Vol. 9 Issue 2 February - 2025, Pages: 169-175

Risk-Based Decision-Making: Strategies For Managing Uncertainty In Business

Tobi Solomon¹ Onyachom festus E² Urhode Ovie Reuben³

Department of Business Administration and Management, Federal Polytechnic, Orogun, Delta State, Nigeria 1,2&3

Abstract: In today's dynamic business environment, uncertainty poses significant challenges to effective decision-making. Risk-Based Decision-Making (RBDM) strategies have become essential for organizations seeking to navigate unpredictable market conditions. This study examines the role of AI and data analytics in enhancing RBDM, the influence of organizational culture and leadership, and the effectiveness of a hybrid risk management approach. Using an empirical research design, data were collected from 200 organizations through surveys and 20 in-depth interviews with senior managers. Statistical analysis, including regression and factor analysis, was conducted to assess key determinants of effective risk-based decision-making. Findings revealed that AI and data analytics significantly improve risk assessment, while innovation-driven cultures and adaptive leadership facilitate technology adoption. A hybrid risk management approach—combining traditional expertise with advanced analytics—was found to be most effective. The study recommends increased AI investment, leadership development for digital transformation, and blended risk management strategies to enhance decision-making in uncertain environments.

Keywords: Risk-Based Decision-Making, AI, Data Analytics, Organizational Culture, Hybrid Risk Management.

INTRODUCTION

In today's business environment, uncertainty is an inevitable challenge driven by technological disruptions, geopolitical instability, market volatility, and environmental risks. To navigate these uncertainties, organizations have increasingly turned to risk-based decision-making (RBDM) strategies, which allow them to identify, assess, and prioritize risks while aligning decision-making processes with strategic objectives. By integrating both risk mitigation and the exploration of potential opportunities, RBDM enables businesses to proactively manage risks while also leveraging them for competitive advantage (Dionne, 2013). RBDM has gained significant traction across various sectors, including finance, healthcare, manufacturing, and technology. In these industries, businesses are increasingly realizing that effective risk management not only minimizes potential threats but also opens up opportunities for growth, innovation, and market differentiation (Koller, 2018). Traditional risk management approaches focused primarily on minimizing losses, but RBDM broadens this perspective by encouraging organizations to consider risks as both potential threats and opportunities (Hubbard, 2009). This strategic approach to risk is particularly valuable in today's fast-paced, complex business landscape.

The integration of advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), into RBDM has revolutionized the way businesses manage uncertainty. Cox & Tait (2003) argue that AI can significantly enhance strategic and operational decision-making by providing businesses with data-driven insights that improve risk assessment and facilitate real-time decision-making. Their research highlights the value of AI in optimizing both short-term operational decisions and long-term strategic goals, enabling companies to make informed decisions in environments characterized by uncertainty.

Further emphasizing the importance of data in risk management, McKinsey & Company (2023) outline a set of strategies for organizations to embrace dynamic, data-driven risk management practices. These strategies include resetting risk management aspirations, adopting agile practices, leveraging analytics to inform decisions, and fostering a culture of risk awareness throughout the organization. McKinsey's framework stresses that risk management should be more than just a defensive function; it should be integrated into the organization's strategic decision-making process, allowing companies to adapt quickly and efficiently to emerging risks and opportunities. The financial sector, in particular, has long recognized the importance of structured risk management practices. Attah et al (2024) note that Nigerian banks are increasingly adopting AI-powered solutions to manage operational risks more effectively. Their work emphasizes that traditional risk management frameworks, while necessary, must evolve to address the complexities of modern financial markets. Advanced technologies and predictive analytics are crucial in improving decision-making, allowing financial institutions to respond faster to emerging risks and capitalize on new opportunities.

RBDM has become an essential approach for businesses navigating the uncertainties of the modern marketplace. By leveraging advanced technologies like AI and integrating risk management into broader business strategies, organizations can effectively manage risks while driving innovation and ensuring long-term success.

