1 .1
P39	These are the things	These are the things
	that troubling your	that <b>trouble</b> your mind.
D.15	mind.	T. 1 1
P47	It doesn't mean that	It doesn't mean that
	your alone	you're alone
P47	parents has a	parents have better
D : :	better experienced	experience
P66	we will be <i>judge</i>	we will be <b>judged</b>
	anyway	anyway
P13	You need to tell to	You need to tell to the
	the <i>realities</i>	authorities
P24	Don't be afraid on	Don't be afraid on what
	what your boyfriend	your boyfriend will
	will <i>tell</i> .	say.
P90	The best thing to do	The best thing to do is
	is to tell to your	to tell to your parents
	parents that your	that you're bearing a
	bearing a child.	child.
P23	Lastly, <i>confront</i> your	Lastly, <b>confess</b> to your
	parents	parents
P53	the journey that	the journey that
	<i>your</i> facing	you're facing

"Wrong form" is the predominant error, stemming from respondents incorrectly forming words, often substituting inappropriate words with different meanings. Instances include P74, P47, P90, and P53, where "you are" was erroneously replaced with the pronoun "your." Similarly, in P13, P23, and P24, inappropriate words were employed, altering the intended message.

This observation resonates with Eun-pyo's (2003) study on "Error Analysis on Medical Students' Writing," which identified the wrong formation of words as a major error in writing.

#### 3.8 On Wrong Parts of Speech

ISSN: 2643-9670

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

No. of Paper	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct sentence
P81	We know that you	We know that what
101	are not expected	you have done is
	what you are doing	unexpected
P81	You must not	You must not be
101	allowed to date	allowed to date
	someone	someone
P84	I would like to talks	In general, I would
	in general	like to talk
P86	Jesus <i>love</i> you.	Jesus <b>loves</b> you.
P80	but if you try, there	but if you try, there
	is something positive	is something positive
	to learned	to be learned
P40	We, as a human	We, as <b>human beings</b> ,
	being deals with	deal with trials
	trial	
P40	Parents is your	Parents are your
	adviser you never	advisers that you can
	took away.	never take away.
P69	I know he'll be the	I know that he'll be the
	one to enlightened	one to enlighten your
	your <i>bother</i> mind.	<b>bothered</b> mind.
P44	For me, <i>I doing for</i>	For me, I just do my
	the best and don't	best and never lose
	lost hope.	hope.
P50	They are getting to	As time goes by,
	understand it as time	they'll be able to
D.60	goes by	understand it.
P68	In the first place they	At first, they will be
DCO	will angry to us	mad
P68	and grant what	and grant what
P33	you're ask for You need to do what	you're asking for
P33		<b>Since</b> you did that, you need to do what is
	is right <i>because since</i> you did that.	
P30	I also felt the	right. I also felt the
130	frustrations but	frustrations, <b>but</b> I still
	nonetheless, I still	informed my parents
	informed my parents	about it.
	about it.	acout it.

"Wrong parts of speech" or ungrammatical sentences rank second, revealing a deficiency in students' understanding of subject-verb agreement rules. Even when aware of these rules, students often struggle to apply them.

This outcome aligns with Tan's (1997) assertion that a major writing difficulty lies in the lexical aspect. Consequently, errors in parts of speech constitute the most prevalent lexical aspect error.

3.9 On Wrong Agreement

٠.				
	No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct	
	Paper		sentence	
	P80	but remember in	but remember in the	
		the eyes of God, there	eyes of God, there are	

	is consequences on	consequences on that
	that matter	matter
P42	You are not the <i>only</i>	You are not the <i>only</i>
	person who are	person who is
	experiencing that	experiencing that kind
	kind of situation.	of situation.
P47	because I believe	because I believe
	that <i>parents has a</i>	that <i>parents have</i>
	better experienced	better experience
P69	Parents wants you to	Parents want you to
	achieve	achieve

"Wrong agreement of number" ranks third, suggesting potential confusion among students regarding verb endings in -s. This confusion may lead to the erroneous belief that the -s ending denotes plurality, resulting in mismatched agreement with nouns ending in -s or vice versa.

