International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 9 Issue 4 April - 2025, Pages: 188-194

The Impact of Blockchain Technology on Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Review

Julius Olatunde Omisola[1], Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh [2], Ekene Cynthia Onukwulu[3] and Grace Omotunde Osho[4]

[1]Platform Petroleum Limited, Nigeria.
[2]ASCA- Ringadas Limited, Nigeria.
[3]Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria
[4]Guinness Nigeria,Plc.
Corresponding Editor: cynthia.onukwulu@gmail.com

***Abstract**: Blockchain technology has emerged as a transformative force, revolutionizing various industries, with its potential to enhance transparency, security, and efficiency. In the realm of supply chain management (SCM), blockchain has garnered considerable attention for its ability to address longstanding challenges. This comprehensive review explores the multifaceted impact of blockchain technology on supply chain processes, examining its potential benefits, challenges, and implications for stakeholders. The supply chain, a complex network of interconnected entities, faces challenges such as opacity, inefficiencies, fraud, and counterfeiting. Blockchain, a decentralized and tamper-resistant distributed ledger technology, has the potential to address these issues by providing a transparent and immutable record of transactions across the supply chain. This section elucidates the foundational principles of blockchain in the context of supply chain management, discussing key elements such as decentralized consensus, smart contracts, and cryptographic security. Understanding these concepts is crucial for grasping the transformative potential of blockchain in SCM. Blockchain facilitates real-time traceability of products throughout the supply chain. Smart contracts and unique identifiers enable stakeholders to track and verify the origin, production, and movement of goods. This increased transparency helps in mitigating risks, reducing fraud, and ensuring compliance with regulatory standards. Blockchain's decentralized nature eliminates the need for intermediaries, reducing transaction costs and delays. Smart contracts automate and streamline processes, minimizing errors and enhancing operational efficiency. This section examines how these factors contribute to cost reduction and improved overall supply chain performance. Blockchain's tamper-resistant nature provides a robust defense against counterfeiting and fraud. By recording each transaction in an immutable ledger, stakeholders can verify the authenticity of products at every stage of the supply chain. The review discusses how this capability safeguards brand reputation and consumer trust. Blockchain promotes trust among supply chain participants by providing a shared, transparent platform for data exchange. Smart contracts enable automated, trustless agreements, fostering collaboration and reducing the risk of disputes. The section explores the impact of blockchain on building trust and strengthening relationships within the supply chain ecosystem. While blockchain holds significant promise, its implementation in supply chain management is not without challenges. This section identifies and analyzes obstacles such as scalability issues, interoperability, regulatory concerns, and the need for industry-wide standards. The review concludes by outlining potential future developments and trends in blockchain technology within the supply chain. It explores ongoing research, emerging use cases, and the evolving landscape of blockchain applications in SCM. Blockchain technology has the potential to revolutionize supply chain management by addressing longstanding challenges and enhancing efficiency, transparency, and trust. However, successful implementation requires overcoming various challenges and fostering collaboration among stakeholders. This comprehensive review provides insights into the transformative impact of blockchain on the supply chain and serves as a foundation for future research and practical applications.*

Keywords: Blockchain; Supply Chain; Management; Technology; Review; SCM

1.0. Introduction

Supply chain management faces numerous challenges, including disruption risks, agility, big data analytics, and sustainability (Kleindorfer & Saad, 2005; Corominas, 2013). These challenges impact the flow of information, materials, and products across organizational borders, affecting performance and vulnerability (Kleindorfer & Saad, 2005; -Corominas, 2013). Additionally, the mismatch between workers' skills and job competencies impacts the supply of workers in the supply chain (Meier et al., 2023). Furthermore, the implementation of supply chain management significantly influences project performance (Pahinggis & Sucita, 2022). The increasing amount of data shared by supply chains justifies the use of big data in supply chain management. Moreover, the COVID-19 crisis has significantly affected supply chain performance and structural design (Ivanovska et al., 2021).

