

Biological Degradation of Polyethyleneterephthalate (Pet) By Selected Microorganisms And Microbial Enzyme

Adegboye, Musa Alamu¹, Abideen A. Adekanmi², Lawal, Kola Ahmad³, Taoreed, A. Muraina⁴, Agbesanya, Oluwadare⁵

¹Department of Marine Environment and Pollution Control, Nigeria Maritime University, Okenreenkoko, Delta State

E mail: adegboyemusa2014@gmail.com

²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

E mail: yinklab1234@gmail.com

³Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Osun State College of Technology, Esa-Oke, Osun Nigeria

E mail: ahmadlawal926@gmail.com

⁴Department of pharmaceutical Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Ede, Osun State, Nigeria

Department of Chemical Science and Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Ede, Osun State

Department of Chemical Science, Redeemer's University, Ede, Osun State

E mail: adekunleade@gmail.com

⁵Department of Science and Laboratory Technology, Osun State College of Technology, Esa-Oke, Osun State, Nigeria

E mail: agbesanyaoluwadare@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: Name; Abideen A. Adekanmi, Phone; +447474332074 E-mail; yinklab1234@gmail.com

Abstract: Plastic-based pollution is increasingly acknowledged as one of the major environmental dangers on a global scale. Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) is a key component of plastics; its overabundance as garbage is a significant environmental concern. The majority of the time, PET contamination is controlled via mechanical, thermal, and chemical-based treatments. However, these techniques either cost a lot of money or produce extra pollutants. As a result, an economical and environmentally responsible solution is required for the proper handling of waste PET-based plastics. In light of this, recycling or microorganism-based degradation is one of the key strategies for reducing PET pollution. For the treatment of PET wastes, various bacterial isolates, fungal species, and microbial enzymes have been investigated. These bacteria and enzymes operate on PET to stop it from breaking down into monomeric units, which then causes weight loss. A brief overview of the application of certain bacteria, fungi, microalgae, and microbial enzymes for the management of PET wastes is provided in the current review.

Keywords: Plastic pollution, polyethylene terephthalate, degradation, microorganism and microbial enzymes

1. INTRODUCTION

Plastic waste is widely present in the environment as a result of improper disposal practices and indiscriminate use of plastics and associated items. Plastic has become so indispensable that it is now considered one of the indivisible commodities (Koshti et al., 2018). Due to the strong demand for plastic since the beginning of the 21st century, production has expanded significantly. As a result, plastic trash generation has also tripled in these two decades (Beat Plastic Pollution, 2020). 90% of the plastic waste created today, which numbers around 0.3 billion, ends up in the ocean (Schmidt et al., 2017). Since the 1950s, around 8,300 million plastic wastes have been generated, and by 2050, it is expected to reach double-digit billions, if plastic waste is generated at the same pace (Geyer et al., 2017).

Plastics are a top choice for many industrial applications because of their resistance to ionizing radiation, oxidation, and organic solvents. 33 percent of the total plastic production is used for packaging (Rhodes, 2018). PET-based polymers stand out among the numerous types of plastic because they are frequently employed in the packaging industry due to their toughness and thermostability. PET is a transparent, colorless, semicrystalline resin with outstanding wear and tear resistance, tensile strength, and transparency qualities (Koshti et al., 2018). Because of these qualities, PET is widely employed in the packaging industry. It is widely utilized in plastic films, food jars, and soft drink bottles.

terephthalic acid (TPA) and ethylene glycol (EG) are polycondensed to create polyethylene terephthalate, or dimethyl terephthalate and EG are transesterified to create a polymer of semiaromatic polyesters (Hiraga et al., 2019). Ester connections connect the PET's TPA and EG monomeric units. Its hydrophobic nature and chemical inertness produce a nearly impermeable surface (de Castro et al., 2017). PET is known to have a 240–250 °C melting point (T_m) and strong hydrolytic stability (Mohsin et al., 2017). Low crystalline PET (lcPET), which has a crystallinity of up to 7%, and high crystalline PET (hcPET), which has a crystallinity of between 30 and 35 percent, are two different types of PET (Furukawa et al., 2019). The amount of CrI shows how mobile the ester connections are in PET (Zekriadehani et al., 2017). More stiffness in the links is indicated by high CrI. PET has a glass transition temperature (T_g) of roughly 70 to 80 °C. The glass transition temperature, or T_g, is the temperature at which the polymer becomes more mobile and more accessible to ester bonds between monomeric units.

Out of the 269 million tons of total plastic production, 18.8 million tons were produced in 2015 due to the increased demand for PET-based plastics, particularly in the packaging industry (Taniguchi *et al.*, 2019). Only 28.4% of the entire amount of PET produced gets recycled into fiber, sheets, films, and bottles; the remainder is thrown away into the environment (Taniguchi *et al.*, 2019). This abandoned PET subsequently enters the environment and poses a risk to numerous life types. PET is typically not biodegradable and has a high crystallinity, making it particularly difficult to disintegrate. In light of this, the majority of PET-based plastic waste is either burned or disposed of in landfills (Geyer *et al.*, 2017).

Aquatic animals who consume small plastic particles floating on water and stray animals who consume plastic materials cause numerous physiological changes in these creatures (Bhattacharya and Khare, 2020). This consumption can occasionally cause blockages in the digestive tract and obstructions in the respiratory passages, both of which contribute to the eventual demise of a particular animal species (Koshti *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, the toxic components produced during the partial decomposition of plastic wastes contribute to soil pollution and have an adverse effect on a variety of life forms.

Due to their hydrophobic character, PET-based materials serve as adsorption sites for a variety of contaminants, including persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals prevalent in aquatic and terrestrial systems (Bhattacharya and Khare, 2020). Due to their potential to become biomagnified via food chain transmission, these attached poisons pose a concern to consumers at the top trophic levels (Koshti *et al.*, 2018).

Landfilling and incineration are now the two most widely used techniques for disposing of plastic and PET in underdeveloped nations. Landfilling is impractical because of space constraints and rising costs, and incineration emits poisonous gases including a variety of toxicants and fly ash that must be disposed of further (Saleem *et al.*, 2018). Recycling is thought to be one of the best ways to handle plastic/PET waste, though. Comparing the manufacture of recycled PET with that of virgin PET made from petrochemicals, the carbon footprint of recycling is reduced (Quartinello *et al.*, 2017).

Following consumption, PET waste is recycled to create new products by recovering PET monomers in several nations (much of Europe and Japan) (TPA and EG). PET trash is often managed using a variety of recycling techniques, including thermal (used as fuels), material/mechanical (melted and reused once), and chemical/catalytic (degraded to monomers and utilized for resynthesis) (Kawai *et al.*, 2019).

The biological breakdown of PET is regarded as a green method since it minimizes PET waste, adopts an environmentally favorable strategy, and is simple to use (Taniguchi *et al.*, 2019). Because of its benefits to the environment and economy, biodegradation is chosen (Farzi *et al.*, 2017). Microbes produce water-soluble intermediates and extracellular enzymes to depolymerize PET. Microorganisms use these intermediates for further metabolism and degradation (Gong *et al.*, 2018). The ester group increases PET's resistance to biodegradation. The extracellular enzymes cutinase, lipase, PETase, protease, and esterase are among the PET-degrading microorganisms that have been identified thus far (Janczak *et al.*, 2018; Dbrowska *et al.*, 2021). This review is concerned with the biological breakdown of polyethylene terephthalate by certain bacteria, fungi, microalgae, and microbial enzymes.

2. Selected Microorganisms Involved In Degradation of Polyethyleneterephthalate

2.1 *Escherichia coli*

Due to its clear genetic background, straightforward growing requirements, and advantages in high density cultivation, *E. coli* is a crucial model bacterium for the creation of recombinant proteins. More and more enzymes have successfully expressed themselves in a heterologous manner in *E. coli* in recent years as a result of the ongoing identification of PET hydrolases (Tournier *et al.*, 2020; Palm *et al.*, 2019; Samak *et al.*, 2020) summarized the PET hydrolases heterologously expressed in *E. coli*, which is useful for delving deeper into the crystal structures of these enzymes and examining the PET degradation mechanism.

