Culturally Responsive Pedagogy And Universal Design For Learning: For Building Inclusive And Accessible Curriculum For Diverse Learners

L. Anyanw[1] & D. A. Olaitan[2]

[1]Department of International Communication Management, The Hague University of Applied Sciences, Netherlands.
[2]Wittenborg University of Applied Sciences, Apeldoorn, Netherlands.
[1]leycots@gmail.com, [2]daniyananthony@gmail.com
Corresponding author: leycots@gmail.com

Abstract: *21st century teaching and learning are different from traditional teaching in terms of methodology, assessment mode, students' engagement and others. The adoption of 21st century pedagogies can therefore be seen as a game changer in today teaching and learning. This review aimed at exploring the concept of and the importance of culturally responsive pedagogy, universal design for learning, assessment for learning differentiated instructions to 21st century teaching and learning. It also examined the barriers to inclusive pedagogies and solutions proffered. Relevant information were obtained from secondary sources such as journals, books and internet. The review found that pedagogies that encourage cultural differences, assessment for learning and inclusive learning all have significant effects on students' learning, retention and performance in their academics. The study recommended the need to tackle challenges such as lack of teacher training, inadequate resources, inadequate funding, and lack of modern teaching technology in some schools that are militating against the full implementation of inclusive education. Furthermore, in order to promote and enhance inclusive pedagogies, the review recommended regular training, deployment of teaching technologies and availability of adequate resources for teaching in classrooms.*

Keywords: Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, Universal Design for Learning, Inclusive Education, Equity, Differentiated Instruction, Multimodal Learning

INTRODUCTION

The development of academically rich curricula that are safe, inclusive, abundant in multitude of choices and effective for all students has been the most pressing requirement of the time for educators today. This resulted in both paradigms, Culturally Responsive Pedagogy and Universal Design for Learning, coexisting together. Culturally Responsive Pedagogy places tremendous focus on understanding and validating students' cultural identities while acquiring knowledge. This paradigm of education was developed by Ladson-Billings (1995) and emphasised teaching methods through which students might preserve cultural integrity while succeeding academically. In this way, Culturally Responsive Pedagogy requires the instructors to be inclusive of cultures as they tackle all the learning facets so that it can be enjoyable and a rewarding process of acquisition. Universal Design for Learning, however, is the process of designing flexible environments that accommodate differences in learning. This particular model of design was developed by David H. Rose and the Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST).

In essence, UDL originates from cognitive neuroscience and specifies various forms of representation, expression, and engagement to support learners with varying needs (Rose & Meyer, 2002).

The two paradigms thus interact to construct a complete theory of curriculum development with regards to cultural accessibility and relevance in teaching. Chita-Tegmark et al., (2012), posit that a UDL that is inclusive of cultural diversity extends into culturally relevant curricula for diverse students, thereby constructing increased learning opportunities for everyone. Correspondingly, Grier-Reed and Williams-Wengerd (2018) theorise about the intersection of UDL with culturally sustaining practice and constructivism towards developing an inclusive pedagogy of undergraduate classroom. Moreover, Ralabate and Nelson (2017) also highlight the role of culturally responsive design for English learners in the UDL approach and thus invoke instructional strategies to honour the linguistic and cultural identity of the students. James (2018) also concurred that UDL holds much promise as a vehicle for culturally responsive practice, particularly in culturally diverse areas such as Alaska.

Combining the values of CRP and UDL will allow instructors to design curricula that will cater for varied learners yet also respect and preserve their cultural identities, ultimately leading to fairer and more effective learning outcomes.

Principles that form the Basis of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy and Universal Design for Learning

Culturally Responsive Pedagogy may be defined as a culturally responsive, inclusive educational climate that aims to develop successful learners from diverse students along with developing learning (Gay, 2018). Based on Gay (2018), CRP practice uses students' cultural knowledge and frames of reference to enable school success. CRP fundamental principles involve expectations of success from every student, cultural competency on the teacher's part, and incorporation of student cultures into the curriculum (Ladson-Billings, 1995). Under the cultural pluralist tradition, CRP aims at making learning meaningful and accessible to a variety of learners (Paris & Alim, 2017). Universal Design for Other Learning (UDL) is a framework for structuring the design of adaptable learning environments for the varying differences of all learners.

It is grounded in learning science and consists of three principles that are essential: multiple means of engagement, multiple means of representation, and multiple means of action and expression (Meyer, Rose, & Gordon, 2014). CAST (2018) believes that UDL supports the achievement of educational equity by eliminating barriers to learning and therefore flexible adaptation of instruction based on the cognitive and physical abilities of learners. UDL has been proven to increase student motivation and achievement by providing alternative ways of learning (Novak & Thibodeau, 2016).

