International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR)
ISSN: 2643-9670
Vol. 9 Issue 10 October - 2025, Pages: 316-324

Issues In Religious Engagement In Social Justice: Challenges And Opportunities

Dikeogu Tobenna Nwabugo and Prof. Christopher C. Okereke

Department of Religious Studies, Faculty of Philosophy, Abia State University, Uturu, Nigeria

Abstract*: The potential of religious communities to address global crises of inequality and systemic injustice remains largely untapped, despite the fact that justice is a divine imperative across faith traditions and has historically influenced legal systems. This study confirms the profound theological basis for engagement, rooted in concepts like the inherent dignity of humanity (imago Dei) and the universal call to "act justly." The central aim is to explore the causes of this paradoxical underutilization of religion's moral power by dissecting two categories of barriers: Internal challenges stem from within religious structures, including theological rigidity that often spiritualizes ethics and neglects real-world demands, pervasive institutional apathy marked by bureaucracy and self-preservation, and debilitating denominationalism that fragments the collective moral voice. External challenges involve political pressures, such as co-optation by powerful elites, secular opposition that seeks to privatize religion, and the paralyzing fear of persecution or misrepresentation in volatile contexts. Conversely, the study identifies several significant opportunities for enhanced involvement, driven by technological advancements and inter-communal cooperation, including leveraging digital platforms and social media as "prophetic pulpits," fostering interfaith collaboration for a unified moral voice, and strategically mobilizing faith-based resources (schools, legal aid) for systemic, justice-oriented empowerment. The study concludes that the difficulty in engaging is pragmatic, not theological, and offers actionable recommendations, such as reforming theology and investing in youth digital engagement, to enable institutions to choose prophetic truth over self-preservation.*

Keywords: Religious Engagement, Social Justice, Dignity, Involvements, Advocacy**.**

INTRODUCTION

Throughout history, religion has remained one of the most potent forces shaping the moral and ethical fabric of societies. From ancient prophetic voices crying out against oppression to modern-day faith-based activism, religious engagement in social justice has often served as both a catalyst for change and a moral compass for social reform. At the heart of most religious traditions lies a deep concern for human dignity, fairness, equity, and the flourishing of all people—principles that align closely with the ideals of social justice (Thoresen, 2002). The biblical prophets—Isaiah, Amos, and Micah, for instance—consistently denounced injustice and called for righteousness, urging the powerful to defend the oppressed and act with compassion (Wolff, 1973). In the Christian tradition, Jesus Christ's ministry emphasized advocacy for the marginalized, exemplified by His declaration in Luke 4:18: "He has sent me to proclaim freedom for the prisoners and recovery of sight for the blind, to set the oppressed free." These foundations have inspired various religious movements across the globe to fight for justice, including the abolition of slavery, civil rights, and the liberation of the poor (Gutiérrez, 2010).

Yet, despite these noble precedents, the relationship between religion and social justice remains complex and contested. In many contemporary societies, religious institutions struggle with internal contradictions, political entanglements, and doctrinal rigidity that often inhibit their capacity to fully engage in justice work (Hogue, 2003). In addition, increasing secularism, mistrust in religious authorities, and the politicization of faith-based discourse pose significant challenges to the credibility and effectiveness of religious social engagement (Casanova, 1994). Nevertheless, the modern landscape presents unique opportunities for renewed religious influence in social justice. The rise of interfaith coalitions, digital advocacy, and youth-driven religious activism creates promising avenues for impactful engagement. Furthermore, religion's deep-rooted presence in local communities positions it as a vital agent in shaping conscience and mobilizing collective action (Marshall & Van Saanen, 2007).

This paper critically explores the challenges that hinder and the opportunities that enhance religious engagement in social justice, with the aim of proposing practical and theologically grounded pathways for the faith community to reclaim its prophetic role in society.

CONCEPTUAL REVIEW

The Concept of Religion

Religion is a multifaceted and deeply rooted human phenomenon that encompasses beliefs, rituals, moral codes, and communal practices centered on the sacred or divine. Clifford Geertz famously defines religion as "a system of symbols which acts to establish powerful, pervasive, and long-lasting moods and motivations in men" by formulating conceptions of a general order of existence (Geertz, 1973). Beyond this anthropological view, religion is also recognized for its role in shaping moral consciousness and influencing socio-political actions. According to Emile Durkheim, religion is "a unified system of beliefs and practices relative to sacred things," which serves to unite individuals into a moral community (Durkheim, 1995). Therefore, in this context, religion is not only a personal belief system but a social institution with the potential to influence justice, equality, and human welfare.

Religion is broadly understood as a complex system encompassing beliefs, practices, and moral codes that relate human beings to what they consider sacred, divine, or spiritually significant. Contemporary literature emphasizes that religion is not confined to specific beliefs but involves rituals, symbols, and community participation that help individuals find meaning and purpose in life (Schilbrack, 2022; Britannica, 2000). This multifaceted nature positions religion as both a personal and social phenomenon shaping human experiences across cultures and epochs.