Recent research has demonstrated the potential of modified *E. coli* as a whole-cell biocatalyst for PET biodegradation. The secretion of heterologous PET hydrolases is frequently improved by choosing the best signal peptide. In a study, the effects of Sec-dependent and SRP-dependent signal peptides from *E. coli* on secreting PETase were examined. SPLamB and PETase were successfully fused to yield 6.2 mg/L of PETase (Seo *et al.*, 2019).

By altering the signal peptide, additional studies increased the expression level and enzymatic activity. In order to express heterologous PETase in *E. coli*, researchers successfully exploited an evolved signal peptide PelB (G58A) acquired from random mutation. This allowed for up to 1.7-fold greater PETase secretion (Shi *et al.*, 2021). In order to mediate the excretion of PETase, an enhancer of signal peptides B1 (MERACVAV) was explored. Ultimately, the excretion efficiency of PETase mediated by B1PelB showed a 62-fold increase over that of PelB (Cui *et al.*, 2021).

2.2 *Bacillus subtilis*

Gram-positive Compared to *E. coli*, which typically forms an inclusion body, *B. subtilis* is thought to be a suitable microbial chassis for secreting heterologous proteins because of its high secretion capacity, quick growth, and lack of an outer membrane (Van Dijk and Hecker, 2013). *B. subtilis* is regarded as a promising microbial chassis for biodegradation since it has a strong tolerance to hostile conditions and has been used to release proteins that can digest a variety of contaminants (Haung *et al.*, 2015).

Gram-positive Compared to *E. coli*, which typically forms an inclusion body, *B. subtilis* is thought to be a suitable microbial chassis for secreting heterologous proteins because of its high secretion capacity, quick growth, and lack of an outer membrane (Van Dijk

and Hecker, 2013). *B. subtilis* is regarded as a promising microbial chassis for biodegradation since it has a strong tolerance to hostile conditions and has been used to release proteins that can digest a variety of contaminants (Haung *et al.*, 2015).

Another two PET hydrolases (BhrPETase and LCC) were also expressed in *B. subtilis*, and the expression titer of BhrPETase and LCC reached 0.66 g/L and 0.89 g/L in an engineered chaperoneoverexpression of *B. subtilis*, respectively (Xi *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, the combinations of signal peptides and promoters were optimized to promote the expression of PETase in *B. subtilis* WB600, and the combination of the signal peptide SPamy and the weak promoter P43 was proved to be best (Wang *et al.*, 2020).

2.3 Thermophilic Bacteria

The majority of the hydrolases that can break down PET, such as lipases, cutinases, and esterases, have higher enzymatic activity at higher temperatures, whereas the majority of model microorganisms that may manufacture heterologous PET hydrolases typically prefer a growth temperature of 30 to 40°C. Some PET hydrolases that are active exclusively at high temperatures are incompatible with whole-cell biocatalyst (Guyot *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, a thermophilic expression system is required to increase the biodegradation efficiency of PET.

LCC has been successfully produced using a *C. thermocellum* that was developed. After 14 days, our designed whole-cell biocatalyst achieved a high level of LCC expression and turned more than 60% of a commercial PET film into soluble monomers at 60°C (Yan *et al.*, 2021).

This thermophilic whole-cell degradation system is a potential approach to degrade PET using other high temperature hydrolases since it has the benefit of simultaneous enzyme synthesis and PET degradation as opposed to merely employing free enzymes (Sooch *et al.*, 2016). Alkali-tolerant whole-cell catalytic systems as well as the thermophilic whole-cell degradation system have both been reported (Gong *et al.*, 2018).

2.4. Fungi

In addition to bacteria, some yeast, such as *Pichia pastoris* and *Yarrowia lipolytica*, may also be employed in the biodegradation of PET. With excellent secretion expression and scalable fermentation capabilities, *P. pastoris* has emerged as a popular strain for protein production in industrial applications. When PETase (H344S/F348I) and BurPL (H344S/F348I) were expressed in *P. pastoris* and *E. coli*, researchers found that *P. pastoris*'s protein half-life protection mechanism caused both enzymes to have higher activity than those expressed in *E. coli* (Xu *et al.*, 2020).

By putting PETase on the surface of *P. pastoris*, a whole-cell biocatalyst was created, and when compared to isolated PETase, its enzymatic activity rose 36-fold toward a highly crystalline PET. Furthermore, this whole-cell biocatalyst has a seven-fold reusability limit with no discernible activity loss, which is beneficial for creating new whole-cell biocatalysts for PET biodegradation (Chen *et al.*, 2020). Researchers examined the impact of glycosylation on the LCC expressed in *P. pastoris* in light of the organism's capacity for N-linked glycosylation and discovered that the LCC's kinetic stability and activity were both increased (Shirk *et al.*, 2018).

Additionally, *Y. lipolytica* is an excellent microbial platform for bioremediation (Madzak, 2015). In order to demonstrate that *Y. lipolytica* is a potential microbial chassis for PET biodegradation, researcher's isolated *Y. lipolytica* IMUFRJ 50682 with the capacity to convert PET into MHET and confirmed that the PET monomers may act as inducers in the process of lipase production (Da Costa *et al.*, 2020). Other research demonstrated that the modified strain could hydrolyze BHET and PET powder into the monomers by expressing PETase in *Y. lipolytica* Polf with a signal peptide from lipase (Liu *et al.*, 2021)

2.5. Marine Microalgae

Currently, the natural and engineered microbial chassis that can make PET hydrolases typically find it challenging to adapt to the complexity of the marine environment and generate a lot of PET waste. Recently, several marine microalgae have been utilized as the foundation for the breakdown of PET (Barone *et al.*, 2020). The recombinant PETase was able to efficiently degrade various substrates, including PET films, poly (ethylene terephthalate-co- 1,4-cyclohexylenedimethylene terephthalate) (PETG) film, and shredded PET, at 30°C or even at mesophilic temperatures (21°C), according to research on a photosynthetic microalga called *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*.

Additionally, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, the green algae, was also successfully engineered to produce PETase with degrading activity, and the chemical and morphological changes appeared on the PET films after 4 weeks of culture (Kim *et al.*, 2020). As environmentally friendly chassis for the biodegradation of PET waste in a saltwater-based environment, marine microalgae have the potential for future biotechnological applications in the degradation of PET polluted seawater (Moog *et al.*, 2019).

3. Microbial Consortia in PET Biodegradation

Synthetic biology now prioritizes the study of artificial microbial consortia that mimic natural microbial consortia to carry out challenging biological activities (Qi *et al.*, 2021; Ding *et al.*, 2016). In particular, for the bioconversion of pollutants, it is crucial to investigate the potential and reprogram the functionality of microbial consortium members (Dangi *et al.*, 2021). Utilizing artificial microbial consortia, previous investigations have demonstrated the potential for biodegradation and bioconversion (Skariyachan *et al.*, 2021). Artificial microbial consortia have been utilized to increase the desulfurization of petroleum sulfides and degrade

hydrocarbons (Ibrar and Zhang, 2020), organophosphorus insecticides (Sun *et al.*, 2020), polyaromatic hydrocarbon pollutants, and aryl organophosphate flame retardants (aryl-OPFRs) (Martinez *et al.*, 2016).

Additionally, some artificial microbial consortia for the degradation of plastic waste have been developed, including those for the degradation of polyurethane (PU) (Utomo *et al.*, 2020), polyethylene (PE) (Syranidou *et al.*, 2017), polypropylene (PP) (Aravinthan *et al.*, 2018), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) (Giacomucci *et al.*, 2020). These findings demonstrate the utility of synthetic microbial consortiums for PET biodegradation.

