

Hybrid Financing Instruments and Capital Structure Optimization

Eserinune Ejakpogba Ortega¹, Professor Ehiedu Victor C.²

¹Department Of Accounting, Faculty Of Management Sciences, Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria

²Delta And Finance Department, Faculty Of Management Sciences, Delta State University, Abraka. Nigeria

Abstract : *This article investigates the role of hybrid financing instruments in the optimization of capital structure among corporate entities. Hybrid instruments, which blend the characteristics of debt and equity, have gained popularity as firms strive to maintain financial flexibility while optimizing their cost of capital. Using data from 250 publicly listed firms across diverse sectors between 2013 and 2023, the study employs panel regression analysis to assess the impact of hybrid financing on capital structure indicators such as weighted average cost of capital (WACC), return on assets (ROA), and firm value (Tobin's Q). The findings reveal that hybrid financing significantly enhances capital structure optimization, especially in firms facing high volatility or regulatory constraints.*

Keywords: Optimization, Hybrid Instruments, Capital Structure, Volatility, Financial Flexibility,

I Introduction

The theory of capital structure, as initially proposed by Modigliani and Miller (1958), emphasizes the importance of balancing debt and equity to minimize the cost of capital and maximize firm value. In practice, however, market imperfections such as taxes, bankruptcy costs, agency issues, and information asymmetry necessitate more nuanced financing strategies. Hybrid financing instruments, which possess attributes of both debt and equity, have emerged as a strategic response to these challenges.

Convertible bonds, preference shares, and mezzanine financing represent prominent forms of hybrid instruments. These tools allow firms to tap into capital markets without significantly diluting ownership or over-leveraging their balance sheets. This study aims to quantitatively examine the effect of hybrid financing instruments on capital structure optimization over the past decade.

II Literature Review

Over the last ten years, substantial literature has explored the nexus between hybrid financing and capital structure. In developed markets, scholars have emphasized the strategic use of hybrid instruments for enhancing capital efficiency and managing financial risk. For instance, Bancel and Mittoo (2014) found that hybrid securities such as convertible bonds and preference shares are frequently employed by firms to benefit from tax shields, signalling effects, and reduced issuance costs. Similarly, Flannery (2018) discussed the growing role of contingent convertible bonds (CoCos) in banking regulation under Basel III, highlighting their effectiveness in absorbing shocks and preserving capital adequacy.

In emerging markets, hybrid instruments are increasingly recognized as practical tools for improving access to capital, especially among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Kouki and Guizani (2015) showed that firms in less developed financial systems use hybrid financing to mitigate default risks and reduce information asymmetry. Adusei (2020) found that mezzanine financing supports SME expansion in sub-Saharan Africa without overexposing firms to debt. Okoye, Eze, and Nwankwo (2022) further observed that Nigerian manufacturing firms use preference shares to stabilize capital costs during inflationary periods and interest rate fluctuations. Aliyu and Lawal (2023) confirmed a similar trend in Nigerian banks, where hybrid instruments are employed for both regulatory compliance and operational flexibility.

Flannery (2018) and Bertay et al. (2021) explored the regulatory implications of contingent convertible bonds (CoCos) in the European banking sector. Their findings suggest that CoCos improve capital adequacy ratios while retaining flexibility in earnings management. Dionne et al. (2016) found that convertible debt instruments align management and shareholder interests by embedding optionality that can be exercised under favorable conditions.

Emerging market research emphasizes the adaptability of hybrid instruments in volatile environments. Kouki and Guizani (2015) studied North African firms and found that hybrids mitigate risks linked to inflation and currency devaluation. In sub-Saharan Africa, Adusei (2020) established that mezzanine financing improves SME performance by combining equity-like upside with debt-like control.

In Nigeria, Okoye et al. (2022) analyzed manufacturing firms and concluded that preference shares helped firms hedge against exchange rate fluctuations and interest rate spikes. Aliyu and Lawal (2023) observed that Nigerian banks use hybrids to meet Basel

III capital adequacy requirements without diluting equity. Yusuf and Olayemi (2023) noted regulatory reforms supporting hybrid finance, particularly tax and disclosure incentives.

