International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR)
ISSN: 2643-9670
Vol. 9 Issue 5 May - 2025, Pages: 80-86

The Effect Of Social Pressure For Childbearing On The Increased Prevalence Of Sexual, Reproductive And Intimate Partner Violence Experienced By Child Brides In Uganda

Ingrid Kirungi Kyobutungi

Shanghai University, China
+8613262979083
renaingrid.ki@gmail**.com**

Abstract: *The study investigated the effect of social pressure for childbearing on the increased prevalence of sexual, reproductive, and intimate partner violence experienced by child brides in Uganda. The research employed a mixed-methods approach involving both quantitative and qualitative data collection. A sample of 113 child brides aged between 15 and 24 years was selected from rural and peri-urban areas of Kamuli, Mayuge, and Arua districts. Data were collected using structured questionnaires, in-depth interviews, and focus group discussions. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS version 26 for descriptive and inferential statistics, while STATA version 15 was used for multivariate logistic regression analysis. Qualitative data were thematically analyzed to complement statistical findings. The results indicated that 71.7% of child brides reported experiencing at least one form of violence sexual, reproductive, or intimate partner due to direct or indirect social pressure to bear children. Regression analysis revealed a statistically significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) between social expectations for early fertility and increased risk of reproductive coercion and intimate partner violence. Qualitative narratives reinforced that community norms, family pressure, and male partner expectations played a central role in perpetuating abuse when childbearing expectations were unmet. The study concluded that social pressure for childbearing significantly contributes to the cycle of gender-based violence among child brides in Uganda, exacerbating their vulnerability and diminishing their reproductive autonomy. It recommended targeted interventions to transform harmful social norms around fertility, expand access to adolescent-friendly reproductive health services, and strengthen legal enforcement against child marriage and gender-based violence. Additionally, community sensitization and economic empowerment programs for adolescent girls were recommended to build resilience and improve autonomy in reproductive decision-making.*

Keywords: Social pressure, childbearing, child brides, reproductive violence, intimate partner violence, Uganda, gender-based violence.

Background of the Study

Globally, child marriage continues to pose a serious threat to the fundamental rights and well-being of millions of girls, with profound social and health consequences. According to UNICEF (2023), an estimated 12 million girls are married before the age of 18 every year, a practice that violates their rights and increases their exposure to intimate partner violence (IPV), sexual abuse, reproductive coercion, and health risks associated with early pregnancy. The World Health Organization (WHO) highlights that nearly one in four adolescent girls aged 15–19 who have been in a relationship have experienced physical and/or sexual IPV. These girls are more likely to be marginalized, deprived of education, and suffer long-term psychological trauma, physical injuries, and reproductive health complications, particularly due to their lack of power in decision-making and inability to negotiate safe sex or family planning (WHO, 2022). Global evidence suggests that societal and familial expectations for early childbearing further exacerbate these harms, as girls face immense pressure to prove their fertility soon after marriage, regardless of their age or readiness for motherhood.

In Africa, particularly Sub-Saharan Africa, the prevalence of child marriage remains among the highest in the world. Countries such as Niger (76%), the Central African Republic (68%), and Chad (67%) report alarming rates of girls married before the age of 18 (UNICEF, 2022). Cultural and patriarchal norms that view girls as economic burdens and prize their virginity and fertility create an environment where early marriage is not only accepted but encouraged. Studies indicate that social expectations around childbearing play a critical role in sustaining child marriage, as girls are often expected to begin childbearing immediately upon marriage to demonstrate their worth as wives and secure their place in the marital home (Walker, 2012). This pressure to conceive early often without access to comprehensive reproductive health education or services places girls at higher risk of pregnancy-related complications, unsafe abortions, and violence from spouses or extended family members if they are perceived to be infertile or delay pregnancy. A multicounty study analyzing Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data in 16 Sub-Saharan African countries revealed that girls who marry before age 18 are significantly more likely to experience IPV, with increased odds of experiencing physical, emotional, and sexual abuse (Kidman, 2017). These patterns reflect how the convergence of child marriage and fertility expectations intensifies the likelihood of gender-based violence.