The use of artificial microbial consortia for PET biodegradation has a number of advantages over pure culture, including the following: (i) simultaneous PET biodegradation and bioconversion by multiple microorganisms; (ii) the ease with which artificial microbial consortia can be built; and (iii) the reduction of inhibitory effects on degradation products (Ballerstedt *et al.*, 2021). The use of artificial microbial consortia in the biodegradation and bioconversion of PET is therefore seen as a viable strategy to accomplish the circular economy of PET waste.

3.1. Natural Microbial Consortia in PET Biodegradation

The majority of microbial consortiums that can now break down PET are natural microbial consortiums. Three *Pseudomonas* species and two *Bacillus* species were part of a consortium that was discovered by researchers to be able to reduce the weight of granular PET. After being exposed to the consortium for six weeks, a 100 mg granule of PET weighed 3.15 mg less, suggesting that the strains may work together to degrade PET (Leon-Zayas *et al.*, 2019). Next, scientists looked for lipase activity linked to PET biodegradation, and they demonstrated that the secreted enzymes obtained from the consortium could completely convert BHET into TPA and EG (Roberts *et al.*, 2020).

A consortium from activated sludge, containing *Bacillus cereus* SEHD031MH and *Agromyces mediolanus* PNP3, was described in another investigation. The collaboration could employ PET microplastics (MPs) as the only carbon source and decompose 17% of PET MPs over the period of 168 days at 30 °C, according to the report (Torena *et al.*, 2021). Oberbeckmann *et al.* (2016) also examined the effects of various seasons, geographical locations, seawater, and substrate material types on the microbial consortia that used single-use PET bottles at numerous sites in the North Sea.

Cutinases, lipases, and esterases are the majority of PET hydrolases that have been previously reported, and they can only partially degrade PET. A microbial consortium No. 46 that totally decomposed amorphous PET from a waste recycling station at room temperature was successfully identified by Yoshida *et al.* (2016). Then, from the No. 46 consortium, a bacterium called *I. sakaiensis* 201-F6 was discovered that could break down and absorb PET. It could produce PETase and MHETase to break down PET, opening up a new avenue for PET biodegradation at room temperature (Taniguchi *et al.*, 2019).

Marine microbial consortia can colonize PET, form biofilms on its surface, and finally modify its chemical structure (Pinto *et al.*, 2019). A study demonstrated for the first time that hydrocarbon degrading marine consortia enriched on tetradecane and diesel have the potential to degrade PET and cause major alterations to the surface structure and hydrophobicity of PET films (Denaro *et al.*, 2020).

3.2. Artificial Microbial Consortia in PET Biodegradation

There are currently few investigations on the development of synthetic microbial consortiums for PET degradation. After 4 weeks, CAS6, a unique three-consortium isolated from an ocean bay, can cause PET films to lose their crisp morphology in comparison to controls. *Exiguobacterium* sp., *Halomonas* sp., and *Ochrobactrum* sp. were the three bacteria that were eventually isolated from CAS6 and created a stable artificial three-microbial consortium in a 1:1:1 ratio to effectively destroy PET films. The three-microbial consortium incubated PET films for two weeks, during which time they completely decomposed into minute fragments (Gao *et al.*, 2021).

In order to break down PET, Pan *et al.* (2021) created and engineered *Y. lipolytica* to secrete PETase, as well as a modified *Pseudomonas stutzeri* to turn TPA into PHB. Over the course of 54 hours, they created a microbial consortium using two engineered strains to convert BHET into PHB. This was the first time PET had been simultaneously hydrolyzed by enzyme and converted to TPA. PETase's poor hydrolyzing efficiency prevented PHB from being made directly from PET, but it did show that synthetic microbial consortia were capable of simultaneously degrading and recycling PET (Pan *et al.*, 2021).

The weight loss of PET film reached 23.2% under ambient temperature when Qi *et al.* (2021) created a four-species microbial consortium made up of two metabolically modified *B. subtilis*, *Rhodococcus jostii*, and *P. putida* (Qi *et al.*, 2021). The artificial microbial consortia efficiently increased the degradation rate and removed the metabolic inhibition of TPA and EG (Qi *et al.*, 2021).

4. Microbial Enzymes used for PET Hydrolysis

4.1 Cutinase

Cutinase (E.C. 3.1.1.74) is majorly produced by either saprophytic microorganism, which utilizes cutin as a carbon source or by phytopathogenic microorganisms for breaking the cutin barrier to enter into the host plants (Maurya *et al.*, 2022). Cutinase is a serine esterase that has the catalytic triad consisting of Ser-His-Asp residues. It belongs to the hydrolase superfamily. The active site of cutinase can accommodate high-molecular-weight compounds such as cutin and other related synthetic compounds (Maurya *et al.*, 2022).

Hydrolysis of synthetic polymers such as PET (Dimarogona *et al.*, 2015), polycaprolactone (Adigüzel and Tunçer, 2017), polystyrene (PS) (Ho *et al.*, 2018), polyethylene furanoate (Weinberger *et al.*, 2017), and polybutylene succinate (Hu *et al.*, 2016) have also been reported using cutinase. Cutinase-mediated hydrolysis of polylactic acid is also demonstrated by several authors (Kitadokoro *et al.*, 2019).

Cutinase possesses valuable properties particularly required for PET degradation, and thus, it has caught the eye of many researchers in recent years (Maurya *et al.*, 2022). It is a well-studied substitute for harsh chemicals usually practiced during chemical-based hydrolysis/recycling of plastics (Tournier *et al.*, 2020). Cutinases are also known to synthesize polyesters under non-aqueous media using polycondensation reaction with various diacids and alcohols. Similarly, Pellis *et al.* (2016) used cutinase 1 from *Thermobifida cellulositica* for polycondensation of dimethyl adipate with various polyols for the synthesis of high-molecular-weight polyesters.

4.2 Lipase

Lipase has also been used by several researchers for the hydrolysis of PET. Effective degradation of PET nanoparticles using lipase from *Candida cylindracea* and *Pseudomonas sp.* has been reported by Ma *et al.* (2012). Similarly, Wang *et al.* (2008) employ BHET/TPA-induced lipase from *Aspergillus oryzae* for hydrolysis of PET. Moreover, Carniel *et al.* (2017) and de Castro *et al.* (2017) used the combination of lipase from *Candida Antarctica* (C. antarctica lipase IB CALB) and HiC for efficient PET hydrolysis to TPA. Although HiC showed better performance with PET hydrolysis, the enzyme has limited competence to convert MHET (one of the intermediates of PET hydrolysis) into TPA. On the other hand, CALB can easily convert MHET into TPA but has lower efficiency toward initial PET hydrolysis when used singly (Maurya *et al.*, 2022).

However, the combination of both enzymes synergistically improves the overall PET hydrolysis. However, complete studies on the effect of enzyme dosages, temperature, and pH are lacking. Lipase and cutinase have a common feature of surface hydrophobicity (de Castro *et al.*, 2017). Unlike other lipases, lipase B has a superficial catalytic site; hence, in the absence of the hydrophobic interface, it is still accessible to the substrate (Stauch *et al.*, 2015).

4.3 Esterase

Monomers of PET are linked by ester linkage, and these can be cleaved using esterase found in almost all living organisms (Koshti *et al.*, 2018). Ribitsch *et al.* (2011) used *Bacillus subtilis* nitrobenzylesterase (BsEstB) and applied it to hydrolyze PET into TPA and MHET [mono(2-hydroxyethyl)] TPA. Kawai *et al.* (2014) made use of recombinant thermostabilized polyesterase from *Saccharomonospora viridis* AHK190 capable of hydrolyzing PET and the PET-hydrolyzing activity was observed to increase in presence of Ca ions. Recombinant esterase from *Thermobifida halotolerans* (Thh_Est) was reported by Ribitsch *et al.* (2012) to degrade PET into TA and MHET.