Objectives of the Study

The specific objectives of the study are to:

ISSN: 2643-900X

Vol. 9 Issue 2 February - 2025, Pages: 169-175

- 1. Examine how artificial intelligence (AI) improves decision-making in managing risks within businesses.
- 2. Investigate how data analytics and predictive models help businesses handle uncertainty and make better decisions.
- 3. Assess how risk-based decision-making strategies contribute to business resilience and long-term success.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Framework

To understand risk-based decision-making (RBDM), it is crucial first to define the fundamental concepts of *decision-making*, *uncertainty*, and *risk management*. These concepts serve as the foundation for analyzing how businesses navigate complex environments and the strategies they employ to mitigate uncertainty and risk.

Decision-Making in Business

Decision-making in business refers to the cognitive and procedural processes by which individuals or groups select the best course of action to achieve the organization's goals. Simon (1997) defines decision-making as the process of identifying and choosing among alternatives based on the available information, individual judgment, and organizational constraints. While decision-making often involves structured processes, it can also occur under conditions of ambiguity and uncertainty. In business, decisions are typically categorized into *strategic*, *tactical*, and *operational* decisions, with strategic decisions shaping the long-term direction of the company, tactical decisions focusing on resource allocation, and operational decisions concerned with day-to-day activities (Mintzberg, 1994). Effective decision-making in business is a critical determinant of organizational success. However, as decision-makers are not always equipped with complete information or the ability to forecast every future outcome, business decisions often unfold in the face of uncertainty. Decision-making models, such as the *rational model* or *bounded rationality*, provide frameworks for understanding how decisions are made under different conditions (Simon, 1997; Herbert, 1991).

Uncertainty in Business

Uncertainty, as discussed by Knight (1921), refers to the inability to predict future outcomes with certainty. In business, uncertainty stems from various sources, including market volatility, technological advancements, regulatory changes, and competitive pressures. Organizational uncertainty can be further categorized into *external* and *internal* uncertainty. External uncertainty arises from uncontrollable factors such as changes in the economy, consumer preferences, or new market entrants. Internal uncertainty, on the other hand, is related to an organization's internal processes, such as supply chain management, employee performance, and innovation capabilities (Milliken, 1987). The degree of uncertainty influences the decision-making process, as higher uncertainty complicates the ability to forecast outcomes and risks accurately. In conditions of high uncertainty, decision-makers are often forced to rely on judgment, experience, and heuristics, which can sometimes lead to suboptimal decisions. This is where risk-based decision-making becomes particularly valuable, as it helps to structure the decision process to account for both the likelihood of different outcomes and the associated risks.

Risk Management and Risk-Based Decision-Making

Risk management is defined as the process of identifying, assessing, and controlling risks that could potentially affect an organization's objectives (Hillson & Murray-Webster, 2007). Traditional risk management focuses on mitigating risks that are perceived as threats to an organization's survival, such as financial losses or operational disruptions. In contrast, *risk-based decision-making* (RBDM) emphasizes balancing risk and opportunity. It allows organizations to not only protect themselves from negative outcomes but also to seek out opportunities that arise from uncertainty (Aven, 2016). RBDM integrates risk management into the decision-making process by evaluating both the risks and rewards of different alternatives. It involves several stages, including risk identification, risk assessment, risk prioritization, and risk mitigation strategies (Aven, 2016). Businesses that adopt RBDM are better equipped to deal with uncertainty and change, as they are continuously assessing their exposure to risk and adapting their strategies to ensure long-term sustainability.

Literature on the Subject Matter

The Role of Decision-Making in Managing Uncertainty

The integration of decision-making and uncertainty is fundamental to effective risk management. In business environments where uncertainty is high, decision-making becomes even more complex. Decision-makers must navigate various types of uncertainty, including environmental and organizational uncertainty, and employ appropriate strategies to reduce their exposure to risk.

Bounded Rationality and Decision-Making

The theory of bounded rationality, introduced by Herbert Simon (1997), suggests that decision-makers operate under cognitive and informational constraints that limit their ability to make purely rational decisions. According to this theory, individuals do not have access to all the necessary information or time to evaluate every possible option. Instead, they use heuristics or simplified decision rules to make decisions that are "good enough" under the circumstances. In uncertain environments, bounded rationality plays a

ISSN: 2643-900X

Vol. 9 Issue 2 February - 2025, Pages: 169-175

critical role in decision-making, as decision-makers must rely on their experience and available data to make reasonable choices. However, while bounded rationality allows for quicker decision-making, it also exposes organizations to potential biases and errors in judgment. These errors can be exacerbated under conditions of uncertainty when decision-makers lack the full picture of a situation. As a result, organizations must develop strategies to minimize the impact of bounded rationality, such as through better information systems or collaborative decision-making processes that gather diverse perspectives (Gigerenzer & Todd, 1999; Herbert, 1991).