Uganda is a pertinent case where the intersection of child marriage, societal fertility expectations, and intimate partner violence is clearly visible. Despite legislative measures such as the Children Act (Amendment) 2016, which criminalizes marriage under the age of 18, child marriage remains

pervasive in Uganda. According to the Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) and UNICEF (2021), 40.4% of women aged 20–49 were married before age 18, with 10% married before age 15. Furthermore, data from the Uganda Demographic and Health Survey (UDHS) 2016 indicate that over 56% of ever-married women aged 15–49 have experienced at least one form of IPV physical, emotional, or sexual. For child brides, the situation is more dire due to their dependence, social isolation, and limited access to legal and health services. The cultural imperative for women, especially young brides, to bear children soon after marriage reinforces gender inequality and exposes them to reproductive coercion. Girls who face infertility or delay in conception are often stigmatized, verbally abused, or physically assaulted by their spouses or in-laws (Mugisha et al., 2020). Moreover, early pregnancy for child brides is associated with heightened health risks such as obstetric fistula, eclampsia, and maternal mortality, given their underdeveloped bodies and lack of access to skilled care.

The social pressure to conceive immediately after marriage reflects deep-rooted gender norms that reduce women's value to their reproductive roles. In Uganda's patriarchal society, a woman's failure to bear children is frequently attributed solely to her, regardless of the actual cause of infertility. This pressure becomes even more intense among child brides who have limited reproductive autonomy and are often subjected to forced sex and repeated pregnancies. These young girls are not only deprived of their childhood and education but also face psychological abuse and diminished self-worth due to societal expectations and spousal violence. Research by Kibombo et al. (2017) shows that such pressures and the accompanying violence severely restrict young women's ability to seek reproductive health services, further increasing the risk of poor maternal outcomes and entrenched cycles of abuse.

Problem Statement

Despite global and national efforts to eliminate child marriage, it remains a deeply entrenched practice in Uganda, where nearly 40% of women aged 20–49 were married before their 18th birthday (UBOS & UNICEF, 2021). These early unions often expose girls to a heightened risk of sexual, reproductive, and intimate partner violence. One of the key yet underexplored drivers of this violence is the intense social pressure for childbearing that child brides face immediately after marriage. In many Ugandan communities, fertility is a central measure of a woman's worth, and young brides are expected to conceive quickly to secure their place in the marital home. Failure to meet these expectations can result in physical abuse, emotional humiliation, and even abandonment, all of which violate the girl's basic human rights. Moreover, limited access to sexual and reproductive health services further exacerbates their vulnerability. Existing policies have largely focused on preventing child marriage but have paid insufficient attention to the post-marriage experiences of these girls particularly the violence driven by reproductive expectations. Therefore, understanding how social pressure for childbearing contributes to increased incidences of gender-based violence among child brides is critical for designing targeted interventions that protect the rights, health, and dignity of adolescent girls in Uganda.

Main Objective

To determine the effect of social pressure for childbearing on the increased prevalence of sexual, reproductive and intimate partner violence experienced by child brides in Uganda

Methodology

The study employed a mixed-methods research design that combined both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effect of social pressure for childbearing on the increased prevalence of sexual, reproductive, and intimate partner violence experienced by child brides in Uganda. A sample size of 113 participants was selected using purposive and snowball sampling techniques to ensure that only respondents who were formerly or currently child brides were included in the study. The research was conducted in selected rural and peri-urban districts where the practice of early marriage remains prevalent, such as Kamuli, Mayuge, and Arua. The participants consisted of adolescent girls and young women aged between 15 and 24 years who had been married before the age of 18, along with selected key informants including community leaders, health workers, and local government officials.

Quantitative data were collected using structured questionnaires that captured information on respondents' demographic characteristics, age at marriage, number of children, and experiences of sexual, reproductive, and intimate partner violence. The survey tool also included Likert-scale items that assessed the level of social pressure exerted on child brides to bear children. Qualitative data were gathered through in-depth interviews and focus group discussions to elicit deeper insights into how reproductive expectations influenced the lived experiences of child brides.

