

On The Interval-valued Bifuzzy ψ -ideals of ψ -algebra

Nabaa Hasoon Jabir

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, University of Kufa,
Iraq
nabaah.al-saedi@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract: The concept tripolar fuzzy subset is a generalization of fuzzy subset. In this paper, the concept bifuzzy ψ -ideals, bifuzzy sub-commutative bifuzzy ψ -ideals and sub-implicative fuzzy ψ -ideals of ψ -algebras are introduced and several properties are investigated. Also, the relations between fuzzy bifuzzy ψ -ideals, sub-commutative bifuzzy ψ -ideals and sub-implicative bifuzzy ideals are given. The image and the preimage of fuzzy ψ -algebras, bifuzzy ψ -ideals sub-commutative bifuzzy ψ -ideals and sub-implicative bifuzzy ψ -ideals under homomorphism of ψ -algebras are defined and how the image and the preimage of them are studied.

Keywords: ψ -algebra, bifuzzy ψ -ideals, ψ -subalgebra, fuzzy ψ -subalgebra, interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, L.A. Zadeh introduced the notion of fuzzy subset, [1]. In 1976, K. Is'eki and S. Tanaka studied the notion of BCK-algebra, [2]. In 1991, O.G. Xi studied the notion of fuzzy BCK-algebra, [3]. In 2006, A.B. Saoid introduced fuzzy QS-algebra with interval-valued membership function, [4]. Also, T. Priya and T. Ramachandran introduced anti-fuzzy ideals of CI-algebra and its lower level cuts, [5]. Jun[6,7] studied the notion of cubic set as generalization of fuzzy set and interval-valued fuzzy set. In 2015, A.T. Hameed introduced the idea of SA-algebras. She stated some concepts related to it such as SA-subalgebra, SA-ideal, fuzzy SA-subalgebra and fuzzy SA-ideal of SA-algebra. She introduced the concept of homomorphisms on SA-algebra and fuzzy homomorphisms on SA-algebra, [9]. In 2023, A.T. Hameed and N.H. Jaber introduced the notion of ψ -subalgebra, ψ -ideal, bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra, bifuzzy ψ -ideal and they introduced the concept of homomorphisms on ψ -algebra and fuzzy homomorphisms on ψ -algebra. In this paper, the concepts of interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal, interval-valued sub-implicative bifuzzy ψ -ideals under homomorphism of ψ -algebras are defined and how the image and the preimage of them under homomorphism of ψ -algebras are studied.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some basic definitions and preliminaries proprieties of ψ -subalgebras and fuzzy ψ -ideals in ψ -algebra such that we include some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper.

Definition 2.1.([14]. Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an algebra with two operations $(+)$ and $(-)$ and constant (0) . X is called an **ψ -algebra** if it satisfies the following properties: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(\psi_1) \quad x - x = 0,$$

$$(\psi_2) \quad (0 - x) + x = 0,$$

$$(\psi_3) \quad (x - y) - z = x - (z + y),$$

$$(\psi_4) \quad (y + x) - (x - z) = y + z.$$

In , we can define a binary relation (\leq) by : $x \leq y$ if and only if $x + y = 0$ and $x - y = 0$, $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.2. [13].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be a ψ -algebra and let S be a nonempty set of X . S is called a **ψ -subalgebra of X** if $x + y \in S$ and $x - y \in S$, whenever $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.3. [15].

A nonempty subset I of a ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$ is called a **ψ -ideal of X** if it satisfies: for $x, y, z \in X$,

(1) $0 \in I$,

(2) $(y + z) \in I$ and $(x - z) \in I$ imply $(y + x) \in I$.

Proposition 2.4.[2].

Every ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra is a ψ -subalgebra of X and the converse is not true.

Definition 2.5.[4].

Let X be a nonempty set, a fuzzy subset μ of X is a mapping $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$.

Definition 2.6.[14].

For any $t \in [0,1]$ and a fuzzy subset μ in a nonempty set X , the set

$U(\mu, t) = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called **an upper t-level cut of μ** , and the set $L(\mu, t) = \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \leq t\}$ is called **a lower t-level cut of μ** .

Definition 2.7.[13].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be a ψ -algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is called **a fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X** if for all $x, y \in X$,

- 1- $\mu(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ and
- 2- $\mu(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$.

Definition 2.8.[15].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be a ψ -algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is called **a fuzzy ψ -ideal of X** if it satisfies: for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (FI₁) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$,
- (FI₂) $\mu(y + x) \geq \min\{\mu(y + z), \mu(x - z)\}$.

Proposition 2.9.[15].

Every fuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$ is a fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X .

Proposition 2.10.[15].