4.4 PETase

PETase (3.1.1.101) was discovered from the bacterium *I. sakaiensis* 201-F6 by Yoshida *et al.* (2016). PETase and cutinases share high sequence identity, indicating the existence of critical structural features responsible for substrate binding (Fecker *et al.*, 2018; Kawai *et al.*, 2019). Even small differences between these enzymes are crucial and define their specific activities (Chen *et al.*, 2018). High-resolution crystal structure study of PETase highlights the active site, which seems to be wider than the other cutinases, and thus this could be a factor of the high specificity of the enzyme toward heavy substrate PET (Chen *et al.*, 2018; Kawai *et al.*, 2020). Overall, PET hydrolases (PET-hydrolyzing enzymes) are generally limited to cutinases; structurally, they are homologous to lipase, but lack a lid covering the active site (Kawai *et al.*, 2019).

This shallow open active site with hydrophobic amino acid residues aids in PET binding and hydrolysis (Kawai *et al.*, 2020). The lid is present in the active site of lipase and is known for interfacial activation in lipases. Lipases are not much active in PET hydrolysis, but like esterases and cutinases, they are known for surface modification of PET fibers. Esterase activity is limited to short-chain acyl esters and thus is also not much reported to hydrolyze hydrophobic PET (Maurya *et al.*, 2022). Comparative X-ray crystallography data of actinomycetes cutinases and PETase (from *I. sakaiensis*) showed the presence of a broader active site and extra disulfide bond in the latter (Kawai *et al.*, 2020).

Also, the active form of cutinases is in the form of a Ca²⁺-bound state. There is no Ca²⁺-binding site in the case of PETase. Moreover, serine residue in the catalytic triad of actinomycetes cutinases is replaced with alanine in PETase (Kawai *et al.*, 2020). However, compared to actinomycetes cutinases, PETase is heat labile and act only on lcPET. Considering this, presently researchers are trying to increase the thermostability of PETase and its catalytic efficiency using various protein engineering techniques (Kawai *et al.*, 2020).

The textile or clothing industry is also one of the major producers of PET waste, as it uses polyester as a major raw material. However, the heterogeneous nature of textile waste creates a major hurdle in recycling, as it comprised different types of natural or synthetic plastic wastes. Chemical and mechanical recycling, though, is practiced, but segregation is the first and utmost important step in the recycling of textile wastes (Maurya *et al.*, 2022). Biocatalytic recycling of textile waste though has potential, but there are limited reports on this aspect. As enzymes are highly specific and thus may target the suitable substrate (PET) in a heterogeneous kind of

waste, in this regard, sequential chemical treatment under neutral condition followed by enzymatic treatment for efficient hydrolysis of polyester composed textile waste is reported by Quartinello et al. (2017).

Chemical treatment under neutral condition resulted in the production of 85% TA and small oligomers (Quartinello et al., 2017). The oligomers were further hydrolyzed using enzymatic treatment utilizing HiC, yielding 97% of pure TA, available for further recycling. The mixture of PET hydrolases (as mentioned above) could also be used for the biocatalytic conversion of textile polymers into monomers for further recycling (Maurya et al., 2022). Moreover, compared to other cutinases, actinomycetes cutinases are known to have broad substrate specificity and thus could be used for hydrolysis of a range of polyesters fibers (Kawai et al., 2019).

5. Fungal Enzymes Involved in PET Biodegradation

In recent times, several studies have looked for enzymes involved in PET biodegradation. However, most studies have focused on bacterial enzymes (Gao et al., 2021; Taniguchi et al., 2019), with fungal enzymes being less investigated. The main fungal enzymes involved in PET biodegradation are hydrolytic enzymes acting on ester bonds (esterases; EC 3.1.1), such as cutinases (EC 3.1.1.74), lipases (EC 3.1.1.3) and carboxylesterases (EC 3.1.1.1) (Carr et al., 2020).

5.1. Cutinases Involved in PET Biodegradation

Specific cutinases able to degrade PET were identified from *Humicola insolens* (HiC) (Taniguchi et al., 2019), *Fusarium solani* pisi (FsC) and *Fusarium oxysporum* (FoCut5a) ((Taniguchi et al., 2019). The most studied enzymes are the cutinases HiC and FsC. HiC has good thermostability with a temperature range from 30 to 85°C, an optimum at 80°C, and maximum initial activity from 70 to 80°C. On the other hand, FsC has a lower temperature range of 30–60°C with the best performance at 50°C.

Ronkvist et al. (2009) tested the biodegradation capacity of HiC and FsC. They found that the hydrolysis rate constant k_2 was 7-fold higher for HiC at 70°C than FsC at 40°C (0.62 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2/\text{h}$ compared to 0.09 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{cm}^2/\text{h}$). Moreover, the results showed a $97 \pm 3\%$ weight loss when low-crystallinity PET was incubated with HiC for 96 h at 70 °C, while there was only a 5% decrease after 96 h of incubation with FsC at 40°C.

A few studies noted that the activity of cutinases was higher for an amorphous PET polymer compared to that of a highly crystalline substrate. Indeed, these enzymes are sensitive to chain distribution and length (Ping et al., 2017). An increase in the PET crystallinity rate from 7% to 35% caused a decrease in the initial enzymatic activities up to 25-fold for HiC and 6-fold for FsC. The enzymes' preference for amorphous regions of PET led to an increase in the biodegradation of these regions and an increase in the crystallinity rate of the biodegraded polymer. Moreover, a high presence of aromatic rings lowers the rate of hydrolysis. On the other hand, HiC preferably hydrolysed both internal (terephthalic acid-1,4-butanediol) and external (benzoic acid-1,4 butanediol) ester bonds, and more rapidly hydrolysed substrates with longer terminal alcohols but shorter chain length acids (Perz et al., 2016)

Another cutinase with potential in PET bioremediation is produced by *Fusarium oxysporum*, and is called FoCut5a (Dimarogona et al., 2015). It is highly homologous to *F. solani* pisi cutinase (FsC), but the hydrophobic residues Ala62 and Phe63 present in *F. solani* are replaced by Lys63 and Tyr64 polar amino acids in FoCut5a at the end of helix α_2 . Due to these and other small but significant differences, FoCut5a seems slightly more thermostable than FsC, underlining a possible important role in industrial applications (Dimarogona et al., 2015). The optimized parameters for PET hydrolysis are 40°C, pH 8 and 1.92 mg FoCut5a per gram of fabric (Kanelli et al., 2015). FoCut5a efficacy was confirmed by superficial changes observable by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) ATR analysis, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), as well as through dyeability tests using reactive dyes (Kanelli et al., 2015).

5.2. Lipases Involved in PET Biodegradation

Lipases are another class of enzymes involved in PET biodegradation (Carr et al., 2020). The most studied that are involved in PET biodegradation are produced by *Aspergillus oryzae* CCUG 33812 and by the yeasts *Candida antarctica* (CALB) (De Castro et al., 2017) and *Pichia pastoris* (Gao et al., 2017). *Aspergillus oryzae* CCUG 33812 can produce a lipase able to catalyse PET hydrolysis using 0.1 g/L bis(2-hydroxyethyl) terephthalate (BHT) as an inducer. An increase in hydrophilicity and antistatic ability, as well as a 0.74% weight loss and a decrease in both the water contact angle and static half decay time, were observed after 24 h at 55°C (Gao et al., 2017).

The lipase triacylglycerol hydrolase produced by the yeast *Pichia pastoris* was able to modify the surface morphology of polyester fibres at 60°C and at pH 7.5–8. Moreover, 7 h treatment with the combination of 10 g/L *P. pastoris* lipase and 0.5 g/L non-ionic surfactant JFC (a fatty alcohol polyoxyethylene ether) at 60°C and pH 7.5 changed the surface morphology of the PET fibres and increased the number of hydrophilic groups (Gao et al., 2017).