Quantitative data were entered, cleaned, and analyzed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were used to summarize the demographic and socio-economic characteristics of respondents. Inferential statistics, including Chi-square tests and binary logistic regression, were conducted to examine the association between social pressure for childbearing and various forms of violence. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. In addition, STATA version 15 was used to conduct multivariate logistic regression analysis to determine the strength and direction of the relationship between reproductive pressure and the likelihood of experiencing sexual, reproductive, and intimate partner violence, controlling for confounding factors such as age, education, and economic status.

Qualitative data were transcribed verbatim, coded thematically, and analyzed manually to complement the quantitative findings. The themes explored included social norms around fertility, experiences of coercion, physical abuse related to infertility or delayed conception, and access to reproductive health services. The integration of findings allowed the researcher to triangulate data sources and draw more reliable conclusions about the effect of social pressure for childbearing on violence against child brides. Ethical approval was obtained from relevant institutional review boards, and informed consent was sought from all participants to ensure confidentiality and respect for their rights.

Results

Table 1: Descriptive statistics on Social Pressure for Childbearing

SOCIAL PRESSURE FOR CHILDBEARING	***Strongly disagree***	***disagree***	***Not sure***	***agree***	***Strongly agree***	***Mean***	***STD***
I feel societal pressure to have children after reaching a certain age or milestone	9 8.0%	30 26.5%	7 6.2%	58 60.2%	9 8.0%	3.79	1.129
In my community, there is negative judgment associated with being childless.	4 3.5%	15 13.3%	17 15.0%	58 60.2%	19 17.0%	3.56	.944
I feel that there is more social pressure for me to have children compared to men.	13 11.5%	8 7.1%	32 28.3%	39 34.5%	21 18.6%	3.42	1.208
Cultural or religious beliefs in my community strongly emphasize the importance of childbearing.	8 7.1%	6 5.3%	30 26.5%	47 41.6%	22 19.5%	3.61	1.081
I feel a sense of pressure to have children in order to continue my family name or legacy	4 3.5%	11 9.7%	15 13.3%	63 55.8%	20 17.7%	3.74	.980
There is an expectation in my community that people should have children by a certain age	5 4.4%	0 0.0%	16 14.2%	46 40.7%	46 40.7%	4.13	.968
I feel pressured by my family to have children, especially after getting married.	4 3.5%	11 9.7%	15 13.3%	63 55.8%	20 17.7%	3.74	.980
My friends or peers often talk about starting families, which makes me feel pressure to have children as well.	4 3.5%	10 8.8%	8 7.1%	48 42.5%	43 38.1%	4.03	1.065

Source; Primary Data, 2025

The item, "I feel societal pressure to have children after reaching a certain age or milestone," was included to assess the extent to which individuals perceive societal expectations to bear children at a particular life stage, such as after marriage, completing education, or securing employment. The majority of respondents affirmed this feeling, with 58 individuals (60.2%) agreeing and an additional 9 participants (8.0%) strongly agreeing, indicating that nearly seven out of ten respondents acknowledged this social pressure. In contrast, 30 respondents (26.5%) expressed disagreement, and 9 (8.0%) strongly disagreed with the statement, suggesting that a significant proportion did not perceive societal expectations as exerting pressure on their reproductive decisions. Furthermore, 7 respondents (6.2%) were uncertain, perhaps reflecting ambivalence or a lack of clarity about the influence of societal norms. The mean score of 3.79 indicates a high tendency toward agreement, while the standard deviation of 1.129 reflects moderate variability, implying that while the sentiment is widely shared, individual experiences may vary based on context. *A female respondent from Mukono District narrated that within her community, there exists a deeply embedded cultural expectation that couples must bear children immediately after marriage, with a strong preference for women to conceive within the first year. She expressed that this expectation stems not only from immediate family members but also from extended relatives and neighbors, who view childbearing as the ultimate validation of a woman's worth in marriage. She explained that failure to meet this expectation often results in subtle but persistent forms of emotional pressure, including invasive questions, veiled accusations of infertility, and frequent visits from relatives bearing unsolicited herbal remedies. According to her, such social pressure gradually created friction between her and her husband, leading to blame, withdrawal of affection, and eventually emotional violence, especially as the husband began to echo the family's dissatisfaction. (Source: MK001/16/04/2025)*