- 1- Let μ be a fuzzy subset of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$. If μ is a fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X , for any $t \in [0,1]$, μ_t is a ψ -subalgebra of X .
- 2- Let μ be a fuzzy subset of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$. If for all $t \in [0,1]$, μ_t is a ψ -subalgebra of X , then μ is a fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X .
- 3- Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$. If μ is a fuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then for any $t \in [0,1]$, μ_t is an ψ -ideal of X .
- 4- Let μ be a fuzzy ideal of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$. If for all $t \in [0,1]$, μ_t is an ψ -ideal of X , then μ is a fuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Now, we will recall the concept of anti-fuzzy subsets.

Definition 2.11. [14].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is called **an anti-fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X** if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\text{AF}\psi\text{S}_1) \mu(x + y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\},$$

$$\text{AF}\psi\text{S}_2) \mu(x - y) \leq \max\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}.$$

Proposition 2.12. [4].

Let μ be an anti-fuzzy subset of an ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$.

1- If μ is an anti-fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X , then it satisfies for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $L(\mu, t) \neq \emptyset$ implies $L(\mu, t)$ is a ψ -subalgebra of X .

2- If $L(\mu, t)$ is a ψ -subalgebra of X , for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $L(\mu, t) \neq \emptyset$, then μ is an anti-fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X .

Definition 2.13. [6]:

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra, a fuzzy subset μ of X is called **an anti-fuzzy ψ -ideal of X** if it satisfies the following conditions, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(\text{AF}\psi\text{I}_1) \mu(0) \leq \mu(x),$$

$$(\text{AF}\psi\text{I}_2) \mu(y + x) \leq \max\{\mu(y + z), \mu(x - z)\}.$$

Proposition 2.14. [4].

Let μ be an anti-fuzzy subset of an ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$.

1- If μ is an anti-fuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then it satisfies for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $L(\mu, t) \neq \emptyset$ implies $L(\mu, t)$ is a ψ -ideal of X .

2- If $L(\mu, t)$ is a ψ -ideal of X , for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $L(\mu, t) \neq \emptyset$, then μ is an anti-fuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Definition 2.15. [12].

Let $f: (X; +, -, 0) \rightarrow (Y; +', -', 0')$ be a mapping nonempty ψ -algebras X and Y respectively. If μ is anti-fuzzy subset of X , then the anti-fuzzy subset β of Y defined by:

$$f(\mu)(y) = \begin{cases} \inf\{\mu(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(x) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is said to be the image of μ under f .

Similarly if β is anti-fuzzy subset of Y , then the fuzzy subset $\mu = (\beta \circ f)$ of X (i.e the anti-fuzzy subset defined by $\mu(x) = \beta(f(x))$, for all

$x \in X$) is called the pre-image of β under f .

Now, we will recall the concept of bifuzzy subsets.

Definition 2.16. [6].

Let $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ be a bifuzzy subset of a ψ -algebra X . A is said to be **an bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X** if : for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(\text{IFS}_1) \mu_A(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mu_A(x - y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}.$$

$$(IFS_2) \quad v_A(x + y) \leq \max \{v_A(x), v_A(y)\} \text{ and}$$

$$v_A(x - y) \leq \max \{v_A(x), v_A(y)\}.$$

i.e., μ_A is fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of ψ -algebra and v_A is anti-fuzzy ψ -subalgebra of ψ -algebra.

Definition 2.17. [5].

Let $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), v_A(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ be a bifuzzy subset of a ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$. A is said to be a **bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X** if for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(IF\psi_1) \quad \mu_A(0) \geq \mu_A(x) \text{ and } v_A(0) \leq v_A(x),$$

$$(IF\psi_2) \quad \mu_A(y + x) \geq \min\{\mu_A(y + z), \mu_A(x - z)\} \text{ and}$$

$$(IF\psi_3) \quad v_A(y + x) \leq \max\{v_A(y + z), v_A(x - z)\}.$$

i.e., μ_A is fuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra and v_A is anti-fuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra.

Now, we will recall the concept of interval-valued fuzzy subsets.

Remark 2.18. [7].

An interval number is $\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+]$, where $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$. Let I be a closed unit interval, (i.e., $I = [0, 1]$).

Let $D[0, 1]$ denote the family of all closed subintervals of $I = [0, 1]$, that is, $D[0, 1] = \{\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+] \mid a^- \leq a^+, \text{ for } a^-, a^+ \in I\}$.

Now, we define what is known as refined minimum (briefly, $rmin$) of two element in $D[0,1]$.

Definition 2.19. [3].

