

Inequalities for L_p spaces, for $0 < P < 1$

Sahab Mohsen Aboud¹, Ahmed Hadi Hussain²

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, Babylon of University, Iraq

²Department of Automobile Engineering, College of Engineering Al-Musayab, University of Babylon, Iraq

E- mail¹: bsc.sahab.jwer@uobabylon.edu.iq

E- mail²: met.ahmed.hadi@uobabylon.edu.iq

Abstract: Few papers introduced about finding the lower bound to the L_1 -norm. If this lower bound in terms of $\log N$ for number N is called Little wood's conjecture. The must result in this subject up to now is a terms of bound of order $\sqrt{\log N}$. Here we generalize the Little wood's conjecture to functions in L_p spaces for $0 < P < \infty$ and get lower bound in terms of $(\log N)^{2p}$.

Keywords: L_1 - norm, lower bound, Log N, Trigonometric polynomials, Dyadic System.

1. Introduction

The problem of estimating the bounds for L_1 - norm of the trigonometric polynomials has the form

$$"F(x) = a_1 e^{ik_1 x} + a_2 e^{ik_2 x} + \dots + a_n e^{ik_n x}"$$

where $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n$ are integers with $|a_j| \geq 1$, and " $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, It has rich history see for example [1]. The existance of this bound in terms of $\log N$ with coefficients equal to 1 is called Little wood's conjecture".

In [1] and [2] the authors have the best results that are intermes of $(\log N)^2$.

In our work we improve the results in [1] and [2] and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1-1

"We can find $C(P)$ such that

$$\|F\| > C(P) \log N / (\log \log N)^2.$$

In our work we use some notations such as $c(p)$ is refere to absolute positive constant depending on p and vary from one step to another.

We use the $L_p [0, 2\pi]$ spaces for $0 < p < \infty$, and defined as

$$L_p [0, 2\pi] = \{ f: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow R: C \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \}.$$

$$= \|f\|_p$$

$|E|$ denoted for the measure of the set E . If Γ is a finite sequence, $|\Gamma|$ will denote the number of the terms Γ ".

Definitions 1.1.

L_p space is space of all functions g satisfies $\|g\|_p < \infty$, define by

$$\|g\|_p = \|g\|_{L_p(I)} =$$

$\left(\int_I |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ where $0 < P < \infty$

Definitions 1.2.

The function $\omega(\delta)$ is called the modulus of continuity of f where

$$\omega(\delta) = \omega(\delta, f)_p = \sup_{0 < |h| < \delta} \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_p.$$

and $f(x)$ be defined in a closed interval.

Definitions 1.3 [3].

$S[f]$ at $x = x_0$ is the same as the Fourier series at $t = 0$ of the even function.

$\frac{1}{2}[f(x_0 + t) + f(x_0 - t)]$, and $S[\hat{f}]$ at $x = x_0$ is the series conjugate to the Fourier series at $t = 0$ of the odd function $\frac{1}{2}[f(x_0 + t) - f(x_0 - t)]$.

Definitions 1.4. [6]

If E is a sub set of $[0, 2\pi]$ we mean by $|E|$ the measure of the set E , \bar{E} is the complement of E in $[0, 2\pi]$.

Definitions 1.5 (Big -oh: O(.)) [4]

Suppose that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions such that

$$\exists k > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n > n_0, |f(n)| \leq |k \cdot g(n)|$$

then $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ or with some abuse of notation $f(n) = Og(n)$.

Definitions 1.6 (Small -oh: o(.)) [4].

Suppose that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions such that

$$\forall k > 0, \exists n_0, \forall n > n_0, |f(n)| \leq k \cdot |g(n)|$$

then $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ or with some abuse of notation $f(n) = og(n)$.

Definitions 1.7 (Characteristic function) [5].

For non-empty set y , and A sub set of y . a characteristic function of A is a mapping $\chi_A : y \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ which is defined as:

$$\chi_A(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in A^c \end{cases}$$

Definitions 1.8 (Young function) [7]

Let $\phi(u), u \geq 0$, and $\psi(v), v \geq 0$, be two functions, continuous, vanishing at the origin, strictly increasing, and inverse to each other. Then for $a, b \geq 0$

we have the following inequality.

$$ab \leq \phi(a) + \psi(b), \text{ where } \phi(x) = \int_0^x \phi du, \psi(y) = \int_0^y \psi dv.$$

Definitions 1.9 (Dyadic system) [8]

The standard dyadic system denoted by \mathcal{T}^0 and defined as the form $\mathcal{T}^0 = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_j^0, \mathcal{T}_j^0 = \{2^j([0, 1) + k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A general dyadic system may be defined as a collection $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{T}_j$, where $\mathcal{T}_j = \mathcal{T}_j + x_j$ for some $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and the partition \mathcal{T}_j refines \mathcal{T}_{j+1} for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section we give some auxiliary lemma that we need in our research .