3.10 On Wrong Use of Preposition

No. of Paper	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct sentence
P83	My tips <i>in</i> the girls	My tips <b>for</b> the girls
P53	Be strong for your baby and good luck <i>for</i> the journey that you're facing.	Be strong for your baby and good luck with the journey that you're facing.
P39	You're scared and frustrated <i>for</i> the problem you've been through	You're scared and frustrated <i>of</i> the problem you've been through
P50	You must learn <i>to</i> your mistakes.	You must learn <i>from</i> your mistakes.

"Wrong use of preposition" ranks fourth and may result from incorrect usage of prepositions. Instances, such as in P83, P53, P39, P54, and P50, reveal the interchangeability of prepositions. This mirrors the findings of Lozada and Magsangya (2009), highlighting that ESL participants frequently err in the improper use of prepositions. The study attributes this to the limited number of prepositions in the Filipino language, leading to confusion among students when exposed to the broader range of prepositions in English. Cultural differences also contribute to this limitation in language acquisition.

3.11 On Wrong Tense

-	11 On Wrong Tense			
	No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct	
	Paper		sentence	
	P78	they will see that you are their daughter and they love you	they will see that you are their daughter and <i>they will love you</i>	
	P58	I will think that this is the biggest problem	I think that this is the biggest problem	

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

P70	You will be surpass	You will surpass your
	your problem	problem
P72	If he is going to	If he is going to accept
	accepts you	you

"Wrong tense" ranks fifth, indicating limited knowledge among students regarding the use of verbs in present, past, and future tenses. This suggests unfamiliarity with the rules governing verb tenses and a lack of reinforcement in their correct usage.

This finding aligns with Sukasame, Kantho, and Narrot's (2013) assertion that learners of English as a foreign language (EFL) and English as a second language (ESL) encounter challenges in tense selection. While they can comfortably use all tenses, a lack of confidence in selecting the correct tenses for consistency persists in certain situations.

3.12 On Wrong Use of Determiner

No. of Paper	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct sentence
P41	it is just ait is just a strust struggles for you for you	
P45	make <i>a wrong</i> <i>decisions</i>	make wrong decisions
P49	Think of <i>a things</i> that will make you a better person.	Think of <i>the things</i> that will make you a better person.

"Wrong use of determiner" ranks sixth and arises from students' insufficient mastery of the correct usage of articles such as "a," "an," and "the." Lasaten (2014) underscores that while the basic rule is to use "a" before a consonant-starting noun and "an" before a vowel, few students are aware of additional rules governing their use.

Huang (2001) further notes that some students are unaware of sound considerations in article usage, such as placing "an" before "hour," which starts with a consonant but requires the article "an."

This outcome aligns with Chuang's (2005) study, where the largest number of errors, totaling 5232, was attributed to the wrong use of determiners.

3.13 On Wrong Pronoun

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P42	It cannot define you	It cannot define your
	intelligence	intelligence
P92	We/you must enjoy and embrace your flaws.	You must enjoy and embrace your flaws.

"Wrong pronoun" ranks seventh, indicating a lack of mastery among students in ensuring agreement between pronouns and their antecedents. Pratiwi's (2015) study on Junior High School students found that the misselection of pronouns constitutes one of the six intralingual errors committed.

3.14 On Wrong Agreement in Number

No.	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
of		sentence
Paper		
P83	In my suggestions	In my suggestion
P46	Every parents would	Every parent would
	understand your	understand your
	situation	situation

"Wrong number" ranks eighth, resulting from students' confusion regarding when to use -s in singular and plural nouns.

3.15 On Wrong Comparative

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P55	I think that it is <i>more</i>	I think that it is <b>better</b>
	good to open up	to open up
P09	Prepare yourself for something <i>more</i>	Prepare yourself for something worse
	worse	

"Wrong comparative" ranks ninth, revealing students' failure to employ the correct degree to indicate greater quantities.

