

Corruption and National Development: A Study of Nigeria (2015-2023)

Okechukwu Richard, OJI (Ph.D)

Department of Political Science

Faculty of Social Sciences

Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu State, Nigeria

E-mail: drokeyoji@yahoo.com

Abstract: While one can say that corruption is a global phenomenon, the degree of corruption varies. One of the problems facing most Third World Countries including Nigeria today is corruption. This to a large extent affects development. In Nigeria for example, this problem has become endemic such that the entire fabric of the society is affected. Past administrations whether civilian or military had in the time past, put in place machineries to curb and or curtail this menace. Presently, there are institutions established with the sole aim of eradicating corruption. Yet, the disease has refused to go away or die. This is due to the fact that the apostles of this crusade against (corruption) are in most instances affected by it. Thus, the aim of this study is to analyze the implications of corruption on Nigeria's national development. Theoretically, the study adopted the Prebendal theory. The study, being qualitative in nature, exclusively relied on secondary data sources such as academic journals, newspaper articles, and government statistics from the Nigeria Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Transparency International, historical records like government reports and official documents as well as internet sources. This study establishes that the most devastating challenge that has threatened the economic growth and sustainable development in Nigeria is corruption. This realism explains the fact that since 1999 after twenty-four years into civilian rule, massive corruption, absence of political openness, transparency, accountability, electoral rigging, god-fatherism etc holds sway in the polity, coupled with huge incidence of poverty, inequality, unemployment and poor social infrastructure. The study argues that corruption undermines national development through the negative impact it has on a number of key sectors such as education, health, electricity, transport, and infrastructure. It realizes that the impact of corruption include among others, poor economic growth, poor infrastructural development, under utilization of human and natural resources, distorted policies and poor policy implementation and a colossal loss of public funds and poor inflow of Foreign Direct Investments (FDI). The paper therefore concludes that though corruption is pervasive and global, it is antithetical to development in all facets, hence recommends a review of the existing legal frameworks and that the corruption-fighting agencies such as the police, judiciary, EFCC, ICPC, and SERVICOM should be streamlined, reformed and strengthened in order to avoid administrative conflicts from similar agencies; be cost effective; freed from executive and other forms of control; and facilitate optimum performance.

Keywords: Corruption, Development, Challenges, National development, Nigeria.

Introduction

Globally, corruption is the biggest threat to governance and development. Almost every country in the world is affected by corruption. According to Mbah & Ojukwu (2019) the problem of the Third World Countries is that most of them lack: resources, skilled manpower, slow growth; and suffer from high level of corruption, high level of illiteracy, they are usually very poor, there is high level of nepotism, usually diseased and above all lack adequate institutional framework. As of today, every state in the world is alive to the fact that corruption has serious implications for its economy and national development.

Corruption in public life has been baneful to the socioeconomic and political advancement of Nigeria. It has been both a cause and consequence of the structural decay bequeathed by decades of rent-seeking politics of the post-colonial state in Nigeria. As a phenomenon, corruption has defied years of economic and political reforms, has continued to grow, and has undermined efforts to improve the standards of living of Nigerians and to foster democratic governance.

Of course, public concern with the problem of corruption in Nigeria has a long history. The first high profile case of corruption was against Dr. Nnamdi Azikiwe, the Premier of Eastern Nigerian government (1954-1959) who used his privileged position to divert government resources into his private bank – African Continental Bank. He was investigated by the Foster Sutton Tribunal of Enquiry (1956) and was made to forfeit all the assets of the bank for the regional government; the same was the case in the Western and Northern region of Nigeria. The demise of the First Republic was also attributed to corruption wherein the leader of the coup Kaduna Nzeogwu declared in his speech on the coup day thus, Nwabuzor (2005):

Our enemies are the political profiteers, the swindlers the men in high and low places that seek bribes and demand ten percent, those that seek to keep the country divided permanently so that they can remain in office as ministers or VIPs at least, the tribalists, the nepotists, those that make the country look like big for nothing before the international circles, those that have corrupted our society and put the Nigerian political calendar back by their words and deeds.

From its mild manifestation in the 60s, corruption grew rapidly at an alarming rate during the Second Republic (Aiyede 2006). For instance, Bangura (1986, p.31) aptly described Shagari administration (1979-83) as “the government of the contractors by the contractors and for the contractors”. At the end of the Second Republic, the probe panel set up by the General Buhari military administration found many government officials and state governors guilty of diversion, mismanagement and misappropriation of public funds. Several millions of naira was siphoned out of the country, several millions squandered and several others unaccounted for. Federal Government White papers of the report of Justices Sampson Uwaifo and Mohammed Bello Review Panels (1986) are eloquent testimonies.

Compounded during the several years of military misrule, corruption became “institutionalized and assaulted every facet of the country’s political and socio-economic life” (Aiyede 2006, p.37). It is so pervading and ravenous to the extent that it became the major explanation for all military coups and authoritarian takeovers in the country. Paradoxically, many of the supposedly corrective regimes became entangled in and epitomized corruption, the same reason for which they took over power. Stupendous wastage of human and material resources became the order of the day. During the Babangida autocratic regime for instance, corruption was raised to the level of state policy and allegation of corrupt practices were treated with utmost levity destroying all the achievement of the previous administration. Corrupt politicians that were jailed by his predecessor- General Muhammadu Buhari - had their cases reviewed. Some were released and some had their sentences reduced. During this period, corruption became an instrument of regime legitimation and stability. Gboyega (1996, p.5) has this to say:

The benign treatment of corruption in the early years of the Babangida administration foretold a much more conscious instrumental use of corruption to ensure regime stability. For a military administration, Babangida’s government was unique in its unconcern about corruption within its rank and among public servants generally; it was as if the Government existed so that corruption might thrive. Some of the worst offenders who were found guilty and were serving various jail terms were released from prison on the guise of Babangida’s human right posture.

Furthermore, all the ill-gotten wealth and properties earlier confiscated were returned to the corrupt politicians (Gboyega, 1996, p.11). This was done under the Forfeiture of Assets (Release of certain Forfeited Properties, etc) Decrees No 24 and 50 of 1993. To sustain and boost the regime’s legitimacy, Babangida made even more extensive pay-offs to various groups of people and organizations in the civil society. These includes: Ecumenical Cathedral Abuja, 50 million naira; Obafemi Awolowo Foundation, 30 million naira; Performing Musicians Association of Nigeria, 20 million naira; Zik Hall Zungeru, 40 million naira; Arewa House Kaduna, 35 million naira; Yakubu Gowon, Centre, 30 million naira; Nigerian Union of Journalists National Secretariat Abuja, 30 million naira; Nigeria Labour Congress Secretariat Building Fund, 50 million naira among several others (Committee for Defence of Human Rights, 1992, p.35).