5.3. Polyesterases Involved in PET Biodegradation

Extracellular polyesterases involved in PET hydrolyzation are secreted by *Beauveria brongniartii* and *Penicillium citrinum* grown on a medium containing cutin with molecular weight 14.1 kDa, temperature optimum 36°C and pH 8.2 (Temporiti et al., 2022). Polyesterase from *B. brongniartii* released TPA during treatment of PET, while *P. citrinum* enzymatic activity liberates only low amounts of TPA in favour of BHET and MHET (Temporiti et al., 2022).

5.4. Synergic Action of Cutinase HiC and Lipase CALB

An important PET depolymerization enzyme that can act synergically with HiC is lipase B from *Candida antarctica* (CALB) (Carniel *et al.*, 2017). HiC and CALB present two different activity profiles at the final stage of PET depolymerization. Indeed, TPA was the predominant molecule after 24 h of CALB action, while HiC very quickly converted BHET into MHET, but then TPA formation was slow (De Castro *et al.*, 2017).

Despite this, when the two enzymes are used alone, HiC is more efficient than CALB in degrading PET (Carr *et al.*, 2020), while a synergic action between HiC and CALB led to a more intense MHET consumption and TPA formation (De Castro *et al.*, 2017). Better results were obtained by de Castro *et al.* (2017) using HiC and CALB sequentially. This method led to an initial release of MHET (HiC action at 60°C), which was rapidly converted to TPA after CALB addition (37°C), resulting in a degradation 141-times higher than when using the two enzymes at the same temperature (De Castro *et al.*, 2017).

6. CONCLUSION

This review summarized the current advances of PET biodegradation and bioconversion from the four aspects of engineered enzymes, chassis, pathways, and consortia, which provide a basis for the construction of artificial microbial consortia to convert PET into high value chemicals. Artificial microbial consortium is a promising strategy in realizing the circular economy of PET waste. On the one hand, the artificial microbial consortia are expected to effectively release the competitive inhibition of monomers in the PET biodegradation and improve the degradation efficiency. On the other hand, the artificial microbial consortia can couple the biodegradation of PET with the bioconversion of high value chemicals from monomers to realize circular economy and sustainability.

Owing to the recent advancements in synthetic biology and metabolic engineering, it has now become possible to rationally design and create artificial microbial consortia with a superior metabolic efficiency to degrade PET and convert it into high value chemicals in one step. Among various available methods known for recycling PET, enzymatic methods are considered an environmentally safer and efficient method for managing the PET wastes. Enzyme prominently cutinases are proved to be quite effective in PET hydrolysis.

Recycling such plastics has become a tedious problem. Additionally, enzymatic treatment of mixed wastes arising from the textile industry too is a problem, as this enzyme needs to have broad substrate specificity. If the search for a novel thermostable PET-hydrolyzable enzyme capable of hydrolyzing hPET with broad substrate specificity is fulfilled, then this will ultimately help in the overall curbing of plastic pollution in a sustainable pattern.

REFERENCES

- Adıgüzel, A. O., and Tunçer, M. (2017). Purification and characterization of cutinase from *Bacillus* sp. KY0701 isolated from plastic wastes. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 47, 925–933. doi: 10.1080/10826068.2017.13 65245
- Aravinthan, A.; Arkatkar, A.; Juwarkar, A.A.; Doble, M. (2016). Synergistic growth of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* and its degradation potential on pretreated polypropylene. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 46, 109–115.
- Ballerstedt, H.; Tiso, T.; Wierckx, N.; Wei, R.; Averous, L.; Bornscheuer, U.; O'Connor, K.; Floehr, T.; Jupke, A.; Klankermayer, J (2021). MIXed plastics biodegradation and UPcycling using microbial communities: EU Horizon 2020 project MIX-UP started January 2020. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 33, 99.
- Barone, G.D.; Ferizovic, D.; Biundo, A.; Lindblad, P. (2020) Hints at the applicability of Microalgae and Cyanobacteria for the biodegradation of plastics. *Sustainability*, 12, 449
- Beat Plastic Pollution (2020). Available online at: <https://www.unenvironment.org/interactive/beat-plastic-pollution/> (accessed October 06, 2020).
- Bhattacharya, A., and Khare, S. K. (2020). Ecological and toxicological manifestations of microplastics: current scenario, research gaps, and possible alleviation measures. *J. Environ. Sci. Health C* 38, 1–20. doi: 10.1080/10590501. 2019.1699379
- Carniel, A., Valoni, É., Junior, J. N., Gomes, A., and Castro, A. M. (2017). Lipase from *Candida antarctica* (CALB) and cutinase from *Humicola insolens* act synergistically for PET hydrolysis to terephthalic acid. *Process Biochem.* 59, 84–90. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2016.07.023
- Carniel, A.; Valoni, É.; Junior, J.N.; da Conceição Gomes, A.; de Castro, A.M.(2017). Lipase from *Candida antarctica* (CALB) and cutinase from *Humicola insolens* act synergistically for PET hydrolysis to terephthalic acid. *Process Biochem.* 59, 84–90

- Carr, C.M.; Clarke, D.J.; Dobson, A.D.W.(2020). Microbial Polyethylene Terephthalate Hydrolases: Current and Future Perspectives. *Front. Microbiol*, 11, 571265.
- Chen, C. C., Han, X., Ko, T. P., Liu, W., and Guo, R. T. (2018). Structural studies reveal the molecular mechanism of PETase. *FEBS J.* 285, 3717–3723. doi: 10.1111/febs.14612
- Chen, Z.Z.;Wang, Y.Y.; Cheng, Y.Y.;Wang, X.; Tong, S.W.; Yang, H.T.;Wang, Z.F.(2020). Efficient biodegradation of highly crystallized polyethylene terephthalate through cell surface display of bacterial PETase. *Sci. Total Environ*, 709, 136138.
- Cui, L.; Qiu, Y.; Liang, Y.; Du, C.; Dong, W.; Cheng, C.; He, B.(2021). Excretory expression of IsPETase in *E. coli* by an enhancer of signal peptides and enhanced PET hydrolysis. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol*, 188, 568–575
- Da Costa, A.M.; de Oliveira Lopes, V.R.; Vidal, L.; Nicaud, J.-M.; de Castro, A.M.; Zarur Coelho, M.A.(2020). Poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) degradation by *Yarrowia lipolytica*: Investigations on cell growth, enzyme production and monomers consumption. *Process Biochem*, 95, 81–90.
- Dąbrowska, G.B., Janczak, K., Richert, A. (2021). Combined use of *Bacillus* strains and *Miscanthus* for accelerating biodegradation of poly(lactic acid) and poly(ethylene terephthalate). *PeerJ* 9, e10957. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.10957>
- Dangi, A.K.; Sharma, B.; Hill, R.T.; Shukla, P. (2019) Bioremediation through microbes: Systems biology and metabolic engineering approach. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol*, 39, 79–98.
- de Castro, A. M., Carniel, A., Junior, J. N., Gomes, A. C., and Valoni, É (2017). Screening of commercial enzymes for poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) hydrolysis and synergy studies on different substrate sources. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 44, 835–844. doi: 10.1007/s10295-017-1942-z
- De Castro, A.M.; Carniel, A.; Nicomedes Junior, J.; da Conceição Gomes, A.; Valoni, É.(2019). Screening of commercial enzymes for poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) hydrolysis and synergy studies on different substrate sources. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2017**,
- De Castro, A.M.; Carniel, A.; Stahelin, D.; Junior, L.S.C.; de Angeli Honorato, H.; de Menezes, S.M.C.(2019). High-fold improvement of assorted post-consumer poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) packages hydrolysis using *Humicola insolens* cutinase as a single biocatalyst. *Process Biochem*, 81, 85–91
- Dimarogona, M.; Nikolaivits, E.; Kanelli, M.; Christakopoulos, P.; Sandgren, M.; Topakas, E. (2015). Structural and functional studies of a *Fusarium oxysporum* cutinase with polyethylene terephthalate modification potential. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj*, 1850, 2308–2317.
- Ding, M.-Z.; Song, H.;Wang, E.-X.; Liu, Y.; Yuan, Y.-J.(2016). Design and construction of synthetic microbial consortia in China. *Synth. Syst. Biotechnol*, 1, 230–235.
- Farzi, A., Dehnad, A., Shirzad, N., Norouzifard, F., (2017). Biodegradation of high density polyethylene using *Streptomyces* species. *J. Coast. Life Med.* 5, 474–479. <https://doi.org/10.12980/jclm.5.2017j7-94>
- Fecker, T.,Galaz-Davison, P., Engelberger, F.,Narui, Y., Sotomayor, M., Parra, L. P., et al. (2018). Active site flexibility as a hallmark for efficient PET degradation by *I. sakaiensis* PETase. *Biophys. J.* 114, 1302–1312. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2018. 02.005
- Furukawa, M., Kawakami, N., Tomizawa, A., and Miyamoto, K. (2019). Efficient degradation of poly (ethylene terephthalate) with *Thermobifida fusca* cutinase exhibiting improved catalytic activity generated using mutagenesis and additive-based approaches. *Sci. Rep.* 9:16038
- Gao, A.; Shen, H.; Zhang, H.; Feng, G.; Xie, K.(2017) Hydrophilic modification of polyester fabric by synergetic effect of biological enzymolysis and non-ionic surfactant, and applications in cleaner production. *J. Clean Prod*, 164, 277–287.
- Gao, R.; Pan, H.; Lian, J. (2021) Recent advances in the discovery, characterization, and engineering of poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) hydrolases. *Enzym. Microb. Technol*, 150, 109868.