Lemma 2.1 [3]

If $\sum A_n(x)$ is $S'[f]$ then

$$f(x + h) - f(x - h) \sim \sum B_n \sin nh .$$

Where $A_n(x) = a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx$

and

$$B_n(x) = a_n \sin nx - b_n \cos nx \quad n > 0.$$

Lemma 2.2 [3] (Jensen’s inequality).

The Jensen’s inequality given of the form

$$\frac{1}{b-a} (\int_a^b f(x)dx) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} (\int_a^b \varphi(f(x))dx).$$

with a, b are real’s and be a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow R$ defined on closed interval.

Lemma 2.3 (Young’s inequality) [7]

If $c \geq 0$ and $d \geq 0$ are non-negative real numbers and if $p > 1$ and $q > 1$ are real numbers such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

Then

$$cd \leq \frac{c^p}{p} + \frac{d^q}{q}.$$

“The Equality above is true $\Leftrightarrow c^p = d^q$ ”.

Lemma 2.4 [3].

Let $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq q > 1$ for all k and $\gamma^2 = \sum(a_k^p + b_k^p)$. is finite, So that

$$\sum(a_k \cos n_k x + b_k \sin n_k x)(n_{k+1}/n_k \geq q > 1 \text{ is an } S[f])$$

Then

$$A_{r,q}\{\sum(a_k^p + b_k^p)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \{c(p) \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^p dx\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq B_{r,q}\{\sum(a_k^p + b_k^p)\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for every $p > 0$, where $A_{r,q}$ and $B_{r,q}$ depend on r and q only.

If $\gamma \leq 1$, Then also

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp \mu f^p dx \leq c, \text{ provided } \mu \leq \mu_0(q), \text{ with } c \text{ an absolute constant.}$$

Lemma 2.5 [3].

(i) $(\int |f + g|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} (\int |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (\int |g|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ where $0 < p < 1$.

(ii) $(\int |f + g|^p) \leq \int |f|^p + \int |g|^p$ where $0 < p < 1$.

(iii) $(\int |f + g|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > (\int |f|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (\int |g|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ where $0 < p < 1$.

Lemma 2.6 [6]

$$\sum_{s=n}^{2n} \binom{1}{2^s} \binom{s}{n} = 1.$$

3.Main Results

In this section we give our main results.

Theorem 3.1.

If $f \in L_p, 0 < p < \infty$ then $S[f]$ converges absolutely for $p = \frac{1}{2}$ this is not necessary true.

Proof

By using the Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|\sum B_n \sin nh - f(x)| \leq C|f(x+h) - f(x-h)|$$

For $f \in L_p$

$$\|\sum B_n \sin nh - f(x)\|_p \leq \omega(f, h)_p. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 3.2.

If E is a measurable set in $[0, 2\pi]$ satisfy $0 < |E| < 1$ and assume that

$$G(x) = 1 + a_1 e^{ix} + \dots + a_k e^{ikx}$$

Then

$$[|E|^{-1}(\int_E |G|^p)]^{|E|} \cdot [|E'|^{-1}(\int_{E'} |G|^p)]^{|E'|} \geq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

We mean by E' the complement of E in the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ and $0 < p < \infty$.

proof.

Let $\chi_E, \chi_{E'}$ are characteristic function of E and E' respectively. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$0 \leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \log |G|^p\right) = |E| \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (\chi_E/|E|) \log |G|^p\right) + |E'| \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (\chi_{E'}/|E'|) \log |G|^p\right)$$

adding $|E|$ to above inequality we have

$$1 \leq \left[|E| \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (\chi_E/|E|) \log |G|^p\right) + |E'| \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (\chi_{E'}/|E'|) \log |G|^p\right)\right] + |E|$$

Then taking e^0 to both sides of the inequality above we have prove inequality (2.1) ■

Theorem 3.3.

Let $G(x) = a_0 + a_1 e^{ix} + \dots + a_k e^{ikx} = g(x) + i\tilde{g}(x)$ and write $exp(imx)G(x) = g_m(x) + i\tilde{g}_m(x)$.

