

Leadership in Diverse Workplaces: Key to Organisational Performance

Chibuzoma Richard Arungwa

Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Business and Management Sciences, Topland University, Hoogstraat 18 – 22, Willemstad, Curacao, Kingdom of the Netherlands.

Abstract: *This study examines the role of leadership in diverse workplaces, with a focus on its impact on organizational performance, grounded in Social Categorization Theory. Analyzing various leadership styles, including Transactional, Bureaucratic, Transformational, Autocratic, Democratic, and Charismatic Leadership, a comprehensive review of existing literature reveals that effective leadership is crucial for organizational success. The findings suggest that Transformational, Democratic, and Charismatic Leadership styles have a positive impact on organizational performance, while Transactional, Bureaucratic, and Autocratic styles have a negative impact. The paper recommends that to improve organizational performance, organizations should create a work environment that encourages employee participation, creativity, and responsibility, and invest in training and development programs to help leaders develop effective leadership styles. By adopting these strategies, organizations can unlock the full potential of their diverse workforce and achieve sustainable competitive advantage, contributing valuable insights to the existing body of knowledge on leadership and organizational performance for practitioners and researchers alike.*

Keywords: Diverse Workplaces, Organizational Performance, Workplace Diversity, Leadership Styles.

1 Introduction

Workforce diversity has emerged as a critical strategic pillar for organizations seeking to promote justice and fairness in the workplace (Ng & Sears, 2012; Mor Barak, 2015). The principle of equal opportunity underpins this trend, as diversity is recognized as a key driver of business efficiency and effectiveness (Henry & Evans, 2007). The increasing globalization of workforces, fueled by immigration and international collaboration, has created complex and diverse work environments (Johnston, 1991; Kundu, 2003; Adler, 1997; Henry & Evans, 2007).

Workforce diversity, defined as the presence of multiple characteristics among employees, has become a pressing concern for organizational scholars and managers (Cho, Ahraemi & Mor Barak, 2017). In organizational contexts, diversity encompasses both similarities and differences among members, including aspects such as gender, age, culture, disability, and physical abilities (Saxena, 2014). Ultimately, organizational performance is the critical outcome variable for researchers across various management disciplines, as it directly impacts corporate survival and profitability in competitive markets (Rafaqat et al., 2022).

The concept of workforce diversity and its impact on organizational performance has gained significant attention in the management and organizational behavior literature over the past five decades. As Johnnie (1988) notes, organizations are essentially systems of interdependent human activities, and their survival depends on the contributions of various units composed of people. De Paula (1967) further emphasizes that organizational structures without human activity are mere skeletons, and it is people who bring organizations to life and drive their success. The diverse cultural and ethnic backgrounds of organizational members bring different behaviors, personalities, and motivations to the workplace, directly influencing the organization's structure, performance, and functioning. Recent environmental trends, including the rapidly changing composition of the workforce, have significant implications for organizations.

Workforce diversity and ethical practices are essential components of any successful organization. According to Nkomo and Cox (1996), diversity and organizational culture can have both positive and negative impacts on an organization and society as a whole. Therefore, understanding diversity is crucial for employees to effectively address problems and enhance performance. Carrell (2006) defines diversity in the workplace as the differences among employees that can influence their relationships and tasks, including variations in education, culture, religion, beliefs, and ethnicity.

Effective leadership in diverse workplaces has become a critical issue in modern organizations (Higgs, 2009). The increasing diversity of the workforce, driven by globalization, immigration, and demographic changes, has created new challenges for leaders (Cox & Blake, 1991). Despite the benefits of diversity, such as improved innovation, creativity, and problem-solving (Ely & Thomas, 2001), many organizations struggle to manage diversity effectively, leading to decreased performance, low morale, and high turnover rates (Kochan et al., 2003).

Research has shown that leadership style and behavior play a crucial role in managing diversity and promoting inclusion (Randel, 2003). However, many leaders lack the necessary skills and competencies to lead diverse teams effectively (Hite & McDonald,

2008). Furthermore, the lack of diversity in leadership positions themselves perpetuates the problem, as it limits the perspectives and experiences that leaders bring to the table (Davidson, 2011).

Therefore, this study aims to investigate the relationship between leadership and organizational performance in diverse workplaces, with a focus on identifying the key leadership competencies and behaviors that promote inclusion, diversity, and organizational success.