Other cases of corruption and crass state profligacy under Babangida’s administration was reported in The news Magazine of December 20, 1993 which includes mismanagement of \$2 billion Gulf oil windfall in 1991; 400 million naira wasted on the Better Life Project, \$200 million siphoned from the Aluminum Project and other colossal corruption at all level of government too numerous to mention. Such was the level of corruption and state profligacy under Babangida autocratic rule that Achebe’s (1960, p.29) trenchant description of the Nigerian state became more relevant and forceful in 1993 than it was in 1960. According to him, “In Nigeria the government is ‘they’. It had nothing to do with you or me. It was an alien institution and people’s business was to get as much from it as they could without getting into trouble”. By 1993, it had become clear to the public that Babangida’s loyalists were being enriched at their peril and consequently, the government had ceased to enjoy the stamp of public validation. If there was any support left for Babangida at this period, it was because of his promise to hand over power to a democratically elected government in 1993, and when his government annulled the June 12 presidential election, his government contracted and collapsed.

The Abacha regime was the most illegitimate, reckless and ruinous regime Nigeria ever had in its political history. Though he vowed to fight corruption by constituting Failed Bank Tribunal and inaugurated War against Indiscipline and Corruption (WAIC), Abacha was an icon of corruption. After his demise in office the revelations were staggering. In Abuja alone, the army general was asked to forfeit six ultra-modern buildings worth millions of naira. In addition, his family was asked to return \$625,263,187.19 and over 75 million British Pounds and another 100 million naira illegally acquired to the state. This is not inclusive of 250 million naira and a total of 96.9 million naira forfeited to the Nigerian state by his sons- Mohammed Sani Abacha and Abdulkadir Abacha respectively. Until his death in the Presidential Villa in Abuja, Abacha nursed and promoted corrupt practices to hold on to power. Pay offs and undue reward to the regime loyalist became the order of the day. Among those who enjoyed the General Abacha’s wanton generosity, unmeritorious gift and prodigality included Chief Anthony Ani to the tune of DM 30 million and US \$3 million; Alhaji Bashir Dalhatu US \$5 million gift from late General Sani Abacha held in a London Account and Alhaji Abdulazeez Arisekola Alao 100 million naira gift from Abacha (FGN, 1999, Decree 53). The list is frightening,

Abdul Salam Abubakar who took over after the death of Abacha and who exposed the late General corrupt deeds hurriedly organized a transition programme and handed over the mantle of leadership of the country to a former General and one time military head of state between 1976-1979, Olusegun Obasanjo. Obasanjo laid the foundation of his civilian administration on the vociferous campaign and determination to eliminate corruption in the public life.

Sadly, the above reasons are always put forward as rational for military takeovers in subsequent years, but then corruption keeps increasing by leaps and bounds, as Ogundiya (2009) noted many of them who came as physicians would later leave as patients.

The return and transition to democracy in May 29th 1999 marked the beginning of the Fourth Republic which was greeted with a lot of optimism and expectations as the right path to economic recovery, rule of law, transparency, accountability, and respect for the human rights and other variables of good governance and economic development. Unfortunately, the above dreams are elusive because of corruption in all facets of state operations. A few cases of corruption under the four presidents so far in the Fourth Republic will give credence to the foregoing assertion.

The Obasanjo administration (1999-2007) though made efforts to forestall corruption by establishing the anti-graft agencies like the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) and the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC). This notwithstanding, nearly all the state governors had corruption charges against them and because of immunity; they could not be charged (Ocheni and Nwankwo, 2012). Also, Tafa Balogun, the then Inspector General of Police (IG) in Nigeria was charged with mismanagement of the police budget, tried and convicted on plea bargaining, forfeited assets worth 2.2 billion naira to the government and served 6 months jail term (Audu, 2008). Also, the Haliburton scandal involving the bribing of the contract to construct the Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) to a tune of \$182 million as Adebani (2012) reported the officials in Kellogg Brown & Root LLC's (KBR) involved in the scandal have been tried and found guilty and convicted, but those of the Nigerians counterpart are working freely because the cases are under investigation.

Furthermore, the emergence of Yar'Adua in 2007 was short-lived by imminent illness till he eventually passed on in 2009 prompting Vice President Goodluck Jonathan to take over leadership after a momentary constitutional leadership crisis. The cases of corruption were in no way reduced as state actors engaged in corruption with impunity. During this regime, there was a case of 20 billion dollars unremitted crude oil sale receipts whistle blown by the then Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Governor Lamido Sanusi, who was late suspended and dismissed from the office (BBC, News Africa, 20/02/14). Other cases were the Stella Oduka N255 million worth purchase of bullet proof cars for official use in the aviation ministry and also Diezani Madueke, a former petroleum minister was also accused of spending 10 billion naira of government funds to maintain a private jet and a probe was immediately ordered. However, she applied for a court injunction which was granted and the probe suspended (Eniayejuni & Evans, 2015).

Prior to the 2015 General election, there was general disenchantment and Nigerians were generally disgruntled at the spate of looting of government funds by government officials. Yet there was no visible prosecution as they used their political influence to suppress the proceedings and judgment for those in the good books of the president. Hence, the emergence of Muhamadu Buhari in the 2015 polls was predicated on his stance to fight corruption headlong as this is the only way development could be guaranteed and democracy consolidated. One of the efforts of the Buhari-led government on assuming office in May 2015 was the reactivation of some of the high profile cases already before the courts and ensuring the cases were ongoing. One of those cases was the 'Dasukigate' - the corruption case against Col. Sambo Dasuki, Nigeria's former National Security Adviser who was accused of diverting 2.2 billion dollars meant for the procurement of arms to fight against insurgency in the country. A list of beneficiaries for this largess was made known to the public and the trial continued. More worrisomely, a close scrutiny of the 2016 budget submitted by the Buhari administration was a stunning revelation which perhaps was a major setback to its pursuit of a corrupt-free Nigeria. The budget was exposed to have included frivolous expenditures, over-estimated contracts, and repeated expenditures amongst others. Although the president sacked top officials in the budget office, it was not a good sign of progress. According to public outcry, Buhari's anti-corruption fight was lopsided and selective as observed by Olu Falae, a prominent politician and former Secretary to the Federal Government of Nigeria.

Nigeria's experience with corruption is not something new; however recently, there has been a rise in the number of corruption cases, the frequency in which they happen, the personalities involved, and the amount of money misappropriated. The main research problem of this study, therefore, is that rampant corruption drains any nation robbing it of the resources that are needed for development like infrastructure projects. Nigeria as a nation has produced leaders, who practically have nothing in mind to offer for the nation and her citizens, but to just become leaders, over the years (Maduekwe, Mbah and Ojukwu, 2019). The common desire to most of the populace in Nigeria is to see their government achieve the highest level of development. They also yearn to go beyond that by achieving sustainable development which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Sr. Hope, 1996, p.24). This one desire has been now undermined by corruption which seems to have strong effects on national development. The invasion of corruption to the country's development destroys the government's desire of raising the sustainable level of living of the poor people and hinders the provision of the opportunities to develop their fullest potential (Staudt, 1991, p.29). The strategies in place so far have done little to address the challenge of corruption. The only solution seems to be useful to Nigeria to achieve national development is to devote much of efforts to fight corruption by either find the way of its control or eradication.

Against this background, this study examined corruption and national development with a focus on Nigeria, 2015-2023.

