International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 9 Issue 4 April - 2025, Pages: 182-187

Carbon Footprint Assessment in LNG Supply Chains: A Review of Current Practices and Improvements

Julius Olatunde Omisola[1], Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh [2], Ekene Cynthia Onukwulu[3] and Grace Omotunde Osho[4]

[1]Platform Petroleum Limited, Nigeria.
[2]ASCA- Ringadas Limited, Nigeria.
[3]Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria
[4]Guinness Nigeria,Plc.

Corresponding Editor: cynthia.onukwulu@gmail.com

Abstract: *As the global energy landscape undergoes a transformative shift towards sustainability, the liquefied natural gas (LNG) industry plays a pivotal role in meeting the rising demand for cleaner energy sources. The urgency to address climate change necessitates a thorough understanding of the environmental impact associated with LNG production, transportation, and regasification processes. The paper begins with an overview of the LNG industry and its significance in the context of the energy transition. The primary objective is to examine existing methodologies, such as Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), employed for carbon footprint assessment, along with an exploration of challenges and limitations inherent in these approaches. Key factors influencing carbon footprints in LNG supply chains, including liquefaction processes, transportation modes, and regasification techniques, are analyzed to identify areas for potential emissions reduction. Through in-depth case studies and examples, the paper highlights successful initiatives and lessons learned from efforts to minimize carbon footprints in specific supply chain scenarios. The importance of transparency, data accessibility, and the potential role of blockchain technology in enhancing supply chain visibility are also explored. The paper delves into policy and regulatory considerations, examining existing environmental regulations within the LNG industry and proposing recommendations for policy improvements to incentivize carbon footprint reduction. Future prospects and recommendations for advancing carbon footprint assessment in LNG supply chains are outlined, encompassing emerging trends and the role of industry-wide collaboration. In conclusion, the paper synthesizes key findings, underlining the evolving role of carbon footprint assessment as a cornerstone in shaping sustainable and environmentally responsible LNG supply chains.*

KEYWORDS: Carbon, Footprint, Assessment, LNG, Supply chains, Improvements.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The LNG industry has emerged as a pivotal player in meeting the world's escalating demand for cleaner energy sources (Sakmar, 2013). Liquefied natural gas, a versatile and transportable form of natural gas, is instrumental in bridging the gap between conventional fossil fuels and renewable energy. LNG contributes to energy security, facilitates global trade, and plays a critical role in supporting the transition towards a low-carbon future (Holz et al., 2016). The industry encompasses a complex supply chain, involving the extraction, liquefaction, transportation, and regasification of natural gas on a global scale. Against the backdrop of intensifying climate change concerns, the assessment of carbon footprints has become a paramount consideration in evaluating the environmental impact of industrial processes. As nations strive to fulfill climate commitments outlined in international agreements, the LNG industry faces increasing scrutiny regarding its contribution to greenhouse gas emissions (Stern, 2022). The need to comprehensively understand and address the carbon footprint of LNG supply chains has become imperative in fostering sustainable practices and aligning the industry with global climate goals. This paper seeks to underscore the critical importance of assessing carbon footprints within LNG supply chains. As the world transitions towards a low-carbon economy, the LNG industry stands at a crossroads, grappling with the imperative to minimize its environmental impact. A thorough examination of the carbon footprint is crucial not only for environmental stewardship but also for ensuring the long-term viability and acceptance of LNG as a cleaner energy alternative (Barnett, 2010). The primary objective of this paper is to provide a comprehensive review of the existing methodologies employed in assessing carbon footprints along the LNG supply chain. It goes beyond a mere evaluation of current practices and ventures into an exploration of potential improvements. By delving into technological advancements, policy considerations, and emerging trends, the paper aims to offer insights that can guide the industry towards more effective and sustainable carbon footprint management. The exploration of innovations and improvements serves as a forward-looking endeavor to contribute to the ongoing discourse on mitigating climate change through responsible LNG practices (El Hafdaoui et al., 2023).