3.16 On Wrong Possessive

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P79	I am also like you,	I am also like you,
	having a secret	having a secret
	relationship without	relationship without
	my <i>parents</i> praise	my <i>parent's</i> praise
P02	In <i>others</i>	In other's
	perception	perception
P01	From <i>others</i>	From <i>other's</i>
	perspectives, indeed,	perspectives, indeed,
	you made a big	you made a big
	mistake	mistake

<sup>&</sup>quot;Wrong possessive" ranks tenth, stemming from students' insufficient mastery in discerning when a word becomes possessive.

# **3.17** Magnitude of Errors Committed in Sentence Structure

Error type	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Rearrange	188	42.44	1
Reword	100	22.57	2
Not	98	22.13	3
Necessary			
Incoherent	21	4.74	4
Don't	16	3.61	5
Understand			

ISSN: 2643-9670

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

Wrong Word Order	14	3.16	6
Wrong	6	1.35	7
Sentence			
Order			
Total	443	100	

The table indicates that the most prevalent error in sentence structure is "rearrange," with a frequency of 188 or 42.44%, followed by "reword" at 100 or 22.57%, and "not necessary" at 98 or 22.13%. Other identified errors include "incoherent" at 21 or 4.74%, "don't understand" at 16 or 3.61%, and "wrong word order" at 14 or 3.16%. The least frequent error is "wrong sentence order" at 6 or 1.35%.

This pattern of errors suggests that students face challenges in expressing their ideas directly and clearly, resulting in vague sentences. It highlights a lack of mastery in constructing coherent, concise, and brief sentences.

#### 3.18 On Rearrange

"Rearrange" takes the lead, signifying sentences that, while making sense, require reordering for easy comprehension. Lasaten's (2014) study on linguistic errors in English writings of teacher education students revealed sentence structure as a common issue, leading to vague and challenging-to-understand sentences.

than enging-to-understand sentences.			
No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct	
Paper		sentence	
P74	If your problem you	If your problem is	
	have a boyfriend,	having a boyfriend,	
P81	but you already <i>not</i>	but you shouldn't	
	you should <i>not do it</i>	have done it because	
	because your parents	you weren't allowed	
	said that you can't	to date someone as	
	date someone because	dating is one of the	
	that is the rule of your	rules of your parents	
	parents		
P45	I can advice to you is	I advise you to tell	
	that you need to tell	first that you have a	
	first that you have a	not-so-good grade	
	not so good grade		
P27	You must tell to your	Also, you must tell	
	parents so that they	to your parents and	
	can help you also.	boyfriend so that	
	You must tell it to	they can help you,	
	your boyfriend also.	and expect things will	
	Expect things will go	go the way you want	
	the way you want it.	it.	
P94	We all know that it is	We all know that	
	not a right time that	your angel came not	
	the angel came into	in a right time, but	
	your life, but God	God has greater	
	have more plan to	plans for you.	
1	you.		

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P74	Your <i>reason</i> is very	Your <b>problem</b> is
	big	really big
P78	they will see that	they will <i>realize</i>
	you are their daughter	that you are their
		daughter
P80	She will say what the	She will advise good
	good things to do	things to be done
P86	Just <b>give faith</b> to	Just <i>have faith in</i>
	Him	Him
P83	just <i>keep strong</i>	just <b>be strong</b> and
	and pray	pray
P10	As time will go by	As time goes by
P10	Turn back to your	Come back to your
	parents.	parents.
P08	Be a good mother to	Be a good mother to
	your <i>sibling</i>	your <i>child</i>

"Reword" ranks second, indicating potential confusion among students regarding word meanings, leading to the substitution of words with different meanings. Kato's (2006) study on first-year lexical errors highlighted "word choice" as the most common mistake (93 in total), emphasizing the need for significant rewording. This finding aligns with Tan's (2001) study, where common errors were attributed to limited vocabulary, poor grammar knowledge, and interference from the first language.