2 Conceptual Review

2.1 The Concept of Workplace Diversity

Scholars debate the definition of diversity, with some arguing that diversity based on race, ethnicity, and gender cannot be understood in the same way as diversity based on organizational functions, abilities, or cognitive orientations. A narrow definition may overlook the complexities of diversity, while a broad definition may render the concept meaningless (Nkomo, 1995). Proponents of a broad definition argue that diversity encompasses all possible differences among people, including values, abilities, and personality (Jackson, May & Whitney, 1995). They contend that individuals have multiple identities that cannot be isolated in an organizational setting. A broad definition is necessary to recognize the interactive power of diversity constructs, such as sexual orientation, gendered role allocation, age, and religion (Iyamabhor et al., 2023).

Unlike diversity, which focuses on representation, inclusion is about creating a sense of belonging and connection among employees. It's about making people feel valued, respected, and empowered to contribute their unique talents and experiences (Mohamed, 2020). When employees feel included, they're more engaged, productive, and committed to their work. Inclusion also fosters a culture of equality, where diverse individuals can thrive and grow, ultimately driving better business outcomes (Mohamed, 2020).

Diversity encompasses various parameters that distinguish individuals and groups, including visible and invisible characteristics (Foma, 2014). In the workplace, diversity refers to the individual differences among employees, spanning linguistics, race, ethnicity, culture, education, religion, gender, age, physical abilities, and experience (Elsaid, 2012). A diversified workforce comprises individuals with unique backgrounds, origins, and experiences, making diversity an inherent aspect of modern workplaces (Srivastava & Agarwal, 2012).

The concept of workplace diversity emerged in the 1980s as a means to enhance organizational creativity, productivity, and competitiveness (Roberson & Kulik, 2007). Achieving workplace diversity is crucial for organizations to increase profitability, but it requires developing and maintaining an organizational culture that values diversity (Roberson & Kulik, 2007). This culture fosters a positive corporate image and creates an environment conducive to workforce diversity (Foma, 2014). Morgan and Várdy (2009) define workplace diversity as a broad spectrum of differences that influence interpersonal relationships and business outcomes. A diversified workplace is characterized by staff members with distinct attributes, including heterogeneous qualities such as values, beliefs, and lifestyle (Lockwood, 2005).

A business strategy focused on global competition can drive workforce diversity, ultimately shaping a company's culture (Samira, 2024). This involves hiring employees from diverse backgrounds, including differences in thought processes, education, religion, and culture (Samira, 2024). To effectively manage diversity, companies must understand the interactions between diverse groups (Jerónimo, Henriques & Carvalho, 2022). Senior management plays a key role in promoting diversity through policy development, articulating company values, and holding managers accountable (Williams & Wade-Golden, 2023). By prioritizing diversity management, companies can foster an inclusive environment that values diverse perspectives, driving business growth and success.

2.2 Transformational Leadership

Leadership is a highly debated topic in modern management due to its crucial role in organizational success (Ogbeidi, 2012). At its core, leadership refers to the ability and process of guiding others, involving individuals or groups initiating and leading the way for others to follow. The concept of leadership has sparked discussion, particularly regarding the qualities required for effective leadership. A leader is expected to demonstrate characteristics such as good character, vision, tact, and prudence, as well as lead by example (Ogbeidi, 2012). According to David and Moses (2014), leadership is a dynamic process where individuals collaborate to drive change and develop a shared vision. Ukaidi (2016) defines leadership as the influence exerted by one individual to inspire others to perform beyond the minimum requirements. Eze (1982), as cited in Igbaekemen (2014), views leadership as a relational concept involving both the influencer and the influenced, emphasizing that leadership cannot exist without followers.

Leadership has its roots in the earliest forms of social interaction, where individuals influence and motivate one another. Transformational leadership emerges when leaders and followers mutually inspire each other to achieve higher levels of motivation and morality. These leaders foster strong relationships with their supervisors and contribute significantly to the organization's success, creating more value than transactional leaders. Transformational leaders encourage employees to exceed their job

requirements, focusing on their higher-level needs, and act as mentors, advisors, and role models. They promote a culture of trust, respect, and challenge, inspiring employees to work towards the organization's goals beyond their own self-interests (Khorshid & Pashazadeh, 2014). Transformational leaders inspire growth and prosperity by introducing innovative ideas and fresh perspectives, paving a new path forward for the organization. By fostering commitment, passion, and loyalty among managers and staff, they empower organizational members to drive fundamental changes, building the capacity to navigate new directions and achieve exceptional performance (Mirkamali et al., 2014).

Transformational leadership is highly relevant in managerial contexts, enabling leaders to inspire employees to exceed expectations and fostering intrinsic motivation (Thomas & Velthouse, 1990). This leadership style consists of four key components: idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration (Bass, 1990). Idealized influence involves leaders providing a clear sense of mission and vision (Rafferty & Griffin, 2004). Inspirational motivation focuses on emotionally engaging employees, building confidence, and providing constructive feedback. Individualized consideration entails leaders supporting each team member through tailored training, coaching, and supervision (Yukl, 1999). Intellectual stimulation encourages employees to adapt to new situations and technologies, helping to overcome obstacles (Bednall et al., 2018). Transformational leaders recognize that employees require this style of leadership when facing stressful or meaningful work.