We also define the symbols (\succcurlyeq) , (\preccurlyeq) , $(=)$, " $rmin$ " and " $rmax$ " in case of two elements in $D[0, 1]$. Consider two interval numbers (elements numbers)

$$\tilde{a} = [a^-, a^+], \tilde{b} = [b^-, b^+] \text{ in } D[0, 1] : \text{ Then}$$

$$(1) \tilde{a} \succcurlyeq \tilde{b} \text{ if and only if, } a^- \geq b^- \text{ and } a^+ \geq b^+,$$

$$(2) \tilde{a} \preccurlyeq \tilde{b} \text{ if and only if, } a^- \leq b^- \text{ and } a^+ \leq b^+,$$

$$(3) \tilde{a} = \tilde{b} \text{ if and only if, } a^- = b^- \text{ and } a^+ = b^+,$$

$$(4) rmin \{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\min \{a^-, b^-\}, \min \{a^+, b^+\}],$$

$$(5) rmax \{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\} = [\max \{a^-, b^-\}, \max \{a^+, b^+\}],$$

Remark 2.20. [11].

Let $\tilde{0} = [0, 0]$ as its least element and $\tilde{1} = [1, 1]$ as its greatest element. Let $\tilde{a}_i \in D[0, 1]$ where $i \in \Lambda$. We define $r \inf_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a} = [r \inf_{i \in \Lambda} a^-, r \inf_{i \in \Lambda} a^+]$, $r \sup_{i \in \Lambda} \tilde{a} = [r \sup_{i \in \Lambda} a^-, r \sup_{i \in \Lambda} a^+]$.

Definition 2.21. [10].

An **interval-valued fuzzy subset** $\tilde{\mu}_A$ on subset X is defined as $\tilde{\mu}_A = \{ \langle x, [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] \rangle \mid x \in X \}$. Where $\mu_A^-(x) \leq \mu_A^+(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then the ordinary fuzzy subsets $\mu_A^-: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\mu_A^+: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are called a **lower fuzzy subset and an upper fuzzy subset** of $\tilde{\mu}_A$ respectively.

Let $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)]$, $\tilde{\mu}_A: X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$, then $A = \{ \langle x, \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \rangle \mid x \in X \}$.

Definition 2.22.[7].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be a nonempty set. A interval-valued bifuzzy set Ω in a structure $\Omega = \{ \langle x, [\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x)] \rangle \mid x \in X \}$, which is briefly denoted by $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$, where $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega: X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega$ is an interval-valued fuzzy subset of X and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega: X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$, $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega$ is an interval-valued fuzzy subset of X .

Proposition 2.23.[5].

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra. An interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X . If for all $\tilde{t} \in D[0, 1]$ and $\tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, the set $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is an ψ -subalgebra of X , then Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X .

3. Interval-valued Bifuzzy ψ -ideals of ψ -algebra

In this section, we will introduce a new notion called interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideals of ψ -algebra and study several properties of it.

Definition 3.1.

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra. An interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X is called **interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X** if, for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (IV ψ I₁) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(0) \supseteq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x)$, and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(0) \subseteq \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x)$,
- (IV ψ I₂) $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) \supseteq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$, and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + x) \subseteq \max\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$.

Example 3.2.

Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a set with the following table:

+	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	d	0
b	b	c	d	0	a
c	c	d	0	a	b
d	d	0	a	b	c

-	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0	a
c	c	b	d	0	a
d	d	d	d	d	0

Then $(X; +, -, 0)$ is an ψ -algebra. It is easy to show that $I = \{0, c\}$ and $J = \{0, d\}$ are ψ -ideals of X .

We defined two cubic set $\Omega_1 = \{(x, \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_1}(x), \tilde{\nu}_{\Omega_1}(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{(x, \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_2}(x), \tilde{\nu}_{\Omega_2}(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ of X by :-

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_1}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.5, 0.8] & , \text{ if } x \in \{0, c\}, \\ [0.4, 0.7] & , \text{ if } x \in \{a, b\}, \\ [0.3, 0.8] & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\nu}_{\Omega_1}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.5, 0.8] & , \text{ if } x \in \{0, c\}, \\ [0.4, 0.7] & , \text{ if } x \in \{a, b\}, \\ [0.3, 0.8] & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega_2}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.4, 0.9] & , \text{ if } x \in \{0, d\}, \\ [0.3, 0.5] & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nu}_{\Omega_2}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.4, 0.9] & , \text{ if } x \in \{0, d\}, \\ [0.3, 0.7] & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Ω_1 and Ω_2 are interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Proposition 3.3.

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra. An interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X . If Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then for all $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, the set $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is an ψ -ideal of X .

Proof.

Assume that $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X and let $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, be such that $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}) \neq \emptyset$,

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \tilde{t} \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \leq \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) \leq \tilde{s}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Let $x, y, z \in X$ such that $+z, x-z \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z) \geq \tilde{t}$, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+z) \leq \tilde{s}$, $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x-z) \leq \tilde{s}$. Since Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , we get

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \geq \tilde{t} \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \leq \tilde{s}. \text{ Thus } +x \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}).$$

Hence the set $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is an ψ -ideal of X . \triangle

Proposition 3.4.

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra. An interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X . If for all $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, the set $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is an ψ -ideal of X , then Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Proof.