From a theoretical perspective, religion serves critical social and psychological functions. Emile Durkheim's classical sociological view highlights that religion reinforces social cohesion by uniting members through shared beliefs and rituals, while simultaneously providing moral guidance and social control (Howard Community College, 2016). In addition to maintaining social order, religion offers psychological comfort, helping adherents cope with life's uncertainties and existential challenges, thereby enhancing both mental and physical well-being (VanderWeele, 2017). The dynamic relationship between individual faith and communal practice underscores religion's enduring influence on personal identity and societal structures.

Modern scholarship also recognizes religion's evolving role in contemporary society, navigating between tradition and modernity. Religion continues to impact cultural norms, ethical frameworks, and political ideologies even amidst globalization and technological advances (Rahmawati, 2023). It remains a potent source of social identity and collective consciousness in pluralistic societies, facilitating social harmony as well as sometimes generating conflict (Pew Research Center, 2025; Alshehri, 2024). Thus, contemporary research sees religion as a living, adaptive institution deeply embedded in the fabric of human civilization.

The Concept of Social Justice

Social justice aims to create a fair and inclusive society where resources, rights, and opportunities are distributed equitably. It's based on the principle that every human being has inherent dignity and deserves respect and fairness, regardless of their background or beliefs. According to John Rawls, social justice is "the first virtue of social institutions," emphasizing fairness in society's structure and operation (Rawls, 1971). This concept goes beyond individual kindness and focuses on structural transformation to address systemic inequalities and promote the common good (Sen, 1999). From a theological perspective, social justice is a divine mandate, echoing the teachings of the Hebrew prophets and Jesus Christ. It's about defending the vulnerable, including widows, orphans, and strangers (Isaiah 1:17; Matthew 25:35-40).

The fundamental idea of social justice centers on achieving a society characterized by fairness, actively working to eliminate oppression and inequality, and guaranteeing equivalent opportunities for all people. This concept aims for the equitable allocation of resources and chances, ensuring that everyone can satisfy their fundamental needs (Lai, 2023). Historically, its roots are embedded in Anglo-Saxon political thought and have been significantly shaped by various socio-political movements, with its evolution accelerated particularly in response to industrialization and the emergence of socialist doctrines (Krishna & H.S, 2024). In practical governance, numerous societies utilize social justice principles within legislative and policy frameworks, embodying the ideals of the welfare state to counteract systemic disadvantages and ensure equal opportunities, especially in social welfare provision (Prakash, 2015).

Despite its aspirational goals to foster a more equitable world, the concept of social justice faces inherent challenges and critiques. One major obstacle is the risk of the term becoming excessively politicized, where its purpose is diverted toward achieving short-term electoral advantages instead of driving meaningful, deep-seated reform (Prieto, 2023). Critics also point out the inherent ambiguity of the term, suggesting that its lack of a precise, universal definition leads to widely divergent interpretations, which subsequently complicates effective practical implementation (Prakash, 2015). Therefore, while the pursuit of social justice is essential for societal improvement, it is crucial to remain vigilant that its practical application genuinely tackles the root causes of inequality rather than being co-opted for superficial political gain.

The Concept of Religious Engagement

The idea of religious engagement encompasses the interaction of faith-based organizations and beliefs with the wider world, particularly in domains like international relations, political spheres, and humanitarian work, an area that has grown substantially in recent decades. This involvement offers significant opportunities for multi-religious collaboration to tackle pressing global issues such as development and securing peace. Organizations like the United Nations increasingly integrate religious actors into their humanitarian missions, acknowledging their influential presence within local communities. Numerous case studies confirm the success of these interfaith projects in resolving conflicts and promoting social advancement, thereby creating novel, strategic pathways for utilizing faith as a force for societal good on a global scale (Daou & Driessen, 2025).

However, the political dimension of this involvement, particularly through the lens of U.S. foreign policy, introduces a layer of complexity, often blurring the line between promoting religious freedom and subtly seeking religious transformation abroad. Historically, the U.S. has leveraged the promotion of "free" religion as a tactical measure to oppose tyranny, which frequently resulted in attempting to reshape foreign religious identities to better align with American ideological values. This governmental

engagement has transcended simple support, becoming an effort to reform and cultivate religious practices considered more beneficial for global "spiritual health," a strategy exemplified by initiatives during the early Cold War era (Hurd, n.d.). Fundamentally, this engagement is rooted in the intrinsic social nature of humanity, where religious experiences are nurtured and defined through rational and spiritual connections forged via human interaction (Waghid, 2023).

Despite its potential for fostering transformative contexts—such as promoting inclusivity and mitigating alienation through religious affiliations in settings like South Africa (Waghid, 2023)—religious engagement is fraught with practical challenges and critical scrutiny. Concerns persist regarding the actual effectiveness of these strategies and the risk that external interventions may clash or misalign with local values and ethical standards (Daou & Driessen, 2025; Everett, 1997). Furthermore, the complex relationship between religion and political structures, sometimes termed "covenantal publicity," can undermine the legitimacy of religious actors (Everett, 1997). The very act of engaging diverse religious discourses can lead to conflicts, underscoring the constant need for nurturing tolerance, inclusivity, and sensitive dialogue to manage the multifaceted nature of these global interactions (Markham, n.d.).