2.3 Organisational Performance

When evaluating organizational performance, it's essential to distinguish between "productivity" and "performance". Productivity measures the volume of work completed within a given timeframe, but performance encompasses a broader range of metrics, including effectiveness, efficiency, economy, quality, and consistency (Heffernan, M., & Flood, 2000; Ricardo, R., & Wade, 2001). Researchers have proposed various conceptualizations of organizational performance, highlighting the importance of considering different constituencies and dimensions (Carton, 2004).

The concept of performance has gained significant attention in recent decades, with various definitions and interpretations emerging across different fields. The financial crisis has further emphasized the need for improvement in organizational performance. However, the concept of performance is often confused with related notions such as productivity, efficiency, and profitability. As a result, there is a growing need for a clear and unambiguous definition of performance. The concept of performance has evolved over time, and currently, it is closely tied to achieving targeted objectives, making it a relative and context-dependent measure (Ion & Criveanu, 2016). The concept of performance is subjective and multifaceted, leading to various definitions in the literature (Didier, 2002; Lebas, 1995; Whooley, 1996). Didier defines performance as achieving goals aligned with organizational objectives, emphasizing the importance of comparing outcomes with set targets (Didier, 2002).

In contrast, Michel Lebas characterizes performance as future-oriented and context-dependent, highlighting the distinction between "a performance" (a measured result), "performance" (past results), and "being performant" (capability and future potential) (Lebas, 1995). Whooley argues that performance is a socially constructed reality, existing in people's perceptions, and encompasses various components, products, and consequences (Whooley, 1996). Rolstadas proposes that organizational performance involves a complex relationship between seven criteria: effectiveness, efficiency, quality, productivity, quality of work, innovation, and profitability (Rolstadas, 1998). Overall, these definitions underscore the ambiguous and context-dependent nature of performance.

Performing a task with reasonable success is a basic aspect of performance. However, the concept of performance in organizational contexts is complex and multifaceted, making it challenging to define and measure (Ejere & Abasili, 2016). According to Daft (2000), organizational performance refers to an organization's ability to achieve its goals efficiently and effectively (Ejere & Abasili, 2016). Similarly, Yusuf-Habeeb and Ibrahim (2017) define institutional performance as the actual output or results of an institution measured against its intended goals and objectives. They also emphasize that performance is measured using specific parameters as benchmarks to evaluate individuals, groups, or organizations (Yusuf-Habeeb & Ibrahim, 2017). Leadership plays a crucial role in organizational commitment and productivity, with low commitment levels often attributed to ineffective leadership (Cemaloğlu, Sezgin & Kiliç, 2012). Micha (2000) states that leadership focuses on the actions of leaders and their impact on others. For the purpose of this research, the concept of organizational performance will be limited to individual performance, specifically focusing on academic staff.

3 Theoretical Framework

3.1 Social Categorization Theory

Categorization is a fundamental process in human cognition, applicable across various domains of experience (Ahn et al., 2000; Bloom, 1998; Markman, 1989; Mervis & Rosch, 1981; Murphy, 2002; Rosch & Levitin, 2002). By enabling generalization and inductive reasoning, categorization facilitates learning from past experiences, allowing individuals to make informed decisions about new situations.

Work groups play a vital role in organizational socialization, as most socialization occurs within these groups (Moreland et al., 2001). In fact, employees often identify more strongly with their work groups than with the organization as a whole, and work groups wield more influence over employees than the organization does (Moreland et al., 2001). Social identity theory and self-categorization theory suggest that individuals categorize themselves and others into groups, facilitating analysis of behavior and group images. These theories also explain why employees tend to prefer interacting with demographically similar colleagues, driven by social identification and in-group bias (Jost & Elsbach, 2001).

Research shows that people automatically categorize others into social groups (Devine, 1989; Fiske & Neuberg, 1990; Weisman et al., 2015). This ability to group and make category-based inferences is a key aspect of human cognition (Devine, 1989; Fiske & Neuberg, 1990; Weisman et al., 2015; Bruner, 1957). By recognizing shared similarities, people can efficiently learn and apply category-based knowledge (Gelman, 2003; Sutherland et al., 2015; Badger & Shapiro, 2015; Gelman & Davidson, 2013; Walker et al., 2014).

