International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)
ISSN: 2643-900X
Vol. 9 Issue 5 May - 2025, Pages: 221-230

Employee Performance Appraisal: A Panacea For Organizational Performance

Ohaechesi, Ngozi Anthonia 1 and Prof. Edwinah Amah 2

1Ph.D Student, Department Of Employee Relations And Human Resources Management, Faculty Of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University Of Education, Nigeria
ohaechesingozi@yahoo.com
2Department Of Management, Faculty Of Management Sciences, University Of Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Edwinah4christ@Gmail.Com

Abstract: *This article investigates the relationship between employee performance appraisal systems and organizational performance, with a focus on qualitative insights. Drawing from contemporary human resource management theories and in-depth case studies across various industries, the research explores how effective appraisal systems contribute to improved employee motivation, goal alignment, and overall organizational efficiency. The study emphasizes the importance of feedback quality, managerial involvement, and employee perceptions in shaping the impact of performance evaluations. Findings reveal that when strategically implemented, appraisal systems serve not only as tools for assessment but also as catalysts for employee development and organizational growth. The article concludes with actionable recommendations for enhancing appraisal practices to foster a performance-driven and adaptive organizational culture.*

Keywords: Employee Performance, Appraisal, Employee Motivation, Goal Alignment

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly evolving global business landscape, organizations are experiencing significant growth and transformation. At the heart of this success lies the dynamic relationship between leaders and their workforce. According to Amah (2016), managers can unlock optimal staff productivity by implementing a range of motivational strategies, including both financial and non-financial incentives. When employees are effectively motivated, they are more likely to take ownership of their work, operating autonomously and delivering high-quality results without constant supervision.

Employees are widely recognized as an organization's most valuable assets, driving achievements in both public and private sectors. To attain their objectives, organizations rely on their management teams to leverage various approaches and expertise, ensuring efficient planning, organizing, directing, and controlling. As organizations strive to optimize productivity, they continually seek to enhance employee motivation.

The personnel within an organization play a vital role in its growth, success, and identity. As the foundation of every organization, employees are considered its most valuable, yet unpredictable resources. By investing in employee motivation and development, organizations can unlock their full potential and achieve their goals.

Effective employee performance appraisal is considered a vital instrument for enhancing workforce performance and achieving organizational objectives (Caruth & Humphreys, 2008; Kavussi, 2019). As Amah (2016) notes, performance assessment aims to positively influence both individual and organizational long-term effectiveness. Despite its recognized importance, many organizations, including those operating in Nigeria where performance appraisal reviews may lack emphasis (Watkins, 2007), might not fully leverage its potential to drive organizational success. Given the acknowledged crucial role of employee satisfaction in improving organizational performance (Okere, 2021), this study seeks to examine the relationship between employee performance appraisal and overall organizational performance, offering insights for optimizing HR practices to achieve strategic goals.

2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Employee Performance Appraisal

For organizations striving for optimal performance, a key focus is the evaluation of their workforce. Seniwoliba (2014) defines performance appraisal as an objective method for judging individual employee worth, while Bladen (2011) emphasizes its role in administrative processes like placement and promotion. Boswell and Boudreau (2011) view it as a means to differentiate between more and less productive individuals and identify areas for improvement. Ultimately, a well-designed performance appraisal system should facilitate individual development to achieve desired outcomes (Moulder,

International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)
ISSN: 2643-900X
Vol. 9 Issue 5 May - 2025, Pages: 221-230

2001), clarify expectations, measure goal attainment, and improve communication (Moulder, 2001). As Vasset, Marnburg, and Furunes (2011) suggest, it is a notable method for enhancing employee performance within an organization.

Employee performance is foundational to organizational success, making performance management a crucial strategic endeavor to enhance both individual and team effectiveness. Performance appraisal, integral to this strategy and used interchangeably with terms like performance evaluation (Amah & Gabriel, 2017), is a vital HR mechanism for achieving high organizational performance (Ruddin, 2005). It provides the necessary data for talent acquisition, development, and motivation through appropriate rewards. As Pocket Mentor (2009) highlights, it helps employees understand their performance against objectives. Furthermore, Rue and Byars (2015) see it as a method for assessing and improving work processes, ultimately contributing to a culture of accountability and continuous improvement aligned with organizational goals.