Religious engagement in social justice refers to the active and intentional participation of religious actors and communities in addressing social problems and promoting justice. According to Kathryn Tanner, this involves "the faithful turning of religious resources to respond to the concerns and crises of contemporary life" (Tanner, 1997). This understanding highlights the link between belief, ethics, and action, positioning religion as a potential agent of justice and social cohesion. In a society like Nigeria, marked by inequality and exclusion, social justice is a moral and theological imperative, with justice being a structural and systemic reordering for equity and human dignity (Rawls, 1971; Sen, 1999). Religious engagement is therefore not optional, but an ethical duty to confront societal ills with the resources of faith, translating spiritual truths into public witness and transformative action.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In a world grappling with socio-economic inequality, corruption, systemic injustice, political oppression, and human rights violations, the need for transformative agents of justice is urgent. Religion, historically a moral authority and advocate for the vulnerable, stands at a crossroads in its engagement with social justice issues. Despite religious teachings upholding justice as a divine imperative, many religious communities remain disengaged, complicit, or hostile to social justice movements. Internal factors like doctrinal rigidity, hierarchical control, and misinterpretation of religious texts contribute to this silence, while external factors like secularism, politicization of religion, and mistrust in religious institutions have marginalized their voices in public justice discourse.

The apparent decline in prophetic engagement, institutionalization of religion, and silencing of religious voices in the face of contemporary injustices exacerbate this issue. Consequently, the potential of faith communities to drive meaningful social change remains untapped. This paradoxical reality results in the underutilization of religion's moral and transformational power in addressing pressing injustices. According to Kathryn Tanner, theological engagement implies "the faithful turning of religious resources to respond to the concerns and crises of contemporary life" (Tanner, 1997). However, many religious institutions today struggle to translate their spiritual truths into public witness and transformative action.

This study seeks to critically explore the challenges hindering religious engagement in social justice while identifying emerging opportunities for faith communities to reclaim their role as agents of hope, justice, and societal healing. By examining both limitations and potential of religious involvement, the study contributes to ongoing scholarly and ecclesial conversations about the intersection of faith and justice in a pluralistic world. The research will provide actionable recommendations for faith-based organizations and social justice movements, empower faith communities to reclaim their prophetic role, and foster interfaith dialogue in addressing shared social justice challenges

ISSUES IN RELIGIOUS ENGAGEMENT IN SOCIAL JUSTICE

Historical Understanding of the Relationship between Religions and Justice

The historical relationship between religions and justice is complex, reflecting a dynamic interplay between spiritual beliefs and legal frameworks. Throughout history, religious values have significantly influenced concepts of justice, shaping legal systems and societal norms. This relationship has evolved, particularly in the context of modern secularization, yet remnants of religious influence persist in contemporary justice practices. The following sections will explore key aspects of this relationship, including the foundational role of religion in early legal systems, the impact of secularization, and the role of religion in transitional justice.

Historically, many legal systems were deeply intertwined with religious doctrines. In ancient societies, laws were often seen as divinely ordained, with justice reflecting the moral codes of dominant religions. For instance, the Ten Commandments in Judeo-Christian traditions provided a moral framework that influenced Western legal systems. This connection is evident in the U.S., where Christian ideals have shaped the foundations of law, despite the principle of separation of church and state (SpearIt, 2009). The process of secularization, particularly during the bourgeois revolutions, marked a significant shift in the relationship between religion and justice. As societies moved towards secular governance, the legal system began to distance itself from religious influences,

promoting a positivist legal concept that prioritized state authority over divine law (Kazakov, 2024). This transition aimed to establish universal values independent of religious doctrine, yet the historical roots of justice in religious thought remain influential in contemporary legal consciousness.

In the context of transitional justice, religion plays a dual role as both a facilitator and a barrier. Religious leaders and institutions often engage in truth commissions and reconciliation processes, advocating for forgiveness and healing after conflicts (Stan, 2023). However, they may also resist accountability for their past actions, complicating the pursuit of justice. The involvement of religious actors in transitional justice highlights the ongoing relevance of religious values in addressing historical injustices (Philpott, 2009). Despite the secularization of legal systems, religious values continue to shape legal consciousness in modern societies. Individuals often navigate their legal and moral beliefs through a lens of spirituality, reflecting a persistent intertwining of the two realms (Kazakov, 2024). This ongoing relationship suggests that while legal frameworks may be secular, the moral imperatives derived from religious traditions still inform societal notions of justice.

Conversely, some argue that the increasing secularization of societies has led to a diminishing role for religion in justice matters. As legal systems evolve to prioritize human rights and equality, the influence of religious doctrines may be perceived as outdated or obstructive. This perspective emphasizes the need for a justice system that is fully independent of religious ideologies, advocating for a purely secular approach to law and justice in contemporary society (Karsenti, 2024).