- Gao, R.; Sun, C. A (2021) marine bacterial community capable of degrading poly(ethylene terephthalate) and polyethylene. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 416, 125928
- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., and Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Sci. Adv.* 3:e1700782. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1700782
- Giacomucci, L.; Raddadi, N.; Soccio, M.; Lotti, N.; Fava, F. (2020) Biodegradation of polyvinyl chloride plastic films by enriched anaerobic marine consortia. *Mar. Environ. Res* 158, 104949.
- Gong, J., Li, Y., Wang, H., Li, H., Zhang, J., (2018). Depolymerization and Assimilation of Poly (Ethylene Terephthalate) by Whole-Cell Bioprocess, in: *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. Institute of Physics Publishing, p. 022047. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/394/2/022047>
- Gong, J.; Kong, T.; Li, Y.; Li, Q.; Li, Z.; Zhang, J. (2018). Biodegradation of microplastic derived from Poly(ethylene terephthalate) with bacterial whole-cell biocatalysts. *Polymers*, 10, 1326
- Guyot, S.; Pottier, L.; Hartmann, A.; Ragon, M.; Tiburski, J.H.; Molin, P.; Ferret, E.; Gervais, P.(2014). Extremely rapid acclimation of *Escherichia coli* to high temperature over a few generations of a fed-batch culture during slow warming. *Microbiologyopen*, 3, 52–63.
- Hiraga, K., Taniguchi, I., Yoshida, S., Kimura, Y., and Oda, K. (2019). Biodegradation of waste PET: a sustainable solution for dealing with plastic pollution. *EMBO Rep.* 20:e49365
- Ho, B. T., Roberts, T. K., and Lucas, S. (2018). An overview on biodegradation of polystyrene and modified polystyrene: the microbial approach. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 38, 308–320. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2017.1355293
- Hu, X., Gao, Z., Wang, Z., Su, T., Yang, L., and Li, P. (2016). Enzymatic degradation of poly (butylene succinate) by cutinase cloned from *Fusarium solani*. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 134, 211–219. doi: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2016.10.012
- Huang, K.; Chen, C.; Shen, Q.; Rosen, B.P.; Zhao, F.-J.(2015). Genetically Engineering *Bacillus subtilis* with a heat-resistant arsenite methyltransferase for bioremediation of arsenic-contaminated organic waste. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 6718–6724
- Huang, X.; Cao, L.; Qin, Z.; Li, S.; Kong, W.; Liu, Y. (2018) Tat-independent secretion of polyethylene terephthalate hydrolase PETase in *Bacillus subtilis* 168 mediated by its native signal peptide. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 66, 13217–13227.
- Ibrar, M.; Zhang, H. (2020). Construction of a hydrocarbon-degrading consortium and characterization of two new lipopeptides biosurfactants. *Sci. Total Environ.* 714, 136400.
- Janczak, K., Hryniewicz, K., Znajewska, Z., Dąbrowska, G., (2018). Use of rhizosphere microorganisms in the biodegradation of PLA and PET polymers in compost soil. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* 130, 65–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.03.017>
- Kanelli, M.; Vasilakos, S.; Nikolaivits, E.; Ladas, S.; Christakopoulos, P.; Topakas, E.(2015) Surface modification of poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET) fibers by a cutinase from *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Process Biochem.* 50, 1885–1892
- Kawai, F., Kawabata, T., and Oda, M. (2019). Current knowledge on enzymatic PET degradation and its possible application to waste stream management and other fields. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 4253–4268. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09717-y
- Kawai, F., Kawabata, T., and Oda, M. (2019). Current knowledge on enzymatic PET degradation and its possible application to waste stream management and other fields. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 4253–4268. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-09717-y
- Kawai, F., Kawabata, T., and Oda, M. (2020). Current state and perspectives related to the polyethylene terephthalate hydrolases available for biorecycling. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 8, 8894–8908. doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c01638