The Role of Heuristics in Uncertainty

In uncertain environments, decision-makers often rely on heuristics—mental shortcuts or rules of thumb—that simplify complex problems and lead to quicker decisions. While heuristics can be effective in certain contexts, they can also lead to cognitive biases that distort the decision-making process (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974). For instance, the *availability heuristic* causes individuals to overestimate the likelihood of events based on how easily examples come to mind. In the context of business, such biases may lead to an overestimation of risks or missed opportunities. Heuristics and biases are particularly relevant in risk-based decision-making, as they can shape how risks are perceived and prioritized. Understanding the role of heuristics in decision-making is crucial for improving risk management processes, especially when dealing with uncertainty. Training decision-makers to recognize and mitigate biases can improve the quality of decisions and lead to better business outcomes (Bazerman & Moore, 2012).

Risk-Based Decision-Making: Theory and Application

Risk-based decision-making (RBDM) is an essential tool for organizations seeking to make informed decisions in the face of uncertainty. RBDM integrates risk assessments into the decision process, allowing businesses to evaluate both potential rewards and the risks associated with different alternatives. This section explores various theories and models of RBDM, as well as its application in contemporary business contexts.

Models of Risk-Based Decision-Making

There are several models of RBDM that organizations can use to structure their decision-making processes. One of the most widely known models is the *Expected Utility Theory* (Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944), which suggests that individuals make decisions by evaluating the expected value of different outcomes and selecting the option with the highest utility. This model provides a rational framework for decision-making but assumes that decision-makers have perfect knowledge of probabilities and outcomes, which is often unrealistic in uncertain business environments. Alternatively, *Prospect Theory* (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979) offers a more nuanced understanding of decision-making under uncertainty. According to Prospect Theory, individuals tend to overvalue losses relative to gains, a phenomenon known as *loss aversion*. This bias can influence business decisions, causing decision-makers to avoid risky choices even when the potential for gain outweighs the risk. Understanding how loss aversion affects decision-making is important for businesses that need to balance risk and reward in uncertain environments.

Technological Advancements in Risk-Based Decision-Making

Advances in technology, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and big data analytics, have transformed how businesses approach risk-based decision-making. AI algorithms are increasingly being used to process large volumes of data, identify patterns, and make predictions about future risks. Aven (2016) explore how AI enhances decision-making in business by providing real-time risk assessments, enabling decision-makers to respond more quickly and effectively to emerging risks. Machine learning techniques, such as predictive analytics, are used to forecast potential risks based on historical data. These technologies enable organizations to anticipate changes in market conditions, customer behavior, or supply chain disruptions, which can significantly reduce the uncertainty surrounding business decisions (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). Moreover, big data analytics allows businesses to integrate data from various sources, such as social media, financial reports, and customer feedback, to create more accurate and holistic risk profiles.

The Role of Data Analytics in Risk Management

Data analytics has become a key component of modern risk management strategies. By leveraging data from internal and external sources, businesses can identify trends and patterns that inform their risk assessments. Liu and Xu (2020) discuss how data analytics enables businesses to manage risk more effectively by providing insights into potential threats and opportunities. Predictive analytics, for example, allows organizations to model various risk scenarios and assess their potential impact, enabling more informed decision-making (Bihari & Shyamsundar, 2018). In sectors such as finance and healthcare, where risks are multifaceted and often complex, data analytics has proven to be particularly valuable. For instance, financial institutions use data-driven models to predict market fluctuations, while healthcare organizations rely on analytics to identify risks related to patient safety, treatment efficacy, and operational efficiency.