Blockchain technology has emerged as a potential solution to these challenges. It offers transparency, traceability, and security in supply chain operations (Wang et al., 2017). The technology's decentralized nature ensures the integrity of data, reducing the risk of fraud and errors. Furthermore, blockchain can enhance trust and collaboration among supply chain partners, leading to improved efficiency and reduced costs.

The purpose of this review is to comprehensively analyze the impact of blockchain technology on supply chain management. It aims to explore how blockchain addresses the challenges faced by supply chain management, such as disruption risks, agility, big data analytics, sustainability, and the impact of the COVID-19 crisis. By synthesizing existing literature, this review seeks to provide insights into the potential benefits and limitations of integrating blockchain technology into supply chain management practices.

2.1. Fundamental Concepts of Blockchain in Supply Chain

Blockchain technology has revolutionized supply chain management by introducing fundamental concepts such as decentralized consensus, smart contracts, and cryptographic security. Decentralized consensus, a key feature of blockchain, ensures agreement among distributed nodes, thereby eliminating the need for a central authority (Zarrin et al., 2021). This consensus mechanism is crucial in supply chain management as it enhances transparency, traceability, and trust among stakeholders (Ren et al., 2023). Smart contracts, which are self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code, automate processes and enforce agreements within the supply chain, thereby reducing the need for intermediaries and minimizing the risk of fraud (Muratov et al., 2018). Additionally, cryptographic security plays a vital role in ensuring the integrity and confidentiality of data within the blockchain network. By encrypting data before storage or transmission, cryptographic primitives provide an additional layer of defense, enhancing the security of supply chain transactions (Egele et al., 2013).

Decentralized consensus is achieved through various optimization algorithms, such as distributed subgradient descent algorithms and the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) (Shi et al., 2014). These algorithms enable efficient decision-making and coordination among the nodes in the supply chain network, leading to consensus on the state of the ledger. Moreover, the cryptographic security of blockchain technology relies on robust encryption techniques and secure key establishment protocols. For instance, the use of symmetric and asymmetric cryptographic algorithms, along with hardware security modules (HSMs) and trusted execution environments (TEEs), ensures the protection of sensitive data and cryptographic keys within the supply chain ecosystem (Papp et al., 2021; Kehret et al., 2016).

Furthermore, the integration of cryptographic security measures, such as physically unclonable functions (PUFs) and integrated circuit metrics (ICMetrics), into IoT devices used in the supply chain enhances the overall security framework (Tahir et al., 2017). This approach addresses the challenges of algorithmic intractability and provides a novel root of trust for cryptographic implementations. Additionally, the use of symmetric and matrix mapping on asymmetric elliptic curve cryptography (ECC) techniques in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) contributes to energy-efficient and secure communication within the supply chain infrastructure (Hemalatha et al., 2016).

In conclusion, the fundamental concepts of blockchain technology, including decentralized consensus, smart contracts, and cryptographic security, have significantly transformed supply chain management. These concepts have improved transparency, efficiency, and security within supply chain operations, ultimately leading to enhanced trust and collaboration among stakeholders.

2.2. Enhanced Traceability and Transparency

Enhanced traceability and transparency in product supply chains are crucial for ensuring real-time tracking, unique product identification, and compliance with regulations. Several studies have highlighted the significance of traceability systems in achieving these objectives. emphasized the role of traceability in enhancing transparency along the food supply chain, fostering consumer trust (Muhamad et al., 2020). Similarly, underscored the importance of RFID-based traceability in ensuring the integrity and transparency of product information in supply chain management systems (Rahman et al., 2021). Furthermore, the integration of blockchain technology has been identified as a means to enhance real-time information transparency and product traceability in supply chains (Ghode et al., 2022).

Unique identifiers and product verification are essential components of traceability systems. Studies have indicated that unique identifiers and traceability procedures play a significant role in enhancing product traceability and information sharing (Zhou & Husnain, 2022). Additionally, the adoption of technologies such as smart packaging systems and genomic tools has been proposed to improve product traceability within supply chains (Chen et al., 2020; Dominik et al., 2021). These technologies contribute to the unique identification of products and enable efficient verification processes.