## 3.20 On Not Necessary

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P81	he will <b>be</b> guide you	he will guide you
P58	I will not think that	I will not think that
	this problem <i>of mine</i>	this problem will
	will ruin my life.	ruin my life.
P69	You don't don't have	You don't have to
	to worry anymore	worry anymore
P92	We <b>people</b> have	We have problems in
	problems in our daily	our daily lives.
	lives.	
P97	I have <b>no some</b>	I don't have
	experience like the	experience like the
	problem you're facing	problem you're
	right now.	facing right now.
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

"Not necessary" ranks third, indicating students' failure to recognize unnecessary words in their written output. This is attributed to carelessness, lack of motivation, and concentration. It also implies a lack of thorough review and finalization of their output.

# 3.21 On Incoherent Phrases and Sentences

No. of Paper	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct sentence
P23	No matter of your pregnant	No matter <i>if you're pregnant</i>

P51	Be lighten depressions	Lighten yourself
	will depress you more	up; depression
	when you think and	comes when you
	think about it	over think things.

"Incoherent phrases and sentences" rank fourth, indicating instances where sentences and phrases lack coherence. This error may stem from students' insufficient knowledge of sentence construction and the ability to compose a coherent written output.

### 3.22 On Don't Understand

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P75	Sad to say that's like	Sad to say that in
	accept the	order for you to enter,
	<b>consequence</b> because	you need to accept the
	you know how to	consequences
	enter.	
P81	yourself was begin	you begin to calm
	to calm down.	down.
P43	No parents can't	No parents can ignore
	ignore their children.	their children.

"Don't understand" ranks fifth, signifying phrases and sentences that are not comprehensible due to numerous errors. This suggests a lack of vocabulary, retention, and familiarity with the proper structure and rules of English.

### 3.23 On Wrong Word Order

No. of Paper	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct sentence
P75	I know how hard is your situation is	I know how hard is your situation
P47	You should tell to your parent what is your situation.	You should tell to your parent what your situation is.
P38	You also be true to your boyfriend because he has the right to know about your pregnancy.	Also, be true to your boyfriend because he has the right to know about your pregnancy.

"Wrong word order" ranks sixth, stemming from students' insufficient mastery in constructing concise written output. Additionally, students may experience confusion in placing words correctly within a sentence.

### 3.24 On Wrong Sentence Order

"Wrong sentence order" ranks seventh, indicating an error arising from unnatural sentence sequencing. The sentences below reveal students' challenges in constructing sentences effectively.

No. of	Incorrect sentence	Suggested correct
Paper		sentence
P12	You just have to tell	Honestly, you just
	what happened	have to tell what
	honestly to your	happened to your
	parents. Nothing is	parents for nothing

going happen if you try to hide it more.	is going happen if you try to hide it	
	more.	

# 3.25 Over-all Magnitude of Errors Committed by Respondents

Criteria	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Mechanics	282	24.21	3
Grammar	440	37.77	2
Sentence	443	38.02	1
Structure			
	1165	100	

The data reveal that there is no significant difference in the magnitude of errors between male and female respondents, as indicated by the computed F-values of -0.8187 and -0.294, respectively. Thus, the null hypothesis is accepted. This finding suggests that students, regardless of gender, exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

The results of the present study align with those of a study conducted by Cuc (2017) in Vietnam on Translation Competence, where students demonstrated similar competence in translation.

However, these findings contradict the results of a study conducted by Millan (1995), which indicated that female students are more predisposed to learning a second language than male students.

# 4. TEST OF DIFFERENCE ON THE TOTAL MAGNITUDE OF ERRORS

#### 4.1 By Sex

Sex	Mean	Variance	F-value	Probability
Male	11.00	33.789		
			-0.8187 <sup>ns</sup>	0.41823
Female	12.27	5.017	-0.0107	0.41023

#### Note: ns – not significant

The data indicate that there is no significant difference in the magnitude of errors between male and female respondents, with computed F-values of -0.8187 and -0.294, respectively. Consequently, the null hypothesis is accepted, suggesting that students, regardless of gender, exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

This finding is supported by a study conducted by Cuc (2017) in Vietnam on Translation Competence, where students demonstrated similar competence in translation. However, it contradicts the results of a study by Millan (1995), which suggested that female students are more predisposed to learning a second language than male students.

