

Assessment in Mathematics Education in the Sphere of Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Review on Its Threats and Opportunities

Jay Fie P. Luzano

College of Education, Bukidnon State University
Malaybalay City, Bukidnon, Philippines
jayfieluzano@buku.edu.ph

Abstract: *In the contemporary landscape of education, the nexus of mathematics instruction and artificial intelligence (AI) offers a dynamic sphere of exploration. As technology increasingly influences education and society, it's crucial to scrutinize the role of assessment in mathematics education within the context of AI. This systematic review aims to explore assessment practices, revealing the diverse threats and opportunities arising from the intersection. This study utilized a systematic literature review method to synthesize existing literature concerning the threats and opportunities inherent in the assessment of mathematics education within the domain of Artificial Intelligence (AI). Results showed three (3) emerging threats, such as (1) Algorithmic Injustices and Equitable Results; (2) Standardization and Overemphasis on Procedural Knowledge; and (3) Data Security and Privacy Issues in Assessment. Another three (3) themes for emerging opportunities; namely: (1) Customized Learning and Flexible Assessments; (2) Real-Time Feedback and Formative Assessment Practices; and (3) Data-Driven Decision-Making and Educational Insights. It is recommended that mathematics educators and other concerned stakeholders may prioritize efforts to mitigate algorithmic biases, promote fairness, safeguard student privacy, and develop guidelines for responsible AI use in assessment. Investing in educator training is crucial to leverage AI effectively and ethically, fostering a culture of collaboration and innovation to enhance assessment practices and ensure equitable access to high-quality mathematics education.*

Keywords—Assessment; Mathematics education; Artificial Intelligence; Threats; Opportunities: Systematic review

1. INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of education, the nexus of mathematics instruction and artificial intelligence (AI) presents a dynamic and evolving sphere of exploration. As technological advancements continue to permeate various facets of society, including education, it becomes imperative to critically examine the role of assessment within the realm of mathematics education in the context of AI (González-Calatayud, Prendes-Espinosa, & Roig-Vila, 2021). This systematic review endeavors to delve into the multifaceted dimensions of assessment practices, uncovering the inherent threats and opportunities that emerge at this intersection.

Mathematics education stands as a cornerstone in the development of critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and numeracy abilities among learners. Within this domain, assessment serves as a pivotal mechanism for gauging students' comprehension, tracking progress, and informing instructional strategies (Szabo et al., 2020). The advent of AI technologies introduces a paradigm shift in how assessment is conceptualized, executed, and interpreted within the mathematics education landscape. From adaptive learning platforms to data-driven analytics, AI augments traditional assessment practices, promising enhanced personalization and efficiency (Qiu, Pan, & Ishak, 2022).

Amidst the promises and potentials of AI-driven assessment in mathematics education lie significant questions and considerations regarding its impact, validity, and equity.

Understanding the threats posed by AI-driven assessment encompasses concerns such as algorithmic biases, standardized testing pressures, and the erosion of humanistic pedagogies (Jacobson et al., 2022). Conversely, exploring the opportunities engendered by AI invites reflections on personalized learning pathways, real-time feedback mechanisms, and the democratization of educational access (Tapalova, Zhiyenbayeva, & Gura, 2022).

As the educational landscape continues to evolve in response to technological advancements, a critical dialogue on the implications of AI-driven assessment in mathematics education becomes imperative (Hwang, 2022). By elucidating the threats and opportunities inherent in this intersection, educators can navigate the complexities of integrating AI technologies responsibly and ethically within the realm of mathematics education (Saltz et al., 2019). Ultimately, this systematic review aspires to contribute to the ongoing discourse surrounding assessment practices, technological innovation, and pedagogical efficacy in the digital age.

This systematic review seeks to illuminate the complex interplay between assessment practices, mathematics education, and AI technologies. By synthesizing existing literature, empirical studies, and theoretical frameworks, this inquiry aims to offer insights into the transformative potentials and ethical dilemmas inherent in AI-driven assessment. Through a comprehensive examination of the literature, this study endeavors to inform educators, policymakers, and stakeholders about the nuanced considerations surrounding the integration of AI in mathematics assessment practices.