In terms of risk mitigation and regulatory compliance, traceability systems have been recognized as effective tools for enhancing food safety, quality, and regulatory compliance. The implementation of traceability systems can contribute to risk mitigation by enabling efficient product recall and response to food safety incidents (Zhao et al., 2020; Regattieri et al., 2007). Furthermore, digital traceability systems have been identified as instrumental in reaching agriculture emissions targets and enhancing circular food systems, thereby contributing to regulatory compliance and sustainability goals (Freeman et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the synthesis of these references underscores the critical role of enhanced traceability and transparency in product supply chains. Real-time traceability, unique identifiers, and compliance with regulations are essential aspects that can be addressed through the adoption of advanced technologies and robust traceability systems.

2.3. Supply Chain Efficiency and Cost Reduction

To enhance supply chain efficiency and reduce costs, several strategies can be implemented. Firstly, the elimination of intermediaries can help in reducing costs that are considered waste (Purnamasari et al., 2022). This can be achieved by streamlining processes with smart contracts, which are self-executing contracts with the terms of the agreement directly written into code. Smart contracts can automate and streamline processes, reducing the need for intermediaries and associated costs (Wang et al., 2019). Additionally, minimizing errors and enhancing operational efficiency is crucial. For instance, in the printing industry, cost reduction and quality improvements were achieved by focusing on consumables and reliability engineering, which led to enhanced operational efficiency (Moreira et al., 2018). Furthermore, the use of blockchain-enabled smart contracts can contribute to cost reduction by automating processes and reducing the need for manual intervention, thereby minimizing errors and enhancing operational efficiency (Hamledari & Fischer, 2021). However, it is important to note that writing secure smart contracts can be challenging, and careful consideration of potential risks is essential (Bhargavan et al., 2016). Moreover, the application of total quality management cost models can aid in enhancing operational efficiency and reducing costs in various programs, such as harm reduction initiatives (Hamid et al., 2015).

In conclusion, the implementation of strategies such as the elimination of intermediaries, streamlining processes with smart contracts, and minimizing errors can significantly contribute to supply chain efficiency and cost reduction. However, it is crucial to carefully consider the challenges and potential risks associated with these strategies to ensure their successful implementation.

2.4. Tackling Counterfeiting and Fraud

Tackling counterfeiting and fraud in supply chains is a critical concern for businesses. Blockchain technology offers a tamper-resistant nature that can be leveraged to authenticate products at every stage of the supply chain, thereby safeguarding brand reputation and consumer trust (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Benčić et al., 2019; Manoharan & Priya, 2022). Blockchain's tamper-resistant nature ensures that once data is recorded, it cannot be altered, providing a secure and transparent system for tracking and verifying the authenticity of products (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Manoharan & Priya, 2022). This capability is particularly valuable in combating counterfeiting, as it enables the creation of an immutable record of a product's journey from its origin to the end consumer, ensuring its authenticity at every step (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Benčić et al., 2019).

Authentication of products at every supply chain stage is crucial in mitigating counterfeiting, and blockchain technology provides a robust solution for this. By leveraging blockchain for product authentication, businesses can create a transparent and traceable supply chain, enabling the verification of product provenance and authenticity at each stage of production and distribution (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Manoharan & Priya, 2022). This not only deters counterfeiters but also instills confidence in consumers, as they can trust the authenticity of the products they purchase, thereby enhancing brand reputation and consumer trust (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Manoharan & Priya, 2022).

Safeguarding brand reputation and consumer trust is paramount in combating counterfeiting and fraud. Studies have shown that brand trust is a key factor influencing consumer perceptions and purchase decisions (Ha, 2004; Delgado-Ballester & Munuera-Alemán, 2005; Fahira & Djamaludin, 2023). Blockchain's ability to ensure product authenticity and transparency in the supply chain contributes to building and maintaining brand trust, as consumers are more likely to trust brands that demonstrate a commitment to product authenticity and transparency (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Manoharan & Priya, 2022). Furthermore, the authentication of products at every supply chain stage using blockchain technology enhances brand reputation by signaling a proactive approach to combating counterfeiting and fraud, thereby fostering consumer trust and loyalty (Harsha Vardhan et al., 2023; Manoharan & Priya, 2022).