Theological Basis for Religious Involvement in Social Justice

The theological basis for religious involvement in social justice is firmly rooted in the nature and character of God as depicted in the Bible. God is described as a God of justice whose ways are righteous and impartial (Deuteronomy 32:4). The biblical narrative consistently emphasizes God's concern for the weak, marginalized, and oppressed. For instance, the law given to Israel includes instructions to care for the widow, orphan, sojourner, and poor, highlighting a divine mandate to protect society's vulnerable members (Deuteronomy 10:18; 24:17; 27:19). Jesus further embodied this justice by ministering to the marginalized and teaching care for the "least of these" (Matthew 25:35-36). This divine justice calls believers to reflect God’s love through acts of mercy and social responsibility (GotQuestions, 2023; FollowingJesus.org, 2025).

A core theological concept underpinning social justice is the belief in imago Dei—that humans are created in God's image, imbuing all people with inherent dignity and worth (Genesis 1:26-27). This affirms the ethical imperative for believers to treat everyone justly and uphold human rights. The prophet Micah summarizes God's requirements as to "act justly, love mercy, and walk humbly" (Micah 6:8), which frames social justice as an expression of faithful obedience. Christology also grounds social justice, as Jesus' incarnation affirms solidarity with humanity and commands mutual care, demonstrated in His teachings and sacrificial life (Hiebert, 2022; BibleHub, 2025).

The call for social justice extends beyond individual salvation to societal transformation, reflecting the biblical vision of God's kingdom as both spiritual and social. Jesus' proclamation of good news to the poor, freedom for captives, and liberation for the oppressed (Luke 4:18-19) exemplifies this holistic redemptive mission. The early church practiced communal sharing to ensure no member lacked basic needs (Acts 4:34-35). This vision promotes justice in economic, political, and interpersonal spheres, reflecting God's comprehensive intent for societal equity (FollowingJesus.org, 2025; BibleHub, 2025).

Liberation theology, emerging from this biblical foundation, highlights social justice as a moral response to systemic injustice and poverty. It emphasizes a preferential option for the poor and a prophetic challenge to unjust structures, urging active engagement in social reform (International Journal of Theology & Reformed Tradition). This theological focus aligns with biblical mandates such as Zechariah's call to "administer true justice" and avoid oppression (Zechariah 7:9-10), reinforcing the necessity of confronting societal inequalities through faith-led action (International Journal of Theology & Reformed Tradition; BibleHub, 2025).

Finally, the church’s role in social justice is biblically mandated as a community called to embody God’s righteousness and love. James defines pure religion as caring for orphans and widows and maintaining moral integrity (James 1:27). Jesus’ ministry model provides a blueprint for advocating justice and uplifting marginalized groups (Van Reken, n.d.). Thus, religious involvement in social justice is not optional but intrinsic to faithful discipleship, demonstrating the inseparable connection between theology and social ethics (Van Reken; GotQuestions, 2023).

Core Religious Teachings on Justice, Compassion, and Human Dignity

Christian theology positions justice not as a peripheral concern but as a core element integral to understanding God's nature and the gospel message. Nigerian theologian Cyril C. Okorocha emphasizes this centrality by stating that Christian mission without justice is a distortion of the gospel and a betrayal of Christ’s teaching (Okorocha, 2001). This assertion echoes the biblical portrayal of God as fundamentally just and righteous, where justice is inseparable from divine character and action (Deuteronomy 32:4; Psalm 89:14). Jesus’ ministry further exemplifies this ethos, embodying healing and restoration in the face of injustice rather than mere condemnation, highlighting that authentic justice involves transformative love and inclusion rather than retribution (Peace Theology, 2020).

The biblical doctrine of imago Dei—the belief that all humans are created in God's image (Genesis 1:27)—provides the moral and theological foundation for Christian engagement in social justice. It asserts that every person possesses inherent dignity, which cannot be overridden by societal biases or power structures. Nicholas Wolterstorff articulates this connection by affirming that justice honors the God-given worth of individuals: The worth of human beings... is not conferred by society or tradition, but by God himself. To do justice is to honor the worth of the other (Wolterstorff, 2008). This theological grounding compels Christians to resist oppression and pursue equity as an expression of faithfulness.

The call for justice in Christian theology extends beyond individual morality to systemic and communal transformation. Desmond Tutu's theology of reconciliation rooted in the apartheid struggle encapsulates the imperative: If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor (Tutu, 1999). Liberation theology voices a similar mandate, as James H. Cone asserts that the gospel message must relate directly to the liberation of the oppressed, situating theology within lived experiences of injustice (Cone, 1997). This robust theological tradition challenges Christians to confront structural inequalities and embody God's justice at every level of society.

Justice is also foundational in other faith traditions represented in African contexts. Islam places justice (ʿadl) at the heart of its ethical teachings, mandating believers to stand firmly for justice even against their own interests (Qur'an 4:135). Islamic scholars like Abubakar Gumi have highlighted the social justice dimensions of Shari'a law in protecting the vulnerable (Gumi, 1999, p. 58). African Traditional Religion (ATR) views justice as communal and spiritual harmony maintained through ancestral oversight and communal enforcement mechanisms (Mbiti, 1969). Together, voices from Christian, Islamic, and ATR perspectives affirm justice as an essential divine mandate rooted in their sacred texts and cultural practices, underscoring the universal religious commitment to human dignity and social equity.