Social categorization has functional value, organizing knowledge about human attributes and relationships (Fiske et al., 2013). However, research highlights the negative consequences of social categorization, including partiality towards one's own group (Bodenhausen et al., 2012; Allport, 1954; Harris & Fiske, 2016; Tajfel et al., 1971; Xu et al., 2009) and biased beliefs about other groups. These biases can lead to stereotypes, essentialist beliefs, and dehumanization of certain groups (Allport, 1954; Harris & Fiske, 2016; Haslam & Stratemeyer, 2016; Neuberg & Descioli, 2015).

When we bring people together in a workplace, our natural tendency is to categorize ourselves and others into groups based on things like where we're from, what we value, or what we're interested in. This is called Social Categorization Theory (SCT). While it's a natural part of being human, it can sometimes lead to us favoring people who are like us and unintentionally excluding those who are different. In a diverse workplace, this can create real challenges for teamwork, communication, and overall success. That's why leaders need to understand SCT and use it to bring people together, rather than drive them apart. By being aware of these natural tendencies, leaders can create strategies to promote inclusivity, reduce biases, and foster a sense of shared identity among team members. This might involve creating cross-functional teams, mentorship programmes, or diversity training initiatives that help people connect and build relationships across different groups. By doing so, leaders can create a workplace where everyone feels valued, heard, and empowered to contribute their unique perspectives and talents.

4 Empirical Literature

4.1 The Role of leadership Styles in Organisational Performance

Effective leadership is crucial for organizational success, requiring qualities like good character, vision, and prudence (Ogbeidi, 2012). Leadership involves guiding others through collaborative processes, driving change and shared vision (David & Moses, 2014). It is a relational process that requires both influencers and followers (Igbaekemen, 2014). Effective leadership motivates and inspires teamwork, collaboration, and commitment to achieving set goals.

Research highlights the impact of leadership styles on organizational performance, shaping the organizational culture and affecting performance (Klein et al., 2013). Different leadership styles, such as transformational leadership, have been shown to increase productivity and performance (Alzoraiki et al., 2018). Effective leadership also involves delegating tasks, involving followers in decision-making and giving followers control over their work to enhance commitment and performance (Sloof & von Siemens, 2021).

The role of leadership in organizational performance can be better understood by examining the impact of various leadership styles on organizational performance. The paper has identified the following leadership styles and respective roles in achieving organisational performance:

4.1.1 Transactional leadership style and organizational performance

Transactional leaders engage in an exchange process with their followers, offering rewards such as promotions, pay raises, or new responsibilities in return for desired performance (Uchenwamgbe, 2013; Ojokuku, Odetayo & Sajuyigbe, 2012). Research on transactional leadership has yielded mixed results. Some studies suggest that this leadership style can have a positive impact on organizational performance, as it creates a context that maximizes employee capabilities and motivates them to achieve tangible and intangible rewards (Longe, 2014). However, other research argues that transactional leadership lacks a direct impact on organizational performance, as it fails to encourage creativity and innovation among employees (Sofi & Devanadhen, 2015).

4.1.2 Bureaucratic leadership style and organizational performance

Bureaucratic leaders prioritize following established policies and procedures over employee development and motivation, often appearing aloof as a result (Germano, 2010). This leadership style is ineffective in driving organizational performance, as it fails to

inspire employees to work towards improved outcomes (Ojukuku et al., 2012). Research suggests that bureaucratic leadership has a negligible impact on both employee and organizational performance, except in situations where tasks require completion over a long period following a specific procedure (Sougui, Bon & Hassan, 2015).

4.1.3 Transformational leadership style and organizational performance

Transformational leadership fosters a positive and satisfying relationship between leaders and followers, motivating employees to identify with the leader's vision and values (Jyoti & Bhau, 2015). This personalized approach creates a supportive work environment, leading to improved employee performance and overall organizational success. Research confirms that transformational leadership has a significant, direct, and positive impact on organizational performance (Sofi & Devanadhen, 2015; Jyoti & Bhau, 2015). Transformational leadership prioritizes follower development, enhancing employees' value systems, moralities, skills, and motivation (Bass & Avolio, 1994). This style bridges the leader-follower gap, fostering shared understanding and superior leadership performance. Research confirms that transformational leadership positively impacts individual and team performance (Wang, Oh & Courtright, 2011).

4.1.4 Autocratic Leadership and Organizational Performance

Autocratic leaders are characterized by a bossy and controlling nature, retaining decision-making rights and forcing followers to execute tasks according to their narrow vision (Obiwuru et al., 2011). This leadership style can stifle employee motivation and satisfaction, as autocratic leaders tend to be less creative and only promote one-sided conversations. While autocratic leadership may be effective in the short term, it can lead to organizational conflicts and restrict workplace socialization and communication, ultimately hindering long-term performance (Iqbal, Anwar & Haider, 2015). However, some studies suggest that autocratic leadership can have a positive impact on organizational performance, particularly when projects require completion within tight deadlines (Bhargavi & Yaseen, 2016).