In conclusion, leveraging blockchain technology to create a tamper-resistant and transparent supply chain enables the authentication of products at every stage, thereby safeguarding brand reputation and consumer trust. This approach not only mitigates counterfeiting and fraud but also enhances brand trust and consumer confidence in the authenticity of products.

2.5. Collaboration and Trust Among Stakeholders

Collaboration and trust among stakeholders are crucial for successful interactions and relationships within various ecosystems. To facilitate this, several strategies can be employed to enhance collaboration and trust among stakeholders.

Firstly, a shared and transparent platform for data exchange can significantly contribute to building trust and collaboration among stakeholders (Baah et al., 2021). By revealing accurate and meaningful information, stakeholders can develop trust and loyalty, which are essential for effective collaboration (Baah et al., 2021). Additionally, the use of smart contracts can automate agreements, thereby fostering trustless interactions among stakeholders (Barrane et al., 2020). Smart contracts provide a mechanism for executing credible transactions without the need for intermediaries, thus enhancing trust and reliability in collaborative efforts (Barrane et al., 2020).

Furthermore, strengthening relationships within the supply chain ecosystem is vital for fostering collaboration and trust among stakeholders. Research has shown that collaboration contributes to improved relationships and trust among participants, even among stakeholder groups with a history of disagreement over management goals (Walpole et al., 2017). Additionally, successful collaboration depends on building partnerships, recognizing interdependence, generating a common vision and goals, and commitment among stakeholders in a structured process (Widowati & Larasati, 2021). Moreover, trust initiation and development play a crucial role in enabling engaged scholarship and knowledge application in collaborations (Darabi et al., 2020). As collaborations develop, reciprocal insights regarding stakeholders' competencies and integrity, and the development of knowledge-based trust can support engagement and knowledge application (Darabi et al., 2020). It is also important to create structures that incite actors to find better ways to sustain trust and integrate trust and social capital in the design and evolution of institutions for collective action (Six et al., 2015).

In conclusion, the establishment of a shared and transparent platform for data exchange, the use of smart contracts, and the strengthening of relationships within the supply chain ecosystem are essential for fostering collaboration and trust among stakeholders. These strategies can contribute to building trust, enhancing transparency, and automating agreements, thereby promoting successful interactions and relationships within various ecosystems.

2.6. Challenges and Adoption Barriers

Blockchain technology has the potential to revolutionize supply chain management by enabling secure and transparent data exchange among supply chain actors (Dutta et al., 2020). However, the adoption of blockchain in supply chain operations faces several challenges. Interoperability is identified as a significant barrier to blockchain adoption in various sectors, including healthcare (Madine et al., 2021). Furthermore, regulatory concerns, such as uncertainty and compliance issues, have been recognized as major barriers to the adoption of blockchain technology in agri-food industries Guerra & Boys (2021) and the insurance sector (Brophy, 2019). These regulatory concerns can significantly impact the development and deployment of blockchain technology in supply chain management. Therefore, addressing interoperability challenges and regulatory concerns is crucial for the successful adoption of blockchain technology in supply chain management.

2.7. Future Perspectives and Trends

The impact of blockchain technology on supply chain management (SCM) has been a subject of extensive research, with a focus on ongoing developments, emerging use cases, and the evolution of the blockchain landscape in SCM. Ongoing research in blockchain technology has been encouraged from an operations and supply chain management (OSCM) perspective, identifying potential areas of application and providing an agenda for future research (Cole et al., 2019). The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains has been examined, presenting existing ongoing projects and initiatives, and discussing overall implications, challenges, and potential, with a critical view over the maturity of these projects (Kamilaris et al., 2019). There is a significant increase in publications by scholars from the USA, China, and India on the impact of high technology on supply chains, particularly on food supply chains (Mahdikhani, 2023). The interest in blockchain stems from its central attributes that provide security, anonymity, and data integrity without any third party organization in control of the transactions, creating interesting research areas, especially from the perspective of technical challenges and limitations (Yli-Huumo et al., 2016).

