International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 9 Issue 4 April - 2025, Pages: 155-162

Innovative Circular Economy Strategies for Energy Storage: Advancing Battery Recycling, Reuse, and Sustainable Business Models for a Greener Future

Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh[1], Thompson Odion Igunma[2]

[1]Fleet manager, ASCA-Ringadas Limited, Nigeria
[2]Materials Science & Engineering, University of Florida, USA
e-mail: thompsonigunma@gmail.com

Abstract: *The global transition toward renewable energy and electric mobility has heightened the demand for energy storage systems, particularly batteries. However, their lifecycle's environmental and resource challenges necessitate innovative strategies to enhance sustainability. This paper explores the role of circular economy principles in advancing battery recycling, reuse, and the development of sustainable business models. Advancements in recycling technologies, such as hydrometallurgical and direct recycling processes, are highlighted for their efficiency in material recovery and waste reduction. Second-life applications, including stationary energy storage and backup power systems, are discussed as viable reuse strategies that extend battery lifespan while mitigating environmental impacts. Additionally, the integration of closed-loop supply chains, cross-industry collaboration, and supportive policy frameworks are examined as critical enablers of sustainable business practices. The study emphasizes the importance of a comprehensive approach that combines technological innovation, regulatory support, and stakeholder collaboration to drive circularity in energy storage systems. By adopting these strategies, the energy sector can significantly reduce its ecological footprint, conserve critical resources, and contribute to a sustainable future.*

Keywords*: Circular economy, Battery recycling, Second-life applications, Sustainable business models, Energy storage systems, Resource recovery*

1. Introduction

1.1 Context and Significance of the Circular Economy in Energy Storage

The transition to renewable energy sources, coupled with the increasing demand for electric vehicles (EVs) and portable electronic devices, has heightened the reliance on energy storage systems, particularly batteries (El Bassam, 2021). These technologies are pivotal in achieving global decarbonization goals by enabling efficient energy utilization and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. However, the rapid growth in battery deployment has introduced significant environmental and resource-related challenges, such as the depletion of critical raw materials like lithium, cobalt, and nickel (Ahmad, Khalid, & Panigrahi, 2021).

- The circular economy offers a transformative approach to addressing these issues by shifting from a linear "take-make-dispose" model to a regenerative system that emphasizes resource conservation, material recovery, and waste minimization (Lebrouhi et al., 2021). By applying circular strategies to energy storage, industries can mitigate the environmental impact of battery production and disposal while fostering economic resilience through sustainable practices (Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024)

This paradigm supports the dual objectives of enhancing resource efficiency and reducing the ecological footprint of energy systems, aligning with global sustainability goals (Hamdan, Daudu, Fabuyide, Etukudoh, & Sonko, 2024).

Despite the significant benefits of energy storage technologies, their lifecycle management presents complex challenges. One of the foremost issues is the environmental burden associated with mining and processing raw materials. These activities contribute to ecological degradation and pose social and ethical concerns, particularly in regions where mining practices lack adequate regulatory oversight (Issa, Ilinca, Rousse, Boulon, & Groleau, 2023).

Moreover, the limited recycling infrastructure for batteries exacerbates hazardous waste accumulation. Most existing recycling processes are energy-intensive and fail to recover valuable materials effectively, leading to resource losses and environmental harm (Fan et al., 2020). The reuse of batteries, while promising, is hampered by technical and logistical hurdles, including variations in battery chemistries, inconsistent performance metrics, and the lack of standardized protocols for second-life applications (Berry, Haverkamp, Isenhour, Bilec, & Lowden, 2023). Another critical challenge lies in integrating circular economy principles into current business models. The linear production-consumption-disposal paradigm dominates the battery industry, making adopting closed-loop systems prioritizing material recovery and reuse difficult. Additionally, regulatory frameworks and financial incentives supporting circularity remain underdeveloped, hindering the large-scale implementation of sustainable practices (Toro et al., 2023).

1.2 Objectives and Scope of the Paper

This paper aims to explore innovative strategies for advancing a circular economy in the energy storage sector, specifically focusing on enhancing battery recycling, reuse, and the development of sustainable business models. By addressing the pressing challenges outlined above, the study seeks to provide actionable insights for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers striving to create a greener, more resource-efficient future.