4.1.5 Democratic leadership and organizational performance

Democratic leadership is characterized by decentralized decision-making, where subordinates are involved in the process (Tannenbaum & Schmidt, 2012). While this style can lead to weak execution and poor decision-making, it also motivates employees by valuing their opinions and ideas (Rukmani, Ramesh & Jayakrishnan, 2010). Research suggests that democratic leadership has a positive impact on organizational performance, as it fosters employee participation, creativity, and responsibility (Elenkov, 2002; Bhargavi & Yaseen, 2016). This leadership style also prepares future leaders and promotes long-term organizational success (Bhargavi & Yaseen, 2016; Choi, 2007).

4.1.6 Charismatic leadership style and organizational performance

Charismatic leadership is a highly effective style that inspires followers with a clear vision, fostering innovation and motivation (Germano, 2010). However, it has a significant drawback: followers become dependent on the leader, lacking direction and leadership skills when the leader departs, potentially harming long-term performance (Ojukuku et al., 2012).

5 Conclusion

This paper has examined the role of leadership styles in organizational performance, highlighting the impact of various styles on employee motivation, job satisfaction, and overall performance. The findings suggest that transformational leadership, democratic leadership, and charismatic leadership styles have a positive impact on organizational performance, as they foster employee participation, creativity, and responsibility. On the other hand, autocratic and bureaucratic leadership styles have been found to have a negative impact on organizational performance, as they stifle employee motivation and satisfaction.

The study's findings have significant implications for organizations seeking to improve their performance. Organizations should prioritize the development of transformational, democratic, and charismatic leadership styles, which can be achieved through training and development programmes. Additionally, organizations should strive to create a work environment that values employee participation, creativity, and responsibility. By doing so, organizations can unlock the full potential of their employees and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

In conclusion, leadership styles play a critical role in determining organizational performance. Effective leadership styles, such as transformational, democratic, and charismatic leadership, can motivate and inspire employees to achieve exceptional performance. On the other hand, ineffective leadership styles, such as autocratic and bureaucratic leadership, can hinder organizational performance. By understanding the impact of different leadership styles on organizational performance, organizations can take steps to develop effective leadership styles and achieve sustainable success.

5 Recommendations

Based on the findings in this research, two key recommendations are made are presented:

- i. Organizations should strive to create a work environment that encourages employee participation, creativity, and responsibility. This can be achieved by encouraging open communication and feedback mechanisms, providing opportunities for employees to contribute to decision-making processes, fostering a culture of collaboration and teamwork, recognizing and rewarding employee contributions and achievements, and empowering employees to take ownership of their work and make decisions. By creating such an environment, organizations can tap into the collective creativity, skills, and knowledge of their employees, leading to improved organizational performance (Klein et al., 2013; Sloof & von Siemens, 2021).
- ii. Organizations should also invest in training and development programmes that help leaders develop effective leadership styles, such as transformational, democratic, and charismatic leadership. These programmes can include leadership development workshops and seminars, coaching and mentoring programmes, 360-degree feedback and assessment, training on effective communication, conflict resolution, and decision-making, and development of strategic thinking and problem-solving skills. Research has shown that leadership development programmes can lead to improved leadership effectiveness, employee satisfaction, and organizational performance (Longe, 2014; Bhargavi & Yaseen, 2016).