Dimensions of Employee Performance Appraisal

Management by Objectives (MBO), a prominent management philosophy gaining traction in the 1950s, owes its popularization to Peter Drucker, who underscored the critical role of objectives in organizational survival (Armstrong, 2009). Drucker also emphasized participative goal setting and self-evaluation as central tenets of MBO (Armstrong, 2009). While Drucker conceived the concept, McGregor refined it for use as a comprehensive performance appraisal method. Defined by Idowu (2017) as a results-based evaluation program, MBO is a managerial ideology focused on establishing clear, quantifiable goals for individuals, teams, and the organization. Odiorne (2010) further characterizes MBO as a dynamic approach integrating organizational profit and growth objectives with individual contributions and development. A key aspect, as highlighted by Huang et al. (2011), is its emphasis on employee involvement.The strength of MBO lies in its results-oriented approach, shifting focus from activities to measurable outcomes that contribute to organizational success, thus enabling objective evaluation and clear understanding of expected results.

Behavioural Anchored Rating Scales

Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales (BARS) represent a performance appraisal method that integrates qualitative and quantitative assessment by using specific, observable behavioral indicators as anchors for rating employee performance. These anchors are directly linked to job-related competencies or behaviors. According to Obi et al. (2016), a BARS form typically covers six to ten performance dimensions, each based purely on observable behavior. A well-constructed BARS offers a structured and objective approach to evaluating performance based on these observable behaviors, thereby supporting employee development, encouraging targeted skill enhancement, and contributing to a more equitable and transparent appraisal process. The indicators of Behavioral Rating Scale include:

Focus on actions, not traits:

The prioritization of actions above attributes is a fundamental attribute of a Behavioral Rating Scale (BRS) when utilized as a technique for evaluating performance. The approach underscores the methodology's focus on appraising discernible behaviors and activities exhibited by employees, as opposed to judging personality traits or subjective attributes. This emphasis guarantees a more impartial and measurable method for assessing performance. The behaviors evaluated in a Behavioral Rating Scale are observable and quantifiable acts. This approach enhances the objectivity of the process and minimizes the likelihood of bias. In contrast to certain alternative appraisal methodologies that focus on the assessment of individual personality qualities, the Behavioral Rating Scale places greater emphasis on the evaluation of employees' actions and behaviors rather than their inherent characteristics.

Behavior Focus: The concept of "Behavior Focus" is an inherent attribute of a Behavioral Rating Scale (BRS) when utilized as a mechanism for evaluating performance. The term pertains to the methodology's focus on assessing distinct, observable behaviors, activities, and abilities exhibited by individuals within the professional setting. The emphasis on observable behaviors, rather than abstract attributes or personality characteristics, is a fundamental distinguishing aspect of the BRS methodology. The predominant attribute of a Behavioral Rating Scale is in its emphasis on discernible behaviors. The methodology evaluates discrete behaviors, abilities, and competences exhibited by employees, as opposed to ambiguous attributes. The focus of Behavioral Rating Scales (BRS) lies in the assessment of behaviors that are readily observable and quantifiable. These activities possess the characteristics of tangibility, visibility, and objective assess ability. The focus of Behavioral Rating Scales (BRS) lies in the assessment of behaviors that are readily observable and quantifiable. These activities possess the qualities of tangibility, visibility, and objective accessibility.

360 - Degree Feedback: A 360-degree feedback is one among many appraisal systems applied in organizations. 360-degree feedback refers to a process which feedback from a multidimensional point of view with the sole intention to improve the overall organizational performance. In other words, it involves the process of receiving feedback from employees, subordinates, colleagues

International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)
ISSN: 2643-900X
Vol. 9 Issue 5 May - 2025, Pages: 221-230

and supervisors as well as self-assessment by the employees to improve productivity and performance in the organization (Sangstad, Lindkvist, Moland, Chemhutu & Blystad, 2012). Furthermore, 360-degree feedback also includes feedback from external sources such as customers, creditors, suppliers and other stakeholders who can provide constructive feedback to improve the operations in the organization. For this reason, 360-degree feedback is also known as multi-rater, multi-source feedback or even multi source assessment. The reason for this name is due to the fact that 360 degree provides feedback regarding employee behavior from different perspectives which are subordinate, lateral or supervisor (Uysal, 2016). When 360-degree feedback is used for performance evaluation purposes, it is sometimes called a "360-degree review."

THE EVOLUTION OF ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONCEPT

The concept of organizational performance has evolved from a primarily financial focus in the early 20th century, emphasizing profit and return on investment, towards a more holistic and multi-dimensional view. Mid-century saw the rise of formalized rating systems and an increased focus on employee development. The late 20th century introduced 360-degree feedback and competency frameworks, aiming for more comprehensive and fair assessments.

More recently, the concept has broadened to include non-financial factors like stakeholder satisfaction, social responsibility, and sustainability. Contemporary perspectives emphasize continuous feedback, employee engagement, and alignment with strategic goals, leveraging technology for real-time data and insights. This evolution reflects a shift from simply measuring outcomes to understanding the drivers of performance and creating a culture of continuous improvement and holistic value creation.