2. METHODS

Conducting a systematic review aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the threats and opportunities inherent in the assessment of mathematics education within the domain of Artificial Intelligence (AI). A meticulous search strategy encompassed electronic databases like Google Scholar and ResearchGate, alongside relevant journals and books, utilizing specific search terms such as "Assessment in Mathematics Education using AI", "Threats in the Assessment in Mathematics Education using AI", and "Opportunities in the Assessment in Mathematics Education using AI". Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed articles published in English within the last decade, emphasizing insights into the threats and opportunities surrounding assessment in mathematics education in diverse educational settings and grade levels.

The initial search phase produced a substantial volume of articles, subsequently refined through screening based on titles and abstracts to assess relevance. Thirty-eight articles emerged for in-depth review, aiming to extract insights into the threats and opportunities posed by assessment in mathematics education within the realm of Artificial Intelligence. Through this comprehensive review, recurring themes and patterns were identified, offering a comprehensive overview of the landscape surrounding assessment in mathematics education within the AI domain. By synthesizing diverse literature, this systematic approach facilitated a holistic understanding of the complex dynamics at play, providing valuable insights into the nuances of assessment practices within AI-driven mathematics instructional contexts.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Assessment in Mathematics Education in the Sphere of Artificial Intelligence: Its Threats

Algorithmic Injustices and Equitable Results

One of the primary threats in the assessment of mathematics education within the sphere of Artificial Intelligence is the presence of algorithmic bias and fairness issues (Zhou et al., 2022). AI algorithms utilized in assessment tools may inadvertently perpetuate biases present in the data they are trained on, leading to unfair treatment of certain student demographics (Baker & Hawn, 2021; Pang-an et al., 2022). For instance, if the training data primarily consists of examples from one demographic group, the assessment tool may inaccurately evaluate students from other groups, exacerbating existing disparities in educational outcomes (Goos & Salomons, 2017). Addressing algorithmic bias and ensuring fairness in AI-driven assessments is crucial to upholding principles of equity and justice in mathematics education (Bellamy et al., 2019; Aranzo et al., 2023).

Standardization and Overemphasis on Procedural Knowledge

Another significant threat lies in the potential for standardization and the overemphasis on procedural knowledge in AI-driven assessment tools (Ma et al., 2022). Many AI-based systems prioritize the assessment of

procedural skills and computational fluency, neglecting the importance of conceptual understanding and problem-solving abilities in mathematics education (Rasila, Malinen, & Tiitu, 2015). This narrow focus may result in assessments that fail to capture the full spectrum of students' mathematical capabilities, leading to a limited and distorted view of their mathematical proficiency (Libertus, Feigenson, & Halberda, 2011). Over-reliance on standardized assessments may also stifle creativity and innovation in teaching and learning approaches, hindering the development of critical thinking skills essential for success in mathematics and beyond (Benedek et al., 2016).

Data Security and Privacy Issues in Assessment

Additionally, the use of AI in mathematics education assessment raises significant concerns regarding privacy and data security (Wang, 2020). AI-driven assessment tools often collect large amounts of sensitive student data, including performance metrics, learning behaviors, and personal information (Fang & Tse, 2023). The storage and analysis of such data pose risks of unauthorized access, misuse, and breaches of confidentiality, compromising student privacy and undermining trust in educational institutions (Vaidya, Zhu, & Clifton, 2016). Furthermore, the opaque nature of AI algorithms and the lack of transparency in how student data is processed and utilized raise ethical dilemmas about informed consent and accountability (Guan, Dong, & Zhao, 2022). Robust safeguards and regulations are necessary to ensure that student data is handled ethically and responsibly in AI-driven assessment practices, prioritizing the protection of individual privacy rights while leveraging the potential benefits of AI technologies in mathematics education (Klimova, Pikhart, & Kacetl, 2023; Romorosa et al., 2023).

Assessment in Mathematics Education in the Sphere of Artificial Intelligence: Its Opportunities

Customized Learning and Flexible Assessments

One of the key opportunities in the assessment of mathematics education within the sphere of Artificial Intelligence is the potential for customized learning and flexible assessments. AI-driven assessment tools can adapt to individual student needs, providing tailored feedback and learning experiences based on student's strengths, weaknesses, and learning styles (Grivokostopoulou, Perikos, & Hatzilygeroudis, 2017; Luzano, 2020). By analyzing vast amounts of data and employing machine learning algorithms, AI can identify patterns in students' performance and offer targeted interventions to address specific areas of difficulty (Musso, Hernández & Cascallar, 2020; Casanova et al., 2023). This customized approach not only enhances students' engagement and motivation but also fosters deeper conceptual understanding and mastery of mathematical concepts, ultimately leading to more effective learning outcomes (Watt, Carmichael, & Callingham, 2017).