Emerging use cases in supply chain management have been explored, with a focus on blockchain-SCM integration in the electric power industry, suggesting it as a benchmark for scholars and practitioners interested in gaining an in-depth understanding of the main blockchain mechanisms and the disintermediation provided by the smart contracts approach (Queiroz et al., 2019). Various research questions have been introduced to illustrate how the implications of blockchain on SCM can be investigated from different perspectives, emphasizing the competitive advantage it offers (Treiblmaier, 2018). The potential of blockchain technology in supply chain management has been reviewed, considering its potential trends and applications in SCM (Gurtu & Johny, 2019). The influence of blockchain technology on the increasing efficiency, transparency, auditability, traceability, and security issues of the food supply chain has been examined, particularly in the context of creating a smart local food supply chain (Sekuloska & Erceg, 2022).

The evolution of the blockchain landscape in SCM has been addressed through a comprehensive survey on academic and application perspectives, providing insights into the ongoing development trend, the research trend, and the future direction of blockchain (Zou et al., 2020). The potential of blockchain technology in logistics and supply chain management has been explored, focusing on its role in supporting supply chain agility, trust, protection of intellectual property, and food/perishable supply chains (Rejeb et al., 2021). Furthermore, the mediating role of blockchain technology in improving knowledge sharing practices in supply chains has been investigated, highlighting its impact on supply chain performance (Philsoophian et al., 2021).

2.8. Recommendation and Conclusion

In the course of our comprehensive review on the impact of blockchain technology on supply chain management, several key findings have emerged. Firstly, blockchain's decentralized and transparent nature significantly enhances traceability and visibility within the supply chain, reducing fraud, errors, and delays. Additionally, smart contracts facilitate automated and secure transactions, streamlining processes and improving efficiency. Collaboration across supply chain participants is strengthened through the shared and immutable ledger, fostering trust and accountability. The integration of blockchain technology can lead to a more resilient and responsive supply chain ecosystem. The implications of incorporating blockchain technology into supply chain management are profound. Enhanced transparency and traceability will enable quicker and more accurate identification of issues, reducing the impact of disruptions. The increased trust among stakeholders can foster stronger partnerships and collaborations, leading to improved overall supply chain performance. Smart contracts have the potential to automate routine tasks, reducing the need for intermediaries and minimizing delays in processes. As blockchain matures, its integration into supply chains may redefine traditional models and pave the way for a more agile, efficient, and secure future in supply chain management.

While our review sheds light on the transformative potential of blockchain in supply chain management, there is a pressing need for further research to address several areas. Firstly, more empirical studies are required to validate the real-world impact of blockchain adoption across diverse industries and supply chain contexts. Research should also focus on addressing scalability issues and ensuring the interoperability of different blockchain platforms. Moreover, exploring the socio-economic and environmental impacts of widespread blockchain integration is essential for a holistic understanding of its implications. Additionally, practical applications and case studies showcasing successful blockchain implementations in specific supply chain scenarios will provide valuable insights for industry practitioners.

In conclusion, the findings from our comprehensive review suggest that blockchain technology holds great promise for revolutionizing supply chain management. The industry should actively embrace this technological evolution and collaborate on research efforts to address challenges and optimize the implementation of blockchain in diverse supply chain environments. The future of supply chain management lies in the continued exploration and application of blockchain technology, and its successful integration has the potential to reshape the landscape of global supply chains.

