

Regional Economic Integration in Africa: Lessons to be learned from Other Regions. European Union (EU) and the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN)

Takudzwa Wayne Mhaka¹, Mwaka Namfukwe

1Email: twmhaka@africau.edu. Country: Zimbabwe

2Email: mwakatience@gmail.com. Country: Zambia

Institution: Pan African University of the African Union

Program: M.A in Governance and Regional Integration

Abstract: *Regional economic integration has become a vital strategy for promoting economic growth, reducing poverty, and enhancing economic competitiveness in Africa. However, the continent still faces significant challenges in achieving its integration goals. This paper draws lessons from the experiences of the European Union (EU) and the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN), two of the most successful regional economic integration initiatives in the world. By examining the strategies, policies, and institutional frameworks of these two regional blocs, this paper identifies key lessons that can be applied to the African context. Specifically, the paper highlights the importance of strong political will, effective institutional frameworks, and a gradual approach to integration. The paper also emphasizes the need for African countries to prioritize regional integration, promote economic diversification, and enhance their competitiveness in the global economy.*

Keywords: Regional economic integration, Africa, European Union (EU), Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN), Economic growth

Introduction

Regional integration has become an increasingly important topic in international relations, as countries seek to strengthen their economies and increase their influence in the global community. The European Union (EU) has long been seen as the gold standard for regional integration followed by The Association of South-East Asian Nations , with their member states working together to create a single market, adopt a common currency, and coordinate on a wide range of policies. In contrast, the African Union (AU) has struggled to achieve similar levels of integration and cohesion. In this article, we will make a comparative study of the regional integration models of the EU and the AU, and identify lessons that Africa can learn from the EU in order to improve its own integration efforts.

Lessons

Setting clear and achievable goals for regional integration, the EU's founding treaties set out a clear vision for the creation of a single market, a common currency, and a range of common policies, and member states have worked steadily towards these goals over the past 60 years (Mälksoo, 2015). In contrast, the AU's integration efforts have been marred by vague and unrealistic goals, such as the creation of a unified African army or a single African currency, which have proved unattainable in practice (Byers, 2016). Another lesson is the need for effective communication and public diplomacy to build support for regional integration. ASEAN has implemented successful public diplomacy efforts to engage with citizens and promote the benefits of regional integration, contributing to the development of a strong ASEAN identity (Ku & Tong, 2018). In contrast, the AU's messaging and outreach efforts have been less effective, resulting in a lack of public support for integration among African citizens.

Effective institutions to drive the integration process, the EU has built a complex system of institutions, including the European Commission, the Council of the European Union, and the European Parliament, which play key roles in developing and implementing EU policies (Nugent, 2017). In contrast, the AU's institutions are weak and underfunded, and often struggle to coordinate effectively with member states (Murithi, 2015). Similarly ASEAN has developed a range of institutions, including the ASEAN Secretariat and various sectorial bodies, to promote regional cooperation and implement integration initiatives (Emmers, 2017). In contrast, the AU's institutions are still relatively weak and lack the capacity to effectively drive the integration process.

Building a strong legal framework for regional integration, the EU has developed a vast body of laws and regulations that govern the single market, competition policy, and other key areas of integration (Shaw, 2017). In contrast, the AU's legal framework is relatively underdeveloped, and there is often a lack of clarity about the legal basis for AU policies and programs (Hoffmann, 2016). Similarly another important lesson is the need for a strong legal framework for regional integration. ASEAN has developed a growing body of legal and regulatory frameworks to facilitate economic integration, address cross-border issues, and resolve disputes among member states (Strange, 2016). In contrast, the AU's legal framework is still relatively underdeveloped, which has hindered the implementation of key regional integration initiatives

Secure adequate financial resources for integration efforts, the EU has established a multibillion euro budget to support its integration activities, including the Cohesion Fund, the European Social Fund, and other financial instruments (Begg et al., 2017). In contrast, the AU relies heavily on funding from external donors, which can be unreliable and subject to conditionality (Mawdsley, 2018). AU has the need to secure adequate financial resources for integration efforts. ASEAN has mobilized financial resources from within the region and from external partners to support its integration initiatives and development programs (Beeson & Stubbs, 2015). In contrast, the AU relies heavily on external funding sources, leading to potential dependence and limitations in pursuing its regional integration agenda.

Effective mechanisms for resolving disputes among member states, the EU has developed a complex system of legal and political mechanisms to resolve disputes between member states, including the European Court of Justice and the European Council (Kuijpers, 2016). In contrast, the AU often struggles to resolve conflicts among member states, as seen in recent disputes over the Grand Ethiopian Renaissance Dam and the border dispute between Eritrea and Djibouti (Tadesse, 2017). The AU can also learn from ASEAN on the importance of engaging in effective dialogue and diplomatic efforts to resolve conflicts and disputes among member states. ASEAN has been successful in facilitating dialogue and negotiation among its member states, contributing to regional peace and stability, as seen in its role in mediating conflicts in Burma, Cambodia, and the South China Sea (Goh, 2019). In contrast, the AU has faced persistent challenges in mediating conflicts and resolving disputes among member states, as demonstrated by conflicts in Libya, South Sudan, and the Central African Republic.