CHALLENGES TO RELIGIOUS ENGAGEMENT IN SOCIAL JUSTICE

Despite religion's historical role as a catalyst for justice, many faith communities today encounter significant barriers that hinder their ability to actively and effectively engage in social transformation. These challenges are both **internal**, rooted in theological and institutional dynamics, and **external**, shaped by political, cultural, and socio-economic factors. Collectively, they contribute to the diminished prophetic voice and limited public engagement of religious institutions in matters of justice.

Internal Challenges

Theological rigidity: One major internal obstacle is theological rigidity—the inflexible interpretation of religious texts that often prioritizes personal morality over societal reform. This rigidity can hinder progressive social action. According to Okorocha (2005, p. 125), "many churches in Africa have spiritualized the gospel to the point of neglecting its ethical and justice-oriented dimensions." The result is an overemphasis on salvation as personal escape rather than community transformation.

Institutional apathy: This is another serious concern. Many religious institutions have become bureaucratic, prioritizing administrative expansion, liturgical formality, and self-preservation over social engagement. According to global ethicist David Hollenbach, religious communities often fail to confront injustice not because of hostility, but due to passivity and comfort within established religious routines (Hollenbach, 2002). This institutional inertia limits bold advocacy and stifles grassroots activism.

Denominationalism: Additionally, denominationalism, or deep-seated divisions within and between religious groups, weakens collective action. Nigerian scholar Emmanuel Katongole highlights how denominational fragmentation "undermines the unity and moral force needed to challenge political injustice and societal corruption" (Katongole, 2011). Competing church agendas and rivalry often take precedence over ecumenical collaboration for justice.

External Challenges

Political pressure: Externally, religious engagement is often constrained by political pressure. Governments, especially in fragile democracies like Nigeria, may view outspoken religious voices as threats to state power. Leaders who challenge corruption or demand accountability frequently face intimidation, censorship, or co-optation. Matthew Hassan Kukah warns that political elites often manipulate religious leaders with patronage, thereby neutralizing their capacity for critique (Kukah, 2011).

Secular opposition: This also presents a formidable challenge. In increasingly pluralistic societies, religious interventions are sometimes viewed with suspicion, dismissed as regressive or exclusionary. According to José Casanova, modern secular ideologies tend to privatize religion, marginalizing its role in the public sphere and delegitimizing its moral contributions to policy and justice (Casanova, 1994).

Socio-economic limitations: In addition, socio-economic limitations hinder sustained religious advocacy. Many churches and mosques in under-resourced communities are more concerned with survival than activism. The lack of funding, trained personnel, and infrastructural support makes long-term justice work difficult to sustain. Nigerian theologian Sunday Agang explains that

"poverty and underdevelopment in African congregations often shift priorities from prophetic witness to immediate material relief" (Agang, 2011).

Fear of Persecution or Misrepresentation*:* A subtler but equally potent challenge is the fear of persecution or misrepresentation. In contexts marked by religious tension, religious actors often refrain from social advocacy to avoid being accused of political partisanship or inciting conflict. For instance, Christian-Muslim relations in northern Nigeria are highly sensitive, and religious leaders walking the line of justice often find themselves misunderstood or attacked. As Smock notes in his study of interfaith peacebuilding, "religious actors are often forced to choose between prophetic truth and institutional safety" (Smock, 2002). This fear is compounded by media distortion, where religious advocacy is sometimes portrayed as fanaticism or power-seeking. These dynamics create a climate of caution, pushing many leaders into silence.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCED RELIGIOUS INVOLVEMENT

While religion faces various internal and external challenges to effective social justice engagement, emerging opportunities provide hopeful avenues for faith communities to renew their commitment to advocacy, compassion, and systemic transformation. These opportunities are strengthened by technological advancement, changing demographics, interreligious cooperation, and the strategic mobilization of religious assets.

Use of Digital Platforms and Social Media for Advocacy*:* The digital revolution has opened unprecedented opportunities for religious groups to amplify their voice, expand their reach, and galvanize action. Social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, and YouTube are now key tools in mobilizing religious campaigns for justice. Religious leaders and movements can use these tools to educate, challenge injustice, and rally support across geographical boundaries. Nigerian scholar Chika Anyanwu affirms that "digital platforms are increasingly becoming prophetic pulpits for African Christians to speak truth to power in real time" (Anyanwu, 2004). Globally, scholars like Heidi Campbell argue that "religious digital activism has created virtual sacred spaces where faith-based values intersect with civic life" (Campbell, 2005).

The 2020 #EndSARS protests in Nigeria exemplify how religious voices on digital media contributed to national conversations on police brutality and governance. Churches used their online platforms to organize vigils, offer moral guidance, and support protesters with prayer and resources, effectively merging spirituality with activism.