Suppose that $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is an ψ -ideal of X and let

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \tilde{t} \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \leq \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) \leq \tilde{s}, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

$x, y, z \in X$ be such that $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\}$, and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+x) > \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x-z)\}$.

Consider $\tilde{\beta} = 1/2 \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) + \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \}$ and

$$\tilde{\gamma} = 1/2 \{ \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+x) + \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \}.$$

We have $\tilde{\beta} \in D[0, 1]$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in D[0, 1]$, and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) < \tilde{\beta} < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\}$, and

$$\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+x) > \tilde{\gamma} > \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x-z)\}.$$

It follows that $y+z, x-z \in \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$, and $(y+x) \notin \tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$. This is a contradiction.

Hence $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x-z)\} \leq \tilde{s}$.

Therefore $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{v}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X . \square

Theorem 3.5.

Interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{v}_\Omega \rangle$ is a interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra X if and only if, μ^-_Ω , and μ^+_Ω are fuzzy ψ -ideals of X and v^-_Ω , and v^+_Ω are anti-fuzzy ψ -ideals of X .

Proof.

Assume that Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , for any $x, y, z \in X$,

$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \leq \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) \leq \tilde{s}$, for all $x \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu^-_\Omega(y+x), \mu^+_\Omega(y+x)] &= \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{[\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(y+z)], [\mu^-_\Omega(x-z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)]\} \\ &= [\min\{\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^-_\Omega(x-z)\}, \min\{\mu^+_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu^-_\Omega(y+x) \geq \min\{\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^-_\Omega(x-z)\}$, $\mu^+_\Omega(y+x) \geq \min\{\mu^+_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [v^-_\Omega(y+x), v^+_\Omega(y+x)] &= \tilde{v}_\Omega(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x-z)\} \\ &= \text{rmax}\{[v^-_\Omega(y+z), v^+_\Omega(y+z)], [v^-_\Omega(x-z), v^+_\Omega(x-z)]\} \\ &= [\max\{v^-_\Omega(y+z), v^-_\Omega(x-z)\}, \max\{v^+_\Omega(y+z), v^+_\Omega(x-z)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $v^-_\Omega(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{v^-_\Omega(y+z), v^-_\Omega(x-z)\}$, $v^+_\Omega(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{v^+_\Omega(y+z), v^+_\Omega(x-z)\}$.

Therefore μ^-_Ω , and μ^+_Ω are fuzzy ψ -ideals of X and v^-_Ω and v^+_Ω are anti-fuzzy ψ -ideals of X .

Conversely, let μ^-_Ω , and μ^+_Ω are fuzzy ψ -ideals of X and v^-_Ω and v^+_Ω are anti-fuzzy ψ -ideals of X and $x, y, z \in X$, then

$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(\tilde{0}) \leq \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) \leq \tilde{s}$, for all $x \in X$.

$\mu^-_\Omega(y+x) \geq \min\{\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^-_\Omega(x-z)\}$, $\mu^+_\Omega(y+x) \geq \min\{\mu^+_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)\}$ and

$v^-_\Omega(y+x) \leq \max\{v^-_\Omega(y+z), v^-_\Omega(x-z)\}$, $v^+_\Omega(y+x) \leq \max\{v^+_\Omega(y+z), v^+_\Omega(x-z)\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) &= [\mu^-_\Omega(y+x), \mu^+_\Omega(y+x)] \\ &\geq [\min\{\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^-_\Omega(x-z)\}, \min\{\mu^+_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)\}] \\ &= \text{rmin}\{[\mu^-_\Omega(y+z), \mu^+_\Omega(y+z)], [\mu^-_\Omega(x-z), \mu^+_\Omega(x-z)]\} \\ &= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\}, \text{ therefore} \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x-z)\} \geq \tilde{t}$ and

$\tilde{v}_\Omega(y+x) = [v^-_\Omega(y+x), v^+_\Omega(y+x)]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq [\min\{v^-_{\Omega}(y+z), v^-_{\Omega}(x-z)\}, \min\{v^+_{\Omega}(y+z), v^+_{\Omega}(x-z)\}] \\ &= \text{rmax}\{[v^-_{\Omega}(y+z), v^+_{\Omega}(y+z)], [v^-_{\Omega}(x-z), v^+_{\Omega}(x-z)]\} \\ &= \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x-z)\}, \text{ therefore} \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x-z)\} \leq \tilde{s}.$$

Hence Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X . \square

Theorem 3.6.

If a interval-valued bifuzzy set $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}, \tilde{v}_{\Omega} \rangle$ is a interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then the upper $[t_1, t_2]$ -Level and Lower $[s_1, s_2]$ -Level of Ω are ψ -ideals of X .

Proof.

Let $x, y, z \in U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega} | [t_1, t_2])$, then $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(y+z) \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x-z) \geq [t_1, t_2]$. It follows that $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(y+x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x-z)\} \geq [t_1, t_2]$, so that $y+x \in U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega} | [t_1, t_2])$.