Conceptual Clarification

Corruption

There is no universally agreed-upon definition of corruption. Corruption manifests in different ways and in different sectors of society. Therefore, it has been defined in several ways and qualified by several adjectives, such as 'economic', 'political', 'financial', 'administrative', 'bureaucratic', 'moral or ethical'. According to Kong (1996) it is sometimes defined in terms of the

quantum involved and the status of the perpetrators as 'grand' or 'petty' corruption. The Dictionary of Social Sciences (1964) provides a relatively straightforward but comprehensive definition of corruption: "the use of ... power for ... profit, preferment, or prestige, or for the benefit of a group or class, in a way that constitutes a breach of law or of standards of high moral conduct". Nye (1970) defines it as "a behaviour, which deviates from the normal duties of a public role because of private relationships (family, close private clique), pecuniary or status gain: or violates rules against the exercise of certain types of private relationship". This includes such behaviour as bribery (use of reward to pervert the judgment of a person in a position of trust); nepotism (bestowal of patronage by reason of ascriptive relationship rather than merit); and misappropriation (illegal appropriation of public resources for private-regarding uses).

According to Ubeku (1991), corrupt transactions usually include bribery fraud (such as inflation of contract sums by public officials), unauthorized variation of contracts, payment for jobs not executed, payment of ghost workers, overpayment of salaries and allowances to staff, diversion of government revenue by public officials, and deliberate irregularities in the management of accounting procedures. Ojo (2018) posits that corruption embodies any form of deceitful, unethical, or fraudulent conduct exhibited by individuals in positions of authority or participants in transactions. The Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC) Act, Session 2 (2000), further delineates corruption encompassing bribery, fraud, and related offenses. At its core, corruption signifies the misuse or abuse of power or entrusted positions for personal or collective gains, whether monetary or otherwise. Philip (2013) posits that corruption, from an African standpoint, encompasses practices such as outright theft, misappropriation of state assets, nepotism, preferential treatment for personal connections, and the manipulation of public authority for personal gain.

Corruption is simply conceived in this work as misuse or improper use of power and influence, deliberately and consciously for personal aggrandizement or group advantage. In this context corruption is a multidimensional concept that has legal, social, political, economic and ethical connotations. It comes in various forms and dimensions. In this sense, corruption connotes the abuse of public roles or resources, or the use of illegitimate forms of political power and influence, by public or private parties. To this end, corruption entails vices like fraud or embezzlement of public funds, extortion, and bribery, or misuse of an office.

The causes of corruption in Nigeria include institutional weaknesses, poor ethical standards, including deficiencies in the internal management of public service organizations (in terms of accountability and transparency) and skewed incentives structure (the perception of public officials that they are extremely underpaid), poorly defined government rules and regulations, and weak enforcement of law in general and anti-corruption measures in particular.

Development

Development is a very wide concept with many aspects. The term "development" embodies a multidimensional process of change, encompassing growth, empowerment, advancement, and the orchestration of transformations at various levels. In the context of human society, development is a multifaceted endeavour, characterized by its intricate facets rather than a one-dimensional trajectory. Rodney (1972) presents a tripartite perspective on development, spanning individual, social group (community), and economic dimensions. On an individual level, Walter conceptualizes development as an augmentation of skills, capacity, freedom, creativity, self-discipline, responsibility, and material well-being. In the realm of social groups, development denotes an ongoing enhancement in the ability to adapt and coordinate both internal and external relationships. Within economic development, Walter delves into the notion of societies' collective economic advancement, leading to an augmented capacity to engage with their environment. Rodney underscores the importance of comprehending natural laws (science), their assimilation, and practical application, along with the evolution of tools (technology) and the organization of labor, which collectively influence the society's environmental interaction.

Dudley (1969) extends the definition of development to encompass not only capital accumulation and economic growth, but also equitable access to sufficient nourishment, employment, and the mitigation of income disparities. It entails a process of instigating fundamental and desirable changes that are sustainable in the long run within the society. As Routledge (1995) highlighted, the term development conveys a feeling that something has changed and that this change implies betterment, advance, optimism, progress and a general improvement of the human condition. In a broader sense, some have understood development as a social change (Apter, 1987; Kulkarni & Ranjan, 1992; Semasinghe, 2016). The UNDP clearly emphasized that "people are the real wealth of a nation" and "the objective of development should be to create an enabling environment for people to enjoy long, healthy and creative lives", and also that "development is primarily and fundamentally about people" (UNDP, 2010). Development signifies more than mere expansion; it signifies the empowerment of individuals and communities, the equipping of societies to navigate their surroundings, and the cultivation of a sustainable and thriving environment. This entails harnessing the capacity to influence and harness the forces of nature for personal and collective advancement (Nnavozie, 1990, cited in Oladipo, 2006). Essential to note is that development emerges as a multifaceted concept, traversing various conceptions, yet fundamentally revolving around the transformative processes shaping societal life.

From these perspectives, the intricate connection between corruption and development becomes evident. In essence, there exists a direct impact of corrupt practices on development outcomes. For instance, if development is perceived as the government or system's capacity to judiciously manage resources for enhancing citizen welfare; then corruption can be seen as a pivotal hindrance to effective governance and development. In the Nigerian context, the deficiency in resource management capabilities arises due to

the overwhelming presence of corruption, thus posing a significant impediment to desired progress in both governance and development

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on Prebendal theory, which is closely associated with the American scholar Richard Joseph. In 1987, Joseph conducted a seminal study employing this theory to dissect the Patron-Client relationships that have come to define African politics. The term "prebend" finds its origins in ecclesiastical contexts, particularly the church. It denotes the entitlement of a cathedral member to a portion of the institution's revenues (Abada & Oyia, 2020). At its core, Prebendal theory posits that state offices are treated as prebends, open to appropriation by officeholders who leverage them to generate personal benefits for themselves, their constituents, and kin groups. Joseph employed this concept to capture the sentiment of entitlement that pervades the utilization of African state resources. Elected officials, government employees, and members of ethnic and religious groups to which they belong often perceive themselves as entitled to a share of government revenues.

A pivotal element of prebendalism, as outlined by Joseph, is the transformation of the office into the personal possession of the officeholder. Therefore, the direct import of prebendalism as an explanatory tool to understanding the relationship of corruption on underdevelopment on one hand and the effect of corruption on development in Nigeria is not out of place. Nevertheless, prebendalism refers to the political system where elected or appointed officials and government workers feel they have a right to a share of government revenues and use them to benefit their supporters, co-religionists and other ethnic brethren (wikipedia.com). According to Richard (1987) in describing patron-clientelism or neo-patrimonialism in Nigeria, public office is considered as prebends that can be appropriated by office holders who use them to generate material benefits for themselves and their kindred. As a result of this patron-client and identity politics, Nigeria has oftentimes been one of the lowest ranked nations for political transparency (Transparency International, 2016).

