

On Some Properties of A BCC-algebra

Mohsin Shalan Abdulhussein

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

mohsins.alakayshee@uokufa.edu.iq

ABSTRACT— In this paper, we are studying the properties of the BCC-algebra , closed ideal and completely closed ideal.

KEYWORDS— Bcc-Algebra, completely closed ideal.

INTRODUCTION :

In 1966, Imai and Iski [8, 9] dened two classes of algebras are called BCK-algebras and BCIalgebras as algebras connected with some logics. In 1984, Komori [9] and [7] introduced so-called the BCC-algebras, to solve some problems on BCK-algebras. In [2] redened the notion of BCCalgebras by using a dual form of the ordinary algebra. Further study of BCC-algebras was continued in [1, 3, 5, 6, 7]. In this paper, we are studying the properties of the BCC-algebra , closed ideal and completely closed ideal..

1.PRELIMINARIES:

Definition (1.1)[12]:

A BCC-algebra X is an abstract algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2; 0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) = 0$,
- (ii) $x * x = 0$,
- (iii) $x * 0 = x$,
- (iv) $x * y = y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$.
- (v) $0 * x = 0$

is called a BCC-algebra. A BCC-algebra with the condition
 is called a BCK-algebra.

Definition(1.2)[12]:

A non-empty subset A of a BCC-algebra X is called a BCK-ideal if

- (vii) $0 \in A$,
- (viii) $x * y \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x \in A$,
- and a BCC-ideal if it satisfies (vii) and
- (ix) $(x * y) * z \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply $x * z \in A$.

Putting $z = 0$, we can see that a BCC-ideal is a BCK-ideal. The converse is not true (cf. [6]). This means that a BCC-ideal is a BCK-ideal with some additional property.

Example(1.3):

Consider the set $G = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ with the operation $*$ defined by the following table:

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0	0
b	b	b	0	0	0
c	c	c	a	0	0
d	d	c	d	c	0

Then $(G, *, 0)$ is a BCC-algebra, The subset $A = \{0, a\}$ is a BCK-ideal of this BCC-ideal since $(d * a) * c \in A$ and $d * c \notin A$.

Definition (1.4)[6]:

A nonempty subset S of a BCC-algebra X is called a BCC-Subalgebra or Subalgebra of X if $x*y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Example(1.5):

Let X be the BCC-algebra **Example(1.3)** Then the set $S = \{0, a\}$ is a Subalgebra of a BCC-algebra X . Since $0*0 = 0 \in S$, $0*a = 0 \in S$, $a*0 = a \in S$ and $a*a = 0 \in S$.

Definition (1.6)[6]:

Let X be a BCC-algebra and I be a subset of X . Then I is called a BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies following conditions:

- 1) $0 \in I$,
- 2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$,
- 3) $x \in I$ and $y \in X \Rightarrow x * y \in I, I * X \subseteq I$.

2. Main results

In this section, we review some a new definitions and Proposition of Bcc-algebras ,that we results in our work.

Definition (2.1):

A BCC-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be positive implicative if it satisfies for all x, y and $z \in X$, $(x * z) * (y * z) = (x * y) * z$.

Example(2.2):

Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	a	0	0
b	b	b	0	0	0
c	c	c	a	0	0
d	d	c	d	c	0

Then $(X, *, 0)$ is a positive implicative BCC-algebra.

Definition (2.3):

1. A BCC-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be 0-commutative if:
 $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.
2. A non-empty subset N of Bcc-algebra X is said to be normal of X if :

$$(x * a) * (y * b) \in N \text{ for any } x * y, a * b \in N, \forall x, y, a, b \in X.$$

Theorem (2.4):

Every normal subset N of a BCC-algebra X is a subalgebra of X .

Proof:

If $x, y \in N$, then $x * 0, y * 0 \in N$. Since N is normal,
 $x * y = (x * y) * (0 * 0) \in N$. Thus N is a subalgebra of X .