Interfaith Collaboration for Common Justice Goals: Interfaith partnerships are becoming increasingly relevant as societies grow more religiously diverse and polarized. Collaborative efforts among Christians, Muslims, and practitioners of African Traditional Religion provide a unified moral voice capable of addressing structural injustice. Nigerian peacebuilder Imam Muhammad Ashafa, in partnership with Pastor James Wuye, has demonstrated how interfaith dialogue can prevent violence and promote justice through the Interfaith Mediation Centre in Kaduna. Their joint initiatives on communal peace, human rights, and reconciliation have become models globally (Smock, 2002). As Emmanuel Katongole observes, "justice requires coalitions that transcend religious and ethnic boundaries, grounded in a shared vision of the common good" (Katongole, 2011, p. 101). Global organizations such as Religions for Peace and the Interreligious Council of Uganda exemplify how interfaith advocacy enhances credibility, reduces suspicion, and pools resources for larger impact.

Youth Engagement and Empowerment through Religious Platforms*:* With a majority of Africa's population under the age of 30, youth engagement is critical to sustained religious involvement in social justice. Religious platforms—churches, mosques, and religious youth fellowships—serve as strategic entry points for shaping the social consciousness of young people. African churches must intentionally disciple and empower youth to become peacebuilders, justice advocates, and ethical leaders (Agang, 2011). Programs such as the Christian Association of Nigeria (CAN) Youth Wing**,** the Muslim Students' Society of Nigeria**,** and ecumenical university fellowships serve not only as spiritual centers but also as platforms for civic education, skills acquisition, and social critique. Internationally, youth movements like Young Christian Climate Network *and* Faith for the Climate demonstrate the global potential of religious youth in social reform.

Mobilizing Faith-Based Resources for Education, Healthcare, and Legal Support: Faith-based institutions possess immense human, spiritual, and material resources that can be directed toward systemic transformation. Schools, hospitals, and legal aid centers run by religious bodies can be leveraged to empower the marginalized, educate communities, and protect the vulnerable. Nigerian churches such as the Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion) and the Roman Catholic Church continue to be major providers of healthcare and education in rural and underserved areas (Akinola 2017). Globally, organizations like Caritas Internationalis and World Vision integrate humanitarian aid with rights-based advocacy. As theologian David Hollenbach puts it, faith-based service must evolve from mere charity to justice-oriented empowerment that transforms oppressive systems (Hollenbach, 2002). In this way, religious actors not only meet immediate needs but also engage structural issues such as poverty, legal injustice, and lack of access to opportunity. Nigerian scholar Nimi Wariboko reinforces this by noting that when religious capital is mobilized holistically—spiritually and structurally—it becomes a force for liberating society from injustice (Wariboko, 2009).

CONCLUSION

This study has critically examined the challenges and opportunities facing religious communities as they seek to fulfill their prophetic mandate as agents of justice in a world fraught with socio-economic inequality, systemic injustice, and human rights abuses. The initial problem statement highlighted a crucial paradox: while religious traditions universally uphold justice as a divine imperative rooted in concepts like imago Dei (inherent human dignity) and the core tenet of love for neighbor, many institutions remain on the sidelines, their moral power in social justice debates largely untapped. The research confirmed the profound theological basis for engagement, drawing from Christian, Islamic, and African Traditional Religion sources, which consistently frame justice as a necessary expression of faithfulness and an intrinsic part of God's nature. This theological grounding is the ultimate resource that faith communities must reclaim to move beyond apathy.

The research further dissected the significant factors contributing to the contemporary silence of religious institutions, categorizing them into internal and external challenges. Internally, obstacles such as theological rigidity—which often spiritualizes the gospel to the neglect of its ethical demands—institutional apathy, and debilitating denominationalism weaken the collective moral force of religious actors. Externally, the pressures of political co-optation, secular opposition that seeks to privatize religion, and severe socio-economic limitations in under-resourced communities restrict effective advocacy. A major finding is the paralyzing fear of persecution or misrepresentation, which pushes leaders into self-censorship to protect institutional safety, thereby undermining their prophetic potential. These barriers illustrate that the difficulty in engagement is not a theological problem but a pragmatic one, rooted in institutional self-preservation and the politics of public discourse.

Despite these formidable challenges, the study identified significant opportunities for renewed and enhanced religious involvement, suggesting that a path forward is both necessary and possible. The burgeoning use of digital platforms and social media has revolutionized religious advocacy, transforming virtual space into a "prophetic pulpit" for real-time engagement and mobilization, as seen in the #EndSARS protests. Furthermore, the imperative of addressing complex global issues has fostered greater interfaith collaboration—exemplified by joint peacebuilding initiatives—which presents a unified and more credible moral voice. Crucially, the empowerment of youth through religious platforms and the strategic mobilization of faith-based resources (schools, hospitals, and legal aid centers) signal a shift from mere charity to systemic, justice-oriented empowerment. These opportunities leverage the unique assets of religious communities to effect profound societal transformation.