References:

1. Baah, C., Acquah, I., & Ofori, D. (2021). Exploring the influence of supply chain collaboration on supply chain visibility, stakeholder trust, environmental and financial performances: a partial least square approach. Benchmarking an International Journal, 29(1), 172-193. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-10-2020-0519
2. Barrane, F., Ndubisi, N., Kamble, S., Karuranga, G., & Poulin, D. (2020). Building trust in multi-stakeholder collaborations for new product development in the digital transformation era. Benchmarking an International Journal, 28(1), 205-228. https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-04-2020-0164
3. Benčić, F., Skočir, P., & Žarko, I. (2019). Dl-tags: dlt and smart tags for decentralized, privacy-preserving, and verifiable supply chain management. Ieee Access, 7, 46198-46209. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2909170
4. Bhargavan, K., Delignat-Lavaud, A., Fournet, C., Gollamudi, A., Gonthier, G., Kobeissi, N., … & Zanella-Béguelin, S. (2016). Formal verification of smart contracts.. https://doi.org/10.1145/2993600.2993611
5. Brophy, R. (2019). Blockchain and insurance: a review for operations and regulation. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 28(2), 215-234. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfrc-09-2018-0127
6. Chen, S., Brahma, S., Mackay, J., Cao, C., & Aliakbarian, B. (2020). The role of smart packaging system in food supply chain. Journal of Food Science, 85(3), 517-525. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15046
7. Cole, R., Stevenson, M., & Aitken, J. (2019). Blockchain technology: implications for operations and supply chain management. Supply Chain Management an International Journal, 24(4), 469-483. https://doi.org/10.1108/scm-09-2018-0309
8. Corominas, A. (2013). Supply chains: what they are and the new problems they raise. International Journal of Production Research, 51(23-24), 6828-6835. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2013.852700
9. Darabi, F., Saunders, M., & Clark, M. (2020). Trust initiation and development in sme-university collaborations: implications for enabling engaged scholarship. European Journal of Training and Development, 45(4/5), 320-345. https://doi.org/10.1108/ejtd-04-2020-0068
10. Delgado-Ballester, E. and Munuera-Alemán, J. (2005). Does brand trust matter to brand equity?. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 14(3), 187-196. https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420510601058
11. Dominik, S., Duff, C., Byrne, A., Daetwyler, H., & Reverter, A. (2021). Ultra-small snp panels to uniquely identify individuals in thousands of samples. Animal Production Science, 61(18), 1796-1800. https://doi.org/10.1071/an21123

