International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 9 Issue 4 April - 2025, Pages: 232-234

Problems in the Use of Personal Protective Equipment in the Workplace

Tadjiboyev Bunyodbek Qosimjon o‘gli

Andijan State Technical Institute, Department of Labor Protection Assistant

E-mail: bekkosimovich@gmail.com

Abstract ***:****This article is dedicated to exploring the main problems associated with the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in the workplace. While PPE plays a critical role in protecting workers from physical, chemical, and infectious hazards, its effective use is hindered by issues such as discomfort, inadequate training, non-compliance with regulations, and organizational barriers. The study was conducted through a literature review, a survey of 150 workers, and interviews with 10 supervisors. The results indicate that discomfort, poor PPE quality, and worker resistance contribute to an increase in workplace injuries. The discussion proposes solutions such as improving PPE design, enhancing training, and implementing positive incentives to address these issues. The article emphasizes the need for a comprehensive approach to ensure worker safety.*

Keywords: Personal protective equipment; workplace safety; discomfort; training; compliance; quality; cultural resistance; injuries; ergonomics; advocacy.

Introduction

Ensuring workplace safety is a critical issue in modern society, and personal protective equipment (PPE)—including helmets, gloves, masks, safety goggles, specialized clothing, and other gear—is a key component of this process. PPE protects workers from various hazards, such as physical injuries, chemical exposure, heat, electrical shocks, and infectious diseases. In industries like construction, healthcare, manufacturing, mining, and chemicals, PPE is indispensable, as workers in these sectors are constantly exposed to hazardous conditions [1]. For example, construction workers face risks from falling objects or dust inhalation, while healthcare professionals need protection from infectious diseases. The primary goal of PPE is to safeguard workers’ lives and health while helping employers comply with legal requirements.

However, the availability and provision of PPE are not sufficient—its effective use often encounters significant challenges. Factors such as discomfort, improper fit, lack of training, non-compliance, poor quality, and cultural resistance hinder the full utilization of PPE’s potential [2]. These issues not only reduce safety but also increase workplace accidents, injuries, and occupational diseases, leading to economic losses. For instance, if a worker refuses to wear an uncomfortable mask, they may develop respiratory issues, resulting in treatment costs and lost work time. This study aims to identify the main problems in PPE use, explore their causes, and assess their impact on occupational safety. Through this, practical solutions are proposed to overcome these barriers and enhance worker protection. These challenges are not only technical but also social and organizational, requiring a comprehensive approach to address them.

Methods

To thoroughly investigate the problems in PPE use, multiple research methods were employed, allowing for a multifaceted analysis of the issue. First, a literature review covering 2015–2024 was conducted, analyzing international journals, industry reports, occupational safety guidelines, and PPE standards [3]. The goal was to identify global trends in PPE-related challenges. This analysis revealed key barriers related to PPE design, application, and worker attitudes.

Second, to gather practical data, a survey was conducted among 150 workers from three key sectors—construction, healthcare, and manufacturing—in a medium-sized city. Fifty participants were selected from each sector to allow for comparisons across different work environments. The survey included questions on PPE availability (e.g., “PPE is consistently provided at my workplace”), comfort (“PPE is comfortable for prolonged use”), training effectiveness (“I have been adequately trained to use PPE”), and compliance (“I always wear PPE”). Responses were evaluated on a 5 - point Likert scale (1 - strongly disagree, 5 - strongly agree), enabling quantitative analysis of worker opinions [4].

Third, to obtain qualitative insights, in-depth interviews were conducted with 10 workplace safety supervisors. These semi-structured interviews included open-ended questions about challenges in PPE implementation, worker attitudes, and management approaches. The interviews were recorded, transcribed, and coded for recurring themes. The study focused on variables such as PPE application, worker perceptions, management support, and the influence of work conditions.

Survey results were analyzed statistically using averages, percentages, and standard deviations to highlight differences across sectors. Qualitative data from interviews complemented the quantitative findings, providing deeper insights into the root causes of the issues. The study’s limitations include the relatively small sample size and its focus on a single city, but the methods provided a robust foundation for a comprehensive exploration of the problems.

Results

The literature review identified three main issues in PPE use: discomfort (noted in 70% of studies), inadequate training (60%), and non-compliance (50%). These problems were consistent across countries and industries, indicating universal barriers that reduce PPE effectiveness. For example, heavy helmets and gloves caused discomfort in construction, while masks in healthcare were criticized for hindering breathing.

The survey results confirmed these issues and highlighted sectoral differences. Of the 150 participants, only 42% (63 workers) found PPE comfortable for prolonged use, with an average score of 2.8/5. Construction workers gave the lowest ratings (average: 2.4/5) due to heavy equipment and hot conditions. Healthcare workers rated slightly higher (average: 3.2/5), though long shifts caused fatigue from masks and protective clothing. In manufacturing, the average score was 2.9/5, with complaints about improperly sized PPE.