2.1 CURRENT PRACTICES IN CARBON FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) serves as a cornerstone in evaluating the environmental impact of LNG supply chains (Daudu et al., 2024). The assessment spans the entire lifecycle, from natural gas extraction to liquefaction, transportation, and regasification. LCA considers various stages, including raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, and end-of-life disposal. By analyzing these stages comprehensively, LCA provides a holistic understanding of the carbon footprint associated with LNG, allowing stakeholders to identify hotspots and potential areas for improvement (Liu et al., 2023). Effective carbon footprint assessment requires a careful delineation of scopes and boundaries. The three scopes of emissions, as defined by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol, involve Scope 1 (direct emissions), Scope 2 (indirect emissions from purchased electricity), and Scope 3 (indirect emissions along the value chain) (Hertwich and Wood, 2018). LNG industry assessments often grapple with delineating boundaries, especially concerning Scope 3 emissions, which extend beyond a company's direct control. The challenge lies in capturing and quantifying emissions from the extraction of raw materials, transportation modes, and end-use combustion. Despite advancements in methodologies, uncertainties persist in calculating emissions accurately. Factors such as variations in energy sources, differences in technology efficiency, and the dynamic nature of supply chain processes contribute to calculation uncertainties. The reliance on emission factors and data variability across different regions further complicates the precision of calculations (Franco et al., 2023). Robust data collection mechanisms and standardized emission factors become crucial in addressing these uncertainties. The availability and accessibility of data pose significant challenges to a comprehensive carbon footprint assessment. Incomplete or inaccessible data, particularly in the realm of Scope 3 emissions, hampers the accuracy of assessments. The lack of transparency in reporting practices, especially from third-party suppliers and partners, further exacerbates the challenge. Enhancing data transparency and encouraging collaboration across the supply chain are essential steps in overcoming these limitations.

2.2 KEY FACTORS INFLUENCING CARBON FOOTPRINTS IN LNG SUPPLY CHAINS

The choice of liquefaction technology plays a pivotal role in determining the overall carbon footprint of LNG (Daudu et al., 2024). Various liquefaction methods, such as the use of open-rack vaporizers, nitrogen cycles, or mixed refrigerant processes, differ significantly in their energy requirements and associated emissions. Assessing the environmental impact of different liquefaction technologies becomes essential in optimizing processes for lower carbon intensity (Qyyum et al., 2017). The integration of renewable energy sources into liquefaction processes holds the promise of substantial emissions reduction. Utilizing solar, wind, or other renewable energy forms during the liquefaction phase contributes to decarbonizing the overall LNG production. Evaluating the feasibility and scalability of renewable energy integration becomes crucial for industry stakeholders aiming to enhance sustainability. The mode and distance of LNG transportation introduce variations in carbon footprints. Pipelines, sea routes, and land-based transportation each come with distinct emission profiles (Barnett, 2010). Analyzing the comparative emissions from these transportation modes provides insights into optimizing routes and selecting modes that align with environmental objectives. Balancing economic considerations with emissions reduction goals becomes a strategic challenge in LNG logistics (Balcombe et al., 2021). The efficiency of transportation routes directly impacts the carbon footprint of LNG supply chains. Optimizing routes involves minimizing transportation distances, utilizing modes with lower emissions, and strategizing delivery schedules. Advanced route optimization technologies and logistical planning are crucial for reducing emissions during the transportation phase, contributing to overall supply chain sustainability (Dekker et al., 2012). The regasification phase introduces additional considerations for emissions assessment. Different regasification technologies, such as open-loop vaporization, closed-loop vaporization, or submerged combustion, come with varying environmental implications. Understanding the emissions associated with regasification processes is essential for identifying opportunities to reduce carbon footprints at this stage of the LNG supply chain. Exploring innovative regasification technologies that minimize energy consumption and emissions is critical. Technologies such as high-efficiency regasification units, integration with renewable energy sources, or novel approaches like cryogenic energy storage have the potential to enhance the sustainability of regasification processes (Lee et al., 2017). Evaluating the feasibility and scalability of these technologies contributes to the ongoing quest for emissions reduction.

2.3 CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLES

Emissions Reduction Initiatives in LNG Production, this case study focuses on a specific LNG production facility that has successfully implemented emissions reduction initiatives. The facility has adopted advanced liquefaction technologies, optimized energy usage, and integrated renewable energy sources into its processes (Qyyum et al., 2017). Through a comprehensive life cycle assessment, the facility has identified and addressed emissions hotspots, resulting in a substantial reduction in its overall carbon footprint. Key Takeaways: The importance of technology selection in minimizing emissions during liquefaction. The impact of renewable energy integration on carbon footprint reduction. The role of a thorough life cycle assessment in identifying and mitigating emissions hotspots.