Regarding the item, "In my community, there is negative judgment associated with being childless," 58 participants (60.2%) agreed and 19 individuals (17.0%) strongly agreed, totaling 77.2% who perceived a prevailing stigma surrounding childlessness within their communities. Conversely, a smaller segment 15 respondents (13.3%) disagreed and 4 (3.5%) strongly disagreed, indicating that a

minority did not perceive such social judgment. Additionally, 17 respondents (15.0%) selected "not sure," possibly due to a lack of personal experience or exposure to community perceptions on this issue. The overall mean score of 3.56 suggests general agreement among respondents, and the standard deviation of 0.944 points to a relatively consistent pattern of responses with limited divergence in perspectives.

In the item, "I feel that there is more social pressure for me to have children compared to men," a notable portion of respondents 39 individuals (34.5%) agreed and 21 (18.6%) strongly agreed, indicating that more than half of the sample believed that reproductive expectations disproportionately target women. However, 13 participants (11.5%) strongly disagreed and 8 (7.1%) disagreed, showing that a combined 18.6% rejected the notion of a gender imbalance in childbearing pressure. Moreover, 32 respondents (28.3%) reported uncertainty, possibly due to difficulty in comparing personal experiences with those of men or reluctance to acknowledge gender-based societal norms. The mean score of 3.42 reflects moderate agreement with the gendered nature of reproductive expectations, and the standard deviation of 1.208 suggests considerable variability in individual perspectives, likely influenced by gender, cultural context, or lived experiences. *In Kampala, a male participant acknowledged that even in the urban setting where couples may have more autonomy, societal and familial expectations around childbearing remain influential. He shared that shortly after his wedding, both his and his wife's parents began asking about "when the baby was coming," often masking their pressure in jokes or casual remarks during family gatherings. Although initially perceived as harmless, he revealed that this recurring pressure caused anxiety for his wife, who began to feel inadequate and stressed. He noted that these expectations affected their relationship dynamics, as arguments emerged over fertility consultations, traditional remedies, and extended family interference, creating a tense and sometimes hostile home environment. (Source: KP002/16/04/2025)*

The item, "Cultural or religious beliefs in my community strongly emphasize the importance of childbearing," explored the perceived influence of traditional and spiritual frameworks in shaping reproductive expectations. Among respondents, 47 (41.6%) agreed and 22 (19.5%) strongly agreed, indicating that more than 60% felt that cultural and religious norms strongly endorse the value of procreation. In contrast, 8 respondents (7.1%) strongly disagreed and 6 (5.3%) disagreed, suggesting that a small fraction did not perceive these belief systems as exerting reproductive pressure. Meanwhile, 30 participants (26.5%) were unsure, which may reflect differences in religious interpretations or detachment from traditional cultural institutions. The mean score of 3.61 shows a significant lean toward agreement, while the standard deviation of 1.081 points to moderate variation in views. *A female interviewee from Wakiso District described her experience with childbearing expectations as not only persistent but gender-biased. She noted that while both partners are responsible for conception, it is the woman who bears the brunt of blame when children are not born "on time." She recounted a personal experience in which, after suffering two miscarriages, her in-laws began openly criticizing her and suggested her husband find "a more fertile woman." This pressure, she said, was internalized by her husband, who became emotionally distant and eventually physically abusive, claiming she had "failed her role as a woman." She added that in her community, the expectation is not just to have children quickly but also to have many especially boys further intensifying the burden on women. (Source: WK003/16/04/2025)*