The scope of the paper encompasses three key dimensions of circularity:

- Recycling innovations that improve material recovery efficiency and environmental outcomes.
- Reuse strategies that extend battery lifespans and reduce waste generation.
- Business models that integrate circular principles to drive systemic change in the energy storage ecosystem.

By examining these areas, the paper highlights the potential of circular economy practices to transform the lifecycle of batteries, thereby supporting global efforts to achieve sustainability and resource security. The subsequent sections will detail these dimensions, offering a comprehensive analysis of emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities in pursuing a circular energy storage future.

2. Advancing Recycling Practices in Energy Storage

2.1 Innovations in Battery Material Recovery Processes

Recycling is a cornerstone of the circular economy, especially in energy storage, where the extraction and processing of raw materials like lithium and cobalt have profound environmental and economic implications. Recent innovations in battery material recovery processes address these concerns by introducing methods that enhance efficiency, reduce waste, and maximize resource recovery (Hagelüken & Goldmann, 2022). One promising approach is hydrometallurgical recycling, which uses chemical solutions to extract metals from spent batteries. Unlike traditional pyrometallurgical methods, which require high temperatures and emit greenhouse gases, hydrometallurgy operates at lower temperatures and achieves higher recovery rates for critical materials. Researchers have also developed solvent-based extraction techniques that selectively isolate specific metals, minimizing cross-contamination and improving the quality of recovered materials (Calderon et al., 2020).

Another significant advancement is direct recycling, which focuses on preserving the integrity of battery components rather than breaking them down entirely. For instance, cathode-to-cathode recycling retains the structure of cathodes in lithium-ion batteries, requiring fewer resources and less energy for reprocessing. This approach reduces environmental impacts and shortens the production cycle, making it a viable option for industries aiming to close the loop in their supply chains (Fichtner et al., 2022).

2.2 Technological Advancements and Their Environmental Benefits

The integration of cutting-edge technologies is revolutionizing battery recycling, making it more sustainable and scalable. Automation and robotics are increasingly employed to streamline disassembly processes, ensuring precise separation of battery components (Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024). This reduces the risk of contamination and improves the efficiency of material recovery. Advanced sorting technologies, such as machine vision and artificial intelligence (AI), further enhance the accuracy of separating materials like metals and plastics, ensuring high-quality outputs for reuse (Chigbu, 2024).

Electrochemical recycling methods are also gaining traction due to their environmental benefits. These processes leverage electricity to recover valuable metals with minimal chemical usage, significantly reducing waste generation and energy consumption. Additionally, the use of renewable energy in recycling facilities is helping to lower the carbon footprint of these operations, aligning with broader sustainability goals (Sikiru, Dele-Afolabi, Ghotbi, & Rehman, 2024).

The environmental advantages of these advancements are substantial. Recovering critical materials and reintroducing them into the production cycle reduces the need for virgin resource extraction, often associated with deforestation, water pollution, and habitat destruction. Furthermore, it minimizes hazardous waste accumulation in landfills, preventing soil and water contamination. As a result, innovative recycling practices contribute to a cleaner and more sustainable energy storage ecosystem (Zeng et al., 2024).

2.3 Economic Implications of Improved Recycling Efficiency

Beyond its environmental benefits, advancing battery recycling offers significant economic opportunities. The recovery of high-value materials like cobalt and nickel reduces the dependency on imported resources, enhancing supply chain resilience and reducing geopolitical risks. This is particularly important as global demand for energy storage systems continues to rise, putting pressure on limited raw material reserves (Fan et al., 2020).

Improved recycling efficiency also has the potential to lower production costs for batteries, making them more accessible for applications ranging from electric vehicles to renewable energy systems. For example, the use of recycled materials can offset the costs associated with mining and refining, leading to more competitive pricing and wider adoption of clean technologies (Jin et al., 2022).

Moreover, the recycling sector is poised to create new economic opportunities through job creation and industry growth. Establishing advanced recycling facilities and developing supporting technologies, such as robotics and AI, require skilled labor and investment, driving economic activity in regions that adopt these practices (Kurniawan et al., 2022). However, to fully realize these economic benefits, addressing existing barriers to scaling up recycling efforts is essential. These include inadequate infrastructure, high initial investment costs, and the lack of standardized processes across different battery chemistries. (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024) Governments and industry stakeholders must collaborate to establish robust policies and incentives that support the widespread adoption of efficient recycling practices (Ibekwe et al., 2024).