When applying the prebendal theory, it becomes evident that corruption in Nigeria has not only become a commonplace practice but also a deeply ingrained way of life. Corruption has permeated every corner and aspect of Nigeria society, evolving into a cultural norm. This phenomenon has been nurtured, sustained, and normalized over time. Nigerian leaders have gained notoriety for their involvement in stealing, embezzlement, and the misappropriation of resources intended for development (Bakare, 2011). This environment of corruption renders the country vulnerable to terrorism, banditry, kidnapping, religious conflicts, and other factors that exacerbate misery. According to Richard (1986) the problem of Nigeria was not just endemic corruption but profoundly systematic prebendal character of it. With prebendalism in practice it was expected that state offices would be acquired and used for the material benefit of the office holders, its cronies and kinsmen rather than the society at large (references).

In Nigeria, holding state power translates into access to state resources. Intense competition for political positions often disregards the intended statutory purposes of such offices. Public servants occupying influential government positions see this as an opportunity to secure state resources, primarily for themselves and secondarily for their kin groups. Notable cases, such as that of Diezani, the former Nigerian Petroleum Minister, and James Ibori, former governor of Delta state, exemplify the perspective of African elites toward government resources. The assets seized from these individuals underscore the colossal scale of their actions. This prevailing mindset encourages cronyism, nepotism, and other self-serving tendencies, pressuring public officers to utilize their positions for the exclusive benefit of their own groups, often at the expense of others. Abada & Onyia (2020) aptly assert that "the rule of law is the shield that protects the individual from government corruption". This manipulation of power for personal gain echoes the core tenets of the prebendal theory, wherein state offices are converted into avenues for personal enrichment, perpetuating corruption as an embedded practice in Nigeria's socio-political landscape.

Corruption in Nigeria

Corruptions in the government and the private sector have become the most discussed topic in Nigeria in recent years. It manifests in various forms and in many types of transactions within and across levels of governments, within and between private enterprises of different types and scales of operations, between principal actors in private and public sectors, and within civil society organizations. ActionAid Nigeria (2015) identifies major manifestations and dimensions of corruption in the country to include the following:

- Nepotism and cronyism
- Rent-seeking and kick-backs
- Bribery and extortion
- Money transfer fraud
- Advanced fee fraud
- Embezzlement and misapplication of funds
- Impropriety
- Tax negotiation
- Non-remittance or under-remittance of revenue
- Subsidy scandal
- Crude oil theft or illegal oil bunkering

Diversion of local government allocations

Pension funds scandals

Inflation of public expenditure and falsification of records

Fictitious purchase

The Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) and non-remittance of funds into the Federation's account

Bottlenecks

Foreign exchange malpractices

Some of the key drivers of corruption in the country are officials in the executive arm, the legislature, the judiciary, the law enforcement agency, civil servants/public officers, politicians and political parties, corruption in the private sector, the oil and gas sector and the banking sector (ActionAid Nigeria, 2015).

Noteworthy, the issue of corruption is not a 21st century problem of Nigeria as a nation. Its manifestation started to surface shortly after the attainment of political independence from Britain in 1960. In 1962, the declaration of a state of emergency in the Western region of the young country was attributed partly to the issue of corruption. It was followed by the suspension of the Action Group (AG) party and in its place, an interim government was appointed, headed by Chief M. A. Majekodunmi. Subsequently, a commission of inquiry (the Coker Commission) found Chief Awolowo guilty of misuse of Western regional funds (Osaghae, 2011). Specifically, he diverted funds from the regional government-owned National Investment and Properties Corporation totaling N4.4 million in cash and N1.3 million in overdraft from the national bank to finance the AG and publish newspapers supporting the party (Osaghae, 2011). The statistics in Human Rights Watch (2007) reveal that between 1960 and 1999, the Nigerian ruling elite could not account for about \$400 billion of national revenue.

Transparency International report over the years places Nigeria among the most corrupt countries in the world. In 2011, Nigeria was ranked 143 out of 183 countries. In 2012, Nigeria was rated 139 out of 176 countries and in 2013, Nigeria was placed at the 144 position out of 177 countries. In 2014, Nigeria was rated 136 out of 175 countries. Although from 2014 to today, Nigeria has not made any improvement and has remained 136 in the corruption perception index (Transparency International, 2017). Corruption has permeated every aspect of Nigerian society and has become a norm. It has not only affected the functioning of governmental institutions but also the private sector, resulting in a lack of trust in public institutions and a decrease in foreign investment. Examining the table closely will reveal Nigeria's current corruption position in relation to other nations. For instance, Nigeria only scored 25 out of a possible 100 points in 2023 and was ranked 145th out of 180 countries and territories. A score of 0 denotes extensive levels of corruption, while a score of 100 mean 'highly clean' status. For statistics on Nigeria's corruption perception index, see table 1 below.

Table 1: Statistics of Corruption Perception Index of Nigeria from 1999 to 2023

| Year | Corruption Ranking | Corruption Index |
|------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1999 | 98 of 99 | 16/100 |
| 2000 | 90 of 90 | 12/100 |
| 2001 | 90 of 91 | 10/100 |
| 2002 | 101 of 102 | 16/100 |
| 2003 | 132 of 133 | 14/100 |
| 2004 | 144 of 146 | 10/100 |
| 2005 | 152 of 158 | 19/100 |
| 2006 | 142 of 147 | 22/100 |
| 2007 | 147 of 150 | 22/100 |
| 2008 | 121 of 150 | 20/100 |
| 2009 | 130 of 150 | 25/100 |
| 2010 | 134 of 178 | 24/100 |
| 2011 | 143 of 183 | 24/100 |
| 2012 | 139 of 176 | 27/100 |
| 2013 | 144 of 177 | 25/100 |
| 2014 | 136 of 175 | 27/100 |
| 2015 | 136 of 168 | 26/100 |
| 2016 | 136 of 176 | 28/100 |
| 2017 | 148 of 180 | 27/100 |
| 2018 | 144 of 180 | 27/100 |
| 2019 | 146 of 180 | 26/100 |
| 2020 | 149 of 180 | 25/100 |
| 2021 | 154 of 180 | 24/100 |
| 2022 | 150 of 180 | 24/100 |

| | | |
|------|------------|--------|
| 2023 | 145 of 180 | 25/100 |
|------|------------|--------|

Source: Countryeconomy.com (2023): Nigeria - Corruption Perceptions Index.

Over the years, myriad agencies both governmental and non-governmental have consistently reported instances of significant financial malfeasance within Nigeria. Startling figures, often in the billions, have been cited as being siphoned from Nigeria's public funds. An illustrative example lies in the period between 1960 and 1999, during which an astounding sum exceeding \$400 billion is believed to have been embezzled from Nigeria's coffers (Akinyemi, 2010, p.4 cited in Ojo, 2018, p.48). This statistic is but one among a deluge of similar figures. A case in point is the Global Financial Integrity report published in 2010, indicating that Nigeria suffered a staggering loss of \$217.8 billion between 1970 and 2008 due to illegal financial flow. In 2016 alone, illicit financial flows through Nigeria's banking system amounted to over \$15.7 billion (approximately N6 trillion naira), according to a revelation by the Global Financial Integrity Group in Washington D.C., USA (Daily Sun, September 7, 2016, p.15, cited in Ojo, 2018, p.48), solidifying Nigeria's standing among the world's top ten contributors to illicit financial flows. Given these disconcerting facts, one may question the efficacy of Nigeria's anti-corruption agencies. The characterization by former British Prime Minister David Cameron, who quipped that Nigeria is a "fantastically corrupt country on earth", might indeed hold more truth than jest (Ojo, 2018, p.48).