These findings underscore the relevance of hybrid instruments as tools for capital structure optimization, particularly in contexts marked by macroeconomic volatility, institutional constraints, and market imperfections.

These contributions collectively affirm that hybrid financing is not only a response to firm-specific constraints but also a strategic mechanism shaped by macroeconomic and regulatory environments. The literature suggests that while the motivations for using hybrid instruments differ across contexts, their value in capital structure optimization is consistently significant.

III Methodology

The study adopts a quantitative panel data approach. Data were extracted from annual financial reports, Nigeria stock exchange (NSE) filings, and international databases (Bloomberg, Thompson Reuters). The sample comprises 250 firms from finance, manufacturing, ict, consumer's goods and utilities sectors spanning 2013-2023.

1.1 variable description

Variable	Type	measurement
hybrid ratio	Independent	Hybrid instrument/total capital
Debt ratio	Control	Total debts/total assests
Equity ratio	Control	Total equity/total assets
Wacc	Dependent	Weighted average cost of capital (%)
Roa	Dependent	Return of assests (%)
Tobinq	Dependent	Market value of assets/ replacement cost of assets
Firm size	Control	Log of total assests
Gdp growth	Control	Annual gdp growth rate (%)
Inflation	Control	Annual inflation rate

Purpose: this table defines all variables used in the regression analysis, helping readers understand how each concept is measured.

Model Specification

$$Y = \beta_{HyridRatio} + \beta_{debt ratio} + \beta_{equity ratio} + \beta x + \epsilon$$

Where

Y is one of the variables (WACC,ROA,Tobin's Q)

I = firm

T= time

X represents the control variables

2. Data Analysis

2.1 Descriptive Statistics

Statistic	Mean	Stddev	Min	Max
HybridRatio	0.124	0.032	0.041	0.198
WACC	8.21	1.49	5.90	12.30
ROA	6.73	2.34	2.10	14.56
TobinQ	1.32	0.48	0.67	2.90
DebitRatio	0.412	0.14	0.16	0.67
EquityRatio	0.488	0.17	0.30	0.82
FirmSize (log)	7.48	0.91	6.10	9.02

Purpose: summarizes key characteristics of the data set across all firms and years. It shows average values, variability, and range. For example, the average hybrid ratio is 12.4% while the WACC ranges between 5.9% and 12.3%.

2.2 Regression Analysis

model	Dep. variable	HybridRatio Coef.	p-value	R-squared	Interpretation
1	WACC	-0.98	0.001	0.49	Hybrids reduce cost of capital
2	ROA	0.47	0.022	0.37	Hybrids improve profitability
3	TobinQ	0.31	0.039	0.42	Hybrids increase market value

This table shows the core quantitative results. It explains how hybrid financing relates to cost of capital, profitability, and market valuation. High R-squared values suggest these models explain a substantial portion of variation

The coefficient indicates significant relationship across all models, with hybrid financing improving capital structure efficiency and market performance.

Sectoral Analysis finance and utilities sectors benefit the most from hybrid financing. Capital- intensive firms leverage these instruments to maintain liquidity and meet regulatory thresholds.

Sector	Hybrid impact on WACC	Hybrid impact on ROA
Finance	Strong	Strong
Manufacturing	Moderate	Weak
Utilities	Strong	Moderate
ICT	Moderate	Strong
Consumer Good	Weak	Moderate

This table provides sector-specific insights on how hybrid financing affects cost and profitability. For instance, finance and utilities experience the strongest positive impact, indicating they are best positioned to benefit from these instruments.

Robustness checks

- variance inflation factor (VIF) < 2for all variables
- breusch-pagan test confirms no heteroscedasticity
- 2SLS regression with macroeconomic policy instruments supports causality
- Lagged hybrid ratios retain significance

3. Discussion

The empirical findings presented through the four key tables underscore the relevance and effectiveness of hybrid financing instruments in optimizing capital structure and enhancing firm performance across sectors. This section synthesizes the statistical evidence with theoretical insights and real-world implications.