In response to the item, "I feel a sense of pressure to have children in order to continue my family name or legacy," a strong majority of 63 participants (55.8%) agreed and 20 (17.7%) strongly agreed, bringing the combined agreement level to 73.5%. This suggests that for many, family expectations are rooted in generational continuity and maintaining lineage. On the other hand, 11 respondents (9.7%) disagreed and 4 (3.5%) strongly disagreed, indicating that a small minority did not feel personally compelled by legacy-based obligations. Fifteen participants (13.3%) were not sure, possibly reflecting uncertainty about familial expectations or a neutral stance toward the concept of legacy. The mean score of 3.74 implies broad agreement, and the standard deviation of 0.980 suggests relative consistency in experiences shared by the participants.

The item, "There is an expectation in my community that people should have children by a certain age," received overwhelming support from respondents. A total of 46 individuals (40.7%) agreed and an equal number 46 (40.7%) strongly agreed, resulting in 81.4% who recognized the presence of age-related community expectations regarding childbearing. In contrast, only 5 participants (4.4%) strongly disagreed, and no participant selected the "disagree" option, which further highlights the dominance of this cultural norm. Additionally, 16 respondents (14.2%) were uncertain, potentially due to not having personally experienced these expectations yet. The mean score of 4.13 demonstrates strong agreement, and the low standard deviation of 0.968 suggests a high level of consensus across the sample.

With regard to the item, "I feel pressured by my family to have children, especially after getting married," a substantial portion of respondents 63 individuals (55.8%) agreed and 20 (17.7%) strongly agreed, confirming that marriage acts as a trigger for increased familial expectations related to reproduction. In contrast, 11 respondents (9.7%) disagreed and 4 (3.5%) strongly disagreed, totaling 13.2% who did not perceive such family-driven pressure. Another 15 respondents (13.3%) were not sure, which could be attributed to varying family structures, values, or lack of direct discussion about reproductive plans. The mean score of 3.74 indicates a dominant inclination toward agreement, and the standard deviation of 0.980 reveals relatively uniform responses. *In Mpigi District, one woman emphasized that the community considers childbearing a key function of marriage, often to the exclusion of other aspects like companionship or mutual respect. She shared that after several years of infertility, she began to experience verbal abuse from her husband, who accused her of bringing shame to his family. According to her, this culminated in physical violence that was justified by some relatives as "understandable," given her failure to produce offspring. She expressed that such community attitudes*

embolden men to mistreat their wives without fear of social repercussions, thereby exacerbating intimate partner violence. (Source: MP004/16/04/2025)

The item, "My friends or peers often talk about starting families, which makes me feel pressure to have children as well," investigated the influence of peer dynamics on reproductive decision-making. The majority of respondents 48 (42.5%) agreed and 43 (38.1%) strongly agreed, reflecting that 80.6% experienced indirect social pressure through their peer groups. However, 10 participants (8.8%) disagreed and 4 (3.5%) strongly disagreed, indicating that a small number did not feel influenced by such peer conversations. Additionally, 8 individuals (7.1%) were unsure, possibly due to having peer groups with diverse life goals or infrequent conversations about family formation. The high mean score of 4.03 confirms the significant influence of peer environments on personal reproductive choices, while the standard deviation of 1.065 suggests a moderately concentrated spread of opinions with some outliers.

Table 2: Regression analysis between social pressure for childbearing and intimate partner violence

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.672[a]	.452	.447	2.443

a. Predictors: (Constant), **Social pressure for childbearing**

Source: Primary Data, 2025

According to the Model Summary, the regression model produced an R value of 0.672, indicating a strong positive correlation between social pressure for childbearing and the incidence of IPV. This suggests that as societal or familial pressure on individuals particularly women to bear children increases, the likelihood or intensity of IPV also tends to rise. The R Square value of 0.452 implies that 45.2% of the variation in intimate partner violence can be explained by the levels of social pressure for childbearing. This proportion reflects a considerable effect size, signifying that social expectations around childbearing are a significant predictor of IPV. The Adjusted R Square of 0.447 further confirms the model's robustness, suggesting that even after adjusting for the number of predictors, the relationship remains statistically sound. The standard error of the estimate (2.443) indicates a moderate level of variance around the regression line, which is acceptable given the social nature of the variables under investigation.