Addressing Diverse Learning needs and Promoting Equity

Both UDL and CRP theories address the call for diversity and inclusivity in learning through the enactment of different methods in inclusive pedagogy. For CRP, learning values the cultural and linguistic background of students in school evokes motivation and belongingness (Hammond, 2015). Similarly, UDL suggests that universal accessibility can be attained through multi-modal engaging of content by students; other modalities include visual, auditory, and kinesthetic (Meyer *et al*., 2014). Corresponding to this, these models embrace various learning styles and experiences belonging to learners who actually design their learning experience. Equitable learning encompasses a broad scope that captures all students' substantive opportunity to learn regardless of origin or ability.

CRP generates the equity effect when in collaboration with cultural contexts, the identities of the students as people's culture are strengthened without losing sight of the systemic bias inherent in curricula and instructional strategies (Ladson-Billings, 2014). UDL does away with structural barriers, but organises the very nature of instruction to invite diverse abilities from the outset (CAST, 2018). Hence, it encompasses all-CRP and UDL within an inclusive learning setting, which welcomes all the students, especially from underrepresented groups historically, to thrive academically.

Theory-practice Integration: Strategies in Curriculum Design toward Inclusion

Translating theory into practice: Inclusion Strategies for Curriculum Design. The education system is seen to be shifting more and more towards the bizarre and varied patterns of conceptual development that would enable all learners, wherever they may be to enjoy equal opportunities for learning. There ought to be new theories that are added to the practice for future developments regarding inclusive curriculum design since by adding theories like UDL and CRT, it could eventually transform the practice into a doing of strategies-the coordination of diverse learning qualities and backgrounds, and student abilities (Meyer *et al.,* 2014). That marriage of theory and practice has immensely assisted teachers to build potent pedagogy where all students have access, get involved, and acquire meaningfully is a well-known fact.

Differentiated Instruction for Diverse Learners

Differentiated instruction is one of the strategies that can be used for developing inclusive curricula. According to Tomlinson (2014), differentiation means modifying teaching methods, content, and assessment techniques based on learners' distinctive needs. Flexible grouping, tiered assignments, and scaffolded support are examples of differentiation. Empirical evidence indicates that differentiated instruction has major effects on students' achievement and motivation since it allows students to learn independently with content at their pace and level (Santangelo & Tomlinson, 2012).

Formative assessment is an important component of differentiated instruction that gives ongoing feedback for instructional adjustment. Through the application of formative assessments like self-assessment, peer review, and performance tasks, teacher obtain information about the students' progress and learning difficulties (Tosuncuoglu, 2018)**.** This approach also follows the principles of UDL; one where more than one means of representation, engagement, and expression are amplified; hence, more learning opportunities for all students.

Multimodal Learning for Accessibility and Engagement

Multimodal learning forms part of inclusive curriculum planning since it offers different channels of learning. Learning is enhanced when more than one sensory modality, say visual, auditory, kinesthetic, and textual, are considered (Bouchey, Castek, & Thygeson, 2021). Programme on computers, videos, interactive simulations, and lab work allow students to engage with concepts in ways that they find most comfortable learning (Mayer, 2009).

The benefits of multimodal learning also enhance accessibility for disabled learners: audio content transcripts, presentation signing, and screen-reader-friendly texts enable content to be accessed by visually and hearing-impaired learners (CAST 2018). By developing curricula that demand practices of multimodal teaching, teachers are able to create a learning environment that is more accessible and motivating.

Flexible Assessments for Supporting Diverse Learners

Among the strongest determinants of student academic performance for any learner, assessment comes at the very top. Traditional standardised tests have a propensity to neglect the diverse strengths and talents of students, thus accounting for disparities in educational attainment (Brookhart, 2013). It is within this context that teachers can implement responsive assessment choices aligned with UDL principles and differentiated instruction.

As a result of these considerations, types of assessment such as portfolios, project evaluations, and self-evaluation allow students to demonstrate the needs and their awareness of things in a manner that best suits their capabilities and preferences (Guskey & Jung, 2011). In such situations, these forms of assessment are closer to a fuller picture, allowing students to go farther on the learning journey while exercising their skills of critical thinking and creativity. Enabling students to flaunt their skills with various assessments-through oral reporting, written reports, and cyber tales-also provides equal playing grounds for every single one of them.

Challenges and Opportunities in Inclusive Pedagogy

Benefits and challenges of inclusive pedagogy are reported to consist of barriers and opportunities which must be surmounted by the educationists and policymakers.