Real-Time Feedback and Formative Assessment Practices

Another significant opportunity lies in the integration of real-time feedback and formative assessment practices facilitated by AI technologies (Porter & Grippa, 2020). AI-driven assessment tools enable immediate feedback on students' responses, allowing for timely interventions and adjustments in instruction (Kleij, Feskens, & Eggen, 2013; Luzano, 2023). Through continuous monitoring and analysis of student progress, educators can gain valuable insights into students' learning trajectories and tailor instruction to meet their evolving needs (Ysseldyke & Bolt 2007; Luzano & Ubalde, 2023). Real-time feedback fosters a dynamic learning environment where students are actively engaged in the learning process, encouraged to reflect on their understanding, and empowered to take ownership of their learning journey (Wisniewski, Zierer, & Hattie, 2020). By promoting a culture of formative assessment, AI technologies enhance metacognitive skills, self-regulation, and lifelong learning habits among students (Shin, et al., 2021).

Data-Driven Decision-Making and Educational Insights

Additionally, AI-driven assessment in mathematics education presents opportunities for data-driven decision-making and educational insights (Deo et al., 2020). By leveraging big data analytics and predictive modeling techniques, educators can gain deeper insights into students' learning behaviors, performance trends, and instructional effectiveness (Cantabella et al., 2019). AI algorithms can identify patterns, correlations, and trends in student data that may not be apparent through traditional assessment methods alone (Blikstein et al., 2014). This data-driven approach enables educators to make informed decisions about curriculum design, instructional strategies, and resource allocation, optimizing learning experiences for all students (Zeide, 2017). Moreover, AI technologies facilitate the generation of actionable insights that support evidence-based practices, foster collaboration among educators, and drive continuous improvement in mathematics education at both the individual and systemic levels.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The assessment of mathematics education within the sphere of Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents both significant threats and promising opportunities. Algorithmic biases and fairness issues pose a primary threat, potentially exacerbating disparities in educational outcomes by unfairly evaluating certain student demographics. Moreover, the overemphasis on procedural knowledge in AI-driven assessments neglects critical aspects of conceptual understanding and problem-solving abilities, hindering the development of comprehensive mathematical proficiency. Additionally, concerns regarding data security and privacy underscore the need for robust safeguards and regulations to protect sensitive student information and uphold ethical standards in AI-driven assessment practices.

On the other hand, the integration of AI technologies offers compelling opportunities to revolutionize mathematics

education assessment. Customized learning and flexible assessments enable personalized feedback and adaptive interventions tailored to individual student needs, fostering deeper conceptual understanding and mastery of mathematical concepts. Real-time feedback mechanisms and formative assessment practices empower students to actively engage in their learning journey, promoting metacognitive skills and self-regulation. Furthermore, data-driven decision-making facilitated by AI analytics provides educators with valuable insights into student learning behaviors and instructional effectiveness, driving continuous improvement and innovation in mathematics education. Embracing these opportunities while addressing the associated challenges is essential for harnessing the full potential of AI in advancing mathematics education and promoting equitable learning outcomes for all students.

Given the dual nature of threats and opportunities in AI-driven assessment in mathematics education, stakeholders must prioritize efforts to mitigate algorithmic biases, promote fairness and equity, and safeguard student privacy and data security. Educators and policymakers should collaborate to develop comprehensive guidelines and ethical frameworks to guide the responsible use of AI technologies in assessment practices. Furthermore, investment in professional development programs and training initiatives is essential to equip educators with the knowledge and skills needed to leverage AI tools effectively and ethically in mathematics education. By fostering a culture of collaboration, innovation, and ethical stewardship, stakeholders can harness the transformative potential of AI to enhance assessment practices and promote equitable access to high-quality mathematics education for all students.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Aranzo, R., Damicog, M., Macahito, C., Reyes, A. Tancio, K., & Luzano, J. (2023). A Case Analysis of the Strategies of Students in Learning Mathematics amidst Academic Disruption. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach and Studies*, 10(2), 1-15.
- [2] Baker, R., & Hawn, A. (2021). Algorithmic Bias in Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32, 1052 - 1092. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00285-9>.
- [3] Bellamy, R., Mojsilovic, A., Nagar, S., Ramamurthy, K., Richards, J., Saha, D., Sattigeri, P., Singh, M., Varshney, K., Zhang, Y., Dey, K., Hind, M., Hoffman, S., Houde, S., Kannan, K., Lohia, P., Martino, J., & Mehta, S. (2019). AI Fairness 360: An extensible toolkit for detecting and mitigating algorithmic bias. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1147/jrd.2019.2942287>.
- [4] Benedek, M., Nordtvedt, N., Jauk, E., Koschmieder, C., Pretsch, J., Krammer, G., & Neubauer, A. (2016). Assessment of creativity evaluation skills: A psychometric investigation in prospective teachers.