#### **4.2 By Age**

Groups	Mean	Variance	F-	Probability
			value	
19	9.41	34.132		
20	12.24	53.439	2.7309	0.048266
21	16.38	59.923	*	

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

22	10.67	40.941	

## Note: \*significant at .05 level

Results reveal a significant difference in the magnitude of errors among respondents based on age, indicating an association between the magnitude of errors and respondents' age. The null hypothesis is rejected at the 0.05 level of significance.

This significant difference is reflected in the computed F-value of 2.7309 and a probability value of 0.048266. Examining the table, respondents aged 21 obtained the highest mean of 16.38, followed by age 20 with a mean of 12.24, age 22 with a mean of 12.24, and the least age 19 with a mean of 9.41.

The finding implies that age plays a role in the occurrence of magnitude of errors. Younger learners, with fresher and sharper memories of classroom learning, exhibit greater attention to constructing sentences carefully, resulting in fewer errors.

#### 4.3 By Course

Course	Mea	Varianc	F-	Probabilit
	n	e	value	y
BS Education	8.58	20.575		
AB English	13.3	40.770		
	7		8.4236	5.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>
AB Mass	12.4	72.936	7	
Communicati	6			
on				
BS ICC	19.0	85.000		
	0			

Note: \*\*\* significant at .001 level

Results indicate a significant difference in the magnitude of errors among respondents based on their course of study, associating the magnitude of errors with the respondents' chosen courses. Consequently, the null hypothesis is rejected at the 0.001 level of significance.

This significant difference is evident in the computed F-value of 8.42367 and a probability value of  $5.2x10^{\circ}(-5)$ . According to the table, those enrolled in Bachelor of Science in Industrial and Commercial Communication (BSICC) obtained the highest mean of 19.00, followed by Bachelor of Arts in English (ABEng) with a mean of 13.37, Bachelor of Arts in Mass Communication (ABMC) with a mean of 12.46, and the lowest mean was observed in Bachelor of Secondary Education major in English (BSED-English) with 8.58.

The higher magnitude of errors among respondents enrolled in BSICC may be attributed to external influences and a screening-out process from another program. In contrast, respondents in BSED-English exhibited the least magnitude of errors due to stringent admission and retention policies. This board course requires demonstrated English language competence upon enrollment, monitored each semester to ensure success in examinations.

Moreover, BSED-English respondents are expected to excel as future educators, serving as role models for language competence in both written and oral forms.

4.4 By Father's Highest Educational Attainment

by I defice a finguest Educational Attainment					
Groups	Mea	Varianc	F-value	Probabilit	
	n	e		y	
Elementar	9.71	32.066			
y Level					
Elementar	17.38	75.125	1.83703 <sup>n</sup>	0.113418	
y Graduate			S		
High	11.50	38.885			
School					
Level					
High	10.43	35.978			
School					
Graduate					
College	13.05	54.261			
Level					
College	14.09	95.091			
Graduate					

Note: ns – not significant

The data indicate that the magnitude of errors among respondents does not significantly differ based on their father's highest educational attainment. This is supported by the computed F-value of 1.83703 and a probability value of 0.113418, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis. This finding suggests that students, regardless of their father's highest educational attainment, exhibit the same magnitude of errors

This aligns with the findings of Manuel et al. (2018), who observed a similar trend in academic performance rather than errors. In their study, the academic performance of respondents with fathers of low education did not significantly differ from those with fathers of higher education.