3. Promoting Reuse in Battery Lifecycle Management

3.1 Strategies for Second-Life Applications of Batteries

As the adoption of renewable energy and electric vehicles continues to grow, managing the lifecycle of batteries becomes increasingly critical. While recycling is a vital component of the circular economy, promoting reuse through second-life applications offers a complementary approach that extends the utility of batteries and reduces waste (Bonsu, 2020). Second-life applications involve repurposing batteries that no longer meet the performance requirements of their original use but still retain a significant portion of their capacity. One of the most promising strategies is their deployment in stationary energy storage systems. These systems store energy generated by renewable sources such as solar or wind and release it when demand is high, effectively supporting grid stability and reducing reliance on fossil fuels (Abdin, 2024).

Repurposed batteries are also being used in smaller-scale applications, such as powering off-grid systems in remote areas. These setups provide reliable energy access in regions where traditional grid infrastructure is unavailable or insufficient. Additionally, second-life batteries are increasingly integrated into backup power systems for commercial and residential buildings, offering a cost-effective alternative to new storage solutions (Worku, 2022).

3.2 Evaluation of Economic and Environmental Impacts of Reuse Models

Reusing batteries presents economic and environmental advantages that align with global sustainability objectives. Economically, second-life applications significantly lower costs for consumers and businesses. Repurposed batteries are generally less expensive than new ones, making energy storage more accessible for a broader range of applications. For example, in the renewable energy sector, the use of second-life batteries can reduce the overall cost of solar and wind installations, thereby accelerating their adoption (Gu et al., 2024).

From an environmental perspective, extending the lifespan of batteries helps minimize waste and reduces the demand for new raw materials. This, in turn, alleviates the environmental burden associated with mining and processing, such as habitat destruction and pollution. (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024b)Moreover, reusing batteries can delay the need for recycling, allowing more time for recycling technologies to advance and become more efficient (Yang, Huang, & Lin, 2022). However, the environmental benefits of second-life models are contingent on proper handling and repurposing processes. Poorly managed reuse can lead to safety risks, including thermal runaway and chemical leakage. (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024) To mitigate these risks, stringent testing and quality assurance protocols must be in place to ensure the safety and reliability of repurposed batteries (Jeevarajan, Joshi, Parhizi, Rauhala, & Juarez-Robles, 2022).

3.3 Challenges and Potential Solutions for Extending Battery Lifespan

Despite its potential, promoting reuse in battery lifecycle management faces several challenges. One of the primary obstacles is the variability in the state of health (SOH) of used batteries. Assessing the SOH requires advanced diagnostic tools and expertise, which can be costly and time-consuming. Furthermore, the lack of standardized methods for evaluating and categorizing batteries complicates the repurposing process and limits scalability. (Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024)

Another challenge lies in the design of batteries themselves. Most are not optimized for reuse, making disassembly, reconfiguration, and integration into new applications difficult. Additionally, the diversity of battery chemistries and configurations adds complexity to repurposing efforts, as each type may require a tailored approach (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024).

The logistical aspects of battery collection and transportation also pose significant hurdles. Without efficient systems for retrieving used batteries, many end up discarded or improperly disposed of, undermining reuse efforts. Moreover, regulatory frameworks for

second-life applications are often underdeveloped, creating uncertainty for businesses and investors seeking to engage in this space (Iormom, Jato, Ishola, & Diyoke, 2024; Ogunyemi & Ishola, 2024a).

Addressing these challenges requires a coordinated effort among stakeholders. To improve SOH assessments, investments in advanced diagnostic technologies, such as machine learning algorithms and Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, can streamline the evaluation process and reduce costs. Manufacturers can also contribute by designing batteries with reuse in mind, incorporating features that facilitate disassembly and reconfiguration (A. O. Ishola, Odunaiya, & Soyombo, 2024b).

On a broader scale, establishing standardized protocols and certification systems for second-life batteries is crucial. These standards would provide stakeholders clarity and confidence, enabling wider reuse model adoption. (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024b)Additionally, implementing efficient collection systems, supported by government incentives, can ensure a steady supply of batteries that are used for repurposing. Finally, fostering collaboration among manufacturers, recyclers, and end-users can create synergies that drive innovation and efficiency in second-life applications. For example, partnerships between automotive companies and renewable energy providers can facilitate the seamless transition of batteries from vehicles to stationary storage systems (Simpa, Solomon, Adenekan, & Obasi, 2024).