Some Barriers that Teachers Encounter in the Implementation of Inclusive Models

There are quite a large number of challenges facing teachers in the application of inclusive practices in teaching. At the forefront would be the fact that most teachers lack training to teach inclusively. For instance, it was found from a study in Ethiopia that teacher training programmes were not preparing teachers adequately to teach students about the needs of students with disabilities resulting in very poor knowledge and resistance towards inclusive education (Zelalem, 2021). Another example is the case of Nigeria where many of the teachers do not possess the requisite skills and knowledge for implementing inclusive education effectively, hence finding it challenging to teach in classrooms with diverse learners (Ohiri *et al*., 2024). Inadequate resources and facilities have also been found to limit inclusive education, resulting in students' poor performance and teachers' job performance. Most schools do not have basic physical facilities like accessible classrooms and assistive technologies; these make it difficult to provide for students with disabilities (Iyanuoluwa, 2023). Additionally, most attitudes and cultural norms can be impediments to inclusion since such negative attitudes towards disabilities can lead to exclusion of individuals from learning settings (Ohiri *et al*., 2024).

Policy Specification

Useful Resources and Tools Policies that support implementation of effective practices in inclusive education are best written in collaboration with factors that affect their successful implementation. Policies should not only encourage inclusive practices but also provide guidance and sufficient funding for the effective execution of inclusive educational practices.

Teacher Training

While extensive training of teachers is crucial to offer required competencies in inclusive pedagogy, teacher education in most settings has been condemned on the theory over the practice of inclusion (Zelalem, 2021). Accordingly, the training should

incorporate experiential experiences, exposure to diverse learners, and instruction about inclusive practices in order to alter attitudes towards inclusion and develop self-efficacy in handling diverse classrooms (Watkins, De Vroey, & Symeonidou, 2016).

Resource Distribution

For inclusive education programmes to work, the necessary resources should be allocated accordingly. Required support and materials, and fully functional logistical, infrastructural, and operating systems to cater for all students should be adequately provided (Iyanuoluwa, 2023). Investment in assistive technology resource and facilities to maintain the frayed environment is necessary (Ebersold & Meijer, 2016)

An Inclusive Curriculum Design and Technological Innovations

The inclusive curriculum in today's era would also measure the degree of flexibility and responsiveness of the curriculum towards the divergent needs instigated by the learners. As Batool *et al.*, (2023) captioned it, "an inclusive curriculum would offer means of enabling all students in a higher education environment to meet course learning outcomes". "That is, the material would be assembled for access and utilised by students with diverse backgrounds, thus offering an environment where all learners can thrive. Technology greatly plays this enabling function in access to education. According to UNESCO, "technology provides multiple means to represent information, express knowledge, and engage in learning," which enable individuals with disabilities to have equal education and best access to the curriculum and prepare them on independence, agency, and social inclusion. Through this, personalisation of the learning experience and social integration to independence of individuals with disabilities is enhanced. Yet, there are several challenges, in the form of accessibility, affordability, and digital literacy, to be addressed in narrowing the gaps.

Community Engagement and Global Perspective

Community engagement is essential in enabling accessible learning. Saints College's new Fitzroy North campus in Victoria, Australia, is an innovative example of such a model. The 'radically inclusive' environment has been specifically created for neurodivergent populations, one of the most affected by Autism and ADHD (Herald Sun, 2025).

While local contexts serve the building of inclusive education, international perspectives broaden the horizon, facilitating lateral exchange of best practices and innovative solutions. Under the joint initiative with several international resource centers, UNESCO has also promoted assistive technologies and digital innovations for the sake of supporting inclusion and equity in education in Africa (UNESCO IITE, 2025). Collectively, these initiatives demonstrate how cross-culture collaboration can be made to work towards reducing educational disparities and promoting inclusiveness worldwide.

Conclusion

Inclusive education gives all learners an equal chance to learn regardless of background or ability. Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) converge in supporting inclusive classrooms by merging cultural relevance with teaching flexibility. Insufficient resources and inadequate training are barriers to implementation; however, if teacher development and policy reform are on the agenda, they can firmly underpin implementation. The complementarity of UDL and CRP makes the two systems an effective instrument of equity and equal opportunity in learning environments that are diversified.

Recommendations

1. Offer regular Culturally Responsive Pedagogy (CRP) and Universal Design for Learning (UDL) workshops to teachers to acquire competencies to facilitate inclusive classrooms.

2. All educational institutions should include diverse perspectives and resources that offer flexibility in order to meet the differing needs and backgrounds of its students.

3. Governments and all other stakeholders need to invest in accessible learning materials and assistive technologies and adapt classrooms to accommodate diverse learners.

4. Educational policy-makers should formulate and enact policies in favour of inclusive education, ensuring CRP and UDL principles are infused in teaching standards.

5. Schools must engage communities and parents, as well as organisations, to enact a caring environment that acknowledges the cultural identity and needs of students.

REFERENCES

Batool, S. H., Bullard, J., Campbell-Meier, J., Fourie, I., Jimenez, A., & Rutter, S. (2023). Global perspectives on inclusive curricula: Places, practices and pedagogy. *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 60*(1), 742-746.