Concept of Organizational Performance

The performance of an organization is indicative of its ability to successfully attain its commercial objectives. Hence, the degree to which the operational operations of a corporate body result in the attainment of objectives and fulfillment of consumers' expectations. It signifies the cumulative level of achievement attained by all divisions within the organization. Hence, the assessment of business performance can be framed as the capacity of enterprises to effectively collaborate to meet the needs and expectations of their customers, irrespective of the obstacles encountered.

Organizational performance pertains to the degree to which an organization successfully attains its objectives and goals, as well as the efficiency with which it employs its resources to produce desired outputs. The process entails assessing the organization's comprehensive achievement, productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness in fulfilling internal and external expectations. The assessment of organizational performance pertains to the evaluation of an organization's operational effectiveness in alignment with its strategic objectives, goals, and key performance indicators (Richardson & Thompson, 2019). The evaluation involves the appraisal of both financial and non-financial outcomes, as well as the efficiency, effectiveness, and overall achievement in accomplishing the organization's objective. Organizational performance refers to the assessment of an organization's capacity to attain desired results, effectively allocate resources, and adapt to dynamic internal and external circumstances. According to Armstrong and Baron (2005). The process encompasses a thorough evaluation of the financial performance, operational efficiency, innovation, and overall competitiveness of the firm.

To get the desired level of corporate performance, numerous firms have undergone restructuring processes, established comprehensive quality management programs, and offered competitive employee benefits. The success of certain businesses in achieving sustained better commercial performance has been attributed to the unique cultures within these organizations (Zheng & McLean, 2010). The topic of organizational performance holds significant importance for both profit-making and non-profit organizations. According to Shadi (2018), the evaluation of businesses, their actions, and their environments heavily relies on the criterion of business performance, which is considered to be of utmost significance. Enhancing company performance is an essential requirement for the strategic management of organizations aiming to achieve optimal performance (Cania, 2014). Performance is a multifaceted construct that encompasses all operations within businesses across all industries.

According to Allerton (2018), a high-performance organization is characterized by a culture that fosters accountability and responsibility among employees, enabling them to effectively address customers' requirements in a timely way, hence ensuring the achievement of corporate objectives. According to Allerton's perspective, the establishment of a particular cultural framework is deemed crucial for fostering an organizational context conducive to optimal performance. When a company demonstrates impressive performance, it has the potential to generate greater revenues, reduce costs, and eventually, enhance its profitability. The concept of organization performance encompasses the actual productivity or outcomes achieved by a corporation, which are compared to the

International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)
ISSN: 2643-900X
Vol. 9 Issue 5 May - 2025, Pages: 221-230

anticipated productivity or targets and objectives. Rahman, Hussain, and Hussain (2011) assert that a strong correlation exists between high organizational performance and the quality of products or services offered. This relationship subsequently results in increased levels of customer satisfaction and loyalty. Furthermore, organizations that consistently demonstrate superior performance gain a competitive advantage in the market, establishing themselves as industry leaders. According to Jacobs (2015), when employees observe the favorable outcomes of their endeavors in the form of enhanced performance, it has the potential to enhance their motivation and job satisfaction. Robust organizational performance fosters a sense of assurance among investors, shareholders, and other stakeholders, hence potentially resulting in heightened backing and capital infusion. According to Oluseyi and Ayo (2019), there is a claim that enhanced organizational performance is frequently associated with heightened productivity, enabling the company to achieve greater outcomes while utilizing the same or fewer resources.

Measures of Organizational Performance

Profitability: The financial success and sustainability of an organization are significantly influenced by its inflows. The sustained viability of every organization is contingent upon its ability to generate profits from its investments. When evaluating corporate success, profit is typically regarded as the primary factor that individuals consider. Profit generation in the realm of business serves as an indicator of the surplus income achieved in relation to expenses, so reflecting the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the business system during a specific duration. According to Jaja and Okwandu (2017), Profitability refers to the capacity to generate profits that exceed the initial capital invested, once all expenses incurred during the process have been fully covered. This demonstrates the capacity of management to optimize the utilization of available resources to generate profits in a proficient and productive manner.

As to Davis (2020), profitability refers to the capacity of a specific investment to generate a financial gain through its utilization. The presence of substantial profits will serve as a catalyst for increased remuneration and employee perks, as well as facilitate business expansion and the use of advanced machinery and technology. According to Jaja and Okwandu (2017), the presence of a rewarded effort, effective management, a favorable business climate, and a well-developed plan are indicative factors.