Then

$$\lim \|g_m\|_p = (2|\pi|)\|G\|_p, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.1)$$

Proof.

Suppose that $I_j = (a_j, b_j) = (2\pi j/m, 2\pi(j+1)/m), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. We take m so big that the $(g - \tilde{g})$ in $I_j < \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

This imply

$$g_m(x) = g(x) \cos mx - \tilde{g}(x) \sin mx.$$

differs from $g(a_j) \cos mx - \tilde{g}(a_j) \sin mx$ such that

$$g_m(x) - g(a_j) = [g(x) \cos mx - \tilde{g}(x) \sin mx] - [g(a_j) \cos mx - \tilde{g}(a_j) \sin mx] < 2\varepsilon$$

By above equals $|G(a_j)| \cos(mx + t_j)$ where t_j satisfy

$$\tan t_j = \tilde{g}(a_j)/g(a_j)$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_m\|_p &= \left(\int_{I_j} |g_m|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |G(a_j)| \left(\int_{I_j} |\cos(mx + t_j)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + |I_j| O\varepsilon \\ &= (2|\pi|) |G(a_j)| |I_j| + |I_j| O\varepsilon > 1 \end{aligned}$$

make a summation for $j=0, 1, \dots, m-1$ and suppose $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain (3.1) ■

Theorem 3.4.

Let $n_{j+1}/n_j > \lambda > 1$, for all j . If a_n, b_n are the coefficients of an $f \in L_p$, $0 < p < 1$, the series $\sum (a_{n_j}^p + b_{n_j}^p)$ converges. The result holds if merely $|f|(\log^+ |f|)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is integrable.

Proof

Let $N > 0$, for a suitable sequence $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \dots \alpha_n, \beta_n$ with $\sum \alpha_j^p + \beta_j^p = 1$

we have

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N (a_{n_j}^p + b_{n_j}^p) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(p) \|f(g)\|_p \tag{4.1}$$

Where

$$g = \sum (\alpha_j \cos n_j t + \beta_j \sin n_j t)$$

Using the same lines of the proof Theorem (8.20) in [3], we get Lemma

$$c(p) \sum (a_k^p + b_k^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c(p) B_{r,q} (\sum a_k^p + b_k^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $0 < p < 1$

There are positive constant γ, δ depending on λ only such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{\gamma q^2} dx \leq \delta$$

We have $\|e^\gamma\|_p \leq \delta$.

Let $\Phi(u) = e^{\gamma u^2} - \gamma u^2 - 1$. The functions Φ and Φ' vanish for $u = 0$, and Φ' is strictly increasing for $u \geq 0$. Its complementary function $\Psi(v)$, as is easily seen, is $O\left(v \log^{\frac{1}{2}} v\right)$ for $v \rightarrow \infty$. In other words

$$\Psi(v) \leq A_\lambda v (\log^+ v)^{\frac{1}{p}} + B_\lambda \text{ for } v \geq 0.$$

By Young's inequality now show that the last term in (4.1) is not greater than

$$c(p)\{\|\Phi(|g|) + \Psi(|f|)\|_p\} \leq \|e^{yq^p}\|_p + A_\lambda \| |f|(\log^+ |f|)\|_p + 2B_\lambda,$$

which gives $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N a_{n_j}^p + b_{n_j}^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq A_\lambda \| |f|(\log^+ |f|)\|_p + \acute{A}_\lambda.$

With $\acute{A}_\lambda = 2 B_\lambda + \delta$. The inequality holds if $N \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Corollary 3.1.1.

Let $g \in L_p$ and let m_1, m_2, \dots be a positive integer sequence satisfies any termes can be written uncial as $b_1 m_1 + \dots + b_n m_n$, for an integer n, where $b_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then if g has the form

$$g(x) = a_0 + a_1 e^{ix} + \dots + a_n e^{inx} + \dots \text{ we have}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{m_j}|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c \| |g|(\log^+ |g|)\|_p + c$$

$$\|a_{m_j}\|_p \leq c \| |g|(\log^+ |g|)\|_p + c$$

where $\log^+ a = \log a$ if $a \geq 1$ and $\log^+ a = 0$ if $0 < a \leq 1$. ■

Theorem 3.5.