Corruption in Nigeria has been correctly summarized in Ndiese (2003) as the biggest and fastest growing industry in Nigeria. While petroleum is the largest revenue earner (accounting for between 90-95% of national wealth, corruption is the largest consumer of the revenue. Unfortunately, its consumption is into the hands of a few individuals and corporate persons while the nation wallows in abject poverty, hunger disease and debt. The extent of corruption in Nigeria is envisaged in various incidents that have occurred over the years. For instance, the federal audit report of the federal ministries and parastatals was made public for the first time ever by Vincent Azie the acting Auditor-General of the federation. This audit report revealed that more than N23 billion was lost in ten major ministries in 2001 (Nigerian Newswatch, 2003).

In 2007, the Socio-Economic Rights and Accountability Project (SERAP) filed a case before the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) court of justice in Abuja arguing that massive corruption in the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) amounted to a denial of the right to free, quality and compulsory education for Nigerian children. The initiative led to a discovery by Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC) of over N3 billion misappropriated by top officials of the UBEC (SERAP, 2013).

In 2009, SERAP sued the Nigerian government to court again over the failure to effectively tackle corruption in the power sector which resulted in the theft of \$16 billion meant for power projects (SERAP, 2013). The following year, SERAP and five other NGOs filed another suit against the federal government seeking an official publication of reports on missing \$12.4 billion oil windfall. Unfortunately, the case was dismissed by Justice Kolawole in November 2012 (SERAP, 2013). SERAP also sued the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) in 2012 over failure to disclose authorization of spending of government subsidy for 2011. The information being sought relates to the spending on fuel subsidy in 2011 and in particular the authorization of N1.26 trillion paid by the CBN (SERAP, 2013). Several similar cases of corruption are pending before the court.

Cases of corruption also relate to the Legislature in the administration under the Peoples' Democratic Party and the current ruling All Progressives' Congress. For example in a report by Premium Times News (2019), the National Assembly engaged in various scandals of contract awards, fraud and sleazes amounting to billions of naira and dollars over two decades of law making from 1999-2019. According to the report #1.8trillion was spent in maintenance of the National Assembly. In a breakdown of the budget allocation of the National Assembly reveals a disturbing story of mass embezzlement within the period. In 2018 Senator Shehu Sani of Kaduna Central revealed that senators' running cost stood at #13.5million monthly despite allegations that senators paid themselves additional allowances without approval by the Revenue Mobilization and Fiscal Commission. At the State Houses of Assembly, members received #6billion per annum (Premium Times, 2019). From this astonishing revelation therefore no wonder politicians and political parties fight to the tooth to win elections and control state power at all costs.

That corruption is an endemic cancer within the civil service in Nigeria is incontestable. In fact the systemic decay in the civil service of this country today can be attributable to corruption most notably bribery to solicit for undeserved favour or to get access to rights. On 29th of August, 2019 the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission conducted a probe of \$16billion power scandal involving Head of Finance, Marvel Emefiele and Head of Compensation to Communities, Eze M.C. Odigbo of the Niger Delta Power Holding Company for supervising the failed \$16billion power project. The two officials were further accused of not accounting for #850million paid for compensation to communities where components of the power projects were sited (The Nation Newspapers, 29th August, 2019).

In the Private sector, according to Magu, corrupt practices were also prevalent, the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission arrested two-hundred Internet fraudsters and recovered #14million from wire transfers (The Nation Newspapers, 2019).

Corruption in the public sector remains a sore spot in Nigeria's quest to instill transparency and accountability in the polity. The failure to deliver social services, the endemic problem of power supply and the collapse of infrastructure are all linked with corruption ... Analysis of EFCC data (2012, p.10) shows that embezzlement and diversion of public funds are the most common forms of public sector corruption. Owasanoye (2014) in a recent study of fifty-five (55) high profile cases of corruption charged to the court by the EFCC between 1999 and 2012 involved a total sum of one trillion, three hundred and fifty four billion, one hundred and thirty-two million and four hundred thousand naira (N1,354,132,400,000:00). These stark revelations provide insight into the

reason behind Nigeria's underdevelopment. The sheer magnitude of these illicit financial outflows would undoubtedly hinder any nation's progress. The diverted funds have the potential to transform the country's fortunes by generating employment opportunities, driving infrastructure development, and establishing top-tier healthcare facilities for its citizens, ultimately combating poverty. The unprecedented scale of these illegal financial outflows poses a challenge that no country, even in the West, could endure unscathed. Unfortunately, the will to combat corruption in all tiers of government is still very weak. In some cases, especially in the states and local governments, the political will to fight corruption is non-existent, as the workings of the polity are intricately connected with corruption activities ... It is no surprise therefore that most of the predicate offences to money laundering are connected with corruption within the officialdom.

Government on its part over the years has put in place mechanism to combat corruption and attract national development. One of the political objectives enshrined in the 1999 constitution is that the states shall abolish all forms of corruption practices and abuse of power. In pursuant of the objectives, the constitution, in the third schedule provides for the Code of Conduct Bureau, to ensure that public officers conform to ethics of incorruptible behaviour, through the declaration of their assets before and after holding public offices. It also provides for Code of Conduct Tribunal to try public servants. The Public Servant covered by the Bureau and Tribunal include: the President, the Vice President, Governors, Deputy Governors of States, Justice of Courts, Attorney General and Minister of Justice, Army Chiefs, Police Chiefs, top civil servants, diplomats in Nigeria and abroad, Chairmen, members and staff of Federal, States and Local Government, Chairmen Boards and corporations and similarly placed public officers. The constitution also provides for the establishment of Electoral Tribunal at all levels of elections in order to ensure a corrupt-free electoral process.

Also, acknowledging that corruption is the biggest issue facing the Nigerian public sector, previous Nigerian administrations implemented several anti-corruption initiatives and programmes to combat the problem. These include SERVICOM, the Independent and Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offenses Commission (ICPC), the EFCC, the War Against Indiscipline (WAI), and others. The result so far achieved is insignificant when compared with the quantum of corruption that is being perpetrated in the three tiers of government parastatals and in the private sector respectively. Corruption in the Fourth Republic has surpassed and or is the highest since Nigerian attained political independence.

Regretfully, given the widespread corruption in the Nigerian public sector, these initiatives and tactics had minimal effect in the fight against corruption. Ijewereme and Dunmade (2014), cited by Ogbewere (2015, p. 1), noted that recent developments in corruption accusations on high-profile cases demonstrate shame in the use of plea bargains. People won't be discouraged from engaging in corruption if the penalties for unethical and corrupt behaviour are lenient and based on plea deals (as in the case of the convicted former governor of Edo state, Lucky Igbenedion). Table 2 lists Nigerians who have received lenient sentences (Onah, Ugwuibe, Nwogbo & Osadebe, 2022).