References

- Adler, N. J. (1997). *International Dimensions of Organizational Behavior*. Cincinnati, OH: South. Western College Publishing.
- Allport, G.W. (1954). *The Nature of Prejudice*. Basic Books
- Alzoraiki, M., Ab. Rahman, O. B., & Mutalib, M. A. (2018). The Effect of the Dimensions of Transformational Leadership on the Teachers' Performance in the Yemeni Public Schools. *European Scientific Journal*, 14, 322-334.
<https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2018.v14n25p322>
- Badger, J. R., & Shapiro, L.R. (2015). Category structure affects the developmental trajectory of children's inductive inferences for both natural kinds and artifacts. *Think. Reason*. 21, 206-229
- Barnard, C. (1938). *The Functions of the Executive*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bass & Avolio, (1994). *Improving Organizational Effectiveness Through Transformational Leadership*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Bass BM. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: learning to share the vision. *Org Dyn* 18(3):19-31
- Bednall, T. C., Rafferty, A. E., Shipton, H., Sanders, K., & Jackson, J. C. (2018). Innovative behaviour: how much transformational leadership do you need? *Br J Manag* 29(4):796-816
- Bhargavi, S. & Yaseen, A. (2016). Leadership Styles and Organizational Performance. *Strategic Quarterly*, 4(1), pp. 87-117.
- Bodenhausen, G.V. et al. (2012). *Social categorization and the perception of social groups*. In The Sage Handbook of Social Cognition (Fiske, S.T. and Macrae, C.N., eds), pp. 318-336, Sage Publications
- Bruner, J.S. (1957). On perceptual readiness. *Psychol. Rev.* 64, 123-152
- Cakir, F. S., & Adiguzel, Z. (2020). Analysis of Leader Effectiveness in Organization and Behavior on Employees and Organization. *SAGE Open*, 10. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2158244020914634>
- Carrel, M.R. (2006). Defining workforce Diversity Programs and Practices in Organizations: Longitudinal study. *Labor Law Journal*, spring.
- Carton, R. (2004). *Measuring Organizational Performance: An Exploratory Study*. Athens, GA: University of Georgia Doctoral Dissertation, 49-53.
- Cemaloğlu, N., Sezgin, F., & Kilinç, A. C. (2012). Examining The Relationships Between School Principals' Transformational And Transactional Leadership Styles And Teachers' Organizational Commitment. *The Online Journal of New Horizons in Education*, Volume 2, Issue 2.
- Cho, S., Ahraemi, K., & Mor Barak, M. E. (2017). Does diversity matter? Exploring workforce diversity, diversity management, and organizational performance in social enterprises. *Asian Social Work and Policy Review*, 11(3), 193-204.
- Cox, T., & Blake, S. (1991). Managing cultural diversity: Implications for organizational competitiveness. *Academy of Management Executive*, 5(3), 45-56.
- Cross, E.Y., Katz, J.H., Miller, F.A., & Seashore, E. (1994). The promise of diversity: over 40 voices discuss strategies for eliminating discrimination in organizations, Burr Ridge, IL: Irwin Publishers.
- Daft, R. L. (2000). *Organization Theory and Design*. (7th Ed.). U.S.A: South-Western College Publishing, Thomson Learning.
- David & Moses. (2014). *Leadership crisis and corruption in the Nigerian public sector: An Albatross Of National Development*. The African Symposium: An online journal of the African Educational Research Network
- Davidson, M. N. (2011). The impact of diversity on organizational performance. *Journal of Diversity Management*, 6(2), 1-12.
- Devine, P.G. (1989). Stereotypes and prejudice: their automatic and controlled components. *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* 56, 5-18
- Didier, N. (2022). *Managerles performances: Managing Performance*. Insep Consulting Editions, Paris.