The Variable Description Table (Table 1) lays the conceptual groundwork by defining the dependent and independent variables used in the regression models. Key variables such as HybridRatio, WACC, ROA, and Tobin's Q represent firm-level financing choices, cost efficiency, operational profitability, and market valuation, respectively. This table ensures that the empirical approach aligns with established financial theories, particularly the trade-off, pecking order, and market timing theories. Definitions are adapted from prior literature (Frank &Goyal, 2009; De Jong et al., 2014) and are consistent with global capital structure modeling practices

The Descriptive Statistics Table (Table 2) offers an initial empirical snapshot of the data collected from 250 firms over 10 years. The average HybridRatio of 0.18 indicates that while hybrid instruments are present, they are not dominant, suggesting room for increased adoption. The relatively high standard deviations in WACC, ROA, and Tobin's Q reflect considerable variation across firms and sectors, which justifies the use of a panel regression model. These variations provide a robust environment to test the hypothesis that hybrid financing improves financial outcomes.

The core insights emerge from the Regression Results Table (Table 3). The negative and statistically significant relationship between HybridRatio and WACC indicates that hybrid financing lowers the overall cost of capital. This supports the hypothesis that firms using hybrid instruments benefit from tax shields and flexible repayment terms, thus optimizing their capital mix. Similarly, the positive association between HybridRatio and ROA suggests that hybrid capital contributes to operational efficiency by freeing up internal resources for productive use. Moreover, the positive and significant coefficient of HybridRatio in the Tobin's Q model demonstrates that investors perceive hybrid-financed firms more favorably, possibly due to lower default risk and enhanced financial signaling. These findings are consistent with the arguments of Dionne et al. (2016) and Bertay et al. (2021), who highlight the strategic use of hybrid instruments in reducing information asymmetry and enhancing firm value.

The study supports the hypothesis that hybrid financing optimizes capital structure. By lowering WACC and enhancing ROA and Tobin's Q, hybrid instruments serve strategic levers in corporate finance. Firms avoid excessive leverage while retaining flexibility, particularly in uncertain economic environments like Nigeria's

Finally, the Sectoral Analysis Table (Table 4) provides nuanced insights by breaking down the regression results across five key sectors. The finance sector shows the strongest response to hybrid financing, owing to regulatory recognition of subordinated debt and contingent convertible bonds under Basel III guidelines. Utilities also benefit significantly due to predictable cash flows and long-term investment horizons that align with the nature of hybrid instruments like perpetual preferred stock. ICT and consumer goods sectors exhibit moderate but positive effects, likely due to their growth-oriented financing needs. Conversely, manufacturing firms show weaker responses, which may stem from low hybrid instrument adoption or a preference for traditional bank lending. This sectoral differentiation emphasizes that while hybrid financing is a generally effective tool, its utility varies depending on regulatory context, capital intensity, and cash flow predictability.

Collectively, the four tables illustrate that hybrid instruments offer firms a middle ground between equity and debt, balancing financial flexibility, tax efficiency, and investor appeal. The statistical significance across models also suggests that hybrid financing decisions are not random but are systematically associated with improvements in firm performance metrics.

Moreover, macroeconomic variables such as inflation and GDP growth, included as controls, behave as expected. Inflation negatively affects ROA, which underscores the advantage of using hybrid instruments to mitigate inflation-induced financial pressure. This finding aligns with Okoye et al. (2022), who argue that hybrid financing enhances resilience in volatile economies.

In sum, the results validate the central thesis that hybrid financing instruments are a valuable component of capital structure optimization. They provide measurable financial advantages, enhance profitability, and improve market valuation, especially in capital-intensive and regulated industries. These findings have critical implications for financial managers, policymakers, and investors seeking to foster financial innovation, capital efficiency, and sustainable corporate growth in emerging markets like Nigeria.

The results underscore the value of hybrid instruments in achieving a balanced capital structure. Firms benefit from the tax advantages and flexible terms of hybrid securities while minimizing the downsides of pure debt or equity financing. In Nigeria and other emerging markets, hybrid instruments offer a buffer against economic volatility and regulatory pressures.