Table 2 List of the Nigerian recipients of lenient punishments

| Name | Offense | Sentence | Date | Court |
|--|---|--|---------------|---------------------------|
| 1) Cecilia Ibru, former CEO of the defunct Oceanic Bank Plc. | 25 Counts of bank and security fraud. | Six months imprisonment and forfeited 190 billion naira (US\$1.2 billion) assets and cash | October 2010 | Federal High Court Lagos |
| 2) Tafa Balogun, former Inspector General of Police | Failing to declare his assets & his Front companies Were convicted of 8 counts of money laundering. | Six months imprisonment and seizure of his assets – reportedly worth in excess of US\$ 150 million. | November 2005 | Federal High Court Abuja |
| 3) Lucky Igbinedion, former Governor of Edo state | Failing to declare his assets and his Front company was convicted of 27 counts of money laundry. | Six months imprisonment with an option of N3.5m fine and seizure of some of his assets acquired with proceeds of corruption. Igbinedion immediately paid the fine in the court room and agreed to forfeit some of his assets | December 2008 | Federal High Court Enugu |
| 4) Diepreye Alamieyeseigha, former Governor of Bayelsa state | Failing to declare his assets & his front companies were convicted of money laundering. | Two years imprisonment & forfeited some of his assets. | July 2007 | Federal High Court Lagos. |

Source: Adapted from Ogbewere (2015, p.10).

A quick look at this table will show the various offenses and penalties these public servants have received. If you examine the third row of this table more closely, you will see that Lucky Igbinedion, the former governor of Edo State, received a sentence of six months in prison and an option to pay a fine of N3.5 million, which he paid in person. This demonstrated that, in comparison to what he had gained, the fine meant little to him. Public employees will be afraid to engage in corruption and unethical behaviour when the penalties are harsh. Experience has shown that as long as politicians continue to amass fortune through corruption on a daily basis, civil servants will not consider it improper for them to use their positions for personal gain. Despite the anti-corruption movement, corruption has increased, according to Izekor & Okaro (2018, p. 9). However, Nyoni (2018) agreed with them when he stated that the dynamics of corruption in Nigeria demonstrated that public officials and politicians in the country have demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that they are incapable of putting their anti-corruption beliefs into practice.

The case of Nigeria stands as a compendium of examples, illustrating its unfortunate status as one of the most corrupt nations globally. Remarkably, Nigeria boasts an elaborate framework of anti-corruption legislation, agencies and institutions; however, the crux of the issue lies not in the absence of anti-corruption measures, but in the challenge of enforcement. Despite the intricacy of these anti-corruption legislations and constitutional provisions, corruption continues to surge unabated, serving as a formidable impediment to the nation's political, social, and economic advancement (Transparency International, 2020).

Impact of Corruption on National Development

Development, in its essence, involves a government's ability to efficiently manage resources to enhance the well-being of its citizens. On the flip side, corruption acts as a significant hindrance to good governance and sustainable development. The Nigerian government has notably struggled to effectively manage its resources due to the rampant issue of corruption, which poses a grave threat to both development and good governance (Oladipo, 2006). Corruption is symptomatic of governance mismanagement, as suggested by Ojo (2018), signaling an underlying issue in state management. Its impact on Nigeria's national development is profound as highlighted below:

- a) **High level of poverty:** Poverty is one of the outcomes, with a significant portion of Nigerians populations living in dire conditions. For instance, 71% of Nigerians live on less than \$1 (US) a day, mirroring similar figures in other African countries (Akinyemi, 2010, cited in Ojo, 2018). According to Mbah, Ojukwu & Okoye (2019) poverty in the developing countries continues to be a real and significant feature of these societies. Level of poverty is high in Nigeria for obvious reasons. Money budgeted for economic development and empowerment of the citizenry is diverted into private pockets and bank accounts abroad. The citizens are left impoverished. Even when poverty alleviation programmes are initiated, the funds for it end up in private accounts here and overseas. Corruption as the biggest obstacle for poverty reduction is a problem for social and economic development.
- b) **Poor investment opportunities:** Donor nations and international conglomerates looking for where to invest their capital outside their home countries shy away from Nigeria because of the level of corruption. This is equally the cause of capital flight from Nigeria, when those companies that are already there can no longer cope, they move to neighboring countries. Corruption's adverse effects encompass reduced industrial policy effectiveness, encouragement of operating businesses in unofficial sectors to evade tax and regulatory laws, and a discouragement of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) due to the unattractive environment. Corruption has created artificial stock of both local and international debt. These loans were obtained and stolen instead of being used for the original purposes for which they were intended.
- c) **Unemployment:** Unemployment is another repercussion of rampant corruption, particularly affecting the youth. In Nigeria, more than 40% of the youth are unemployed (Ojo, 2018). Infrastructure is deteriorating, and access to basic necessities like clean water is lacking for many. The effects of corruption span multiple dimensions, as highlighted by Umana (2018), including political, social, and economic spheres. Corruption leads to abandonment of projects that could have accelerated national development in all facets. Examples are; Ajeokuta and Aladja Steel project, Itakpe Iron ore, the Paper mill in Akwa Iboku in Cross River state, Aluminum Flat Sheet also in Cross River state and so many abandoned projects of Federal, State and Local governments. There is also re-cycling of projects, 10% kicks back syndrome at the three tiers of government.
- d) **Economic impact:** In most cases, the familiar tales of fortunes in natural resources like oil, gold, coal and other resources such as naira and hundreds of dollars were stashed away in secret hiding places and foreign banks by corrupt public officials including the acquisition of real estate abroad. The impact of these misconducts is devastating with massive scale especially for Nigeria facing perennial and severe foreign exchange shortages. However, corruption does not have to be on a grand scale to inflict serious damage. There are other adverse effects that can be just as damaging for a poor country. Corruption diverts limited funds, hampering economic progress and obstructing policy changes necessary for development. Although direct financial losses from corruption are substantial, the indirect costs, such as economic distortions, inefficiencies, and waste, are more detrimental over the long term. Corruption raises business costs, erodes revenue, and leads to subpar service delivery. This perpetuates poverty and hinders upward mobility, disproportionately affecting the poor.
- e) **Insecurity:** Security is one, if not the primary responsibility of any nation to its citizens, but when the money budgeted for it cannot be accounted for, no jobs for the university graduates, pensioners not paid, universities on strike most of the

academic year. That is the reason for armed robbery, kidnappings, ritual killings and so many other vices that are on the increase.