Let $E \subset [0, 2\pi]$ is a set and positive constants $b_n, b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{2n}$ such that

$$|E| = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, b_n + b_{n+1} + \dots + b_{2n} = 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\|f_q\|_p \geq \sum_{s=n}^{2n} b_s \|f_s\|_p + \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \|f_{n-1}\|_p \text{ where } 0 < p < \infty \quad (5.1)$$

Proof

Let $g_r(x) = \text{sgn} f_r(x)$. We see g_r and the spectrum $g_r, g_s, \dots, g_t, r < s < \dots < t$, contains only odd multiples of 2^k (5.2)

The spectrum of the function f consisting of odd multiples of 2^k if and only if f is $(2\pi/2^k)$ -periodic and $f\left(\frac{\pi}{2^k} + x\right) = -f(x)$.

Let $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n}\}$ is sequence of +1s and -1s, and let Φ be a family of sequences which contain more +1 than -1.

The function $\chi(x)$ can be defined as

$$\chi(x) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \Phi} \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon_k g_k}{2}\right).$$

Since $\|f\| = 0$, we have

$$\|f_q\|_p = 2 \|f\chi\|_p = 2 \|(f_0 + \dots + f_{2n})\chi\|_p \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$\|f_q\|_p > \sum_{s=0}^{2n} \|f_s \chi\|_p \quad \text{where } 0 < p < 1$$

We shall show that all norms

$A_s = \|f_s \chi\|_p$ are positive and that

$2(A_n + A_{n+1} + \dots + A_{2n})$ and $2A_{n-1} >$ the 1st and 2nd terms of the right hand side of (5.1), So we examine A_s for affixed s .

A_s is the sum of the norms $\left\| f_s \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p$ for all ε in Φ .

Now, we observe that

$$\left\| f_s \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p = \left\| \prod_{k < s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) f_s \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \cdot \prod_{k > s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p \quad (5.4)$$

By (5.2) the first factor in the last norm will be only a factor $1/2^s$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f_s \prod_{k=0}^{2n} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p &= \left(\frac{1}{2^s} \right) \left\| f_s \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_s g_s}{2} \right) \prod_{k > s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \right) \left\| \varepsilon_s f_s \prod_{k > s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p \quad (5.5) \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality.

Now, let as contribution of the sequence ε to A_s which have a definite pattern for $k > s$ and this pattern contains m , $0 \leq m \leq \min(n, 2n - s) - 1$ elements. Let as divide Φ into $n + 1$ classes $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$ as the number -1 elements. The ε with the given pattern for $k > s$ distributed among the Φ_j as follows:

- (i) There are no terms if $j < m$.
- (ii) There is one term in Φ_m such that $\varepsilon_s = 1$.
- (iii) There are $\binom{s}{j-m-1}$ with $\varepsilon_s = -1$ and $\binom{s}{j-m}$ with $\varepsilon_s = 1$ in $\Phi_j, j > m$, then the contribution of ε_s to A_s with the given pattern for $k > s$ is

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \right) \left\| f_s \prod_{k > s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p \right\} \left\{ 1 + \left\{ \binom{s}{1} - \binom{s}{0} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \binom{s}{n-m} - \binom{s}{n-m-1} \right\} \right\} = \left(\frac{1}{2^{s+1}} \right) \binom{s}{n-m} \left\| f_s \prod_{k > s} \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p \quad (5.6)$$

Where $\binom{s}{a} = 0$ if $a > s$. A_s will be obtained if we make a summation for the terms under ε with a pattern having m element, equal to -1 for $k > s$ and we make a summation under m with $\max(0, n - s) \leq m \leq \min(n, 2n - s)$. Thus it is evident that all $A_s > 0$.

We examine now the cases $s = n - 1$ and $s \geq n$

$s = n - 1$. since $\binom{s}{n-m} = 1$, A_{n-1} will exceed

$$\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \right) \left\| f_{n-1} \sum \prod_{k > n-1} \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon_k g_k}{2} \right) \right\|_p$$

where the summation exceed over all choices of +1 and -1 for the $\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n}$ except the choices $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{n+1} = \dots = \varepsilon_{2n} = -1$ and

$\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{n+1} = \dots = \varepsilon_{2n} = 1$. The summation above equal to 1 for all x except these x where $f_n, f_{n+1}, \dots, f_{2n}$ are all ≥ 0 are all ≤ 0 .