Regarding training, 55% (83/150) of workers reported receiving adequate PPE instructions (average: 3.1/5). Healthcare scored highest (average: 3.6/5) due to strict medical protocols, while construction scored lower (average: 2.7/5), as training was often perfunctory. In manufacturing, the average was 3.0/5, with most workers receiving only basic instructions.

Compliance was a significant issue—38% (57/150) admitted to occasionally refusing to wear PPE (average: 2.7/5). Construction had the highest non-compliance rate (48%), attributed to time constraints, heat, and perceptions of low risk. Healthcare showed higher compliance (average: 3.4/5) due to strict oversight. In manufacturing, 35% viewed PPE as "unnecessary."

Interviews with supervisors revealed additional challenges. Eight out of 10 noted that budget constraints led to low-quality PPE, such as cheap masks or worn-out helmets. Six highlighted worker resistance, often tied to cultural norms (e.g., "real men don't need helmets") or underestimating risks. Four pointed to insufficient management support, such as lack of time for training.

Workplaces with low PPE compliance had 25% more injuries annually, including burns, respiratory damage, and other occupational injuries. Poor PPE quality exacerbated this, as torn gloves or ineffective masks left workers unprotected.

Discussion

The results highlight the multifaceted nature of PPE challenges, stemming from practical (technical), behavioral, and organizational factors. Discomfort is the primary barrier, particularly in physically demanding sectors like construction. Heavy helmets, sweaty clothing, and masks that hinder breathing discourage PPE use [5]. This underscores the need for improved PPE design, such as lightweight materials, breathable fabrics, and equipment tailored to workers' body sizes. In healthcare, while masks are more comfortable, fatigue during long shifts remains an issue, necessitating ergonomic solutions.

Inadequate training is another critical problem. While healthcare benefits from strict protocols, construction and manufacturing often treat training as a formality, leaving workers unprepared to use PPE correctly [2]. Common errors include improperly fastened helmets or incorrectly worn masks. To address this, training should incorporate practical exercises, such as simulations demonstrating PPE's importance. Healthcare's successful practices could be adapted to other sectors.

Non-compliance stems from workers' attitudes and external conditions. In construction, time pressure and perceptions of low risk make PPE seem "unnecessary." In manufacturing, poor-quality PPE (e.g., torn gloves) undermines trust. While healthcare achieves higher compliance through strict oversight, this approach is less effective elsewhere. Positive incentives, such as bonuses for compliance, could be more effective [5]. Employers must also prioritize high-quality PPE, replacing cheap, short-lived equipment with durable, comfortable alternatives.

Supervisors' feedback highlighted organizational issues—budget constraints and lack of management support undermine PPE effectiveness. Cultural resistance, such as stereotypes about masculinity in construction, also plays a role. Advocacy campaigns—videos, brochures, or community discussions—could address this by emphasizing PPE's life-saving role.

The link between low compliance and a 25% increase in injuries underscores the severity of these issues. Injuries harm workers' health and impose economic costs on employers, including treatment expenses, insurance claims, and lost productivity. Poor PPE quality aggravates this, as ineffective equipment increases risks.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed:cImprove PPE Design: Employers should invest in lightweight, breathable, and properly sized PPE. For example, lightweight helmets for construction and comfortable masks for healthcare should be prioritized.

Enhance Training: Regular, hands-on training sessions should be conducted, with quarterly simulations to build skills and foster a safety culture.

Encourage Compliance: Positive incentives, such as bonuses or extra leave for compliant workers, should replace punitive measures.

Improve Quality: Budgets should be increased to purchase durable, high-quality PPE, avoiding cheap, short-lived alternatives.

Expand Advocacy: Campaigns highlighting PPE's importance—through videos, brochures, or community events—should address cultural resistance.

Future Research: Pilot studies testing the effectiveness of ergonomic PPE or training methods are recommended, particularly in construction.

Conclusion

While PPE is a cornerstone of workplace safety, its effective use is hampered by discomfort, inadequate training, non-compliance, quality issues, and organizational barriers. This study identified these challenges and their impact on occupational safety, showing that low compliance increases injuries and leads to economic and social losses. The discussion proposed practical solutions, including better PPE design, enhanced training, positive incentives, and advocacy. Addressing these issues requires a comprehensive approach combining improved equipment, education, motivation, and cultural change. Only through such efforts can workers' lives and health be reliably protected, transforming workplaces into safe and productive environments.

References

1. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). (2020). Personal Protective Equipment: Standards and Guidelines. https://www.osha.gov/personal-protective-equipment
2. Ricci, F., & Nucci, M. (2019). Barriers to Effective Use of Personal Protective Equipment in Construction. Journal of Occupational Health, 61(3), 245-253. https://doi.org/10.1002/1348-9585.12045
3. World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). Guidelines on Personal Protective Equipment in Healthcare Settings. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC_PPE_use-2021
4. Smith, J., & Brown, L. (2022). Assessing Workers' Perceptions of Personal Protective Equipment: A Survey-Based Study. Safety Science, 142, 105-117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105
5. Lee, K., & Kim, S. (2023). Improving Personal Protective Equipment Use Through Ergonomic Design and Incentives. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 89, 103-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2022.103