Hence $U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega} | [t_1, t_2])$ is ψ -ideal of X .

Let $x, y, z \in L(\tilde{v}_{\Omega} | [s_1, s_2])$, then $\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+z) \leq [s_1, s_2]$ and $\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x-z) \leq [s_1, s_2]$. It follows that

$$\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x-z)\} \leq \tilde{s}, \text{ so that } y+x \in L(\tilde{v}_{\Omega} | \tilde{s}).$$

Hence $L(\tilde{v}_{\Omega} | \tilde{s})$ is ψ -ideal of X . \square

Corollary 3.7.

Let $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}, \tilde{v}_{\Omega} \rangle$ be an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\tilde{t}, \tilde{s}) &= U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega} | [t_1, t_2]) \cap L(\tilde{v}_{\Omega} | [s_1, s_2]) \\ &= \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x) \geq \tilde{t}, \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x) \leq \tilde{s}\} \text{ is an } \psi\text{-ideal of } X \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.8.

The following example shows that the converse of Corollary (3.7) is not valid

Example 3.9.

Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be ψ -algebra in example (3.2) and cubic set $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x), \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x) \rangle$ of X by

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.6, 0.8], & \text{if } x = 0, \\ [0.5, 0.6], & \text{if } x \in \{a, b, c\}, \\ [0.3, 0.4], & \text{if } x \in \{d\}, \end{cases} \text{ and } \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.4], & \text{if } x = 0, \\ [0.4, 0.41], & \text{if } x \in \{a, b, c\}, \\ [0.5, 0.6], & \text{if } x \in \{d\}, \end{cases}$$

We take $[t_1, t_2] = [0.41, 0.48]$ and $[s_1, s_2] = [0.41, 0.48]$, then

$$\Omega([s_1, s_2]; t) = U(\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega} | [t_1, t_2]) \cap L(\tilde{v}_{\Omega} | [s_1, s_2]) = \{x \in X | \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x) \geq [t_1, t_2], \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x) \leq [s_1, s_2]\}$$

$= \{0, a, b, c\} \cap \{0, a, b, c\} = \{0, a, b, c\}$ is ψ -ideal of X , but $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}, \tilde{v}_{\Omega} \rangle$ is not an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal since $\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(y+x) \not\geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{\mu}_{\Omega}(x-z)\}$ and $\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+x) \not\leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_{\Omega}(y+z), \tilde{v}_{\Omega}(x-z)\}$.

Theorem 3.10.

Let $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ be an interval-valued bifuzzy subset of X such that the sets $U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega | [t_1, t_2])$ and $L(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega | [s_1, s_2])$ are ψ -ideals of X , for every $[t_1, t_2]$ and $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0, 1]$, then $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Proof.

Let $U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega | [t_1, t_2])$ and $L(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega | [s_1, s_2])$ are ideals of X , for every $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$

on the contrary, let $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ be such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) < \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0)\}.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0) = [\theta_1, \theta_2] \text{ and } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0) = [\theta_3, \theta_4] \text{ and } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) = [t_1, t_2].$$

$$\text{Then } [t_1, t_2] < \text{rmin}\{[\theta_1, \theta_2], [\theta_3, \theta_4]\} = [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}].$$

So, $t_1 < \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}$ and $t_2 < \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}$. Let us consider,

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_1, \rho_2] &= \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) + \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0)\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[[t_1, t_2] + [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}]] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(t_1 + \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}), \frac{1}{2}(t_2 + \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\})\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\} > \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + \min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}) > t_1$ and

$$\min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\} > \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2}(t_2 + \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}) > t_2.$$

Hence $[\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\rho_1, \rho_2] > [t_1, t_2]$, so that $(y_0 + x_0) \notin U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega | [t_1, t_2])$ which is a contradiction, since $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0) = [\theta_1, \theta_2] > [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\rho_1, \rho_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0) = [\theta_3, \theta_4] > [\min\{\theta_1, \theta_3\}, \min\{\theta_2, \theta_4\}] > [\rho_1, \rho_2]$ this implies

$$(y_0 + x_0) \in U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega | [t_1, t_2]). \text{ Thus } \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

$$\text{And } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) > \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0)\}.$$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2] \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0) = [\eta_3, \eta_4] \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) = [s_1, s_2].$$

$$\text{Then } [s_1, s_2] > \text{rmax}\{[\eta_1, \eta_2], [\eta_3, \eta_4]\} = [\max\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}, \max\{\eta_3, \eta_4\}].$$

So, $s_1 > \max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}$ and $s_2 > \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}$. Let us consider,

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] &= \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + x_0) + \text{rmax}\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0)\}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[[s_1, s_2] + [\max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}, \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}]] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(s_1 + \max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}), \frac{1}{2}(s_2 + \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\})\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\} < \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + \max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}) < s_1$ and

$$\max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\} < \sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2}(s_2 + \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}) < s_2.$$