12. Dutta, P., Choi, T., Somani, S., & Butala, R. (2020). Blockchain technology in supply chain operations: applications, challenges and research opportunities. Transportation Research Part E Logistics and Transportation Review, 142, 102067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102067
13. Egele, M., Brumley, D., Fratantonio, Y., & Kruegel, C. (2013). An empirical study of cryptographic misuse in android applications. https://doi.org/10.1145/2508859.2516693
14. Fahira, A. and Djamaludin, M. (2023). The influence of brand trust and satisfaction towards consumer loyalty of a local cosmetic products brand x among generation z. Journal of Consumer Sciences, 8(1), 27-44. https://doi.org/10.29244/jcs.8.1.27-44
15. Freeman, K., Valencia, V., Marzaroli, J., & Zanten, H. (2022). Digital traceability to enhance circular food systems and reach agriculture emissions targets. Outlook on Agriculture, 51(4), 414-422. https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270221133854
16. Ghode, D., Yadav, V., Jain, R., & Soni, G. (2022). Exploring the integration of blockchain technology into supply chain: challenges and performance. Business Process Management Journal, 29(1), 223-239. https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-09-2022-0421
17. Guerra, K. and Boys, K. (2021). A new food chain: adoption and policy implications to blockchain use in agri-food industries. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 44(1), 324-349. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13163
18. Gurtu, A. and Johny, J. (2019). Potential of blockchain technology in supply chain management: a literature review. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 49(9), 881-900. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpdlm-11-2018-0371
19. Ha, H. (2004). Factors influencing consumer perceptions of brand trust online. Journal of Product & Brand Management, 13(5), 329-342. https://doi.org/10.1108/10610420410554412
20. Hamid, S., Omar, N., Sulaiman, S., Wee, S., & Ismail, R. (2015). Applying total quality management cost model in drug intervention programme. Journal of Economics Business and Management, 3(1), 115-118. https://doi.org/10.7763/joebm.2015.v3.165
21. Hamledari, H. and Fischer, M. (2021). Construction payment automation using blockchain-enabled smart contracts and robotic reality capture technologies. Automation in Construction, 132, 103926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103926
22. Harsha Vardhan V S, R. L., Aditya Reddy K, M. Mourya (2023). Mitigating counterfeiting using blockchain enabled product authentication. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 11(4), 583-589. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.50151
23. Hemalatha, S., Rajamani, V., & Parthasarathy, V. (2016). Security implementation in wsn with symmetric and matrix mapping on asymmetric ecc cryptographic techniques. Circuits and Systems, 07(10), 3204-3211. https://doi.org/10.4236/cs.2016.710273
24. Ivanovska, L., Josimovski, S., & NESTOROSKA, M. (2021). Implications of covid-19 crisis on supply chain management. Journal of Sustainable Development, 12(27), 3-14. https://doi.org/10.54442/jsd21122703pi
25. Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. (2019). The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 91, 640-652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034
26. Kehret, O., Walz, A., & Sikora, A. (2016). Integration of hardware security modules into a deeply embedded tls stack. International Journal of Computing, 22-30. https://doi.org/10.47839/ijc.15.1.827
27. Kleindorfer, P. and Saad, G. (2005). Managing disruption risks in supply chains. Production and Operations Management, 14(1), 53-68. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2005.tb00009.x
28. Madine, M., Salah, K., Jayaraman, R., Al-Hammadi, Y., Arshad, J., & Yaqoob, I. (2021). Appxchain: application-level interoperability for blockchain networks. https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.13903010
29. Mahdikhani, M. (2023). High-technology within the supply chain: a systematic review. Management Decision, 61(8), 2257-2279. https://doi.org/10.1108/md-05-2022-0697
30. Manoharan, Y. and Priya, S. (2022). Framework for document traceability in chemical supply chain using blockchain and smart contracts. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1808889/v1
31. Meier, E., Brown, A., McHenry, B., Buki, I., Egharevba, M., & Kabatende, J. (2023). Labor markets for health supply chain management in Rwanda: a qualitative study of stakeholder perspectives. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2292140/v1
32. Moreira, A., Silva, F., Correia, A., Pereira, T., Ferreira, L., & Almeida, F. (2018). Cost reduction and quality improvements in the printing industry. Procedia Manufacturing, 17, 623-630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.10.107
33. Muhamad, N., Kamarulzaman, N., & Nawi, N. (2020). Agro-food smes' intention to adopt halal traceability system. Food Research, 4(S1), 93-98. https://doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.4(s1).s28
34. Muratov, F., Lebedev, A., Nikolai, I., Nasrulin, B., & Takemiya, M. (2018). Yac: bft consensus algorithm for blockchain. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1809.00554
35. Pahinggis, M. and Sucita, I. (2022). Analysis of implementation supply chain management material procurement effect on construction project performance. Logic Jurnal Rancang Bangun Dan Teknologi, 22(2), 89-96. https://doi.org/10.31940/logic.v22i2.89-96