- f) **Poor infrastructural development:** The bane of any nation saddled with corruption is poor infrastructural development. Hospitals are non-existent, where they exist, they are not stocked with medicine and medical facilities, and there are no qualified personnel. Nigerians now engage in medical tourism more than any other nationals worldwide. In this 21st Century, in some parts of Nigeria, there is no pipe-borne water for drinking. People resort to drinking whatever water they see thereby increasing and spreading diseases. Motorable tarred roads are non-existent in most urban and rural areas of the country. Electricity that is the main ingredient of industrialization is still non-existent in some parts of the country, most factories and industries resort to running generator sets thereby increasing the cost of production and cost of their final products in the market. Lack of funding has reduced our universities to less than secondary schools. Nigerian universities that ranked very high in the sixties now has deteriorated to the level that our students are found all over the world in some funny and less acceptable universities. A four year course in a Nigerian university now takes six years to finish because most of the time lecturers are on strike because money budgeted for education has grown wings and vanished.
- g) **Underdevelopment:** In all the countries like Nigeria where corruption has become the norm in the government, one thing that is glaring clear, is national underdevelopment. No one genuinely wants to occupy an office because of what he or she wants to contribute to national development. Their primary aim, interest is how they can better their own life through the office they are called to serve. Budgets for their offices disappear unceremoniously without trace. With such mindset and trends the nation will remain underdeveloped unless something drastic is done.
- h) **Corruption alters societal values in Nigeria:** Corruption alters societal values, shifting the focus from moral virtues to the relentless pursuit of wealth and power. Corruption increases inequality, decreases popular accountability and political responsiveness, and thus produces rising frustration and hardship among citizens. As highlighted by Roce-Ackerman, cited in Ojo (2018), good conduct takes a back seat, correlated with diminished investment and economic growth. Education, health and indeed the entire economic sector is at its lowest ebb because of corruption. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank (WB) reported that Nigerians have about \$189billion in overseas banks. This is the money stolen from Nigeria and stashed overseas. It is this money that is oiling the economy of Europe. If that money was invested in Nigeria, Nigeria could have been a better place. No foreigner will steal his country's money and take it to Nigeria or any Third World Country and bank.
- i) **Corruption undermines good governance and economic development:** Corruption affects the functioning of public services by creating political instability and the ability to make a consequential impact on sustainability of public funds because resources are often redirected to fuel parallel economies. Systematic corruption mainly in public sector erodes public trust within the government institution; the situation also has the ability to damage public integrity, and distort sector outcomes. It tarnishes the international image of the country, discouraging foreign investment and hurting trade. Inefficiencies in public services arise, and crucial infrastructural facilities are misused or vandalized. This can lead to inflation, unemployment, declining output, and a deteriorating standard of living.
- j) **Corruption sows seeds of political instability and lawlessness:** It could upturn ethnic balance and cause serious problems in the areas of national integration and the legitimacy of that government. It leads to killing of political opponents. Above all, it makes governance ineffective and causes brain drain.

Conclusion

Corruption and national development, a study of Nigeria, 2015-2023 presents very disturbing picture of the state of corruption and indeed, governance in Nigeria. It shows that corruption is pervasive and public concern is high. It also highlights the negative toll corruption takes on productivity and efficiency of both the public and private sectors and on popular welfare. The causes of corruption include a combination of factors: the perception of public officials that they are extremely underpaid; deficiencies in the internal management of public service organizations (in terms of accountability and transparency), poorly defined government rules and regulations, weak enforcement of law in general and anti-corruption measures in particular. Preventing and combating corruption requires a comprehensive approach, but only in a climate of transparency, accountability and participation by all members of society is this possible. Accordingly, the following recommendations are made:

- 1) The immunity clause should be removed from the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended) in order to deny public office holders the opportunity to engage in corruption and other forms of impunity and escape unsanctioned, and to serve as a deterrent to public officers from engaging in corruption. The specific action to be taken is the review of the 1999 Constitution to make it mandatory for the president, governors, and chairmen of local government councils and other political office holders to be tried in the court of law for criminal offences committed while in office.
- 2) The corruption-fighting agencies such as the Police, Judiciary, EFCC, ICPC, and SERVICOM should be streamlined, reformed and strengthened in order to avoid administrative conflicts from similar agencies; be cost effective; freed from executive and other forms of control; and facilitate optimum performance. Also, the Federal government should ensure that all anti-corruption agencies are autonomous and given all the powers and resources they need to discharge their mandates of fighting corruption. Broaden the Nigeria Anti-Corruption coalition by involving a wider range of stakeholders, including

the government, the private sector, the media, civil society organizations and the general public need to work together to curb this crime and to help build public confidence in anticorruption efforts. That is to encourage active involvement of the business sector and NGOs, including religious bodies in national anti-corruption programmes. Strengthen ongoing institutional reforms with specific emphasis on improving internal management practices and salaries; streamlining government rules and regulations; as well as promoting ethics and accountability.

- 3) Increase public awareness of official anti-corruption measures thereby creates a corruption reporting mechanism with proper protection of whistleblowers.

References

- Abada, I. M. & Onyia, F. O. (2020). Nepotism, cronyism and prebendalism: An exploration of the mores that reinforce corruption in Nigeria's political system. *Global Journal of Political Science and Administration*, Vol.8, No.3, p-56.
- Achebe, C. (1960). *No longer at ease*. London: Heinemann Educational.
- ActionAid Nigeria, (2015). *Corruption and poverty in Nigeria: A report published by ActionAid Nigeria*.
- Adebanwi, W. (2012). *Authority stealing: Anti-corruption war and democratic politics in post-military Nigeria*. Durham, NC: Carolina Academic Press.
- Aiyede, R. E. (2006). The role of INEC, ICPC and EFCC in combating political corruption. In: *money, politics and corruption in Nigeria*, A Publication of IFES, pp. 37-54.
- Anti-Corruption Summit Communiqué, (2016). From gov.uk/government/topical-events/anti-corruption-summit-london-2016 on 12 May.
- Apter, A. (1987). 'Things fell apart? Yoruba responses to the 1983 elections in Ondo State, Nigeria', *Journal of Modern African Studies*, 25(3): 489-503.
- Audu, S. M. (2008). Emerging issues in the culture of corruption in Nigeria: Implications for national development, in Hassan A. Saliu et al. (eds.) *perspective in nation-building and development: Political and legal issues*. Lagos: Concept Publications Ltd. pp 211-218.
- Bakare, A. S. (2011). The crowding-out effects of corruption in Nigeria: An empirical study. *Journal of Business Management and Economic*, 2(2), 59-68.
- Bangura, Y. (1986). The Nigerian economic crisis. In: Peter Lawrence (Ed.): *World recession and the food crisis in Africa*. London: James Curry. pp. 40-58.
- Dictionary of Social Sciences, (1964). *Dictionary of social sciences*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dudley, S. (1969). The meaning of development. *International Development Review*, 11, 3-4.
- Eniayejuni, A. & Evans, N. (2015). Nigeria: Corruption arising from bad leadership, *European Scientific Journal*, 11(13).
- Gboyega, A. (1996). Corruption and democratization in Nigeria, 1983-1993: An overview. In: Gboyega Alex (Ed.): *Corruption and democratization in Nigeria*. Ibadan: Agbo Areo Publishers, pp. 3-12.
- Human Rights Watch, (2007). *Criminal politics, violence, godfathers and corruption in Nigeria*, Human Rights Watch, Lagos. vol. 19(16).
- ICPC, (2006). *Nigeria and corruption. Independent corrupt practices and other related offences commission*.
- Iyada, D. O. (2012). Corruption: Definitions, theories and concepts. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*.
- Izekor, A. O. & Okaro, C. S. (2018). Effect of corruption on crude oil revenue earnings in Nigeria (1996–2015). *International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies (IJIRAS)*, 5(1), 9 – 15.
- Kong, T. Y. (1996). "Corruption and its institutional foundations: The experience of South Korea" in *IDS Bulletin*, vol. 7, No. 2.
- Kulkarni, G. S. & Ranjan, R. S. (1992). Perceptions of development as empowerment In: M.Ö. Cinnéide, S. Grimes (eds.), *Planning Development of Marginal Areas* (pp. 135-142). Center for Development Studies, Social Science Research Center: University Collage of Galway.
- Maduekwe, V. C., Mbah, C. C. & Ojukwu, U. G. (2019). Theory of divine origin of state and good governance in Nigeria. *London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal*, London Journal Press, Vol.19, Issue 6.
- Mbah, C. C. & Ojukwu, U. G. (2019). Modernization theories and the study of development today: A critical analysis. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR)*. Vol.3, Issue 4, April – 2019, p.18.
- Mbah, C. C., Ojukwu, U. G. & Okoye, P. B. (2019). "Poverty in the Developing Countries is caused by the development of underdevelopment": Unpacking Andre Gunder Frank (1967) in *Twenty First Century Third World Politics*. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR)*. Vol. 3, Issue 11, November – 2019, p.68.
- Muhammad, I. S., Sanusi, A. W. & Gado, M. A. (2023). Corruption and its impact on socio-economic development in selected countries of Africa. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies (AJPAS)*. December, 2023. Issue 16(2), pp.23-46.
- Nnavozie, O. U. (1990). The Bureaucracy and national department: The case of Nigeria" in Tyoden, S.A. (ed.) *Constitutionalism and National Development in Nigeria*. Proceedings of the 17th annual Conference of the NSPA held at the University of Jos - Nov, 1990.