Hence $[\max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}, \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}] < [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] < [s_1, s_2]$, so that $(y_0 + x_0) \notin U(\tilde{v}_\Omega | [s_1, s_2])$ which is a contradiction, since

$$\tilde{v}_\Omega(y_0 + z_0) = [\eta_1, \eta_2] < [\max\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}, \max\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}] < [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{v}_\Omega(x_0 - z_0) = [\eta_3, \eta_4] < [\min\{\eta_1, \eta_3\}, \min\{\eta_2, \eta_4\}] < [\sigma_1, \sigma_2] \text{ this implies}$$

$(y_0 + x_0) \in U(\tilde{v}_\Omega | [s_1, s_2])$. Thus $\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Hence, $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{v}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X . \triangle

Theorem 3.11.

Any ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$ can be realized as both the upper $[t_1, t_2]$ -Level and Lower $[s_1, s_2]$ -Level of some interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideals of X .

Proof.

Let P be an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X and Ω be interval-valued bifuzzy subset on X defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], & \text{if } x \in P \\ [0, 0], & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and } \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} [\beta_1, \beta_2], & \text{if } x \in P \\ [1, 1], & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For all $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \in D[0, 1]$ and $[\beta_1, \beta_2] \in D[0, 1]$, we consider the following cases

Case 1) If $y + z, x - z \in P$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z) = [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z) = [\beta_1, \beta_2]$.

Thus, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \text{rmin}\{[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$ and

$$\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) = \text{rmax}\{[\beta_1, \beta_2], [\beta_1, \beta_2]\} = \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}.$$

Case 2) If $y + z \in P$ and $x - z \notin P$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z) = [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) = [0, 0], \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z) = [1, 1]$.

Thus $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) = [0, 0] \geq \text{rmin}\{[\alpha_1, \alpha_2], [0, 0]\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$ and

$$\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) = [1, 1] \leq \text{max}\{[\beta_1, \beta_2], [1, 1]\} = \text{max}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}.$$

Case 3) If $y + z \notin P$ and $x - z \in P$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) = [0, 0], \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z) = [1, 1]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2], \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z) = [\beta_1, \beta_2]$. Thus, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) = [0, 0] \geq \text{rmin}\{[0, 0], [\alpha_1, \alpha_2]\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) = [1, 1] \leq \text{max}\{[1, 1], [\beta_1, \beta_2]\} = \text{max}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}$.

Case 4) If $y + z \notin P, x - z \notin P$ and $y \in P$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) = [0, 0], \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z) = [1, 1]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) = [0, 0], \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z) = [1, 1]$.

Now, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) = [0, 0] = \text{rmin}\{[0, 0], [0, 0]\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) = [1, 1] \leq \text{max}\{[1, 1], [1, 1]\} = \text{max}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}$.

Hence, $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\}$ and $\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) \leq \text{rmax}\{\tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z)\}$.

Therefore, Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X . \triangle

Theorem 3.12.

Every interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra $(X; +, -, 0)$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X .

Proof:

Let $(X; +, -, 0)$ be an ψ -algebra and $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Since Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then by Proposition (3.4), for every $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}) = \{x \in X \mid \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) \geq \tilde{t}, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) \leq \tilde{s}\}$, is ideal of X . By Proposition (2.4), for every $\tilde{t}, \tilde{s} \in D[0, 1]$, $\tilde{U}(\Omega; \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ is ψ -subalgebra of X .

Hence Ω is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -subalgebra of X by Proposition (2.23). \square

Remark 3.13.

The converse of Theorem (3.12) is not true as the following example:

Example 3.14.

Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in which $(+, -)$ be a defined by the following table:

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	2	3	0
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	0	1	2

-	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0
2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Then $(X; +, -, 0)$ is an ψ -algebra. Define an interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X is fuzzy subset $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by:

$$\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.5] & \text{if } x = \{0, 1, 2\} \\ [0.4, 0.6] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nu}_\Omega = \begin{cases} [0.4, 0.8] & \text{if } x = \{0, 1, 2\} \\ [0.2, 0.7] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The set $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) \rangle$ is not an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X .

Note that $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega$ is not an anti-fuzzy ψ -ideal of X since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(1 + 2) &= [0.2, 0.7] \not\leq [0.4, 0.8] = \max\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(1 + 0), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(2 - 0)\} \\ &= \max\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(1), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.15.

If an interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of X , then the upper $[t_1, t_2]$ -Level and Lower $[s_1, s_2]$ -Level of Ω are ψ -ideals of X .

Proof.