Remark: The converse of above theorem does not hold. Indeed, $N = \{0, c\}$ is a subalgebra of X , but it is not normal, since $c * 0, b * b \in N$, but $(c * b) * (0 * b) = a \notin N$.

Definition (2.5):

A BCC-algebra X satisfying in condition $0 * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ is called a

P-semisimple BCC-algebra.

Example(2.6):

Consider the BCC-algebra **Example(1.5)** X is a **p-semisimple** BCC-algebra since $0 * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$.

Definition (2.7):

1. Let X be a BCC-algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{x \in X : 0 * x = 0\}$ is called the **BCA-part of X** .
2. Let X be a BCC-algebra. Then the set $\text{med}(X) = \{x \in X : 0 * (0 * x) = x\}$ is called the **medial part of X**.

Example(2.8):

Consider the BCC-algebra **Example(1.5)** The set $\text{med}(X) = \{0\}$ is a medial part of a BCC-algebra X , since $0 * (0 * 0) = 0 * 0 = 0$

Remark:

Let X and Y be Bcc-algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called

a homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

a homomorphism f is called a monomorphism (resp., epimorphism) if it

is injective (resp., surjective). A bijective homomorphism is called an

isomorphism. Two BH-algebras X and Y are said to be isomorphic,

written $X \cong Y$, if there exists an isomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. For any homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, the set $\{x \in X: f(x)=0\}$ is called the kernel of f , denoted by $\text{Ker}(f)$, and the set $\{f(x): x \in X\}$ is called the image of f , denoted by $\text{Im}(f)$. Notice that $f(0)=0$ for any homomorphism f .

Definition (2.9):

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ on a Bcc-algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is called a Bcc-**endomorphism** if it is a homomorphism.

Definition (2.10):

Let X be a BCC-algebra. For a fixed $a \in X$, we define a map $R_a: X \rightarrow X$ such that $R_a(x) = x * a$ for all $x \in X$, and call R_a a right map on X . The set of all right maps on X is denoted by $R(X)$. A left map L_a is defined by a similar way, and the set of all left maps on X is denoted by $L(X)$.

Definition (2.11):

Let I be a nonempty subset of a Bcc-algebra X . Then I is called an ideal of X if it satisfies:

- 1) $0 \in I$.
- 2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

Definition (2.12):

Let X be a BCC-algebra and I be a subset of X . Then I is called a BCC-ideal of X if it satisfies following conditions:

- 1) $0 \in I$,
- 2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$,
- 3) $x \in I$ and $y \in X \Rightarrow x * y \in I, I * X \subseteq I$.

Definition (2.13):

An Bcc-ideal I in Bcc-algebra X is said to be closed Bcc-ideal if it also is sub-algebra.

Proposition (2.14):

Let f be isomorphism from a Bcc-algebra X into a Bcc-algebra Y . If I is closed Bcc-ideal in X , then $f(I)$ is closed Bcc-ideal in

Proof :

Let $a, b \in f(I)$ such that $a = f(x), b = f(y)$, when $x, y \in I$

Since $a * b = f(x) * f(y) = f(x * y)$

Since I is closed Bcc-ideal, then $x * y \in I$, thus $f(x * y) \in f(I)$

Then $f(I)$ is closed Bcc-ideal.

Proposition (2.15):

Let f be epimorphism from a Bcc-algebra X into a Bcc-algebra Y . If J is closed Bcc-ideal in Y , then $f^{-1}(J)$ is closed Bcc-ideal in.

Proof :

Let $x, y \in f^{-1}(J)$, since $f(x), f(y) \in J$ & J is closed Bcc-ideal Then

$f(x) * f(y) \in J$, thus $f(x * y) \in J$

Then $x * y \in f^{-1}(J)$, thus $f^{-1}(J)$ is closed Bcc-ideal .

Definition (2.16):

Let I and J be two subset of X such that $I \subseteq J$. Then I is said to be closed with respect to J if $x * y \in J, \forall y \in I, y \neq 0$, then $x * y \in I$.