The last set has measure

$$\int \prod_{k>n-1} \left(\frac{1-g_k}{2}\right) + \int \prod_{k>n-1} \left(\frac{1+g_k}{2}\right) = 1/2^n$$

and so it's the complement of E has also measure equal $(1 - (1/2^n))$

This shows that $2A_{n-1}$ greater than the last term in the right hand side of (5.1). $s \geq n$, Then all choices of $\varepsilon_k, k \geq n$, are permissible and all the factors $\binom{s}{n-m}$ are not $< \binom{s}{n}$. As the intervals previous case we obtain

$$A_s \geq 2 \left(1/2^{s+1}\right) \binom{s}{n} \|f_s\|_p = (1/2^s) \binom{s}{n} \|f_s\|_p.$$

Writing $B_s = (1/2^s) \binom{s}{n}, s = n, n + 1, \dots, 2n$ by using Lemma (2.6) we see that the condition $b_n + b_{n+1} + \dots + b_{2n} = 1$ is satisfied. The Theorem proof completed. ■

4. Theorem 1.1.

There exists a positive constant satisfies

$$\|F\|_p \geq c(p)(\log N)(\log \log N)^2$$

In this section we give the prove Theorem 1.1

$$\Gamma = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N\}, F(x) = f(x) + if(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{(tn_jx)}$$

Proof.

We will prove this Theorem using induction on N , Let

$$\|H\|_p \geq C_0 (\log M)/(\log \log M)^2$$

For any H exponential sum satisfy $M < N$ is nonzero conditions, $c(p)$ is a constant depends on p . We also mention that it ere to avoid trivial proof, assume that $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We will first replace the Γ by one of their translations. This clearly does not affect F and allows for profitability use Theorem 3.5. We are writing numbers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N dyadic system and substract their joint tail (if found). This amounts to a translation make each n_j positive. Suppose 2^{k_0} is the highest power of the value of 2 which divides all elements of the translated sequence. There are definitely odd and even multiples of 2^{k_0} . If the odd number exceeds the number of even ones, then we add 2^{k_0} for all Γ elements. We can write Γ_0 for the odd multiplier of 2^{k_0} . Now consider the set of even multiples of 2^{k_0} and again subtract their joint tail from all elements of Γ . Suppose $2^{k_1} (k_1 > k_0)$ is the highest power of 2 which divides all even multiples of 2^{k_0} . If there exists more odd than even multiples of 2^{k_1} (among even multiples of 2^{k_0} then we add 2^{k_1} to all Γ elements. Obviously, the operations in the second step do not affect $|\Gamma_0|$ and 2^{k_0} . We write Γ_1 for the odd multiples of 2^{k_1} and continue in the same manner until the sequence Γ is exhausted. Thus we obtain the sequence $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_r$ of discrete subsets of Γ such that Γ_j contains only odd multiples of $2^{k_j}, j = 0, 1, \dots, r, |\Gamma_j| \leq |\Gamma_{j+1}| + \dots + |\Gamma_r|, j < r$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_r$. No let of translate the sequence Γ by of 2^{k_r} then L_p norm of any term of Γ is different from $\pi/2$ times the p -norm of its real part $< 1/N$. This is true Theorem 3.2. Now by using Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\|F\|_p = (\pi/2) \|f\|_p + O(N)^{-1} \geq (\pi/2) (1/2^{n-1}) \|f_q\|_p + O(N)^{-1} \text{ for } 0 < p < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \sum_{s=n}^{2n} b_s \|f_s\|_p + \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) \|f_{n-1}\|_p + O(N)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{s=n}^{2n} b_s \|F_s\|_p + (1/2^{n-1}) \|f_{n-1}\|_p + O(N)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Where $F_s = \sum_{m \in \Gamma_s} e^{(imx)}$ and E depends on the choice of $f_s, s = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$.

Consider the cases:

Case (1)

Exist $2n + 1$, with n satisfying the inequalities

$\log \log \log N < n \log 2 < 1 + \log \log \log N$, defined Γ_j with $|\Gamma_j| \geq N(\log N)^4$. So our induction hypothesis and $b_n + b_{n+1} + \dots + b_{2n} = 1$ impels that

$$\sum_{s=n}^{2n} b_s \|F_s\|_p \geq C \left(\frac{\log N - 4 \log \log N}{(\log \log N)^2} \right) (1 + O(1/\log N)) \quad (1.2)$$

where C is a positive constant.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[C \frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^2} - \left(C \frac{4 \log \log N}{(\log \log N)^2} \right) (1 + O(1/\log N)) \right] \\ &\geq \left\{ C \left[\left(\frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^2} - \left(\frac{4C_0}{\log \log N} \right) \right) (1 + O(1/\log N)) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

By subtract $\left[C \frac{1}{\log \log N} \right]$ in equality above we have

$$\sum_{s=n}^{2n} b_s \|F_s\|_p \geq C \left[\left(\frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^2} \right) - \left(\frac{5C}{(\log \log N)} \right) \right]$$

Where abroches $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, let as prove we can choose C such that $(1/2^{n-1}) \|f_{n-1}\|_p > 5C/\log \log N$.