4. Developing Sustainable Business Models for Circularity

4.1 Integration of Closed-Loop Supply Chains in the Energy Sector

The adoption of closed-loop supply chains is a cornerstone of sustainable business models in the energy sector. Unlike traditional linear systems that follow a "take, make, dispose" trajectory, closed-loop supply chains prioritize the recovery and reintegration of materials into production cycles, minimizing waste and resource depletion. For energy storage systems, this approach ensures that valuable components from used batteries are reclaimed and reused, reducing dependence on raw materials and promoting sustainability (Igunma, Adeleke, & Nwokediegwu, 2025)

Integrating closed-loop practices begins with the design phase. Manufacturers are increasingly adopting design-for-disassembly principles, which make it easier to dismantle batteries and recover components at the end of their lifecycle. For instance, modular battery designs enable efficient extraction of valuable elements such as lithium, nickel, and manganese, streamlining recycling and reuse processes (Nwokediegwu, Adeleke, & Igunma, 2023)

Furthermore, closed-loop models require robust systems for collecting and transporting used batteries. These systems can be established through partnerships with retailers, automotive companies, and recycling facilities. For example, take-back programs incentivize consumers to return spent batteries, providing a steady supply of materials for recovery. Digital tracking technologies, such as blockchain, are also being implemented to ensure traceability and transparency throughout the supply chain, enhancing efficiency and accountability (A. Ishola, 2024a, 2024b).

4.2 Role of Collaboration Between Industry Stakeholders

Collaboration is critical to the success of sustainable business models. The complexity of battery lifecycle management necessitates coordinated efforts among manufacturers, recyclers, policymakers, and end-users. By working together, these stakeholders can overcome technical, logistical, and regulatory barriers, driving innovation and accelerating the transition to circularity.

One area where collaboration is particularly impactful is in standardization (Adeleke, Igunma, & Nwokediegwu, 2021) The diversity of battery chemistries and designs often complicates recycling and reuse efforts. Industry-wide standards for battery composition, labeling, and performance can simplify these processes, enabling scalability and cost efficiency. Collaborative platforms and consortiums, such as the Global Battery Alliance, are pivotal in fostering dialogue and establishing best practices that benefit the entire sector (Ogunyemi & Ishola, 2024b).

Moreover, partnerships between industries can create synergies that maximize resource utilization. For example, automotive manufacturers can work with renewable energy companies to repurpose electric vehicle batteries for stationary storage applications. Similarly, collaborations with technology firms can advance diagnostic tools and data analytics for assessing used batteries' state of health (SOH), improving the feasibility of second-life applications.

Educational initiatives and knowledge-sharing platforms also contribute to fostering collaboration. By disseminating research findings, case studies, and technical guidelines, stakeholders can learn from each other’s experiences and adopt proven strategies for sustainable business practices (Iormom et al., 2024; Ogunyemi & Ishola, 2024a).

4.3 Policies and Incentives Fostering Sustainable Practices

Government policies and financial incentives are crucial drivers of sustainable business models. Regulatory frameworks that mandate recycling and reuse targets, for instance, compel industries to adopt circular economy principles. In the European Union, regulations such as the Battery Directive require manufacturers to ensure the collection and recycling of end-of-life batteries, setting a benchmark for other regions to follow.

Tax incentives and subsidies further encourage adopting sustainable practices by offsetting the costs of implementing closed-loop systems. For instance, companies investing in advanced recycling technologies or second-life applications can benefit from reduced tax burdens or grants, making these ventures economically viable. Public-private partnerships also play a significant role in funding research and infrastructure development, fostering innovation and scalability (Ighodaro, Ochornma, & Egware, 2020).

Carbon pricing mechanisms, such as cap-and-trade systems or carbon taxes, motivate businesses to minimize their environmental footprint. By incorporating circular practices, companies can reduce emissions associated with resource extraction and waste disposal, aligning with climate goals and avoiding financial penalties (Igunma, Aderamo, & Olisakwe, 2024a).