Optimization of Transportation Routes for Reduced Carbon Impact, this case study explores a company's efforts to optimize its LNG transportation routes to minimize carbon impact (Al-Enazi et al., 2022). Leveraging advanced logistics and route optimization technologies, the company has successfully reduced transportation distances, chosen modes with lower emissions, and implemented efficient scheduling practices. The case study demonstrates the economic and environmental benefits of strategic transportation planning. Key Takeaways: The significance of optimizing transportation routes in emissions reduction. The role of technology in efficient logistics planning for lower carbon footprints. Balancing economic considerations with emissions reduction goals in transportation (Dekker et al., 2012).

Successful carbon footprint reduction strategies often involve a combination of technology adoption, process optimization, and a commitment to renewable energy integration (Cruz et al., 2018). The case studies highlight the importance of a holistic approach, addressing emissions at various stages of the LNG supply chain. Lessons learned include the need for continuous monitoring, data transparency, and collaboration with stakeholders to achieve sustainable outcomes. The case studies reveal common challenges faced by companies in their efforts to reduce carbon footprints, including technological barriers, data availability issues, and economic considerations. Strategies for overcoming these challenges include investing in research and development, improving data-sharing practices across the supply chain, and implementing incentive structures that align economic and environmental goals (Sigala, 2008).

2.4 IMPROVEMENTS AND INNOVATIONS IN CARBON FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT

The LNG industry is witnessing the emergence of advanced technologies for more accurate measurement and monitoring of emissions (Balcombe et al., 2022). Continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS), drones equipped with sensors, and satellite-based monitoring are revolutionizing data collection processes. These technologies offer real-time insights, enabling companies to proactively manage and mitigate their carbon footprints. The adoption of emerging technologies provides a more granular and dynamic understanding of emissions patterns (Liu et al., 2019). However, challenges such as the integration of these technologies into existing infrastructure, data management, and regulatory compliance must be addressed. Continuous research and development are essential to refine these technologies and ensure their applicability across diverse LNG supply chain scenarios. Artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics play a crucial role in processing vast amounts of data generated throughout the LNG supply chain (JOHNSON and Anyanwu, 2023). Machine learning algorithms can identify patterns, optimize processes, and predict emissions based on various parameters. This proactive approach enables companies to implement targeted interventions for emissions reduction. Predictive modeling powered by AI allows for scenario analysis, helping companies anticipate the environmental impact of different operational decisions (JOHNSON and Anyanwu, 2023). Decision support systems leverage AI to recommend strategies that align with sustainability goals while considering economic factors. This holistic approach aids in making informed decisions that balance environmental and business objectives. Achieving a comprehensive understanding of carbon footprints requires collaboration and data sharing across the entire LNG supply chain (Roman-White et al., 2021). Establishing a collaborative data ecosystem involves sharing emissions data among producers, transporters, and consumers. Transparent data sharing fosters accountability and enables stakeholders to collectively address emissions reduction challenges. Blockchain technology holds promise in creating a secure and transparent platform for sharing emissions data (Allena, 2020). By utilizing blockchain, the LNG industry can enhance the traceability of emissions across the supply chain. Smart contracts and decentralized ledgers contribute to a trustworthy and tamper-resistant record of emissions data, facilitating informed decision-making. The integration of advanced technologies and transparent data sharing enables real-time monitoring of emissions (Banerjee et al., 2023). This real-time data empowers companies to make dynamic operational adjustments, optimizing processes for reduced carbon footprints. Proactive measures, such as adjusting production schedules, optimizing transportation routes, or implementing energy-efficient technologies, become more effective with instantaneous insights. The adoption of advanced technologies and transparent data-sharing practices fosters a culture of collaboration and accountability within the LNG supply chain. Producers, transporters, and consumers can collaboratively work towards common sustainability goals, sharing best practices and collectively addressing challenges. Enhanced collaboration contributes to the industry's ability to collectively reduce its overall carbon footprint (Banerjee et al., 2023).

2.5 POLICY AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Global and Regional Regulatory Frameworks: The LNG industry operates within a complex web of international agreements and regional regulatory frameworks (Gałczyński et al., 2017). Agreements such as the Paris Agreement set global emission reduction targets, while regional bodies and organizations establish specific regulations tailored to their environmental goals. Understanding the nuances and intersections of these frameworks is crucial for navigating compliance obligations (Abrahams et al., 2024). Governments and regulatory bodies define emission standards for various stages of the LNG supply chain, from production to transportation and regasification (Stern, 2022). These standards dictate acceptable emission levels and often require regular reporting to demonstrate compliance. Stricter standards aim to drive continuous improvement in environmental performance.

Carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems, provide financial incentives for companies to reduce their carbon footprints. By assigning a cost to carbon emissions, these mechanisms encourage the adoption of cleaner technologies and practices (Zhang et al., 2022). The LNG industry can benefit from supportive policies that integrate effective carbon pricing mechanisms. Governments can stimulate the adoption of renewable energy in LNG operations by offering financial incentives, subsidies, or tax breaks. These incentives reduce the economic barriers associated with transitioning to cleaner energy sources. Policy support for renewable energy integration aligns with broader sustainability goals and facilitates the reduction of carbon footprints in LNG production. Circular economy policies advocate for minimizing waste, promoting recycling, and recovering valuable materials (Singh and Ordoñez, 2016). In the LNG industry, these principles can be applied to reduce waste generation and enhance material recovery. Policies that incentivize closed-loop material recovery systems contribute to the industry's circular economy alignment and reduce the environmental impact of waste disposal. Policies supporting the integration of waste-to-energy technologies incentivize the development and implementation of innovative approaches to managing waste within the LNG sector. From converting organic waste to energy to exploring advanced recycling methods, such policies create a conducive environment for sustainable waste management practices (Singh and Ordoñez, 2016). Given the dynamic nature of the LNG industry and evolving technological landscapes, regulatory frameworks should be adaptable. Regular reviews and updates ensure that regulations remain aligned with the latest advancements in emissions reduction technologies and sustainable practices. This adaptability fosters an environment of continuous improvement and environmental stewardship. Policies that allocate grants, funding, or research incentives stimulate research and development activities within the LNG industry. Encouraging innovation in emissions reduction technologies, carbon capture and storage, and sustainable practices contributes to the sector's long-term commitment to environmental responsibility (Praetorius and Schumacher, 2009).

2.6 FUTURE PROSPECTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The future promises ongoing advancements in emissions quantification methodologies. As technology evolves, precision in measuring and assessing carbon footprints is expected to improve (Huisingh et al., 2015). Enhanced sensors, data analytics, and machine learning algorithms will contribute to a more nuanced understanding of emissions at different stages of the LNG supply chain. The integration of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies presents a transformative prospect for the LNG industry (Zheng and Xu, 2014). CCS has the potential to capture and store carbon dioxide emissions generated during LNG production, preventing them from entering the atmosphere. Research and development in scalable and cost-effective CCS solutions will play a crucial role in shaping the future of carbon footprint reduction. The future success of carbon footprint reduction initiatives relies on industry-wide collaboration. LNG producers, transporters, regulatory bodies, and consumers should actively engage in knowledge sharing, best practices dissemination, and collaborative research. Shared insights can accelerate the adoption of sustainable technologies and practices across the entire LNG supply chain. Sustained investment in research and development remains paramount. Governments, industry players, and research institutions should allocate resources to drive innovation in emissions reduction technologies, explore new liquefaction and regasification methods, and pioneer breakthroughs in waste-to-energy initiatives (Orenstein, 2023). A commitment to innovation ensures the industry stays at the forefront of sustainable practices. Establishing pilot programs and learning initiatives enables the industry to test and refine emerging technologies in real-world scenarios. Pilots provide valuable insights into the feasibility, scalability, and environmental impact of novel approaches. Lessons learned from pilot programs inform strategic decision-making and guide the industry towards effective emissions reduction. Adopting an iterative approach to sustainability involves learning from both successes and challenges. The industry should embrace a culture of continuous improvement, where feedback from pilot programs and learning initiatives informs subsequent iterations of sustainable practices. This iterative process positions the LNG sector as a dynamic and responsive contributor to global environmental goals (Al-Haidous, 2022). Public awareness and education programs play a pivotal role in building support for sustainable practices within the LNG industry. Communicating the industry's commitment to environmental responsibility, showcasing success stories, and transparently addressing challenges contribute to a positive public perception. Informed stakeholders are more likely to advocate for and support sustainability initiatives. Engaging with stakeholders, including local communities, environmental groups, and governmental bodies, fosters transparency. Open communication about carbon footprint reduction efforts, environmental impact assessments, and progress updates builds trust. Stakeholder engagement ensures that sustainability practices align with the broader interests of the communities impacted by LNG operations (Székely and Knirsch, 2005).