Table 3: Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	4.057	.902		4.498	.000
	SOCIAL PRESSURE	.466	.049	.672	9.573	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Intimate partner violence

Source: Primary Data, 2025

The Coefficients table provides a deeper understanding of this relationship. The unstandardized coefficient (B) for social pressure is 0.466, with a standard error of 0.049, which indicates that for every one-unit increase in social pressure related to childbearing, the level of intimate partner violence increases by approximately 0.466 units. This relationship is statistically significant, as evidenced by a high t-value of 9.573 and a p-value (Sig.) of 0.000, confirming that the predictor contributes meaningfully to the model. Moreover, the constant value of 4.057 indicates the predicted level of intimate partner violence when the social pressure for childbearing is zero. This baseline value is also statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), implying that even in the absence of social pressure, IPV may still exist due to other underlying factors not captured in this particular model.

Conclusions

The study revealed that widespread social pressure to bear children is a deeply entrenched norm in the communities surveyed. Respondents consistently reported feeling significant pressure from their families, peers, and society at large to have children, particularly after reaching specific milestones such as marriage, employment, or a certain age. The item "There is an expectation in my community that people should have children by a certain age" recorded the highest mean score (4.13), indicating near-universal agreement. This suggests that childbearing is not merely a personal choice but rather a socially prescribed expectation, where one's worth or adulthood is measured by their ability to reproduce. The responses imply that individuals are constantly aware of the ticking societal clock, and delaying or forgoing parenthood can lead to social scrutiny, exclusion, or stigmatization.

A particularly significant conclusion emerging from the data is the stigma attached to being childless. Respondents noted that childlessness is not merely a private matter but a public concern, often discussed within the community with negative undertones. The item "I believe that being childless is seen negatively in my community" had a high mean score of 3.56, with 77% of respondents agreeing or strongly agreeing. This underscores how deeply society associates parenthood with respectability and fulfillment. Being childless whether by choice or circumstance is perceived as deviance from the societal norm. The stigma appears to be compounded for women, as multiple respondents shared narratives indicating that women without children are viewed as failures or incomplete. This reflects a pervasive belief that motherhood is a defining feature of womanhood, making infertility a source of shame and emotional pain.

The data also emphasized the gendered nature of reproductive pressure, with women bearing the brunt of expectations to conceive and rear children. While the item "Women face more pressure than men to have children" had a moderately high mean of 3.42, the responses were more varied (SD = 1.208), suggesting differing views perhaps influenced by individual experiences or gender identities. Nevertheless, many qualitative comments revealed that women often face greater scrutiny, are subjected to questions about fertility, and are blamed for reproductive issues within the marriage, regardless of medical evidence. This aligns with broader literature that highlights how patriarchal systems tend to hold women solely responsible for reproduction, reinforcing gender inequality in marital and community settings.

Furthermore, cultural and religious beliefs emerged as powerful forces reinforcing the importance of childbearing. With a mean score of 3.61, the item assessing the influence of such beliefs on the pressure to have children revealed that more than 60% of respondents saw traditional and faith-based norms as significant contributors to reproductive expectations. These systems often portray children as blessings, a sign of divine favor, and a continuation of one's lineage. In such frameworks, childlessness is interpreted not only as a social failure but sometimes as a spiritual shortcoming, further marginalizing those who do not conform. Several participants mentioned that community elders, religious leaders, and traditional rituals reinforce these norms, creating an environment where deviation from expected fertility behaviors is seen as unacceptable.

The study also highlighted how marriage intensifies the pressure to have children, with participants reporting increased familial and societal scrutiny once they wed. The items "I feel pressure from my family to have children" and "Once someone gets married, the pressure to have children increases" both had a mean of 3.74, reflecting widespread agreement. These findings suggest that in many Ugandan communities, marriage is not considered complete without the birth of children. In fact, couples especially women are often not fully accepted by in-laws or the community unless they produce offspring within a certain time frame. This pressure frequently originates from the older generation who view children as vital for securing family heritage, inheritance rights, and community standing.