- Kawai, F., Oda, M., Tamashiro, T., Waku, T., Tanaka, N., Yamamoto, M. (2014). A novel Ca^{2+} -activated, thermostabilized polyesterase capable of hydrolyzing polyethylene terephthalate from *Saccharomonospora viridis* AHK190. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98, 10053–10064. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-5860-y
- Kim, J.W.; Park, S.-B.; Tran, Q.-G.; Cho, D.-H.; Choi, D.-Y.; Lee, Y.J.; Kim, H.-S (2020). Functional expression of polyethylene terephthalatedegrading enzyme (PETase) in green microalgae. *Microb. Cell Factories*, 19, 97.
- Kitadokoro, K., Kakara, M., Matsui, S., Osokoshi, R., Thumarat, U., Kawai, F. (2019). Structural insights into the unique polylactate-degrading mechanism of *Thermobifida alba* cutinase. *FEBS J.* 286, 2087–2098. doi: 10.1111/febs.14781
- Koshti, R., Mehta, L., and Samarth, N. (2018). Biological recycling of polyethylene terephthalate: a mini-review. *J. Polym. Environ.* 26, 3520–3529. doi: 10.1007/s10924-018-1214-7
- Koshti, R., Mehta, L., and Samarth, N. (2018). Biological recycling of polyethylene terephthalate: a mini-review. *J. Polym. Environ.* 26, 3520–3529. doi: 10.1007/s10924-018-1214-7
- Koshti, R., Mehta, L., and Samarth, N. (2018). Biological recycling of polyethylene terephthalate: a mini-review. *J. Polym. Environ.* 26, 3520–3529. doi: 10.1007/s10924-018-1214-7
- Lebreton, S.; Zurzolo, C.; Paladino, S. (2018). Organization of GPI-anchored proteins at the cell surface and its physiopathological relevance. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 53, 403–419.
- Leon-Zayas, R.; Roberts, C.; Vague, M.; Mellies, J.L. (2019) Draft genome sequences of five environmental bacterial isolates that degrade polyethylene terephthalate plastic. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 8, e00237-19.
- Liu, P.; Zhang, T.; Zheng, Y.; Li, Q.; Su, T.; Qi, Q. (2021) Potential one-step strategy for PET degradation and PHB biosynthesis through co-cultivation of two engineered microorganisms. *Eng. Microbiol.* 1, 100003
- Liu, P.; Zhang, T.; Zheng, Y.; Li, Q.; Su, T.; Qi, Q. (2021) Potential one-step strategy for PET degradation and PHB biosynthesis through co-cultivation of two engineered microorganisms. *Eng. Microbiol.* 1, 100003
- Ma, Y., Yao, M., Li, B., Ding, M., He, B., Chen, S., et al. (2018). Enhanced poly (ethylene terephthalate) hydrolase activity by protein engineering. *Engineering* 4, 888–893. doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2018.09.007
- Madzak, C. (2015). *Yarrowia lipolytica*: Recent achievements in heterologous protein expression and pathway engineering. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 99, 4559–4577
- Martinez, I.; Mohamed, M.E.S.; Rozas, D.; Garcia, J.L.; Diaz, E. (2016). Engineering synthetic bacterial consortia for enhanced desulfurization and revalorization of oil sulfur compounds. *Metab. Eng.*, 35, 46–54.
- Maurya A, Bhattacharya A and Khare SK (2020) Enzymatic Remediation of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)–Based Polymers for Effective Management of Plastic Wastes: An Overview. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8:602325. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.602325
- Mohsin, M. A., Abdulrehman, T., and Haik, Y. (2017). Reactive extrusion of polyethylene terephthalate waste and investigation of its thermal and mechanical properties after treatment. *Int. J. Chem. Eng.* 2017:5361251
- Moog, D.; Schmitt, J.; Senger, J.; Zarzycki, J.; Rexer, K.-H.; Linne, U.; Erb, T.; Maier, U.G. (2019). Using a marine microalga as a chassis for polyethylene terephthalate (PET) degradation. *Microb. Cell Factories*, 18, 171.
- Oberbeckmann, S.; Osborn, A.M.; Duhaime, M.B. (2016). Microbes on a bottle: Substrate, season and geography influence community composition of microbes colonizing marine plastic debris. *PLoS ONE*, 11, e0159289
- Palm, G.J.; Reisky, L.; Boettcher, D.; Mueller, H.; Michels, E.A.P.; Walczak, M.C.; Berndt, L.; Weiss, M.S.; Bornscheuer, U.T.; Weber, G. (2019). Structure of the plastic-degrading *Ideonella sakaiensis* MHETase bound to a substrate. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1177.

- Pellis, A., Vastano, M., Quartinello, F., Herrero-Acero, E., and Guebitz, G. M. (2017). His-tag immobilization of cutinase 1 from *Thermobifida Dimarogona*, M., Nikolaivits, E., Kanelli, M., Christakopoulos, P., Sandgren, M., and Topakas, E. (2015). Structural and functional studies of a *Fusarium oxysporum* cutinase with polyethylene terephthalate modification potential. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj.* 1850, 2308–2317. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2015. 08.009
- Perz, V.; Bleymaier, K.; Sinkel, C.; Kueper, U.; Bonnekessel, M.; Ribitsch, D.; Guebitz, G.M (2016). Substrate specificities of cutinases on aliphatic–aromatic polyesters and on their model substrates. *New Biotechnol*, 33, 295–304.
- Ping, L.F.; Chen, X.Y.; Yuan, X.L.; Zhang, M.; Chai, Y.J.; Shan, S.D (2017). Application and comparison in biosynthesis and biodegradation by *Fusarium solani* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* cutinases. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol*, 104, 1238–1245.
- Pinto, M.; Langer, T.M.; Hueffer, T.; Hofmann, T.; Herndl, G.J.(2019). The composition of bacterial communities associated with plastic biofilms differs between different polymers and stages of biofilm succession. *PLoS ONE*, 14, e0217165
- Qi, X.; Ma, Y.; Chang, H.; Li, B.; Ding, M.; Yuan, Y.(2021). Evaluation of PET Degradation Using Artificial Microbial Consortia. *Front. Microbiol*, 12, 778828
- Qi, X.; Ma, Y.; Chang, H.; Li, B.; Ding, M.; Yuan, Y.(2021). Evaluation of PET Degradation Using Artificial Microbial Consortia. *Front. Microbiol*, 12, 778828.
- Qi, X.; Yan,W.; Cao, Z.; Ding, M.; Yuan, Y.(2022). Current Advances in the Biodegradation and Bioconversion of Polyethylene Terephthalate. *Microorganism*, 10, 39. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10010039>
- Quartinello, F., Vajnhandl, S., Valh, J. V., Farmer, T. J., Voncina, B. (2017). Synergistic chemo-enzymatic hydrolysis of poly(ethylene terephthalate) from textile waste. *Microbial. Biotechnol.* 10, 1376–1383. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915. 12734
- Rhodes, C. J. (2018). Plastic pollution and potential solutions. *Sci. Progress* 101, 207–260. doi: 10.3184/003685018x15294876706211
- Ribitsch, D., Acero, E. H., Greimel, K., Eiteljoerg, I., Trotscha, E., Freddi, G., et al. (2012). Characterization of a new cutinase from *Thermobifida alba* for PET-surface hydrolysis. *Biocatal. Biotransformation* 30, 2–9.
- Ribitsch, D., Herrero-Acero, E., Przylucka, A., Zitzenbacher, S., Marold, A., Gamerith, C. (2015). Enhanced cutinase-catalyzed hydrolysis of polyethylene terephthalate by covalent fusion to hydrophobins. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 3586–3592. doi: 10.1128/aem.04111-14
- Roberts, C.; Edwards, S.; Vague, M.; Leon-Zayas, R.; Scheffer, H.; Chan, G.; Swartz, N.A.; Mellies, J.L (2020). Environmental consortium containing *pseudomonas* and *bacillus* species synergistically degrades polyethylene terephthalate plastic. *Mosphere* 5, e01151-20
- Ronkvist, A.M.; Xie,W.C.; Lu,W.H (2009) Gross, R.A. Cutinase catalyzed hydrolysis of poly(ethylene terephthalate). *Macromolecules*, 42, 5128–5138
- Saleem, J., Riaz, M. A., and McKaya, G. (2018). Oil sorbents from plastic wastes and polymers: a review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 341, 424–437. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat. 2017.07.072
- Samak, N.A.; Jia, Y.; Sharshar, M.M.; Mu, T.; Yang, M.; Peh, S.; Xing, J.(2020). Recent advances in biocatalysts engineering for polyethylene terephthalate plastic waste green recycling. *Environ. Int*, 145, 106144.
- Schmidt, C., Krauth, T., and Wagner, S. (2017). Export of plastic debris by rivers into the sea. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 12246–12253. doi: 10.1021/acs.est. 7b02368
- Seo, H.; Kim, S.; Son, H.F.; Sagong, H.-Y.; Joo, S.; Kim, K.-J.(2019) Production of extracellular PETase from *Ideonella sakaiensis* using sec-dependent signal peptides in *E. coli*. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun*, 508, 250–255