Challenges include valuation complexities, potential investor skepticism, and governance issues. Nonetheless, with appropriate disclosure and regulatory frameworks, hybrid financing can enhance strategic financial planning

Challenges persist, including investor scepticism, tax complexity, and valuation transparency. Regulators must clarify accounting standards and incentivize hybrid issuance. Training and capacity building in corporate finance departments are also necessary

Conclusion

This study has provided comprehensive empirical evidence on the impact of hybrid financing instruments on capital structure optimization among firms, using panel data from 250 Nigerian and international companies across five sectors over a 10-year period (2013–2023). The analysis revealed that hybrid instruments—such as convertible bonds, preference shares, and subordinated debt—play a crucial role in lowering firms' weighted average cost of capital (WACC), improving operational profitability (measured by return on assets), and enhancing market valuation (proxied by Tobin's Q).

Regression analysis confirmed that firms using higher proportions of hybrid financing recorded significantly lower WACC and higher ROA and Tobin's Q compared to those relying solely on traditional equity or debt instruments. Sectoral breakdowns revealed the most pronounced benefits in the finance and utilities sectors, largely due to regulatory and capital intensity dynamics.

These findings underscore the strategic importance of hybrid financing in modern capital structure management. By blending the tax and leverage benefits of debt with the flexibility and less dilutive nature of equity, hybrid instruments offer a middle ground for firms navigating capital constraints, macroeconomic volatility, and investor expectations.

For Nigerian firms specifically, hybrid financing offers a pathway toward sustainable capital efficiency, especially amid persistent inflation, exchange rate volatility, and access-to-credit challenges. However, the study also highlights several limitations, including the need for enhanced regulatory frameworks, investor education, and clearer accounting guidelines to support hybrid financial innovation.

Policy Implications:

Regulatory Support: Policymakers should provide tax incentives and disclosure guidelines to promote hybrid instruments.

Market Development: Capital market regulators and exchanges should develop listing frameworks specifically for hybrids.

Corporate Practice: Firms should integrate hybrid financing into their long-term capital planning to maintain optimal debt-equity balance.

Future research could explore the role of firm governance structures in hybrid financing effectiveness or extend the analysis to small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which were excluded from this study due to data availability.

This study demonstrates that hybrid financing instruments play a pivotal role in capital structure optimization. The empirical evidence supports the adoption of these instruments as a means of achieving lower cost of capital, improved asset returns, and enhanced firm value. Policymakers and financial managers, especially in emerging markets, should consider hybrid financing as a viable strategy for financial sustainability.

References

- Adusei, M. (2020). Mezzanine financing and SME growth in sub-Saharan Africa. *African Journal of Economic Policy*.
- Aliyu, M., & Lawal, S. (2023). Capital adequacy and hybrid instruments in Nigerian banks. *Journal of African Financial Studies*.
- Bancel, F., & Mittoo, U. (2014). Capital structure decisions: International evidence. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*.
- Bertay, A. C., et al. (2021). Hybrid capital under Basel III: Evidence from CoCos. *Journal of Banking and Finance*.
- De Jong, A., Verbeek, M., & Verwijmeren, P. (2014). Hybrid securities and financing constraints. *Financial Management*.
- Dionne, G., Malaret, J., & Zajdenweber, D. (2016). Convertible bonds, agency costs, and information asymmetry. *Review of Finance*.
- Flannery, M. J. (2018). Contingent capital instruments: Financial regulation and institutional design. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*.
- Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2009). Capital structure decisions: Which factors are reliably important? *Financial Management*.
- Kouki, M., & Guizani, M. (2015). Hybrid instruments in emerging markets: A review. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*.
- Okoye, L., Eze, C., & Nwankwo, O. (2022). Preference shares and financing decisions in Nigerian manufacturing firms. *Nigerian Journal of Economic Research*.
- Abor, J. (2008). Determinants of the capital structure of Ghanaian firms. *African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) Research Paper*, 176.
- Adeyemi, S. B., & Oboh, C. S. (2020). Capital structure and firm performance: Evidence from Nigerian listed firms. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 12(3), 56–66.
- Akintoye, I. R. (2019). Financing strategies for manufacturing SMEs in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Management Sciences*, 8(1), 101–116.
- Avdjiev, S., Bogdanova, B., Kartasheva, A. V., & Shin, H. S. (2017). CoCo issuance and bank funding costs. *BIS Quarterly Review*, September 2017, 31–44.
- Bancel, F., & Mittoo, U. R. (2011). Financial flexibility and the impact of global financial crisis: Evidence from France. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 7(2), 179–216.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2011). Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems. Bank for International Settlements.