The Importance of Organizational Culture in Risk-Based Decision-Making

ISSN: 2643-900X

Vol. 9 Issue 2 February - 2025, Pages: 169-175

An often-overlooked aspect of risk-based decision-making is the role of organizational culture. According to Arena et al. (2010), the success of risk management practices is highly dependent on the organization's culture and its approach to risk awareness. Organizations that foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and open communication are better equipped to identify and manage risks in a proactive manner. A strong risk management culture involves embedding risk considerations into every level of decision-making, from top leadership to operational staff. This includes promoting risk awareness, encouraging the reporting of potential risks, and ensuring that risk management is not viewed as solely the responsibility of a specific department, but as a collective effort across the organization (Cox & Tait, 2003).

Risk-Based Decision-Making in Finance

The financial sector has long been a pioneer in the application of risk management techniques. Financial institutions are particularly exposed to various risks, such as market volatility, credit risk, and liquidity risk. Attah and Onalo (2024) highlight the growing adoption of AI and data analytics in Nigerian banks to improve risk management. These technologies are enabling banks to better assess credit risk, detect fraud, and predict liquidity crises, thereby enhancing their decision-making processes and ensuring financial stability.

Risk-Based Decision-Making in Healthcare

Healthcare organizations also face significant risks, particularly related to patient safety, regulatory compliance, and resource allocation. Data analytics and predictive modeling are increasingly being used in healthcare settings to forecast patient outcomes, optimize treatment plans, and improve operational efficiency. Sharma and Sharma (2022) emphasize how data-driven decision-making in healthcare helps mitigate risks by identifying early warning signs of potential issues, such as hospital readmissions or adverse drug reactions.

Risk-based decision-making is essential for businesses operating in uncertain environments. By integrating risk assessments into decision-making processes, organizations can better navigate uncertainty and position themselves for long-term success. As businesses continue to face unpredictable challenges, technological advancements such as AI, machine learning, and big data analytics are enhancing the ability to manage risks proactively. Furthermore, a strong organizational culture that emphasizes risk awareness and transparency is crucial for the success of risk management strategies. Future research should continue to explore the evolving role of technology in risk management and examine how different industries are adapting to the challenges of managing uncertainty.

METHODOLOGY

The research employed a mixed-methods design, combining qualitative and quantitative approaches. This design was chosen to gain a deep, contextual understanding of risk-based decision-making while also enabling generalizable statistical insights across a broader population. Qualitative Approach: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with senior managers, risk managers, and decision-makers from various business sectors to understand their strategies, tools, and challenges in managing risk. The interviews offered in-depth perspectives on the organizational practices involved in risk-based decision-making. Quantitative Approach: A structured survey was distributed to a larger sample of organizations to quantify risk management practices and examine the relationship between uncertainty, decision-making strategies, and organizational performance.

Data Collection

Data were gathered through two primary methods: **interviews** for qualitative data and a **survey** for quantitative data.

- Interviews: A sample of 20-30 senior managers and risk managers was selected from diverse industries (e.g., finance, healthcare, manufacturing, retail). The interviews were semi-structured, allowing flexibility to explore key themes while covering predefined topics such as the role of uncertainty in decision-making, risk assessment methods, and the influence of technology like AI in managing risk. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed for analysis.
- **Survey**: A structured survey was administered to 200 respondents from various industries. The survey included a mix of closed-ended questions (e.g., Likert scales) and specific questions regarding risk management practices, technological tools (such as AI and data analytics), and decision-making effectiveness under uncertainty. The survey was distributed online via platforms like Survey Monkey and Google Forms, and respondents were reminded twice to encourage participation.

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using a combination of thematic analysis for qualitative data and statistical methods for quantitative data.

• Qualitative Analysis: Thematic analysis was applied to the interview data. Transcripts were coded using **NVivo** software to identify key themes related to risk management and decision-making under uncertainty. Initial codes were developed based on the research objectives, with subsequent refinement as patterns and themes emerged. For example, common themes included the integration of AI in decision-making and varying levels of organizational risk tolerance.

Table 1 presents an example of how themes were categorized during coding:

Theme	Sub-theme	Example Code
Types of Uncertainty	Market volatility	"External market fluctuations"
Risk Assessment Tools	Use of AI and Data	"AI-powered decision support"
Organizational Culture	Risk tolerance	"Innovative risk-taking culture"
	·-	·

Source: Own study

• Quantitative Analysis: The survey data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics summarized the responses, including the mean and standard deviation for questions regarding risk management tools and organizational practices.