Proposition (2.17):

The union of family of closed with respect to J is closed with respect to J .

Proof :

Let $\{ I_i : i \in \Delta \}$ be a family of closed with respect to J and

Let $x * y \in J, \forall y \in \cup_{i \in \Delta} I_i, y \neq 0$, since $\forall i \in \Delta, I_i$ is closed with respect to J then $\exists j \in \Delta$ such that $x * y \in J, \forall y \in I_j, y \neq 0$, then $x * y \in I_j$

Thus $x * y \in \cup_{i \in \Delta} I_i$, then $\cup_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ is closed with respect to.

Proposition (2.18):

Let I be Bcc-ideal and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq J$, if I is closed with respect to J , then J is Bcc-ideal .

Proof:

Let $x * y \in J, \forall y \in J, y \neq 0$

Since $I \subseteq J$, then $x * y \in J, \forall y \in I, y \neq 0$.

Since I is closed with respect to J, then $x * y \in I, \forall y \in I, y \neq 0$, since I is Bcc-ideal, thus $x \in I$, consequently $x \in J$, then J is Bcc-ideal .

Proposition (2.19):

Let X be a Bcc-algebra and I is Bcc-ideal if X is implicative with respect to I, then I is ideal .

Proof:

Let $x * y \in I, y \in I$ such that $y \neq 0$

Since $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0 \in I, \forall y \in I, y \neq 0$ Since I is Bcc-ideal, then $x * (x * y) \in I$ Since $x * (x * y) = x$, thus $x \in I$, then I is ideal .

Proposition (2.20):

Let X be a commutative BCK-algebra has at lest two element. If X is implicative with respect to I .Then $X = I$ has only two elements .

Proof:

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$, since X is implicative with respect to I , then $x * (x * y) = x$ & $y * (y * x) = y$ But X is commutative, then $x = y$.

Definition (2.21):

An ideal I of a BCC-algebra X is called a **normal ideal** if $x*(x*y) \in I$ implies $y*(y*x) \in I$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Example(2.22):

Let $X=\{0,a,b,c\}$. The following table shows the BCC-algebra structure on X.

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	c	b	0

The set $I=\{0,a\}$ is a normal ideal.

References

[1] I.M. Dudek, W.A. Dudek, Remarks on BCI-algebras, *Prace Naukowe WSP w Czestochowie* (Ser. Matematyka) 2 (1996) 63-70.
 [2] W.A. Dudek, On proper BCC-algebras, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 20 (1992) 137-150.
 [3] W.A. Dudek, Remarks on the axioms system for BCI-algebras, *Prace Naukowe WSP w Czestochowie* (Ser. Matematyka) 2 (1996) 46-61.
 [4] W.A. Dudek, Unsolved problems in BCK-algebras, *East Asian Math. J.* 17 (2001) 115-128.
 [5] W.A. Dudek, X.H. Zhang, On atoms in BCC-algebras, *Discussiones Math.* 15 (1995) 81-85.
 [6] W.A. Dudek, X.H. Zhang, On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras, *CzechoslovakMath. J.* 48 (123) (1998) 21-29.
 [7] W.A. Dudek, X.H. Zhang, Initial segments in BCC-algebras, *Math. Moravica* 4 (2000) 27-34.
 [8] Y. Imai, K. Iséki , On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 42 (1966) 19-22.
 [9] K. Iséki , An algebra related with a propositional calcuus, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 42 (1966) 26-29.
 [10] Y. Komori, The variety generated by BCC-algebras is finitely based, *Reports Fac. Sci. Shizuoka Univ.* 17 (1983) 13-16.
 [11] Y. Komori, The class of BCC-algebras is not a variety, *Math. Japonica* 29 (1984) 391-394.
 [12] W. A. Dudek, B. Karamdin, Sh. A. Bhatti, *Algebra Colloquium* 18 (Spec 1) (2011) 899-914