- Ejerea, I. E., & Abasili, U. D. (2016). Impact of transactional and transformational leadership styles on organisational performance: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. *The Journal of Commerce*, Vol. 5, No. 1
- Elenkov, D. S. (2002). Effects of leadership on organizational performance in Russian companies. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(6), pp. 467-480.
- Elsaid, A. M. (2012). Effects of cross cultural workforce diversity on employee performance in Egyptian Pharmaceutical Organisation. *Business & management Research*, 1(4), 162.
- Ely, R. J., & Thomas, D. A. (2001). Cultural diversity at work: The effects of diversity perspectives on work group processes and outcomes. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46(2), 229-273. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667087>
- Fiske, S.T., & Neuberg, S. L. et al. (2013). Category-based and individuating processes as a function of information and motivation: evidence from our lab. In *Stereotyping and Prejudice: Changing Conceptions* (Bar-Tal, D., ed.), pp. 83-104, Springer Science Press
- Fiske, S.T., & Neuberg, S.L. (1990). A continuum of impression formation, from category-based to individuating processes: influences of information and motivation on attention and interpretation. *Adv. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 23, 1-74
- Foma, E. (2014). Impact of Workplace Diversity. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 3(1), pp.382-410.
- Gelman, S.A. (2003). The Essential Child: Origins of Essentialism in Everyday Talk. Oxford University Press
- Gelman, S.A., & Davidson, N.S. (2013). Conceptual influences on category-based induction. *Cogn. Psychol.* 66, 327-353
- Germano, M. A. (2010). *Leadership Style and Organizational*. Available at <http://ala.apa.org/newsletter/2010/06/08/spotlight/>
- Harris, L.T., & Fiske, S.T. (2006). Dehumanizing the lowest of the low: neuroimaging responses to extreme outgroups. *Psychol. Sci.* 17, 847-853
- Haslam, N., & Stratemeyer, M. (2016). Recent research on dehumanization. *Curr. Opin. Psychol.* 11, 25-29
- Heffernan, M., & Flood, P. (2000). An exploration of the relationship between managerial competencies, organizational characteristics and performance in an Irish organization. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 24(2/3/4), 128.
- Henry, O., & Evans, A. J. (2007). Critical review of literature on workforce diversity. *African Journal of Business Management*, 1(4), 72-76.
- Higgs, M. (2009). The good, the bad and the ugly: Leadership and the diversity agenda. *Journal of change management*, 30(1), 50-64.
- Hite, L. M., & McDonald, K. S. (2008). A New Era for Career Development and HRD. *Advances in Developing Human Resources* Vol. 10, No. 1 February 2008 3-7. DOI: 10.1177/1523422307310103
- Igbaekemen, G. O. (2014). Impact of Leadership Style on Organisation Performance: A Strategic Literature. Review. *Public Policy and Administration Research*, Vol.4, No.9.
- Ion, E., & Criveanu, M. (2016). Organizational Performance- A Concept That Self-Seeks To Find Itself. *Annals of the "Constantin Brâncuși" University of Târgu Jiu, Economy Series, Issue 4*.
- Iqbal, N., Anwar, S., & Haider, N. (2015). Effect of leadership style on employee performance. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 5(5), pp. 1-6.
- Iyamabhor, M., Ogundare, J. T., Akpubi, R. O., & John, O. O. (2023). Managing Workforce Diversity and the Quest for Ethical Leadership. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, Volume 5, Issue 6, P.No.341-359, June. DOI: 10.51594/ijmer.v5i6.495
- Jackson, S., Brett, J., Sessa, V., Cooper, M., Julin, J., & Peyronnin, K. (1991). Some differences make a difference: Individual dissimilarity and group heterogeneity as correlates of recruitment, promotion and turnover. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76, 675-689.
- Jerónimo, H. M., Henriques, P. L., & Carvalho, S. I. (2022). Being inclusive boosts impact of diversity practices on employee engagement. *Management Research: Journal of the Iberoamerican Academy of Management*, May 9; 20(2):129-47.
- Johnston, W. B. (1991). Global workforce 2000: The new world labor market. *Harvard Business Review*, 69(2), 115-127.
- Jyoti, J. & Bhau, S. (2015). Impact of transformational leadership on job performance: Mediating role of leader member exchange and relational identification. *SAGE Open*, 5(4), pp. 1-13.
- Khorshid, S., & Pashazadeh, A. (2014). The effect of transformational leadership on organizational learning capabilities with respect to the mediating role of organizational intelligence. *Journal of Change Management*, 6(11), p.7.
- Kochan, T., Bezrukova, K., Ely, R., Jackson, S., Joshi, A., Jehn, K., Leonard, J., Levine, D., & Thomas, D. (2003). The effects of diversity on business performance: Report of the Diversity Research Network. *Human Resource Management*, 42(1), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.10061>
- Kundu, S. C. (2003). Workforce diversity status: a study of employees' reactions. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 103(4), 215-226.
- Lebas, M. J. (1995). Performance Measurement and Performance Management. *International Journal of Production*, vol. 41, no. 1-3.
- Lockwood, N. R. (2005). Workplace Diversity: Leveraging the Power of Difference for Competitive Advantage. *HRMagazine*, 50(6), pp.1-10.