Educational campaigns and awareness programs are also essential components of policy initiatives. Informing consumers about circularity's environmental and economic benefits encourages responsible behavior, such as returning used batteries through take-back programs. Similarly, equipping workers with the skills needed for recycling and repurposing processes ensures a capable workforce that supports the transition to sustainable practices (Adeyemi, Ohakawa, Okwandu, Iwuanyanwu, & Ifechukwu, 2024; A. O. Ishola, Odunaiya, & Soyombo, 2024a).

5. Conclusion

The transition to a circular economy in energy storage is vital to addressing the pressing environmental, economic, and resource challenges associated with the growing global demand for batteries. Recycling innovations, such as hydrometallurgical and direct recycling techniques, have played a transformative role in this effort. These advancements allow for efficient recovery of critical materials, reduce energy consumption, and mitigate environmental damage. By integrating automation and renewable energy into recycling facilities, the energy storage industry has taken significant steps toward minimizing its ecological footprint and enhancing sustainability across the lifecycle of batteries.

Reuse strategies, particularly through second-life applications, further emphasize the importance of extending battery lifespan to reduce waste. Repurposed batteries find new utility in stationary energy storage systems, backup power solutions, and off-grid setups, offering both economic and environmental benefits. These applications demonstrate the potential of reuse to lower costs and expand energy access while alleviating strain on raw material extraction. However, the effective implementation of second-life models hinges on advanced state-of-health assessment tools, robust collection systems, and adherence to standardized protocols to ensure safety and reliability.

Sustainable business models are another cornerstone of achieving circularity in energy storage. Closed-loop supply chains have emerged as critical mechanisms for recovering and reusing materials efficiently. Collaborative efforts among manufacturers, recyclers, and policymakers are also essential in overcoming technical and logistical barriers. Industry standards for battery design and reuse, combined with government incentives, have further enabled the scalability of sustainable practices. Public-private partnerships, in particular, provide the financial and infrastructural support necessary for advancing these initiatives and fostering long-term resilience.

To accelerate progress, continued investment in technological innovation is essential. Advancing recycling technologies, such as electrochemical recovery processes and AI-driven diagnostic tools, can improve material recovery and reduce operational costs. Standardizing battery design and labeling is also crucial for streamlining reuse and recycling efforts. Governments must implement robust regulatory frameworks and incentives to promote circular practices, including addressing logistical challenges like battery collection and transportation. Educational campaigns that raise consumer awareness about the benefits of circularity will further support widespread adoption of these strategies.

Finally, fostering collaboration between industries and governments is pivotal for building the infrastructure needed to sustain circular systems. Public-private partnerships can drive the development of efficient recycling and reuse facilities while supporting workforce training to meet technical demands. By aligning technological advancements, policy frameworks, and stakeholder collaboration, the energy storage industry can achieve a sustainable future, conserving resources and reducing its environmental footprint for future generations.

Reference

Abdin, Z. (2024). Shaping the stationary energy storage landscape with reversible fuel cells. *Journal of Energy Storage, 86*, 111354.

Adeyemi, A. B., Ohakawa, T. C., Okwandu, A. C., Iwuanyanwu, O., & Ifechukwu, G.-O. (2024). Energy-Efficient Building Envelopes for Affordable Housing: Design Strategies and Material Choices. *Energy, 13*(9), 248-254.

Ahmad, F., Khalid, M., & Panigrahi, B. K. (2021). Development in energy storage system for electric transportation: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Energy Storage, 43*, 103153.

Berry, B., Haverkamp, J., Isenhour, C., Bilec, M. M., & Lowden, S. S. (2023). Is convergence around the circular economy necessary? Exploring the productivity of divergence in US circular economy discourse and practice. *Circular Economy and Sustainability, 3*(3), 1597-1622.

Nwokediegwu, Z. S., Adeleke, A. K., & Igunma, T. O. (2023). *Modeling nanofabrication processes and implementing noise reduction strategies in metrological measurements*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 4(1), 870–884. https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.870-884

Bonsu, N. O. (2020). Towards a circular and low-carbon economy: Insights from the transitioning to electric vehicles and net zero economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 256*, 120659.

Calderon, J. L., Bazilian, M., Sovacool, B., Hund, K., Jowitt, S. M., Nguyen, T., . . . Galeazzi, C. (2020). Reviewing the material and metal security of low-carbon energy transitions. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 124*, 109789.