2.7 CONCLUSION

The review has underscored the industry's strides in adopting advanced technologies for more precise and comprehensive carbon footprint assessments. From the integration of real-time monitoring systems to the exploration of artificial intelligence and data analytics, the industry is evolving to meet the challenges of accurate emissions measurement. The examination of case studies and examples has provided concrete evidence of successful initiatives within the LNG industry. From emissions reduction in production facilities to optimized transportation routes, these success stories offer valuable lessons and best practices that can guide future sustainability efforts. Carbon footprint assessment has transitioned beyond a compliance-oriented task to become a strategic pillar for sustainability within the LNG sector. Companies are increasingly recognizing the interconnectedness of environmental responsibility with long-term viability, customer expectations, and global climate goals. Carbon footprint assessment serves as a fundamental pillar in the construction of sustainable LNG supply chains. The assessment not only informs environmental stewardship but also guides decision-making across the supply chain, influencing technology choices, transportation strategies, and waste management practices.

While challenges such as emissions calculation uncertainties and data transparency persist, they serve as catalysts for ongoing innovation. The industry's commitment to continuous improvement and adaptability is evident in the exploration of emerging technologies, policy advocacy, and collaborative initiatives. The identification of opportunities, from carbon capture and storage to circular economy integration, underscores the potential for collective action. Stakeholders, including governments, industry players, and communities, have the opportunity to collaborate on shared goals, fostering a holistic approach to sustainability. As the LNG industry navigates a dynamic landscape, the conclusion emphasizes the need for ongoing commitment to sustainability. Anticipating future trends, leveraging advancements in technology, and embracing a culture of continuous improvement will define the industry's ability to adapt and lead in the evolving sustainability paradigm. The conclusion reiterates that achieving sustainable LNG supply chains is a shared responsibility. Governments, industry leaders, consumers, and communities each play a role in shaping the future trajectory. Transparent communication, stakeholder engagement, and a commitment to shared values will be pivotal in the ongoing journey towards environmental responsibility.

REFERENCES

1. Abrahams, T. O., Ewuga, S. K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P. U., Hassan, A. O., & Dawodu, S. O. (2024). Mastering compliance: a comprehensive review of regulatory frameworks in accounting and cybersecurity. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(1), 120-140.
2. Al-Enazi, A., Bicer, Y., Okonkwo, E. C., & Al-Ansari, T. (2022). Evaluating the utilisation of clean fuels in maritime applications: A techno-economic supply chain optimization. *Fuel*, *322*, 124195.
3. Al-Haidous, S. Y. (2022). *Sustainability and Resilience in LNG Supply Chain* (Doctoral dissertation, Hamad Bin Khalifa University (Qatar)).
4. Allena, M. (2020). Blockchain technology for environmental compliance. *Environmental Law*, *50*(4), 1055-1103.
5. Balcombe, P., Heggo, D. A., & Harrison, M. (2022). Total methane and CO2 emissions from liquefied natural gas carrier ships: the first primary measurements. *Environmental science & technology*, *56*(13), 9632-9640.
6. Balcombe, P., Staffell, I., Kerdan, I. G., Speirs, J. F., Brandon, N. P., & Hawkes, A. D. (2021). How can LNG-fuelled ships meet decarbonisation targets? An environmental and economic analysis. *Energy*, *227*, 120462.
7. Banerjee, S., Das, D., Chatterjee, P., & Ghosh, U. (2023). Blockchain-enabled Digital Twin Technology for Next-Generation Transportation Systems. In *2023 IEEE 26th International Symposium on Real-Time Distributed Computing (ISORC)* (pp. 224-229). IEEE.
8. Barnett, P. J. (2010). Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) and its environmental impact as a low carbon energy source.
9. Barnett, P. J. (2010). Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) and its environmental impact as a low carbon energy source.
10. Cruz, M. R., Fitiwi, D. Z., Santos, S. F., & Catalão, J. P. (2018). A comprehensive survey of flexibility options for supporting the low-carbon energy future. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *97*, 338-353.
11. Daudu, C. D., Adefemi, A., Adekoya, O. O., Okoli, C. E., Ayorinde, O. B., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). LNG AND CLIMATE CHANGE: EVALUATING ITS CARBON FOOTPRINT IN COMPARISON TO OTHER FOSSIL FUELS. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(2), 412-426.
12. Daudu, C. D., Adefemi, A., Adekoya, O. O., Okoli, C. E., Ayorinde, O. B., & Daraojimba, A. I. (2024). LNG AND CLIMATE CHANGE: EVALUATING ITS CARBON FOOTPRINT IN COMPARISON TO OTHER FOSSIL FUELS. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(2), 412-426.