Strong commitment to human rights and democracy, the EU has made respect for human rights and democratic principles a core part of its integration efforts, and has taken action in cases where member states have infringed on these principles, such as in Hungary and Poland (Schimmelfennig & Rittberger, 2016). In contrast, the AU has been criticized for its failure to effectively promote and protect human rights, as seen in its reaction to the political crises in Zimbabwe and Burundi (Klute, 2018). From another perspective the other key lessons that the AU can learn from ASEAN is the importance of consensus-building and decision-making by consensus rather than by majority vote. ASEAN traditionally operates by consensus, and this has allowed the organization to promote unity and cooperation among its diverse member states, even on contentious issues such as the South China Sea dispute (Charney, 2016). In contrast, the AU often struggles with decision-making and consensus-building, with many decisions requiring a two-thirds majority, leading to potential deadlock and lack of progress in regional integration efforts.

Promoting trade and economic development as a key part of regional integration. The EU's single market and customs union have helped to stimulate trade and investment among member states, leading to significant economic growth and prosperity (Buchanan, 2017). In contrast, the AU's efforts to promote trade and economic development have been hampered by a lack of infrastructure, trade barriers, and political instability in some member states (Mazower, 2016). In the same vein, lesson for the AU is the importance of promoting trade and economic development as a key part of regional integration. ASEAN's focus on economic integration has led to significant progress in trade liberalization, investment promotion, and economic cooperation among its member states, contributing to strong regional economic performance and growth (Wilson et al., 2015). In contrast, the AU's efforts to promote trade and economic development have been hindered by challenges related to infrastructure, trade barriers, and political instability in some member states.

Promoting cultural and social integration as part of the regional integration process, the EU has taken steps to promote cultural and social cohesion among member states, through programs such as Erasmus and the European Capital of Culture (Delanty & Rumford, 2016). In contrast, the AU has struggled to promote a sense of shared identity and common purpose among its member states, due to linguistic, cultural, and religious differences (Mazrui, 2011). Another similar perspective is the importance of promoting people-to-people connectivity and cultural exchange as a key part of regional integration. ASEAN has taken steps to promote dialogue, cultural exchange, and educational cooperation among its member states, contributing to the development of a sense of ASEAN identity and community (Rüland, 2016). In contrast, the AU has yet to fully capitalize on the potential of promoting cultural exchange and educational cooperation as part of its regional integration efforts.

Effective communication and public diplomacy to build support for regional integration. The EU has developed a sophisticated system of communication and public diplomacy to engage with citizens and promote the benefits of European integration (Spence, 2019). In contrast, the AU's messaging and outreach efforts have been less coherent and less effective, resulting in a lack of public support for integration among African citizens (Eriksen, 2016). Again the importance of setting clear and realistic goals for regional integration is very fundamental. ASEAN's founding documents and agreements have set out clear goals for the creation of an economic community, the promotion of peace and stability, and the enhancement of intraregional trade and investment (Wee, 2016). In contrast, the AU's integration efforts have often been characterized by vague and unrealistic goals, making it difficult to achieve tangible progress.

Effective leadership and political will to drive the regional integration process. The EU has benefited from strong leadership and political will among member states to advance the integration agenda, as seen in the leadership of figures such as Jean Monnet, Jacques Delors, and Angela Merkel (Delors, 2015). In contrast, the AU has often struggled to find strong leadership and consensus among member states, leading to a lack of progress in integration efforts (Kagwanja, 2019). The importance of effective leadership and political will to drive the regional integration process. Throughout its history, ASEAN has benefitted from strong leadership and political will among member states to advance the integration agenda, contributing to the organization's unity and resilience in the face of regional challenges (Caballero-Anthony, 2019). In contrast, the AU has faced challenges in securing strong leadership and consensus among member states, leading to limited progress in integration efforts.

Strategic Recommendations for African Integration

Drawing from the European and Asian experiences, African integration requires a tailored approach that combines the strengths of both models.

Flexibility and Pragmatism: African integration efforts should adopt a pragmatic approach, emphasizing cooperation based on tangible initiatives rather than premature, rigid institutional frameworks. Gradual sector-specific programs could serve as building blocks for broader regional integration.

Strengthen Institutions: Developing robust governance structures and institutions capable of fostering cooperation, harmonizing policies, and resolving disputes is essential for successful African integration. These institutions should ensure transparency, inclusivity, and accountability.