Let $(y + z), (x - z) \in U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega \mid [t_1, t_2])$, then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) \geq [t_1, t_2]$. It follows that $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) \geq \min\{\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z)\} \geq [t_1, t_2]$, so that

$$(y + x) \in U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega \mid [t_1, t_2]). \quad \text{Hence } U(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega \mid [t_1, t_2]) \text{ is an } \psi\text{-ideal of } X.$$

Let $(y + z), (x - z) \in L(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega \mid [s_1, s_2])$, then $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + z) \leq [s_1, s_2]$ and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x - z) \leq [s_1, s_2]$. It follows that $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + x) \leq \max\{\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x - z)\} \leq [s_1, s_2]$, so that

$(y + x) \in L(\tilde{v}_\Omega|[s_1, s_2])$). Hence $L(\tilde{v}_\Omega|[s_1, s_2])$ is a ψ -ideal of X . \triangle

Definition 3.16[3].

Let $f: (X; +, -, 0) \rightarrow (Y; +', -', 0')$ be a mapping from the set X to a set Y .

If $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{v}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy subset of X , then the interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta, \tilde{v}_\beta \rangle$ of Y defined by:

$$f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega)(y) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta(y) = \begin{cases} \text{rsup}_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(x) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(\tilde{v}_\Omega)(y) = \tilde{v}_\beta(y) = \begin{cases} \text{inf}_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X, f(x) = y\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is said to be **the image of Ω under f** .

Similarly if $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta, \tilde{v}_\beta \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy subset of Y , then the interval-valued bifuzzy subset $\Omega = (\beta \circ f)$ in X (i.e. the interval-valued bifuzzy subset defined by $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(x)), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) = \tilde{v}_\beta(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$) is called **the pre-image of β under f** .

Theorem 3.18.

A homomorphic pre-image of interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal is also interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal.

Proof.

Let $f: (X; +, -, 0) \rightarrow (Y; +', -', 0')$ be homomorphism from an ψ -algebra X into an ψ -algebra Y .

If $\beta = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\beta, \tilde{v}_\beta \rangle$ is interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of Y and $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{v}_\Omega \rangle$ the pre-image of β under f , then $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(x)), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x) = \tilde{v}_\beta(f(x))$, for all $x \in X$. Let $x \in X$, then

$$(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega)(0) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(0)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(x)) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x), \text{ and } (\tilde{v}_\Omega)(0) = \tilde{v}_\beta(f(0)) \leq \tilde{v}_\beta(f(x)) = \tilde{v}_\Omega(x).$$

Now, let $x, y, z \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x) &= \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(y + x)) \\ &\geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\beta(f(x - z)) \} \\ &= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) \}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + x) &= \tilde{v}_\beta(f(y + x)) \\ &\leq \text{rmax} \{ \tilde{v}_\beta(f(y + z), \tilde{v}_\beta(f(x - z)) \} \\ &= \text{rmax} \{ \tilde{v}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{v}_\Omega(x - z) \}. \quad \triangle \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.19[2].

Let $f: (X; +, -, 0) \rightarrow (Y; +', -', 0')$ be a mapping from a set X into a set Y .

$\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy subset of X has **sup and inf properties** if for any subset T of X , there exist $t, s \in T$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t) = \text{rsup}_{t_0 \in T} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t_0)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_\Omega(s) = \text{rinf}_{s_0 \in T} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(s_0)$.

Theorem 3.20.

Let $f: (X; +, -, 0) \rightarrow (Y; +', -', 0')$ be an epimorphism from an ψ -algebra X into an ψ -algebra Y . For every interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal $\Omega = \langle \tilde{\mu}_\Omega, \tilde{\nu}_\Omega \rangle$ of X with **sup and inf properties**, then $f(\Omega)$ is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of Y .

Proof.

Since $\text{rsup}(\emptyset) = [0, 0]$ and $\text{rinf}(\emptyset) = [1, 1]$, then

Note that, $0 \in f^{-1}(0')$ where $0, 0'$ are the zero of X and Y , respectively. Thus

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta(0') = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(0) \succcurlyeq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x) = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\beta(x'), \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_\beta(0') = \text{rinf}_{t \in f^{-1}(0')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(0) \preccurlyeq \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x) = \text{rinf}_{t \in f^{-1}(x')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\nu}_\beta(x'), \text{ for all } x \in X, \text{ which implies that } \tilde{\mu}_\beta(0') \succcurlyeq \tilde{\mu}_\beta(x') \text{ and } \tilde{\nu}_\beta(0') \preccurlyeq \tilde{\nu}_\beta(x'), \text{ for all } x' \in Y.$$

Hence $(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega)(0) \succcurlyeq \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x)$ and $(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega)(0) \preccurlyeq \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