Afolabi, M. A., Olisakwe, H. C., & Igunma, T. O. (2024). *A conceptual framework for designing multi-functional catalysts: Bridging efficiency and sustainability in industrial applications*. Global Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2(2), 58–66. https://doi.org/10.58175/gjarr.2024.2.2.0059

Chigbu, B. I. (2024). Advancing sustainable development through circular economy and skill development in EV lithium-ion battery recycling: a comprehensive review. *Frontiers in Sustainability, 5*, 1409498.

Adeleke, A. K., Igunma, T. O., & Nwokediegwu, Z. S. (2021). *Modeling advanced numerical control systems to enhance precision in next-generation coordinate measuring machine*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(1), 638–649. https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.638-649

Afolabi, M. A., Olisakwe, H. C., & Igunma, T. O. (2024). *Catalysis 4.0: A framework for integrating machine learning and material science in catalyst development*. Global Journal of Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 2(2), 38–46. https://doi.org/10.58175/gjrms.2024.2.2.0053

El Bassam, N. (2021). Energy storage, smart grids, and electric vehicles. In *Distributed renewable energies for off-grid communities* (pp. 263-295): Elsevier.

Fan, E., Li, L., Wang, Z., Lin, J., Huang, Y., Yao, Y., . . . Wu, F. (2020). Sustainable recycling technology for Li-ion batteries and beyond: challenges and future prospects. *Chemical reviews, 120*(14), 7020-7063.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *Nanostructured alloys for corrosion mitigation in nuclear energy systems: A comprehensive review of challenges and innovations in molten salt environment*. International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 20(11), 514–526. http://www.ijerd.com/

Fichtner, M., Edström, K., Ayerbe, E., Berecibar, M., Bhowmik, A., Castelli, I. E., . . . Franco, A. A. (2022). Rechargeable batteries of the future—the state of the art from a BATTERY 2030+ perspective. *Advanced Energy Materials, 12*(17), 2102904.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *Thermoelectric materials for mitigating corrosion in waste heat recovery of nuclear power plants: A review of current applications and future prospects*. Materials & Corrosion Engineering Management, 5(2), 50–58. https://doi.org/10.26480/macem.02.2024.50.58

Gu, X., Bai, H., Cui, X., Zhu, J., Zhuang, W., Li, Z., . . . Song, Z. (2024). Challenges and opportunities for second-life batteries: Key technologies and economy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 192*, 114191.

Hagelüken, C., & Goldmann, D. (2022). Recycling and circular economy—towards a closed loop for metals in emerging clean technologies. *Mineral Economics, 35*(3), 539-562.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *High-entropy alloys in nuclear reactors: A conceptual review of corrosion resistance, thermal stability, and performance optimization in molten salt applications*. International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 20(11), 501–513.

Hamdan, A., Daudu, C. D., Fabuyide, A., Etukudoh, E. A., & Sonko, S. (2024). Next-generation batteries and US energy storage: A comprehensive review: Scrutinizing advancements in battery technology, their role in renewable energy, and grid stability.

Ibekwe, K. I., Umoh, A. A., Nwokediegwu, Z. Q. S., Etukudoh, E. A., Ilojianya, V. I., & Adefemi, A. (2024). Energy efficiency in industrial sectors: A review of technologies and policy measures. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(1), 169-184.

Ighodaro, O., Ochornma, P., & Egware, H. (2020). Energy Analysis of A Retrofitted Regenerative Gas Turbine Organic Cycle in Ihovbor Power Plant. *International Journal of Engineering Technologies IJET, 6*(3), 45-61.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *Ceramic matrix composites for corrosion-resistant next-generation nuclear reactor systems: A conceptual review of enhancements in durability against molten salt attack*. Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(2), 001–015. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjet.2024.7.2.0055

Iormom, B. I., Jato, T. P., Ishola, A., & Diyoke, K. (2024). Economic Policy Uncertainty, Institutional Quality and Renewable Energy Transitioning in Nigeria.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *Advanced corrosion-resistant materials for enhanced nuclear fuel performance: A conceptual review of innovations in fuel cladding against molten salt degradation*. Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(2), 16–30. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjet.2024.7.2.0056

Ishola, A. (2024a). Global renewable energy transition in fossil fuel dependent regions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 24*(01), 1373-1138.