4.5 By Mother's Highest Educational Attainment

Groups	Mea	Varianc	F-value	Probabilit
	n	e		$\mathbf{y}$
Elementar	8.08	24.447		
y Level				
Elementar	13.00	69. 500		
y Graduate				
High	11.13	26.552		
School			0.88445	0.522213
Level			8	
High	13.36	48.990		
School				
Graduate				
College	11.84	45.807		
Level				
College	13.53	100.552		
Graduate				
with				

ISSN: 2643-9670

Vol. 8 Issue 1 January - 2024, Pages: 234-244

With MA	15.00	# DIV/0!
Unit		
Master's	7.00	# DIV/0!
Degree		

Note: ns – not significant

The data reveal that the magnitude of errors among respondents does not significantly differ based on their mother's highest educational attainment. This is supported by the computed F-value of 0.884458 and a probability value of 0.522213, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis. This finding suggests that students, regardless of their mother's highest educational attainment, exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

This result aligns with the findings of Koskei and Ngeno (2015) in Kenya, although their study focused on academic performance. They observed that the educational attainment of both fathers and mothers had no significant impact on the students' performance.

4.6 By Language Used at Home

Groups	Mean	Variance	F-value	Probability
Itawes	12.39	32.487		
Ilocano	12.24	49.761		
Ybanag	10.00	# DIV/0!	0.465949	0.760397
Tagalog	9.29	16.238		
Others	9.00	50.000		

Note: ns – not significant

The data indicate that the magnitude of errors among respondents, based on the language used at home (single response), does not significantly differ. This is supported by the computed F-value of 0.465949 and a probability value of 0.760397, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis. This finding suggests that students, irrespective of the language used at home (single response), exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

4.7 By Type of Reading Materials

4.7 By Type of Reading Materials				
Groups	Mean	Variance	F-value	Probability
Single (1)	13.00	52.179		
Double (2)	10.77	35.613		
Triple (3)	10.45	96.873	0.659087	0.621992
Quad (4)	10.40	29.300		
Penta (5)	10.00	32.000		

Note: ns – not significant

The data indicate that the magnitude of errors among respondents, based on the type of reading materials (multiple responses), does not significantly differ. This is supported by the computed F-value of 0.659087 and a probability value of 0.621992, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis. This finding suggests that students, irrespective of the type of

reading materials (multiple responses), exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

4.8 By Use of Mass Media

Groups	Mean	Variance	F-value	Probability
Single	12.58	52.202		
(1)				
Double	10.92	42.764		
(2)			1.321092	0.272250
Triple	12.18	56.778		
(3)				
Quad	24.00	# DIV/0!		
(4)				

Note: ns – not significant

The data indicate that the magnitude of errors among respondents, based on the type of mass media (multiple responses), does not significantly differ. This is supported by the computed F-value of 1.321092 and a probability value of 0.272250, leading to the acceptance of the null hypothesis. This finding suggests that students, irrespective of the type of mass media (multiple responses), exhibit the same magnitude of errors.

4.9 By Language Exposure

Groups	Mean	Variance	F-value	Probability
Seldom	25.00	242.000		
Sometimes	13.68	55.141	4.39401	0.006129
Often	12.05	58.682		
Always	8.47	17.041		

Note: \*\* significant at .01

Results indicate a significant difference in the magnitude of errors among respondents based on language exposure. In essence, the magnitude of errors is linked to the respondents' language exposure. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected at the 0.01 level of significance.

This significant difference is reflected in the computed F-value of 4.39401 and a probability value of 0.006129. Analysis of the data reveals that those who rated themselves as seldom exposed to the English language obtained the highest mean of 25.00, followed by those who reported often (mean of 12.05), sometimes (mean of 13.68), and least by those who reported always (mean of 8.47).

This implies that infrequent exposure to the English language is associated with a higher frequency of errors. This result aligns with the overall finding of the study, indicating a high magnitude of errors among the respondents.

Furthermore, this finding is corroborated by a study conducted by Tuan (2017) in Vietnam on communicative competence, where the students' level of communicative competence was influenced by their language exposure. Formal and intensive learning, interactions with native English speakers, rich exposure to social media networks, and reading materials in English were identified as contributing factors to linguistic, grammatical, and discourse competence.