Table 2 shows the mean ratings for various tools used in risk management:

Risk Management Tool	Mean	Standard Deviation
AI for Risk Prediction	4.2	0.8
Data Analytics for Decision-Making	4.5	1.0
Traditional Risk Assessment	3.1	1.2

Source: Own Study

Inferential statistics, including Pearson correlation and regression analysis, were used to examine relationships between the use of technological tools and the effectiveness of decision-making. For instance, a strong positive correlation (r = 0.75, p < 0.01) was found between AI usage and decision-making effectiveness.

Table 3 presents the correlation matrix for key variables:

Variable	Risk Predictio	on (AI) Organizational P	erformance Decision-Making Effectiveness
Risk Prediction (AI)	1	0.68**	0.75**
Organizational Performance	0.68**	1	0.71**
Decision-Making Effectivenes	s 0.75**	0.71**	1

Source: Own study

• Factor Analysis: Factor analysis was also employed to identify underlying dimensions of risk-based decision-making. The analysis revealed three critical factors: Technological Integration, Risk Awareness, and Organizational Culture, all of which were found to impact decision-making effectiveness.

Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Findings

The qualitative and quantitative findings were integrated to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the research problem. For example, the interview data revealed that AI tools were being increasingly adopted for decision-making in complex and uncertain environments, a finding that was corroborated by the quantitative data showing a strong positive relationship between AI usage and decision-making effectiveness. By combining both types of data, the study was able to triangulate the results and provide a richer, more nuanced understanding of how uncertainty affects decision-making and the role that technological tools, organizational culture, and leadership play in shaping these processes.

Validity and Reliability

To ensure the rigor and credibility of the study, several strategies were employed: Pilot Testing: A pilot test was conducted with a small sample (n = 10) of decision-makers to refine the survey and interview protocols. Feedback from this pilot phase led to adjustments in the wording of certain survey questions, Triangulation: Data triangulation was applied by combining interview and survey data, allowing for cross-validation of findings. This approach ensured that the results from one data source (e.g., interviews) supported and corroborated the results from the other (e.g., surveys). Member Checking: After the interviews were transcribed and

ISSN: 2643-900X

Vol. 9 Issue 2 February - 2025, Pages: 169-175

analyzed, participants were invited to review the findings to ensure the accuracy of the interpretations. This process helped to confirm that the themes identified were reflective of the participants' actual views.

Results and Discussion

Qualitative Findings

From the interviews with 20 senior managers and risk managers, several key themes emerged:

- Types of Uncertainty: Businesses faced both external uncertainty (e.g., market fluctuations, regulatory changes) and internal uncertainty (e.g., operational inefficiencies, organizational culture). External uncertainty, particularly market volatility, was a major challenge in decision-making.
- **Risk Assessment Tools**: AI and data analytics were identified as key tools for risk prediction and decision-making. Traditional risk assessment methods were still used, but AI was valued for its predictive capabilities.
- Organizational Culture and Leadership: Companies with a culture of innovation and risk tolerance were more likely to adopt AI and other advanced tools, leading to better decision-making outcomes. Leadership style significantly impacted how these tools were integrated into decision-making processes.

Quantitative Findings

- Use of Technological Tools: AI (mean = 4.2) and data analytics (mean = 4.5) were the most effective tools for risk management, far outpacing traditional methods (mean = 3.1).
- Impact of Culture and Leadership: Regression analysis showed that organizational culture ($\beta = 0.52$, p < 0.01) and leadership ($\beta = 0.45$, p < 0.05) were strong predictors of risk management effectiveness. Risk-tolerant leadership and innovative culture were linked to better outcomes in decision-making under uncertainty.
- Risk-Based Decision-Making Factors: Factor analysis identified three key dimensions affecting decision-making: Technological Integration, Risk Awareness, and Organizational Culture, with technological integration being the most significant factor.

Discussion

The study found that businesses increasingly rely on AI and data analytics to manage uncertainty and enhance decision-making. Technological integration was a critical factor, supporting earlier studies like **Attah and Onalo (2024)**, who highlighted the role of AI in improving risk management. The findings also align with **Mikhailov (2017)**, who noted AI's ability to reduce decision-making errors. Furthermore, **organizational culture** and **leadership style** were key influences on how businesses approach risk management. Companies with a culture of innovation and flexibility were more likely to adopt advanced risk management tools, leading to better decision-making. These findings resonate with **DeCarolis et al. (2020)** and **Teece (2018)**, who found that organizational culture and leadership significantly affect technological adoption.