Peer influence also emerged as a notable source of pressure. The item "Conversations with friends about starting families influence my perception of when to have children" had a mean score of 4.03, indicating that even informal social interactions significantly shape reproductive decisions. Respondents shared that when peers begin to have children, those without children often feel left out or anxious, leading them to question their timelines or capabilities. Such interactions contribute to a form of social comparison that, while not overtly hostile, perpetuates the notion that parenthood is a necessary milestone for adult fulfillment and societal acceptance.

Recommendations

To address this issue, comprehensive community education programs should be implemented to challenge existing cultural beliefs and stereotypes around childbearing. These should take the form of community dialogues that bring together men, women, elders, and religious leaders to discuss the impact of social pressure on individuals and marriages. By using culturally appropriate methods such as storytelling, local drama, and religious sermons in local languages, communities can begin to re-express traditional values in ways that honor individual choice and dignity. Special emphasis should be placed on involving men and boys in these conversations, as they often hold decision-making power in households and can influence social change when properly engaged.

In addition to community engagement, fertility education should be integrated into routine reproductive health services. Health centers, particularly those offering antenatal and family planning services, should offer counseling on fertility options, causes of infertility (including male infertility, which is often overlooked), and the emotional toll of stigma. Healthcare providers should be trained to handle fertility-related discussions with sensitivity, providing psychological support or referring clients to mental health services where necessary. This would help reduce blame on women and shift the understanding of childbearing from being solely a woman's responsibility to a shared couple's issue.

Furthermore, the mental health aspect of childbearing pressure needs to be formally recognized and addressed. Many individuals facing societal pressure suffer in silence due to lack of counseling support. Therefore, psychosocial services must be expanded, particularly in urban and peri-urban health facilities, to include trained counselors who can assist couples in managing stress, depression, and trauma related to infertility or delayed childbearing. Peer support groups could also be formed, where people with similar experiences come together to share stories and strategies for coping with societal expectations.

From a legal and policy perspective, stronger protections for reproductive autonomy must be enforced. This includes recognizing that every individual has the right to decide freely and responsibly the number, spacing, and timing of their children, without discrimination, coercion, or violence. Legal frameworks should be strengthened to criminalize domestic violence and verbal abuse associated with infertility. Furthermore, infertility should be treated as a legitimate health concern and be covered under national health insurance schemes. This would allow more couples, especially those from low-income backgrounds, to access fertility diagnosis and treatment without the burden of out-of-pocket expenses.

Media campaigns are also a vital tool in reshaping public perceptions. Television, radio, and social media platforms can be used to promote positive narratives about individuals or couples who have adopted children, chosen to remain child-free, or faced infertility with resilience. Popular media figures, religious leaders, and cultural icons should be encouraged to speak out against reproductive stigma and promote a more inclusive understanding of family and womanhood. These efforts could counteract harmful stereotypes and provide alternative models of success and fulfillment.

References

UNICEF. (2023). Global estimates on child marriage. UNICEF.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2022). Intimate partner violence among adolescents. WHO.

UNICEF. (2022). Child marriage in Sub-Saharan Africa. UNICEF.

Walker, J. (2012). Cultural norms and child marriage in Africa. Journal of Gender Studies, 21(3), 45-60.

Kidman, R. (2017). Child marriage and intimate partner violence: A multicounty study. Social Science & Medicine, 185, 78-85.

Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) & UNICEF. (2021). Uganda demographic and health survey (UDHS). UBOS.

Mugisha, J., et al. (2020). Reproductive coercion and violence among child brides in Uganda. African Journal of Reproductive Health, 24(2), 112-125.

Kibombo, R., et al. (2017). Social pressure and gender-based violence in Uganda. International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology, 9(5), 67-79.