Ishola, A. (2024b). IoT applications in sustainability and sustainable community development. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews _ Awaiting DOI*.

Ishola, A. O., Odunaiya, O. G., & Soyombo, O. T. (2024a). Framework for tailoring consumer-centric communication to boost solar energy adoption in US households.

Ishola, A. O., Odunaiya, O. G., & Soyombo, O. T. (2024b). Stakeholder communication framework for successful implementation of community-based renewable energy projects.

Issa, M., Ilinca, A., Rousse, D. R., Boulon, L., & Groleau, P. (2023). Renewable energy and decarbonization in the Canadian mining industry: Opportunities and challenges. *Energies, 16*(19), 6967.

Jeevarajan, J. A., Joshi, T., Parhizi, M., Rauhala, T., & Juarez-Robles, D. (2022). Battery hazards for large energy storage systems. In: ACS Publications.

Jin, S., Mu, D., Lu, Z., Li, R., Liu, Z., Wang, Y., . . . Dai, C. (2022). A comprehensive review on the recycling of spent lithium-ion batteries: Urgent status and technology advances. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 340*, 130535.

Kurniawan, T. A., Maiurova, A., Kustikova, M., Bykovskaia, E., Othman, M. H. D., & Goh, H. H. (2022). Accelerating sustainability transition in St. Petersburg (Russia) through digitalization-based circular economy in waste recycling industry: A strategy to promote carbon neutrality in era of Industry 4.0. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 363*, 132452.

Lebrouhi, B., Khattari, Y., Lamrani, B., Maaroufi, M., Zeraouli, Y., & Kousksou, T. (2021). Key challenges for a large-scale development of battery electric vehicles: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Energy Storage, 44*, 103273.

Ogunyemi, F. M., & Ishola, A. O. (2024a). Data-driven financial models for sustainable SME growth: Integrating green finance into small and medium enterprise strategies.

Ogunyemi, F. M., & Ishola, A. O. (2024b). Encouraging investment in renewable energy through data-driven analytics and financial solutions for SMEs.

Sikiru, S., Dele-Afolabi, T., Ghotbi, M. Y., & Rehman, Z. U. (2024). Recent advancements in technology projection on electric double layer effect in battery recycling for energy storage. *Journal of Power Sources, 596*, 234056.

Igunma, T. O., Aderamo, A. T., & Olisakwe, H. C. (2024). *Sustainable materials for corrosion-resistant energy harvesting: A conceptual framework for innovations in biodegradable solutions for nuclear applications*. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(10), 2911–2933. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i10.1646

Simpa, P., Solomon, N. O., Adenekan, O. A., & Obasi, S. C. (2024). Strategic implications of carbon pricing on global environmental sustainability and economic development: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Advanced Economics, 6*(5), 139-172.

Toro, L., Moscardini, E., Baldassari, L., Forte, F., Falcone, I., Coletta, J., & Toro, L. (2023). A systematic review of battery recycling technologies: Advances, challenges, and future prospects. *Energies, 16*(18), 6571.

Igunma, T. O., Adeleke, A. K., & Nwokediegwu, Z. S. (2025). *Developing nanometrology and non-destructive testing methods to ensure medical device manufacturing accuracy and safety*. Gulf Journal of Advance Business Research, 3(2), 712–744. https://doi.org/10.51594/gjabr.v3i2.105

Worku, M. Y. (2022). Recent advances in energy storage systems for renewable source grid integration: a comprehensive review. *Sustainability, 14*(10), 5985.

Yang, Z., Huang, H., & Lin, F. (2022). Sustainable electric vehicle batteries for a sustainable world: perspectives on battery cathodes, environment, supply chain, manufacturing, life cycle, and policy. *Advanced Energy Materials, 12*(26), 2200383.

Afolabi, M. A., Olisakwe, H. C., & Igunma, T. O. (2024). *Sustainable catalysis: A holistic framework for lifecycle analysis and circular economy integration in catalyst design*. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(12), 3221–3231. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i12.1754

Zeng, Y., Li, W., Wan, Z., Qin, S., Huang, Q., Cai, W., . . . Zhang, Y. (2024). Electrochemically Mediated Lithium Extraction for Energy and Environmental Sustainability. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2400416.