The results suggest that businesses benefit from a hybrid approach to risk management, combining both traditional and technological tools. This approach, as supported by **Siddiqui and** Akhtar (2021), helps businesses balance human expertise with technological advancements, improving overall risk management effectiveness.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This study has highlighted the growing importance of risk-based decision-making (RBDM) strategies in navigating uncertainty within business organizations. It has found that businesses increasingly rely on AI and data analytics to enhance decision-making accuracy and speed, especially when facing external challenges such as market volatility and regulatory changes. Additionally, the research identified that organizational culture and leadership play pivotal roles in adopting and effectively integrating these advanced technologies into decision-making processes. Companies with a culture of innovation and risk tolerance were more successful in leveraging AI for risk management, while risk-averse cultures were slower to adopt these technologies. The findings further emphasized the significance of a hybrid risk management approach—one that combines traditional risk assessment techniques, such as expert judgment, with modern technological tools. This approach enables businesses to make more informed, balanced decisions that incorporate both qualitative and quantitative insights. Overall, the study stresses the need for organizations to integrate technological advancements, foster a conducive organizational culture, and combine old and new risk management practices to effectively manage uncertainty and improve decision-making processes.

Recommendations

1. Organizations should prioritize the integration of AI and data analytics tools to improve risk prediction and decision-making accuracy. Investing in the necessary infrastructure and training will allow businesses to better manage risks in real-time.

- 2. Companies should encourage a culture that embraces innovation and calculated risk-taking. Leadership should promote the adoption of new technologies and ensure teams are empowered to experiment with innovative solutions in risk management.
- 3. Organizations should combine traditional risk management methods with modern technologies like AI to create a more comprehensive decision-making process. Regular risk reviews and scenario planning exercises should be conducted to adapt to emerging risks.

References

- Arena, M., Azzone, G., & Bengo, I. (2010). A stakeholder theory approach to the implementation of risk management in business organizations. International Journal of Project Management, 28(7), 653-661.
- Attah, E.Y., Onalo, S.M., and Obi-Anike, H.O. (2024). Leveraging artificial intelligence in enhancing strategic and operational decision-making in business organizations. *International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research* 8(12), 152-155.
- Attah, E.Y., Ogwuche, L.A., and Aliyu, J.A. (2024), Emotional intelligence in leadership: a key to building resilient teams, *International Journal of Academic and Applied Research* 8(12), 20-23
- Aven, T. (2016). Risk analysis: Assessing uncertainties beyond expected values and probabilities. Wiley.
- Bazerman, M. H., & Moore, D. A. (2012). Judgment in managerial decision making. Wiley.
- Bihari, S., & Shyamsundar, R. (2018). *Leveraging analytics for risk-based decision making*. International Journal of Risk and Management, 15(4), 222-234.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Cox, L. A., & Tait, P. (2003). Risk-based decision-making in business: Principles and practices. Journal of Business Risk, 12(2), 44-59.
- Dionne, G. (2013). Risk management: History, evolution, and current issues. Risk Management and Insurance Review, 16(1), 1-13.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Todd, P. M. (1999). Simple heuristics that make us smart. Oxford University Press.
- Hillson, D., & Murray-Webster, R. (2007). Understanding and managing risk attitude. Routledge.
- Hubbard, D. W. (2009). The failure of risk management: Why it's broken and how to fix it. Wiley.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47(2), 263-291.
- Koller, G. (2018). Managing risk and uncertainty in decision-making: Concepts, methods, and tools. Springer.
- Liu, Y., & Xu, H. (2020). *Harnessing data analytics for risk-based decision-making in financial institutions*. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13(1), 42-56.
- McKinsey & Company. (2023). *Meeting the future: Dynamic risk management for uncertain times*. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/risk-and-resilience
- Milliken, F. J. (1987). Three types of uncertainty about the environment: State, effect, and response uncertainty. Academy of Management Review, 12(1), 133-143.
- Simon, H. A. (1997). *Administrative behavior