Addressing Economic Disparities: Like Asian integration efforts, African integration should prioritize addressing economic disparities by implementing targeted policies that promote inclusive growth and the equitable distribution of resources. This necessitates comprehensive frameworks to reduce inequality, enhance infrastructure, and improve access to education and healthcare.

Taking Advantage of Regional Platforms: Africa possesses various regional organizations such as the African Union (AU), the Southern African Development Community (SADC), and the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS). These platforms can be leveraged to foster dialogue, negotiation, and coordination among member states, facilitating effective regional integration.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the AU can learn a great deal from the EU's and the ASEAN's experience in regional integration, and there are many practical lessons that can be drawn from the EU's success. By setting clear goals, building strong institutions, developing a robust legal framework, securing adequate financial resources, resolving disputes effectively, promoting human rights and democracy, stimulating trade and economic development, promoting cultural and social integration, engaging in effective communication and public diplomacy, and demonstrating effective leadership and political will, the AU can make significant progress towards achieving its own vision of regional integration.

References

- Acharya, A. (2016). *Constructing a security community in Southeast Asia: ASEAN and the problem of regional order*. Routledge.
- Beeson, M., & Stubbs, R. (2015). *Routledge Handbook of Asian Regionalism*. Routledge.
- Begg, I., Hodson, D., Maher, I., & Paterson, I. (2017). Integration, intergovernmental policy transfer and 'soft' governance in the European Union. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 24(4), 543-560.
- Buchanan, T. (2017). Good Governance in the AU and EU: Ethical and Democratic Bodies Political Development. *Journal on Governance*, 1(2), 16-24.
- Buchanan, T. (2017). Good Governance in the AU and EU: Ethical and Democratic Bodies Political Development. . *Journal on Governance*, 1(2), 16-24.
- Byers, M. (2016). Beyond the EU model? A mixed polity for integration in Africa. *International Journal*, 31(3), 899-912.
- Caballero-Anthony, M. (2019). *Non-Traditional Security in the Asia-Pacific*. Syracuse University Press.
- Charney, J. (2016). *ASEAN and the South China Sea*. NUS Press.
- Delanty, G., & Rumford, C. (2016). *Rethinking Europe criticism, political idealism and reality*. Springer.
- Delors, J. (2015). *The EU: a Community for the 21st century*. Routledge.
- Emmers, R. (2017). *ASEAN and the Regulation of Cybersecurity: Conceptual and Legal Considerations*. Oxford University Press.
- Eriksen, E. (2016). *The European Union's citizenship dimension: Transnational freedom of movement*. . Palgrave Macmillan.
- Goh, E. (2019). *The Puzzle of Peace: The Evolution of Peace in the International System*. Oxford University Press.
- Hoffmann, J. (2016). The European Union, EU law & direct taxation A pragmatic approach. *MPI studies on intellectual property and competition law*.
- Kagwanja, P. (2019). Regional integration and the future of the EU-Africa strategic partnership. *RiSilience and Resistance*, 1(2), 13-25.
- Klute, G. (2018). *The future of the European Union: evolving membership debates*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ku, S., & Tong, S. (2018). *Music as a Platform for Global and Southeast Asian Integration: Tensions and Resolutions*. Routledge.
- Kuijpers, M. (2016). *The European Council and the Council: new intergovernmentalism and institutional change*. . Cambridge University Press.
- Mälksoo, M. (2015). *Assessing the Treaty of Lisbon: Lisbon's constitutional architecture and legitimacy problems*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mawdsley, E. (2018). Austerity in Africa: findings of a three-year study. *Review of African Political Economy*, 45(156), 237-244.
- Mazower, M. (2016). What You Didnt Miss: The Euro-African Union Summit as a Neocolonial Panopticon. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 659(1). 58-70.
- Mazrui, A. (2011). The African Union v. the European Union: conflict management and regionalism in the 21st century. *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East*, 31(1), 101-108.

Murithi, T. (2015). *The African Union: pan-Africanism, peacebuilding and development*.

Routledge.

Nugent, N. (2017). *The government and politics of the European Union*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Rüland, J. (2016). *Regionalism in international relations: Building theories of integration*. Routledge.

Schimmelfennig, F., & Rittberger, B. (2016). The European Union in Africa: The European External Action Service's legitimation work. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 23(8), 1170-1187.

Shaw, J. (2017). *Regionalism and WTO law*. Routledge.

Spence, K. (2019). Beyond Europe's blame game. *The EU in an era of doubt*. 28(5), 435-440.

Strange, A. (2016). *The ASEAN Regional Forum and security in the Asia Pacific*. Routledge.

Tadesse, W. (2017). The dam that divides Egypt and Ethiopia. *Right to Food and Nutrition Watch*.

Wee, K. (2016). *Intellectual Property and Free Trade Agreements in the Asia-Pacific Region*.

Springer.

Wilson, J., & Hoadley, S. (2015). *South-East Asia and India: Regional Convergence & Divergence*.

Routledge.