- Thinking Skills and Creativity, 21, 75-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TSC.2016.05.007>.
- [5] Blikstein, P., Worsley, M., Piech, C., Sahami, M., Cooper, S., & Koller, D. (2014). Programming Pluralism: Using Learning Analytics to Detect Patterns in the Learning of Computer Programming. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 23, 561 - 599. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.2014.954750>.
- [6] Cantabella, M., Martínez-España, R., Ayuso, B., Yáñez, J., & Muñoz, A. (2019). Analysis of student behavior in learning management systems through a Big Data framework. *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, 90, 262-272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.08.003>.
- [7] Casanova, E., Octaviano, D., Okit, R., Tactacon, J., Arcaya, J. & Luzano, J. (2023). Enhancing Academic Performance via Web-Based Learning Material Development in Mathematics. *International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Management Studies*, 9 (5), 1-11.
- [8] Deo, R., Yaseen, Z., Al-Ansari, N., Nguyen-Huy, T., Langlands, T., & Galligan, L. (2020). Modern Artificial Intelligence Model Development for Undergraduate Student Performance Prediction: An Investigation on Engineering Mathematics Courses. *IEEE Access*, 8, 136697-136724. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3010938>.
- [9] Fang, C., & Tse, A. (2023). Case Study: Postgraduate Students' Class Engagement in Various Online Learning Contexts When Taking Privacy Issues to Incorporate with Artificial Intelligence Applications. *International Journal of Learning and Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijlt.9.2.90-95>.
- [10] González-Calatayud, V., Prendes-Espinosa, P., & Roig-Vila, R. (2021). Artificial Intelligence for Student Assessment: A Systematic Review. *Applied Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP11125467>.
- [11] Goos, M., & Salomons, A. (2017). Measuring teaching quality in higher education: assessing selection bias in course evaluations. *Research in Higher Education*, 58, 341-364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11162-016-9429-8>.
- [12] Grivokostopoulou, F., Perikos, I., & Hatzilygeroudis, I. (2017). An Educational System for Learning Search Algorithms and Automatically Assessing Student Performance. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 27, 207-240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-016-0116-x>.
- [13] Guan, H., Dong, L., & Zhao, A. (2022). Ethical Risk Factors and Mechanisms in Artificial Intelligence Decision Making. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12090343>.
- [14] Hwang, S. (2022). Examining the Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Elementary Students' Mathematics Achievement: A Meta-Analysis. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013185>.
- [15] Jacobson, E., Francis, D., Willey, C., & Wilkins-Yel, K. (2022). Race, Gender, and Teacher Equity Beliefs: Construct Validation of the Attributions of Mathematical Excellence Scale. *AERA Open*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23328584221130999>.
- [16] Kleij, F., Feskens, R., & Eggen, T. (2013). Effects of Feedback in a Computer-Based Learning Environment on Students' Learning Outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 85, 475 - 511. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654314564881>.
- [17] Klimova, B., Pikhart, M., & Kacatl, J. (2023). Ethical issues of the use of AI-driven mobile apps for education. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1118116>.
- [18] Libertus, M., Feigenson, L., & Halberda, J. (2011). Preschool acuity of the approximate number system correlates with school math ability. *Developmental science*, 14 6, 1292-300 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01080.x>.
- [19] Luzano, J. F. (2020). Development and Validation of Strategic Intervention Materials (SIMs) of the Selected Topics in Trigonometry of Precalculus Discipline in Senior High School. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies*, 1(2), 26-37.
- [20] Luzano, J. & Ubalde, M. (2023). Notable Accounts of the Professional Practice of Tertiary Mathematics Teachers in the Philippines. *Science International (Lahore)*, 35(2), 129-133.
- [21] Luzano, J. (2023). The Interplay of Conceptual Understanding and Problem-Solving Competence in Mathematics. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach and Studies*, 10(2), 89-97.
- [22] Luzano, J. (2023). An ADDIE Model Analysis on the Engineering of Contextualized Intervention Materials (CIMs) and Students' Achievement in Mathematics. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach and Studies*, 10 (6), 25-47.
- [23] Luzano, J. (2023). Revolutionizing Calculus Education on Flexible Learning: A Tale of Students in a State University. *International Journal of Arts, Humanities and Management Studies*, 9(11), 30-37.
- [24] Ma, J., Schneider, L., Lapuschkin, S., Achibat, R., Duchrau, M., Krois, J., Schwendicke, F., & Samek, W. (2022). Towards Trustworthy AI in Dentistry. *Journal of Dental Research*, 101, 1263 - 1268. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345221106086>.
- [25] Musso, M., Hernández, C., & Cascallar, E. (2020). Predicting key educational outcomes in academic trajectories: a machine-learning approach. *Higher Education*, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00520-7>.
- [26] Pang-an, A., Arceno, J., Tantog, A. Alayon, M., & Luzano, J. (2022). Learning Experiences of College Students in Mathematics in the Modern World during