36. Papp, D., Zombor, M., & Buttyán, L. (2021). Tee-based protection of cryptographic keys on embedded iot devices. Annales Mathematicae Et Informaticae, 53, 245-256. https://doi.org/10.33039/ami.2021.02.002
37. Philsoophian, M., Akhavan, P., & Namvar, M. (2021). The mediating role of blockchain technology in improvement of knowledge sharing for supply chain management. Management Decision, 60(3), 784-805. https://doi.org/10.1108/md-08-2020-1122
38. Purnamasari, I., Hernawan, D., & Hastuti, S. (2022). Msme policy based on cost reduction strategies during the covid-19 pandemic. Kne Social Sciences. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v7i5.10562
39. Queiroz, M., Telles, R., & Bonilla, S. (2019). Blockchain and supply chain management integration: a systematic review of the literature. Supply Chain Management an International Journal, 25(2), 241-254. https://doi.org/10.1108/scm-03-2018-0143
40. Rahman, L., Alam, L., Marufuzzaman, M., & Sumaila, U. (2021). Traceability of sustainability and safety in fishery supply chain management systems using radio frequency identification technology. Foods, 10(10), 2265. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10102265
41. Regattieri, A., Gamberi, M., & Manzini, R. (2007). Traceability of food products: general framework and experimental evidence. Journal of Food Engineering, 81(2), 347-356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2006.10.032
42. Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., Simske, S., & Treiblmaier, H. (2021). Blockchain technologies in logistics and supply chain management: a bibliometric review. Logistics, 5(4), 72. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics5040072
43. Ren, Y., Liu, X., Sharma, P., Alfarraj, O., Tolba, A., Wang, S., … & Wang, J. (2023). Data storage mechanism of industrial iot based on lrc sharding blockchain. Scientific Reports, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-29917-x
44. Sekuloska, J. and Erceg, A. (2022). Blockchain technology toward creating a smart local food supply chain. Computers, 11(6), 95. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers11060095
45. Shi, W., Ling, Q., Yuan, K., Wu, G., & Yin, W. (2014). On the linear convergence of the admm in decentralized consensus optimization. Ieee Transactions on Signal Processing, 62(7), 1750-1761. https://doi.org/10.1109/tsp.2014.2304432
46. Six, B., Zimmeren, E., Popa, F., & Frison, C. (2015). Trust and social capital in the design and evolution of institutions for collective action. International Journal of the Commons, 9(1), 151. https://doi.org/10.18352/bmgn-lchr.435
47. Tahir, R., Tahir, H., Sajjad, A., & McDonald-Maier, K. (2017). A secure cloud framework for icmetric based iot health devices.. https://doi.org/10.1145/3018896.3056788
48. Treiblmaier, H. (2018). The impact of the blockchain on the supply chain: a theory-based research framework and a call for action. Supply Chain Management an International Journal, 23(6), 545-559. https://doi.org/10.1108/scm-01-2018-0029
49. Walpole, E., Toman, E., Wilson, R., & Stidham, M. (2017). Shared visions, future challenges: a case study of three collaborative forest landscape restoration program locations. Ecology and Society, 22(2). https://doi.org/10.5751/es-09248-220235
50. Wang, D., Wu, P., Wang, X., & Shou, W. (2017). The outlook of blockchain technology for construction engineering management. Frontiers of Engineering Management, 4(1), 67. https://doi.org/10.15302/j-fem-2017006
51. Wang, S., Ouyang, L., Yuan, Y., Ni, X., Han, X., & Wang, F. (2019). Blockchain-enabled smart contracts: architecture, applications, and future trends. Ieee Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics Systems, 49(11), 2266-2277. https://doi.org/10.1109/tsmc.2019.2895123
52. Widowati, N. and Larasati, E. (2021). The pentahelix stakeholders analysis on tourism development in tanjungpinang.. https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.9-10-2020.2304793
53. Yli-Huumo, J., Ko, D., Choi, S., Park, S., & Smolander, K. (2016). Where is current research on blockchain technology?—a systematic review. Plos One, 11(10), e0163477. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163477
54. Zarrin, J., Phang, H., Saheer, L., & Zarrin, B. (2021). Blockchain for decentralization of internet: prospects, trends, and challenges. Cluster Computing, 24(4), 2841-2866. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-021-03301-8
55. Zhao, J., An, L., Jin, X., & Pan, L. (2020). Technologies in individual animal identification and meat products traceability. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 34(1), 48-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2019.1711185
56. Zhou, G. and Husnain, M. (2022). Assessing the role of organic food supply chain traceability on food safety and consumer wellbeing: a mediated-moderation investigation. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1073376
57. Zou, Y., Meng, T., Zhang, P., Wen-zhen, Z., & Li, H. (2020). Focus on blockchain: a comprehensive survey on academic and application. Ieee Access, 8, 187182-187201. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3030491