In conclusion, the journey for faith communities to reclaim their prophetic role demands an intentional, dual strategy: internal reform and external action. Internally, religious leaders must courageously challenge doctrinal rigidities, institutional inertia, and denominational divides, fostering a theology that prioritizes the public witness of justice. Externally, they must embrace digital tools for advocacy, build robust interfaith coalitions, and invest strategically in youth and resource mobilization to dismantle oppressive systems. The paradoxical reality of religion's untapped power will only be resolved when institutions stop choosing institutional comfort over prophetic truth. The study's contribution lies in identifying these actionable recommendations, fostering a new model where faith and justice are seen not as separate spheres, but as mutually reinforcing elements of a holistic mission for societal healing and the realization of human dignity.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are crucial for empowering faith communities to overcome institutional challenges and fully embrace their biblically mandated role as agents of social justice:

i. **Reorient Theology to Prioritize Prophetic Action and Systemic Justice**: Religious institutions must actively challenge theological rigidity and the tendency to "spiritualize the gospel to the point of neglecting its ethical and justice-oriented dimensions" (Okorocha, 2005, p. 125). Educational and preaching ministries should be reformed to emphasize that justice is not peripheral but intrinsic to the divine character, as articulated in the biblical mandate: "He has shown you, O mortal, what is good. And what does the Lord require of you? To act justly and to love mercy and to walk humbly with your God" (Micah 6:8). This demands a focus on systemic transformation, ensuring that institutional service evolves "from mere charity to justice-oriented empowerment that transforms oppressive systems" (Hollenbach, 2002), thereby making public witness an inseparable component of faithful discipleship.

ii. **Mobilize Resources for Empowerment and Legal Advocacy:** Faith-based organizations must strategically deploy their immense human and material resources to address the root causes of injustice, especially where socio-economic limitations prevail (Agang, 2011). This involves shifting the use of educational and health facilities from just relief to platforms for advocacy and the protection of the vulnerable. Institutions should establish and support legal aid centers and civic education programs to equip marginalized communities with the tools to challenge oppression, thus fulfilling the divine call to "Learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression; bring justice to the fatherless, plead the widow's cause" (Isaiah 1:17). By doing so, the collective religious capital is mobilized holistically—spiritually and structurally—it becomes a force for liberating society from injustice (Wariboko, 2009).

iii. **Cultivate Interfaith Unity to Amplify the Moral Voice**: To overcome the weakening effect of denominationalism and the fear of persecution (Smock, 2002), religious leaders should champion and participate in formal interfaith and ecumenical coalitions. Such unity is necessary to acquire the "moral force needed to challenge political injustice and societal corruption" (Katongole, 2011, p. 101) and minimize the risk of being neutralized by external pressures (Kukah, 2011). These collaborations should transcend religious and ethnic boundaries, focusing on shared ethical concerns to provide a unified moral voice. As Desmond Tutu's theology of reconciliation asserts, "If you are neutral in situations of injustice, you have chosen the side of the oppressor" (Tutu, 1999), making coalition-building a moral imperative to confront systemic evil and uphold the inherent dignity of every human being, rooted in the imago Dei (Genesis 1:27).

iv. **Invest in and Empower Youth for Digital and Civic Engagement**: Religious institutions must recognize and invest in youth as critical agents for sustained social justice engagement (Agang, 2011), fully embracing digital platforms as contemporary "prophetic pulpits" (Anyanwu, 2004). This requires training young people in digital activism, ethical social critique, and accountability mechanisms, moving their engagement beyond purely spiritual activities. By empowering youth to utilize modern tools, institutions enable them to embody the mission of liberation: "He has sent me to proclaim release to the captives and recovering of sight to the blind, to set at liberty those who are oppressed" (Luke 4:18-19), thus securing a dynamic, tech-savvy voice against modern forms of oppression.

REFERENCES

Agang, S. B. (2011). *The impact of ethnic, political, and religious violence on Northern Nigeria, and a theological reflection on its healing*. Langham Monographs.

Akinola, O. M. (2017). Faith-based organizations and social justice in Nigeria: The role of CHAN. *Nigerian Journal of Social Studies*, *20*(1), 209–221.

Alshehri, M. (2024). The role of religion in addressing contemporary social issues. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*. https://www.ajhssr.com/wp- content/uploads/2024/10/S24810196199.pdf

Anyanwu, C. (2004). *The church as a prophetic community: The task of the church in Africa*. Paulines Publications Africa.

BibleHub. (2025). *Social Justice*. https://biblehub.com/topical/s/social_justice.htm

Britannica. (2000). Religion. In *Encyclopedia Britannica*. https://www.britannica.com/topic/religion

Campbell, H. (2005). *Exploring religious community online: We are one in the network*. Peter Lang Publishing.

Casanova, J. (1994). *Public religions in the modern world*. University of Chicago Press.

Cone, J. H. (1997). *God of the oppressed*. Orbis Books.

Daou, F., & Driessen, M. D. (2025). Religious engagement in global affairs: A new interreligious dynamic for the good of humanity? *Religion and Development*, *3*(2), 117– 124. https://doi.org/10.30965/27507955-00302001

Durkheim, E. (1995). *The elementary forms of religious life* (K. E. Fields, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1912)

Everett, W. J. (1997). Assessing the engagement, evaluating the inquiry. In *The interpretation of religion: An interpretive perspective* (pp. 149–169). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195103748.003.0006

FollowingJesus.org. (2025). *Social Justice*. https://followingjesus.org/journey/social-justice/

Geertz, C. (1973). Religion as a cultural system. In *The interpretation of cultures* (pp. 87–125). Basic Books.