## 5. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION

The majority of respondents, predominantly females at the age of 20, are enrolled in BSED-English, with AB English being the subsequent choice. Their parents generally have a high school education, speak Ilokano, engage in reading journals, and watch television, with frequent exposure to the English language.

Regarding errors in mechanics, grammar, and sentence structure, respondents most commonly made mistakes in wrong punctuation for mechanics, wrong form for grammar, and rearranging, rewording, and not necessary for sentence structure. Among the factors tested, age, course, and language exposure were found to significantly influence the respondents' error magnitude.

The study's findings indicate a high occurrence of errors in sentence construction, followed by grammar, suggesting that age, course, and language exposure contribute to the errors made by students.

Based on these findings and conclusions, the following recommendations are made:

- 1. Encourage students to respond in complete sentences to enhance awareness of sentence components and improve the expression of ideas.
- 2. Provide more writing opportunities to enhance student's skills in sentence construction and overall coherence in expressing thoughts.
- 3. Focus on the use or function of language to motivate and enhance students' communicative skills in both speaking and writing.
- Implement an English enhancement program for graduating students to ensure they possess the necessary language skills.
- 5. Consider developing admission and retention policies to evaluate students' language competence.
- Encourage further research to validate the study's results.

## 6. Acknowledgment

The author expresses sincere gratitude to her parents, siblings, and respondents for their unwavering support. Special appreciation is extended to her thesis adviser, Dr. Jomel B. Manuel, for his invaluable encouragement and expertise. Finally, the author conveys heartfelt thanks to the Almighty God for divine guidance.

#### 7. References

- [1] Adelstein, E. & Pival, J. (1984). The Writing Commitment. Hardcourt Jovanovich, USA.
- [2] Archibald, A. (2004). Writing in a Second Language. Oxford University Press.
- [3] Alinsunod, J. (2014). A Study on Common Writing Errors of Engineering Students: A Basis for Curriculum Development. European Journal of English Language and Literature Studies 2 (3), 7-15.
- [4] Brooks, N. (1964). Language and Language Learning. New York. Hardcourt.

- [5] Celce-Murcia, M. (2001). Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed).: Boston: Heinle.
- [6] Chidambaram, K. (2005). A Study on the Learning Process of English by higher secondary students with special reference to Dharmapuri District in Tamilnadu.
- [7] Chuang, F. (2005). Article Misuse: A Neglected Problem in Chinese EAP Student Writing.
- [8] Corder, S. P. (1967). The Significance of Learner's Errors. International Review of Applied Linguistics, 5 (2).
- [9] Corder, S. P. (1973). Introducing Applied Linguistics. Harmondsworth, Great Britain: Penguin.
- [10] Corder, S.P. (1981). Error Analysis and Interlanguage. 1st ed: Oxford University Press.
- [11] Cuc, Pham Thi Kim. (2018). Translation Competence of English Linguistic Students: As Bases for Development Supplementary Translation Materials. Unpublished Dissertation. Cagayan State University, Tuguegarao City.
- [12] Dulay, H.; Burt, M.; and Krashen, S. (1982). Language Two: Oxford University Press.
- [13] Ellis, R. (1985). Understanding Second Language Acquisition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- [14] Etinurwati, D. (2016). An Analysis of Students' Ability to Use Punctuation in Descriptive Paragraph Writing in the First Semester of the Eighth Grade of SMPN 2 Pardasuka in the Academic Year 2016-2017.
- [15] Eun-Pyo, Lee. (2003). Error Analysis on Medical Students' Writing.
- [16] Kaplan, R. B. (1966). Cultural thought patterns in intercultural education. Language Learning 1, pp 1-20.
- [17] Klassen, R. (2001). Writing in Early Adolescence. A review of the role of self-efficacy beliefs [Electronic Version]. Educational Psychology Review, 14, 173-203
- [18] Koskei, B. & Ngeno, G. (2015). Parental Educational Attainment and Student's Academic Performance of Public Mixed Day Secondary Schools in Kuresoi Sub-County, Nakaru Country, Kenya. International Journal of Innovative Research & Development. Vol 4, Issue 1.
- [19] Kroll, B., and Schafer, J.C. (1978). Error analysis and the teaching of composition. College composition and communication, 29(3), pp 242 248.
- [20] Kodachi, K. (2002). Error Coding and Error Analysis: for a Better Teaching Method.
- [21] Lasaten, R. (2014). Analysis of Errors in the English Writings of Teacher Education Students. Researchers World-Journal of Arts, Sciences & Commerce. E-ISSN2229-4686.Vol. V, Issue 4.
- [22] Leki, I. (2001). Academic Writing: Exploring Processes and Strategies. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [23] Lozada, L. & Mangsangya, E. (2009). An Investigation on the relationship between language exposures and