For any $x', y', z' \in Y$, let $x \in f^{-1}(x')$, $y \in f^{-1}(y')$ and $z \in f^{-1}(z')$ be such that

$$\text{By Definition } \tilde{\mu}_\beta(y' + 'z') = f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega)(y' + 'z') = \text{rsup}_{y+z \in f^{-1}(y'+'z')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z) \&$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_\beta(y' + 'z') = f(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega)(y' + 'z') = \text{rinf}_{y+z \in f^{-1}(y'+'z')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + z) \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta(x' - 'z') = f(\tilde{\mu}_\Omega)(x' - 'z') = \text{rsup}_{x-z \in f^{-1}(x'-'z')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) \&$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_\beta(x' - 'z') = f(\tilde{\nu}_\Omega)(x' - 'z') = \text{rinf}_{x-z \in f^{-1}(x'-'z')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x - z) \text{ for all } x', y', z' \in Y \text{ and}$$

Also ,

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta(y' + x') = \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y'+'x')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + x)$$

$$\succcurlyeq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(x - z) \},$$

$$= \text{rmin} \{ \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(y'+'z')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t), \text{rsup}_{t \in f^{-1}(x'-'z')} \tilde{\mu}_\Omega(t) \}$$

$$= \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta(y' + z'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta(x' - z') \} \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_\beta(y' + x') = \text{rinf}_{t \in f^{-1}(y'+'x')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(t) = \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + x)$$

$$\preccurlyeq \text{rmax} \{ \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(y + z), \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(x - z) \},$$

$$= \text{rmax} \{ \text{rinf}_{t \in f^{-1}(y'+'z')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(t), \text{rinf}_{t \in f^{-1}(x'-'z')} \tilde{\nu}_\Omega(t) \}$$

$$= rmax \{ \tilde{v}_\beta(y' + 'z'), \tilde{v}_\beta(x' - 'z') \}.$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_\beta(y' + 'x') \geq rmin \{ \tilde{\mu}_\beta(y' + 'z'), \tilde{\mu}_\beta(x' - 'z') \}, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{v}_\beta(y' + 'x') \leq rmax \{ \tilde{v}_\beta(y' + 'z'), \tilde{v}_\beta(x' - 'z') \}, \text{ for all } x', y', z' \in Y.$$

Hence, β is an interval-valued bifuzzy ψ -ideal of \mathcal{A} . \square

References

- [1] A.T. Hameed and B.H. Hadi, **Anti-Fuzzy AT-Ideals on AT-algebras**, Journal Al-Qadisyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, vol.10, no.3(2018), 63-74.
- [2] A.T. Hameed and B.H. Hadi, **Interval-valued bifuzzy AT-subalgebras and Fuzzy AT-Ideals on AT-algebra**, World Wide Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, vol.4, no.4(2018), 34-44.
- [3] A.T. Hameed and E.K. Kadhim, **Interval-valued IFAT-ideals of AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2020, pp:1-5.
- [4] A.T. Hameed and N.H. Malik, (2021), **(β, α)-Fuzzy Magnified Translations of AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-13.
- [5] A.T. Hameed and N.H. Malik, (2021), **Magnified translation of intuitionistic fuzzy AT-ideals on AT-algebra**, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, (2021), pp:1-7.
- [6] A.T. Hameed and N.J. Raheem, (2020), **Hyper SA-algebra**, International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS), vol.4, Issue 8, pp.127-136.
- [7] A.T. Hameed and N.J. Raheem, (2021), **Interval-valued Fuzzy SA-ideals with Degree (λ, κ) of SA-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-13.
- [8] A.T. Hameed, N.J. Raheem and A.H. Abed, (2021), **Anti-fuzzy SA-ideals with Degree (λ, κ) of SA-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-16.
- [9] A.T. Hameed, S.H. Ali and , R.A. Flayyih, **The Bipolar-valued of Fuzzy Ideals on AT-algebra**, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing), 2021, pp:1-9.
- [10] A.T. Hameed, H.A. Mohammed and A.H. Abed, **Anti-fuzzy ideals of BZ-algebras**, (2023).
- [11] A.T. Hameed, S.M. Mostafa and A.H. Abed, **Cubic KUS-ideals of KUS-algebras**, Asian Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol.8, no.2, pp:36 - 43, (2017).
- [12] K. Is'eki and S. Yanaka, **An Introduction to Theory of BCK-algebras**, Math. Japonica, vol. 23 (1979), pp:1-20.
- [13] Jabir N.H. and Hameed A.T. (2023), **On The ψ -subalgebras of ψ -algebra**, International Journal of Academic and Applied Reserch, ISSN: 2643-9603, Vol.7, Issue 4, Pages:8-11.
- [14] Jabir N.H. and Hameed A.T. (2023), **On the ψ -algebra**, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, ISSN:0972-0502 (Pirnt), ISSN: 2169-012X(On line).
- [15] Jabir N.H. and Hameed A.T. (2023), **On The Translations Bifuzzy ψ -ideal of ψ -algebra**, Journal of Kufa for Mathematics, Vol.10, No.2, Pages:140-160.