- Nwabuzor, A. (2005). Corruption and development: New initiatives in economic openness and strengthened rule of law. *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol.59, 121-138.
- Nye, J. S. (1970). Corruption and political development: A cost benefits analysis, in Arnold J. Heidenheimer (ed.), *Political corruption*, New York: Transaction Publishers.
- Nyoni, T. (2018). The war against corruption in Nigeria: Devouring or sharing the national cake? Munich Personal Archive. Retrieved from [mpira.ub.unimuenchen.de/87615](https://npra.ub.unimuenchen.de/87615), 28th August 2024.
- Obasanjo, O. (1999). *Public service reform in Nigeria*. Abuja: Government Press.
- Ocheni, S. & Nwankwo, B. C. (2012). Analysis of colonialism and its impact in Africa." *Cross-cultural communication* 8 (3): 46–54.
- Ogbewere, B. I. (2015). Anatomy of corruption in the Nigerian public sector: Theoretical perspectives and some empirical explanations. *Sage Open Journal*, 1–16. Retrieved from sgo.sagepub.com on 24th August 2024.
- Ogundiya, L. S. (2009). Political corruption in Nigeria. Theoretical perspectives and some explanations in *Anthropology*, 11(4) 281-292.
- Ojo, E. O. (2018). The Anti-Graft War under President Muhammadu Buhari. In Ojo, E.O., Luqman, S., Muhammad, A. A. (eds.) *Some selected governance issues in Nigeria's Fourth Republic*. College Press, lead city University, Ibadan.
- Oladipo, E. O. (2006). "Sustainable development: Concepts and practice" in Ivbijaro, M.F.A. Akintola, F. and Okechukwu, R.U. (eds.) *Sustainable environmental management in Nigeria*. Ibadan: College Press and Publishers Ltd. pp.9-22.
- Onah, F. N., Ugwuibe, C. O., Nwogbo, D. C. & Osadebe, N. O. (2022). Work ethics and sustainable service delivery in Nigeria public service. *Journal of Economics and Allied Research*, 7(2), pp.93-110.
- Osaghae, E. E. (2011). *Crippled giant: Nigeria since independence*. Ibadan: John Archers Publishers Limited.
- Owasanoye, B. (2014). *Justice or impunity? High profile corruption cases crawling or gone to sleep*. Lagos: Human Development Initiatives.
- Philip, D. D. (2013). Corruption as bane for under-development in Nigeria: issues and challenges. *International Affairs and Global Strategy Journal*. Vol.6, No.3, pp-15-24.
- Richard, J. (1987). *Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: The rise and fall of the Second Republic*. London: Cambridge University Press.
- Rodney, W. (1972). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa*. London: Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications.
- Routledge, P. (1995). Resisting and reshaping the modern: social movements and the development process. In: P. J. Taylor, M.J. Watts, R. J. Jhonstan (eds.), *Geographies of Global Change: Remapping the World in the Late Twentieth Century*. Blackwell. Oxford: pp.263-279.
- Semasinghe, W. M. (2016). Growth, pro-poor growth, inclusive growth and poverty. SAMPR ASADANA, Department of History: University of Kelaniya.
- SERAP, (2013). *SERAP in Action: Promoting transparency and respect for socio-economic rights*. www.serap-nigeria.org.
- Sr. Hope, K. (1996). *Development in the third world; From policy failure to policy reform*. USA: M.E Sharpe Inc.
- Staudt, K. (1991). *Managing development; state society and international contexts*, London: Sage Publications Inc.
- The Federal Republic of Nigeria, (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria*. Abuja: Federal Government Printer.
- The Federal Republic of Nigeria, (2000). *Independent Corrupt Practices Commission Act, 2000*.
- The Federal Republic of Nigeria, (2000). *Public Complaints Commission Act, 1991*.
- The Federal Republic of Nigeria, (2002), *Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (Establishment) Act*. Abuja: Federal Government Printer.
- The Federal Republic of Nigeria, (2004). *Code of Conduct Bureau Act, 2004*.
- The Nigerian Nation Newspapers, 29th August, 2019.
- The Nigerian Premium Times Newspapers, 16th November, 2019.
- The Nigerian Premium Times Newspapers, 24th September, 2019.
- The SERVICOM Book, (2006). *Delivery service in Nigeria: A road map*. A report presented by the office for public service reform. United Kingdom, 1-28.
- Transparency International (2002). frequently asked questions about corruption perception index, Press release. www.transparency.org.
- Transparency International, (2005-2015). Corruption Perception Index (CPI) parameter, www.transparency.org.
- Transparency International, (2016). Corruption Indices in Nwogu, J. A. and Ijirshar, V. U. (2016). *The Impact of Corruption on Economic Growth and Cultural Value Orientation*. *International Journal of Economics and Management Science*.
- Transparency International, (2017). Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) 2017 report. www.transparency.org.
- Transparency International, (2020). Corruption Perception Index (CPI) parameter. Ten most corrupt African country in the world. www.transparency.org.
- Transparency International, (2023). Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) 2023 report. Retrieved from www.transparency.org on 28th August, 2024.
-

- Ubeku, A. K. (1991). "The social and economic foundations of corruption and other economic crimes in Nigeria", Ajibola, Bala, perspectives on corruption and other economic crimes in Nigeria, Lagos: Federal Ministry of Justice.
- Umana, K. (2018). Impacts, effects, and implications of corruption for African development.
- UNDP, (2010). Human development report 2010: The real wealth of nations – pathways to human development. New York. Retrieved from: hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-developmentreport-2010.