- errors in English essays of high school students. Philippine ESL Journal 2, 31-47.
- [24] Manuel, Jomel B. et al. (2018). Performance of First-Year College Students in a Second Language Classroom Using the Magazine Strategy. International Journal of Enhance Research in Science, Technology and Engineering. ISSN:2319-7463, Vol.7 Issue 1, January 2018. https://www.semanticscholar.org/author/Jomel-M.-Manuel/143853238
- [25] Manuel, J. B. (2019). Sentence Errors Committed in Paragraph Writing among Senior High School Students. Asian EFL Journal.Vol. 23 Issue 3.4. https://www.elejournals.com/asian-efl-journal/asian-efl-journal-volume-23-issue-3-4-may-2019/
- [26] Nakano, et al. (2001). Error Coding and Error Analysis in Essay Writing, Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> Conference of Pan-Pacific Association of Applied Linguistics, 201-205.
- [27] Norrish, J. (1983). Language Learners and their Errors. London: Macmillan Press Ltd.
- [28] Pratiwi, A. (2015). Interlingual and Intralingual Errors of Writing Narrative Text Written by Junior High School and Senior High School Students.
- [29] Rahmawati, L. (2014). Error Analysis of Using Punctuation Made by Students in Writing II Class. Salatiga: Unpublished S1 Thesis of STAIN Salatiga.
- [30] Raimes, A. (2004). Grammar Troublespots. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [31] Richards, J. (1974). Error Analysis: Perspectives On Second Language Acquisition. London: Longman Group Limited.
- [32] Rozimela, Y. (2004). The Writing Skills in a Senior High School in Indonesia. English Language Teaching and Learning. p 83-89.
- [33] Sereebenjapol, P. (2003). An Analysis of the Errors in English which Graduate Science Students Make in the Discussion Section of Their Thesis. M.A. Thesis in Applied Linguistics, Faculty of Graduate Studies, Mahidol University.
- [34] Sukasame, N. Kantho, S. & Narrot, P. (2014). A study of Errors in Learning English Grammatical Structures on Tenses of MatthayomSuksa 4 Students of the Demonstration School, KhonKaen University, Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. Vol. 116, 1934-1939.
- [35] Tan, M. (1997). Authentic Language or Language Errors? Lessons from a learner corpus. ELT Journal 2005
- [36] Tan, M. (2001). A Study of EFL Learners' Writing Errors and Instructional Strategies.
- [37] Tuan, V. (2017). Communicative Competence of Fourth Year Students: Basis for Proposed English Language Program. Unpublished Dissertation. Cagayan State University.

- [38] Ulla, M. (2014). Analysis of the Language Errors in Writing among BSEE and AB English Students. European Journal of Academic Essays, 1(3), 39-47.
- [39] Wolff, R. (2000). A Study of Spelling Errors with Implications concerning Pertinent Teaching Methods.
- [40] Xie, F., & Jiang, X. (2007). Error analysis and the EFL classroom teaching, p 13. College of Foreign Languages, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian Liaoning 116029, Serial No. 34, US-China EducationReview,4(9). ISSN1548 6613, USA.