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5*(1), 7-74.

Bouchey. B., Castek, J. & Thygeson, J. (2021). Multimodal learning. Innovative learning environments in STEM higher education, 3(5), 35-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58948-6_3

Brookhart, S. M. (2013). *How to create and use rubrics for formative assessment and grading.* ASCD.

CAST. (2018). *Universal design for learning guidelines version 2.2.* Retrieved from https://udlguidelines.cast.org/

Chita-Tegmark, M., Gravel, J. W., Serpa, M. D. L. B., Domings, Y., & Rose, D. H. (2012). Using the Universal Design for Learning framework to support culturally diverse learners. *Journal of Education, 192*(1), 17-22.

Ebersold, S., & Meijer, C. (2016). Financing inclusive education: Policy challenges, issues, and trends. in *Implementing Inclusive Education,* Issues in Bridging the Policy-Practice Gap. *2(*8), 37-62. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

Felder, R. M., & Silverman, L. K. (1988). Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. *Engineering Education, 78*(7), 674-681.

Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.

Grier-Reed, T., & Williams-Wengerd, A. (2018). Integrating universal design, culturally sustaining practices, and constructivism to advance inclusive pedagogy in the undergraduate classroom. *Education Sciences, 8*(4), 167.

Guskey, T. R., & Jung, L. A. (2011). *Grading and reporting in a standards-based environment: Implications for students with special needs.* Corwin Press.

Hammond, Z. (2015). *Culturally responsive teaching and the brain: Promoting authentic engagement and rigor among culturally and linguistically diverse students.* Corwin.

Herald Sun. (2025). The 'radically inclusive' school helping neurodiverse students excel. https://www.heraldsun.com.au/victoria-education/saints-college-fitzroy-north-is-helping   neurodiverse-students-excel-in-their-studies/news story/62b3b1228f7bdad68367197f11d2dbc2

Iyanuoluwa, F. (2023, May 24). Challenges to inclusive education in Nigeria. *Schools Compass Blog*. https://schoolscompass.com.ng/blog/2023/05/24/challenges-to-inclusive-education-in-nigeria/

James, K. (2018). Universal Design for Learning (UDL) as a structure for culturally responsive practice. *Northwest Journal of Teacher Education, 13*(1), 4.

Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal, 32*(3), 465-491.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2014). Culturally relevant pedagogy 2.0: a.k.a. the remix. *Harvard Educational Review, 84*(1), 74–84.

Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). *Universal design for learning: Theory and practice.* CAST Professional Publishing.

Novak, K., & Thibodeau, T. (2016). *UDL in the cloud: How to design and deliver online education using universal design for learning.* CAST Professional Publishing.

Ohiri, S. C., Okonkwo, J. T., & Kanayo-Egwuonwu, N. S. (2024). A critical analysis of teachers' insights into the implementation of inclusive education practices in Owerri Education Zone 1 of Imo State. *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, 8, 63-87. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382070163_Effectiveness_of_Implementing_Inclusiv_Education_Challenges_and_Opportunities_in_Culturally_Diverse_Classrooms

Paris, D., & Alim, H. S. (2017). *Culturally sustaining pedagogies: Teaching and learning for justice in a changing world.* Teachers College Press.

Ralabate, P. K., & Nelson, L. L. (2017). *Culturally responsive design for English learners: The UDL approach*. CAST Professional Publishing.

Rose, D. H., Meyer, A., & Hitchcock, C. (2006). *The universally designed classroom: Accessible curriculum and digital technologies.* Harvard Education Press.

Santangelo, T., & Tomlinson, C. A. (2012). Teacher's use of formative assessment to guide instructional decision making. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*(3), 640-652.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

Tosuncuoglu, I. (2018). Importance of assessment in ELT. *Journal of Education and Training Studies* 6(9), 163-170. https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v6i9.3443

UNESCO IITE. (2025). *Innovative technologies for inclusive education: A review of best practices from global resource centers.* https://iite.unesco.org/publications/technologies-for-inclusive education-a-review-of-best-practices/

UNESCO. (2023, September 26). Promoting access to education for students with disabilities through inclusive technologies. *Global Education Monitoring Report*. https://www.unesco.org/gem report/en/articles/promoting-access-education-students-disabilities-through-inclusive technologies

Watkins, A., De Vroey, A., & Symeonidou, S. (2016). Educating all teachers for inclusion. In *Implementing Inclusive Education: Issues in Bridging the Policy-Practice Gap*, 3(4), 63- 87 Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1479-363620160000008005

Zelalem, B. (2021). Developing teachers' competency for inclusive education in Ethiopia. *African Journal of Disability*, 10, 1-9.