Consider the remark:

- (1) The norm of the sum of the terms of F is upper bound for $\|f_{n-1}\|$ which multiplies by $2^{k_{n-1}}$. And the norm of this sum bounded by $\|F\|$.
- (2) We can assume $\|F\|_p$ bounded above by $\log N$. Which is trivial and there is nothing to prove.
- (3) $(1/|E|)^{|E|}$ and $(1/|\hat{E}|)^{|\hat{E}|}$ are bounded.

By using these remarks and applying Theorem(3.2) we obtain

$1 \leq C(\|f_{n-1}\|_p)^{|E|} (\|f_{n-1}\|_p)^{|\hat{E}|} \leq C(\|f_{n-1}\|_p)^{|E|}$, where in the last inequality we used(2) and the estimate

$$|\hat{E}| = 1/2^n \leq 1/\log \log N.$$

Then

$$\|f_{n-1}\|_p \geq C \quad (1.4)$$

of (1.1),(1.2),(1.4) and the inequality $1/2^{n-1} > \log \log N$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_p &\geq C \left(\frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^2} \right) - \frac{5C}{\log \log N} + O(N)^{-1} + \frac{C}{2^{n-1}} \\ &\geq C \left(\frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

For $N \rightarrow \infty$, The case (1) proof completed.

Case (2)

At most $4 \log \log NI_j$ are such that $|\Gamma_j| \geq N/(\log N)^4$.

Suppose that $\Gamma_{j_1}, \Gamma_{j_2}, \dots, \Gamma_{j_k}, j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k, k < 4 \log \log \log N$ be the Γ_j with more than $N/(\log N)^4$ elements. We prove in this case there are more than $(\log N)^3$ classes Γ_j .

Suppose $j_1, j_2 - j_1, \dots, j_k - j_{k-1}$ are less than $(\log N)^3$.

We write $\Gamma'_j = \Gamma_{j+1} \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_r$, i.e the set of even multiples of 2^{k_j} .

Since $|\Gamma'_j| \geq |\Gamma_j|$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{j_1}| &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) |\Gamma_{j_1} \cup \Gamma_{j_1}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ N - \frac{N}{(\log N)^4} (\log N)^3 \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ N - \frac{N}{\log N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarity we get $|\Gamma_{j_h}| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2^h}\right) \left\{ N - \frac{N}{\log N} (1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{h-1}) \right\}$, $1 \leq h \leq k$.

assume $h = k$ in above we see that $|\Gamma_{j_k}|$ grater than

$$CN/(\log \log N)^4.$$

Since $|\Gamma_j| \leq N/(\log N)^4$ if $j \geq j_k$, the number of Γ_j with $j > j_k$ grater than

$$\frac{C(\log N)^4}{(\log \log N)^4} \geq (\log N)^3.$$

On choosing now one frequency from each such class we obtain a subsequence of Γ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3. Using Theorem 3.3 $\log |F| \leq \log N$ we have

$$(\log N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F\|_p \geq C(\log N)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

provided than $N \rightarrow \infty$, We chosen $C_0 < C$ in above, then 1.1 satisfy.

From case 1 and 2 we complete the proof.

References

- [1] J. Fournier, "On a theorem of Paley and the Littlewoods conjecture.," in *Arkiv* 199-216.
- [2] S. K. Pichorides, "On a Conjecture of Littlewoods Concerning Exponential Sums,," in *Vol. 18 (1977), 8-16, I.*"Bull. Greek Math. Soc.
- [3] A. ZYGMUND, "Trigonometric Series,," in *Cambridge University Press, 1968, October 1979, Vol. I, II.*
- [4] N. a. p. p. Mondale, "Another Asymptotic Notation:" Almost",," in *arXiv preprint arXiv, 1304.5617(2013), Ghosh.*
- [5] A. Syropoulos, ""Mathematics of multisets," in *Workshop on Membrane Computing, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2000.*
- [6] Pichorides, Stylianos K. "On the L^1 norm of exponential sums." *Annales de l'institut Fourier. Vol. 30. No. 2. 1980*
- [7] Carothers, N. L. "Approximation theory-a short course." (1998)
- [8] Hytönen, Tuomas. "On Petermichl's dyadic shift and the Hilbert transform." *Comptes Rendus Mathematique* 346.21-22 (2008): 1133-1136