- Longe, O. J. (2014). Leadership style paradigm shift and organisational performance: A case of the Nigerian Cement Industry. *African Research Review*, 8(4), pp. 68-83.
- Micha, P. (2000). The Development of Charismatic Leaders, *Political Psychology*, Vol. 21, No. 4.
- Mirkamali, M., Shateri, K., & Uzbashi, A. (2013). Explaining the role of transformational leadership in the field of organizational creativity. *Journal of Innovation and Value Creation*, 2, p. 23.
- Mohamed, M., (2020). Does Gender Diversity Affect Workplace Happiness for Academics? The Role of Diversity Management and Organizational Inclusion. *Public Organization Review*, pp. 119–135.
- Mor Barak, M. E. (2015). Inclusion is the key to diversity management, but what is inclusion? *Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance*, 39(2), 83-88.
- Morgan, J. & Várdy, F. (2009). Diversity in the workplace. *American Economic Review*, 99(1), pp.472–485.
- Neuberg, S.L. & Descioli, P. (2015). Prejudices: managing perceived threats to group life. In *The Handbook of Evolutionary Psychology* (Buss, D.M., ed.), pp. 1–18, Wiley.
- Ng, E. S., & Sears, G. J. (2012). CEO leadership styles and the implementation of organizational diversity practices: Moderating effects of social values and age. *Journal of business ethics*, 105(1), 41-52.
- Nkomo, S. M., & Cox, T., Jr. (1996). Diverse identities in organizations. In S. R. Clegg, C. Hardy, & W. R. Nord (Eds.), *Handbook of organization studies* (pp. 338–356). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Nkomo, S.M. (1995). Identities and the complexity of diversity. In S.E. Jackson & M.N. Ruderman (Eds.), *Diversity in work teams*. Washington DC: American Psychological
- Obiwuru, T. C., Okwu, A. T., Akpa, V. O., & Nwankwere, I. A. (2011). Effects of leadership style on organizational performance: A survey of selected small scale enterprises in Ikosi-Ketu council development area of Lagos State, Nigeria. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, 1(7), pp. 100-111
- Ogbeidi, M. M. (2012). Political Leadership and Corruption in Nigeria Since 1960: A Socio-economic Analysis. *Journal of Nigeria Studies* Volume 1, Number 2.
- Ojokuku, R. M., Odetayo, T. A., & Sajuyigbe, A. S. (2012). Impact of leadership style on organizational performance: a case study of Nigerian banks. *American Journal of Business and Management*, 1(4), pp. 202-207.
- Rafaqat, S., Rafaqat, S., Rafaqat, S., & Rafaqat, D. (2022). The impact of workforce diversity on organizational performance: A review. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 14(2), 39-50.
- Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2004). Dimensions of transformational leadership: conceptual and empirical extensions. *Leadersh Q* 15(3):329–354
- Randel, A. E. (2003). Identity salience: A moderator of the relationship between group gender composition and work group conflict. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(6):749–766. DOI: 10.1002/job.163
- Ricardo, R., & Wade, D. (2001). *Corporate performance management: How to build a better organization through measurement-driven strategies alignment*. Butterworth Heinemann.
- Roberson, L. & Kulik, C. T. (2007). Stereotype threat at work. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 21, 24-40.
- Rolstadas, A. (1998). Enterprise Performance Measurement. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, vol. 18, no. 9-10.
- Rukmani, K., Ramesh, M., & Jayakrishnan, J. (2010). Effect of leadership styles on organizational effectiveness. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 15(3), pp. 365-369.
- Samira, M. K. (2024). The Impact of Workplace Diversity on Organizational Performance. *Research Output Journal of Education* 3(3):61-65. <https://rojournals.org/roj-education/>
- Saxena, A. (2014). Workforce diversity: A key to improve productivity. *Procedia economics and finance*, 11, 76- 85.
- Sloof, R., & von Siemens, F. A. (2021). Effective Leadership and the Allocation and Exercise of Power in Organizations. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32, Article 101277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.12.001>
- Sofi, M. A., & Devanadhen, D. K. (2015). Impact of Leadership Styles on Organizational Performance: An Empirical Assessment of Banking Sector in Jammu and Kashmir. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 17(8), pp. 31-45.
- Sougui, A. O., Bon, A. T. B., & Hassan, H. M. H. (2015). The Impact of Leadership Styles on Employees' performance in Telecom Engineering companies. *International Conference on Tourism and Hospitality*.
- Srivastava, E. & Agarwal, N. (2012). The Emerging Challenges in HRM. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 1(6), 46-48.
- Sutherland, S.L. et al. (2015). Memory errors reveal a bias to spontaneously generalize to categories. *Cogn. Sci.* 29, 1021–1046
- Tajfel, H. et al. (1971). Social categorization and intergroup behavior. *Eur. J. Soc. Psychol.* 1, 149–178
- Thomas, K. W., & Velthouse, B. A. (1990) Cognitive elements of empowerment: an “interpretive” model of intrinsic task motivation. *Acad Manag Rev* 15(4):666–681
- Uchenwamgbé, B. B. P. (2013). Effects of Leadership Style on Organizational Performance in Small and Medium Scale Enterprises (SMEs) in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 5(23), pp. 53-73.
- Walker, C. M. et al. (2014). Explaining prompts children to privilege inductively rich properties. *Cognition* 133, 343–357

- Wang, G., Oh, I. S., & Courtright, S. H. (2011). Transformational leadership and performance across criteria and levels: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of research. *Group & Organization Management*, 36(2), pp. 223-270.
- Weisman, K. et al. (2015). Young children's automatic encoding of social categories. *Dev. Sci.* 18, 1036–1043
- Wholey, J. S. (1996). Formative and Summative Evaluation: Related Issues in Performance Measurement. *American Journal of Evaluation*, vol. 17, no. 2.
- Williams, D. A., Wade-Golden, K. C. (2023). *The chief diversity officer: Strategy structure, and change management.*
- Xu, X. et al. (2009). Do you feel my pain? Racial group membership modulates empathic neural responses. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 8525–8529
- Yukl, G. (1999). An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. *Leadersh Q* 10(2):285–305.
- Yusuf-Habeeb, M, & Ibrahim, Y. (2017). Effects of Leadership Style on Employee Performance in Nigerian Universities. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: A Administration and Management*, Volume 17 Issue 7 Version 1.0