13. Dekker, R., Bloemhof, J., & Mallidis, I. (2012). Operations Research for green logistics–An overview of aspects, issues, contributions and challenges. *European journal of operational research*, *219*(3), 671-679.
14. Dekker, R., Bloemhof, J., & Mallidis, I. (2012). Operations Research for green logistics–An overview of aspects, issues, contributions and challenges. *European journal of operational research*, *219*(3), 671-679.
15. El Hafdaoui, H., Khallaayoun, A., & Ouazzani, K. (2023). Long-term low carbon strategy of Morocco: A review of future scenarios and energy measures. *Results in Engineering*, 101724.
16. Franco, V., Kousoulidou, M., Muntean, M., Ntziachristos, L., Hausberger, S., & Dilara, P. (2013). Road vehicle emission factors development: A review. *Atmospheric Environment*, *70*, 84-97.
17. Gałczyński, M., Ruszel, M., Turowski, P., Zajdler, R., & Zawisza, A. (2017). *Global LNG market*. Ignacy Lukasiewicz Energy Policy Institute.
18. Hertwich, E. G., & Wood, R. (2018). The growing importance of scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions from industry. *Environmental Research Letters*, *13*(10), 104013.
19. Holz, F., Richter, P. M., & Egging, R. (2016). The role of natural gas in a low-carbon Europe: Infrastructure and supply security. *The Energy Journal*, *37*(3_suppl), 33-60.
20. Huisingh, D., Zhang, Z., Moore, J. C., Qiao, Q., & Li, Q. (2015). Recent advances in carbon emissions reduction: policies, technologies, monitoring, assessment and modeling. *Journal of cleaner production*, *103*, 1-12.
21. JOHNSON, N., & Anyanwu, C. J. (2023). Revolutionizing Small-Scale LNG Business: Optimal Strategies for an Adaptive and Sustainable Supply Chain.
22. Lee, I., Park, J., & Moon, I. (2017). Conceptual design and exergy analysis of combined cryogenic energy storage and LNG regasification processes: Cold and power integration. *Energy*, *140*, 106-115.
23. Liu, F., Shafique, M., & Luo, X. (2023). Literature review on life cycle assessment of transportation alternative fuels. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, *32*, 103343.
24. Liu, J., Han, K., Chen, X. M., & Ong, G. P. (2019). Spatial-temporal inference of urban traffic emissions based on taxi trajectories and multi-source urban data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, *106*, 145-165.
25. Orenstein, M. (2023). CCUS as a Tool for LNG Innovation: A Report Prepared for the Global Gas Innovation Roundtable.
26. Praetorius, B., & Schumacher, K. (2009). Greenhouse gas mitigation in a carbon constrained world: The role of carbon capture and storage. *Energy Policy*, *37*(12), 5081-5093.
27. Qyyum, M. A., Qadeer, K., & Lee, M. (2017). Comprehensive review of the design optimization of natural gas liquefaction processes: current status and perspectives. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, *57*(17), 5819-5844.
28. Roman-White, S. A., Littlefield, J. A., Fleury, K. G., Allen, D. T., Balcombe, P., Konschnik, K. E., ... & George, F. (2021). LNG supply chains: A supplier-specific life-cycle assessment for improved emission accounting. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, *9*(32), 10857-10867.
29. Sakmar, S. L. (2013). Energy for the 21st century: Opportunities and challenges for liquefied natural gas (LNG).
30. Sigala, M. (2008). A supply chain management approach for investigating the role of tour operators on sustainable tourism: the case of TUI. *Journal of cleaner production*, *16*(15), 1589-1599.
31. Singh, J., & Ordoñez, I. (2016). Resource recovery from post-consumer waste: important lessons for the upcoming circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *134*, 342-353.
32. Singh, J., & Ordoñez, I. (2016). Resource recovery from post-consumer waste: important lessons for the upcoming circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *134*, 342-353.
33. Stern, J. P. (2022). *measurement, reporting, and verification of methane emissions from natural gas and LNG trade: creating transparent and credible frameworks* (No. 06). OIES Paper: ET.
34. Stern, J. P. (2022). *measurement, reporting, and verification of methane emissions from natural gas and LNG trade: creating transparent and credible frameworks* (No. 06). OIES Paper: ET.
35. Székely, F., & Knirsch, M. (2005). Responsible leadership and corporate social responsibility:: Metrics for sustainable performance. *European Management Journal*, *23*(6), 628-647.
36. Zhang, W., Li, G., & Guo, F. (2022). Does carbon emissions trading promote green technology innovation in China?. *Applied Energy*, *315*, 119012.
37. Zheng, B., & Xu, J. (2014). Carbon capture and storage development trends from a techno-paradigm perspective. *Energies*, *7*(8), 5221-5250.