- Synchronous Classes. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(10), 89-97.
- [27] Porter, B., & Grippa, F. (2020). A Platform for AI-Enabled Real-Time Feedback to Promote Digital Collaboration. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410243>.
- [28] Qiu, Y., Pan, J., & Ishak, N. (2022). Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Improving Pupils' Deep Learning in Primary School Mathematics Teaching in Fujian Province. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1362996>.
- [29] Rasila, A., Malinen, J., & Tiitu, H. (2015). On automatic assessment and conceptual understanding. *Teaching Mathematics and Its Applications*, 34, 149-159. <https://doi.org/10.1093/TEAMAT/HRV013>.
- [30] Romorosa, Q., Dahe, K., Colanggo, M., Resabal, D., Anlicao, R., Boquia, R., Acot, C., Luzano, J. (2023). Improving Students' Achievement of Learning Competencies in Mathematics through Micro-Lecture via ED Puzzle. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach and Studies*, 10(4), 120-133.
- [31] Saltz, J., Skirpan, M., Fiesler, C., Gorelick, M., Yeh, T., Heckman, R., Dewar, N., & Beard, N. (2019). Integrating Ethics within Machine Learning Courses. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE)*, 19, 1 - 26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3341164>.
- [32] Shin, J., Chen, F., Lu, C., & Bulut, O. (2021). Analyzing students' performance in computerized formative assessments to optimize teachers' test administration decisions using deep learning frameworks. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 9, 71-91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-021-00196-7>.
- [33] Szabo, Z., Körtesi, P., Guncaga, J., Szabo, D., & Neag, R. (2020). Examples of Problem-Solving Strategies in Mathematics Education Supporting the Sustainability of 21st-Century Skills. *Sustainability*, 12, 10113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310113>.
- [34] Tapalova, O., Zhiyenbayeva, N., & Gura, D. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Education: AIED for Personalised Learning Pathways. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.20.5.2597>.
- [35] Vaidya, J., Zhu, Y., & Clifton, C. (2016). Privacy Preserving Data Mining. , 19, 1-121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-29489-6>.
- [36] Wang, Y. (2020). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100872>.
- [37] Watt, H., Carmichael, C., & Callingham, R. (2017). Students' engagement profiles in mathematics according to learning environment dimensions: Developing an evidence base for best practice in mathematics education. *School Psychology International*, 38, 166 - 183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034316688373>.
- [38] Wisniewski, B., Zierer, K., & Hattie, J. (2020). The Power of Feedback Revisited: A Meta-Analysis of Educational Feedback Research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03087>.
- [39] Ysseldyke, J., & Bolt, D. (2007). Effect of Technology-Enhanced Continuous Progress Monitoring on Math Achievement. *School Psychology Review*, 36, 453 - 467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02796015.2007.12087933>.
- [40] Zeide, E. (2017). The Structural Consequences of Big Data-Driven Education. *IRPN: Innovation & Cyberlaw & Policy (Topic)*. <https://doi.org/10.1089/big.2016.0061>.
- [41] Zhou, N., Zhang, Z., Nair, V., Singhal, H., & Chen, J. (2022). Bias, Fairness and Accountability with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Algorithms. *International Statistical Review*, 90, 468 - 480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/insr.12492>.
-