Bertay, A. C., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Huizinga, H., & Souza, L. (2021). The impact of hybrid capital instruments on bank performance and financial stability. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 57, 100933.

Booth, L., Aivazian, V., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2001). Capital structures in developing countries. *The Journal of Finance*, 56(1), 87–130.

Byoun, S. (2008). How and when do firms adjust their capital structures toward targets? *The Journal of Finance*, 63(6), 3069–3096.

Chen, L., & Zhao, X. (2006). Mechanical mean reversion of leverage ratios. *Economics Letters*, 91(3), 370–374.

Cornelli, G., Kuruc, E., & Munoz, A. (2018). Emerging Trends in Bank Capital Regulation. BIS Working Papers No. 753.

De Jong, A., Kabir, R., & Nguyen, T. T. (2014). Capital structure around the world: The roles of firm- and country-specific determinants. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 50, 469–482.

Dionne, G., La Haye, M., & Bergerès, A. S. (2016). The impact of subordinated debt on firm risk: Evidence from bank holding companies. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 72, S79–S93.

Eriotis, N., Vasiliou, D., & Ventoura-Neokosmidi, Z. (2007). How firm characteristics affect capital structure: An empirical study. *Managerial Finance*, 33(5), 321–331.

Ezeoha, A. E., & Botha, F. (2021). Hybrid financing instruments and firm resilience in Nigeria's financial sector. *African Journal of Economic Policy*, 28(2), 49–66.

Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (2002). Testing trade-off and pecking order predictions about dividends and debt. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 15(1), 1–33.

Flannery, M. J. (2005). No pain, no gain? Effecting market discipline via reverse convertible debentures. In *Capital Adequacy Beyond Basel* (pp. 171–196). Oxford University Press.

Frank, M. Z., & Goyal, V. K. (2009). Capital structure decisions: Which factors are reliably important? *Financial Management*, 38(1), 1–37.

Kraus, A., & Litzenberger, R. H. (1973). A state-preference model of optimal financial leverage. *The Journal of Finance*, 28(4), 911–922.

Marques, L. M., & Pereira, L. (2020). Hybrid instruments in capital structure decisions of Brazilian firms. *Latin American Journal of Central Banking*, 2(1), 55–76.

Mazur, K. (2007). The determinants of capital structure choice: Evidence from Polish companies. *International Advances in Economic Research*, 13, 495–514.

Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1963). Corporate income taxes and the cost of capital: A correction. *The American Economic Review*, 53(3), 433–443.

Myers, S. C., & Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate financing and investment decisions when firms have information that investors do not have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 13(2), 187–221.

Nguyen, T. D., & Ramachandran, N. (2006). Capital structure decisions in small and medium-sized enterprises: Empirical evidence from Vietnam. *International Small Business Journal*, 24(5), 520–537.

Okoye, L. U., Evbuomwan, G. O., & Anochiwa, L. I. (2022). Macroeconomic dynamics and firm financial performance in Nigeria: The mediating role of capital structure. *Journal of African Business*, 23(2), 183–202.

Ologunde, A. O., Ogunmuyiwa, M. S., & Ayinde, O. O. (2023). Hybrid financing and capital structure adjustment speed in Nigerian non-financial firms. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, 14(1), 33–50.

Omet, G., & Nobanee, H. (2001). The capital structure of listed industrial companies in Jordan: The impact of financial leverage on performance. *Arab Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 8(2), 273–289.

Tian, G. G., &Zeitun, R. (2007). Capital structure and corporate performance: Evidence from Jordan. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 1(4), 40–61.

Umar, M., Tanveer, Z., Aslam, S., &Sajid, M. (2012). Impact of capital structure on firm's financial performance: Evidence from Pakistan. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 3(9), 1–13.