GotQuestions. (2023). *What does the Bible say about social justice?* https://www.gotquestions.org/social-justice.html

Gumi, A. (1999). *Shari'a law and social justice*. (The publisher is not provided for this source, so it is omitted).

Gutiérrez, G. (1988). *A theology of liberation: History, politics, and salvation*. Orbis Books. (Original work published 1971)

Hiebert, D. (2022). The recurring Christian debate about social justice. *Journal of Sociology and Christianity*. (The journal volume/issue and page numbers are not provided for this source, so they are omitted).

Hogue, D. (2003). *Remembering the future, imagining the past: Story, ritual, and the human brain*. Pilgrim Press.

Hollenbach, D. (2002). *The common good and Christian ethics*. Cambridge University Press.

Howard Community College. (2016). *Sociological perspectives on religion*. Pressbooks. https://pressbooks.howardcc.edu/soci101/chapter/17-3-sociological-perspectives-on- religion/

Hurd, E. S. (n.d.). Religious engagement. In *The politics of religion in international relations: A study of religion and conflict*. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.23943/princeton/9780691166094.003.0004

Karsenti, B. (2024). Justice et religion chez les modernes. *La Pensée*, *no420*(4), 52–63. https://doi.org/10.3917/lp.420.0052

Katongole, E. (2011). *The sacrifice of Africa: A political theology for Africa*. Eerdmans.

Kazakov, M. M. (2024). The correlation between legal consciousness and religious values in history and present times. *История Государства и Права*, *1*, 63–68. https://doi.org/10.18572/1812-3805-2024-1-63-68

Krishna, S., & H.S, S. (2024). Protection of human rights and ensuring social justice - a united nations perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, *12*(07), 897–901. https://doi.org/10.21474/ijar01/19127

Kukah, M. H. (2011). *Witness to justice: An insider's account of Nigeria's truth commission*. Bookcraft.

Lai, D. W. L. (2023). Social justice as well-being: A radical rethinking of social work and social policy. *China Journal of Social Work*. https://doi.org/10.1080/17525098.2023.2285049

Markham, I. S. (n.d.). A theology of engagement. In *The Blackwell Companion to Christian Ethics*. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470773611

Marshall, K., & Van Saanen, M. (2007). *Development and faith: Where mind, heart, and soul work together*. World Bank Publications.

Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African religions and philosophy*. Heinemann.

Okorocha, C. C. (2001). The gospel, justice, and the African church. In M. N. Getui & E. Katongole (Eds.), *Voices from Africa: Theology in the service of justice* (pp. 120–132). Acton Publishers.

Okorocha, C. C. (2005). Biblical and theological mandate for social justice. In A. E. Orobator (Ed.), *The gospel, culture and transformation: A Nigerian perspective* (pp. 118–130). Sefer Books.

Peace Theology. (2020). *Jesus and justice*. https://peacetheology.net/restorative-justice/6-jesus-and-justice/

Pew Research Center. (2025). *Global views of religion's impact on society*. https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2025/01/28/what-impact-do-people-around-the-world-think-religion-has-on-their-society/

Philpott, D. (2009). When Faith Meets History: The Influence of Religion on Transitional Justice (pp. 174–212). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511575730.009

Pinto Prieto, E. (2023). *Threats to the concept of social justice* [Preprint]. OSF. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/b9vj4

Prakash, R. (2015). *Concept of Social Justice* [Preprint]. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2589869

Rahmawati, U. D. (2023). The role of religion in contemporary society. *RAIS Journal for Social Sciences*, *9*(1), 1–15. https://journal.rais.education/index.php/raiss/article/download/203/168/364

Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Harvard University Press.

Schilbrack, K. (2022). The concept of religion. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/concept-religion/

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Anchor Books.

Smock, D. R. (2002). *Interfaith dialogue and peacebuilding*. United States Institute of Peace Press.

SpearIt. (2009). *Criminal justice & religion* [Preprint]. Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1651139

Stan, L. (2023). Transitional justice and religion (pp. 125–139). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802202519.00017

Tanner, K. (1997). *Theories of culture: A new agenda for theology*. Fortress Press.

The Holy Bible. (2007). New Revised Standard Version. HarperOne.

Thoresen, C. E. (2002). Spirituality, religion, and health: Evidence, issues, and concerns. In H. G. Koenig & H. J. Cohen (Eds.), *Spirituality and health: Multidisciplinary explorations* (pp. 8–28). Oxford University Press.

Tutu, D. (1999). *No future without forgiveness*. Doubleday.

Van Reken, C. P. (n.d.). *The church's role in social justice*. (The publisher is not provided for this source, so it is omitted).

VanderWeele, T. J. (2017). Religious communities and human flourishing. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *26*(6), 509–515. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5665144/

Waghid, Y. (2023). On the (im)possibility of religious (dis)engagement. *Interreligious Studies and Intercultural Theology*. https://doi.org/10.1558/isit.26882

Wariboko, N. (2009). *The principle of excellence: A framework for social ethics*. Lexington Books.

Wolff, H. W. (1973). *Amos the prophet: The man and his background*. Fortress Press.

Wolterstorff, N. (2008). *Justice: Rights and wrongs*. Princeton University Press.