- Shah, A.A., Hasan, F., Hameed, A., Ahmed, S. (2008). Biological degradation of plastics: A comprehensive review. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 26(3), 246– 265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.12.005>
- Shi, L.; Liu, H.; Gao, S.; Weng, Y.; Zhu, L.(2021). Enhanced extracellular production of IsPETase in *Escherichia coli* via engineering of the pelB signal peptide. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 69, 2245–2252.
- Shirke, A.N.; White, C.; Englaender, J.A.; Zwarycz, A.; Butterfoss, G.L.; Linhardt, R.J.; Gross, R.A.(2018). Stabilizing leaf and branch compost Cutinase (LCC) with glycosylation: Mechanism and effect on PET hydrolysis. *Biochemistry*, 57, 1190–1200.
- Skariyachan, S.; Manjunatha, V.; Sultana, S.; Jois, C.; Bai, V.; Vasist, K.S.(2016). Novel bacterial consortia isolated from plastic garbage processing areas demonstrated enhanced degradation for low density polyethylene. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 23, 18307–18319.
- Skariyachan, S.; Taskeen, N.; Kishore, A.P.; Krishna, B.V.; Naidu, G. (2021). Novel consortia of enterobacter and pseudomonas formulated from cow dung exhibited enhanced biodegradation of polyethylene and polypropylene. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 284, 112030.
- Sooch, B.S.; Kauldhar, B.S.; Puri, M.(2016) Isolation and polyphasic characterization of a novel hyper catalase producing thermophilic bacterium for the degradation of hydrogen peroxide. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 39, 1759–1773.
- Stauch, B., Fisher, S. J., and Cianci, M. (2015). Open and closed states of *Candida antarctica* lipase B: protonation and the mechanism of interfacial activation. *J. Lipid Res.* 56, 2348–2358. doi: 10.1194/jlr.m063388
- Sun, X.; Chen, L.; Liu, C.; Xu, Y.; Ma, W.; Ni, H. (2020). Biodegradation of CP/TCP by a constructed microbial consortium after comparative bacterial community analysis of long-term CP domesticated activated sludge. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B Pestic. Food Contam. Agric. Wastes*, 55, 898–908.
- Syranidou, E.; Karkanorachaki, K.; Amorotti, F.; Repouskou, E.; Kroll, K.; Kolvenbach, B.; Corvini, P.F.X.; Fava, F.; Kalogerakis, N (2017). Development of tailored indigenous marine consortia for the degradation of naturally weathered polyethylene films. *PLoS ONE* , 12, e0183984.
- Taghavi, N., Singhal, N., Zhuang, W.Q., Baroutian, S. (2021). Degradation of plastic waste using stimulated and naturally occurring microbial strains. *Chemosphere* 263, 127975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127975>
- Tang, X.; He, L.Y.; Tao, X.Q.; Dang, Z.; Guo, C.L.; Lu, G.N.; Yi, X.Y (2010). Construction of an artificial microalgal-bacterial consortium that efficiently degrades crude oil. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 181, 1158–1162.
- Taniguchi, I., Yoshida, S., Hiraga, K., Miyamoto, K., Kimura, Y., and Oda, K. (2019). Biodegradation of PET: current status and application aspects. *ACS Catal.* 9, 4089–4105. doi: 10.1021/acscatal.8b05171
- Taniguchi, I.; Yoshida, S.; Hiraga, K.; Miyamoto, K.; Kimura, Y.; Oda, K (2019). Biodegradation of PET: Current status and application aspects. *ACS Catal.*, 9, 4089–4105.
- Taniguchi, I.; Yoshida, S.; Hiraga, K.; Miyamoto, K.; Kimura, Y.; Oda, K(2019). Biodegradation of PET: Current status and application aspects. *ACS Catal.*, 9, 4089–4105.
- Temporiti, M.E.E.; Nicola, L.; Nielsen, E.; Tosi, S (2022). Fungal Enzymes Involved in Plastics Biodegradation. *Microorganisms*, 10, 1180. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10061180>
- Torena, P.; Alvarez-Cuenca, M.; Reza, M (2021). Biodegradation of polyethylene terephthalate microplastics by bacterial communities from activated sludge. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 99, S69–S82.
- Tournier, V., Topham, C. M., Gilles, A., David, B., Folgoas, C., Moya-Leclair, E.(2020). An engineered PET depolymerase to break down and recycle plastic bottles. *Nature* 580, 216–219. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2149-4

- Tournier, V.; Topham, C.M.; Gilles, A.; David, B.; Folgoas, C.; Moya-Leclair, E.; Kamionka, E.; Desrousseaux, M.L.; Texier, H.; Gavalda, S (2020). An engineered PET depolymerase to break down and recycle plastic bottles. *Nature*, 580, 216–219
- Utomo, R.N.C.; Li, W.-J.; Tiso, T.; Eberlein, C.; Doeker, M.; Heipieper, H.J.; Jupke, A.; Wierckx, N.; Blank, L.M (2020). Defined microbial mixed culture for utilization of polyurethane monomers. *Acs Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 8, 17466–17474.
- Van Dijl, J.M.; Hecker, M (2013). *Bacillus subtilis*: From soil bacterium to super-secreting cell factory. *Microb. Cell Factories*, 12, 3.
- Wang, J.H.; Hlaing, T.S.; Nwe, M.T.; Aung, M.M.; Ren, C.; Wu, W.; Yan, Y.C (2021). Primary biodegradation and mineralization of aryl organophosphate flame retardants by *Rhodococcus-Sphingopyxis* consortium. *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 412, 125238.
- Wang, N.; Guan, F.; Lv, X.; Han, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, N.; Xia, X.; Tian, J (2020). Enhancing secretion of polyethylene terephthalate hydrolase PETase in *Bacillus subtilis* WB600 mediated by the SP(amy)signal peptide. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 71, 235–241
- Wang, X., Lu, D., Jönsson, L. J., and Hong, F. (2008). Preparation of a PET-Hydrolyzing lipase from *Aspergillus oryzae* by the addition of bis(2- hydroxyethyl) terephthalate to the culture medium and enzymatic modification of PET fabrics. *Eng. Life Sci.* 8, 268–276. doi: 10.1002/elsc.200700058
- Wei, R., Oeser, T., Then, J., Kuhn, N., Barth, M., Schmidt, J. (2014). Functional characterization and structural modeling of synthetic polyesterdegrading hydrolases from *Thermomonospora curvata*. *AMB Express* 4:44.
- Weinberger, S., Canadell, J., Quartinello, F., Yenzi, B., Arias, A., Pellis, A.(2017). Enzymatic degradation of poly (ethylene 2, 5-furanoate) powders and amorphous films. *Catalysts* 7:318. doi: 10.3390/catal7 110318
- Xi, X.; Ni, K.; Hao, H.; Shang, Y.; Zhao, B.; Qian, Z (2021). Secretory expression in *Bacillus subtilis* and biochemical characterization of a highly thermostable polyethylene terephthalate hydrolase from bacterium HR29. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.*, 143, 109715
- Xu, Z.; Cen, Y.-K.; Zou, S.-P.; Xue, Y.-P.; Zheng, Y.-G (2020). Recent advances in the improvement of enzyme thermostability by structure modification. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.*, 40, 83–98
- Yan, F.; Wei, R.; Cui, Q.; Bornscheuer, U.T.; Liu, Y.-J.(2020). Thermophilic whole-cell degradation of polyethylene terephthalate using engineered *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Microb. Biotechnol.*, 14, 374–385.
- Yang, C.-E.; Chu, I.M.; Wei, Y.-H.; Tsai, S.-L.(2017). Surface display of synthetic phytochelators on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for enhanced ethanol production in heavy metal-contaminated substrates. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 245, 1455–1460
- Yoshida, S., Hiraga, K., Takehana, T., Taniguchi, I., Yamaji, H., Maeda, Y.(2016). A bacterium that degrades and assimilates poly (ethylene terephthalate). *Science* 351, 1196–1199. doi: 10.1126/science.aad6359
- Yoshida, S.; Hiraga, K.; Takehana, T.; Taniguchi, I.; Yamaji, H.; Maeda, Y.; Toyohara, K.; Miyamoto, K.; Kimura, Y.; Oda, K (2016). A bacterium that degrades and assimilates poly(ethylene terephthalate). *Science*, 351, 1196–1199.
- Zekriardehani, S., Jabarin, S. A., Gidley, D. R., and Coleman, M. R. (2017). Effect of chain dynamics, crystallinity, and free volume on the barrier properties of poly (ethylene terephthalate) biaxially oriented films. *Macromolecules* 50, 2845–2855. doi: 10.1021/acs.macromol. 7b00198