According to Avery's (2008) study, it was determined that enhancing profitability can be achieved by implementing strategies such as augmenting labor, implementing efficient supervision, and adopting initiative-taking management techniques. The duty of ensuring profitability is widely recognized as a crucial responsibility for business management. The assessment of success typically includes the concerns and preferences of all parties involved, even though the methods employed to get resources frequently need making deliberate compromises among these parties. To achieve profitability, a corporation must exhibit productivity. Profitability refers to the capacity of an organization, corporation, firm, or enterprise to generate financial gains from its various business activities. The study conducted by Ezejiofor et al. (2017) demonstrates the ability of management to effectively generate profits through the utilization of all available resources within the market. Therefore, it is highly probable that enterprises will experience numerous advantages, particularly in terms of enhanced profitability. Profitability is a crucial prerequisite for the sustained viability and achievement of a corporation. Investors are primarily drawn to profitability, which increases the likelihood of the business's long-term survival (Farah & Nina, 2016). Numerous organizations endeavor to enhance their profitability and allocate extensive time to conducting meetings to devise strategies for minimizing operational expenses and augmenting sales (Schreibfeder, 2016). The measures employed in this study encompass return on investment (ROI) and return on equity (ROE).

Return on Equity (ROE): Within the realm of corporate finance, the return on equity (ROE) serves as an indicator of a business's profitability in relation to the book value of shareholder equity, which is synonymous with net assets or the difference between assets and liabilities. The Return on Equity (ROE) metric serves as an indicator of a company's ability to efficiently utilize capital in order to generate increase in earnings. The Return on Equity metric serves as an effective measure of a firm's ability to generate profit based on the equity capital invested by stakeholders. It may be utilized as a tool over a period to assess alterations in the financial position of a company. The calculation of Return on Equity (ROE) involves dividing the company's annual net income after taxes (excluding non-recurring expenses) by the average shareholder equity. In addition, the return on equity ratio (ROE) is a financial metric used to assess the profitability of a company by evaluating its capacity to generate profits from the investments made by its shareholders (Whyte, 2017).

Productivity

The productivity of employees has emerged as a crucial target for organizations due to its significant impact on the performance of an organization (Cato & Gordon, 2009; Sharma & Sharma, 2014). Productivity can be defined as the evaluation of both the quantity and quality of work accomplished, considering the expenditure of resources. Productivity refers to the quantifiable output of work within a given time limit. Productivity pertains to an individual's capacity to generate the prescribed quantity or volume of goods, services, or results as delineated in a job specification. The level of productivity exhibited by a business directly correlates with the quality of its competitive advantage. This phenomenon can be attributed to the effectiveness of the utilized resources. According to Aninweze (2011), organization productivity can be seen as the ongoing processes involved in setting organizational objectives, monitoring progress towards these objectives, and making necessary adjustments to attain them in a more effective and efficient manner.

In a conceptual sense, productivity refers to the ability of managers and organizations to achieve desired outcomes while minimizing the utilization of resources such as energy, time, finances, staff, and materials (Nollman, 2013).

Numerous operations conducted within an HR System are strategically designed to exert effect on the productivity of individuals or organizations. Human resource operations such as pay, appraisal systems, training, selection, job design, and remuneration are directly associated with enhancing productivity.

Sharma and Sharma (2014) believe that an increase in productivity yields positive outcomes such as economic development, enhanced profitability, and societal advancement. The enhancement of productivity is the sole means by which employees can attain improved pay, salaries, working conditions, and expanded employment prospects. Cato and Gordon (2009) have provided evidence that the correlation between the strategic vision and employee productivity plays a significant role in determining the success of a firm. Productivity entails the equitable allocation of resources towards diverse economic, social, technological, and environmental goals. Organizational productivity refers to the quantifiable outcomes achieved by an organization in relation to its predetermined objectives.

Market Share

Market share is defined as the proportion or percentage of overall sales or income that a firm or brand possesses within a certain market or industry. The key performance indicator in question serves as a means of evaluating a company's level of competitiveness and its relative standing within the market in relation to its competitors. Market share is a metric that quantifies the percentage of an organization's total sales or revenues inside a specific market segment or industry (Kotler & Keller, 2016). The aforementioned statement elucidates the company's comparative strength and competitive standing within the market in relation to other participants. Market share refers to the proportion of overall sales volume or revenue that a firm acquires inside a certain market or industry. This metric serves as an indicator of the company's importance and market standing relative to its competitors (Ferrell & Hartline, 2019). Organizations strive to enhance their market share by pursuing product innovation to provide consumers with superior offerings.

Market expansion: Market expansion refers to the strategic endeavor of increasing the reach and availability of a product, either by extending its presence inside an established market or by introducing it to a previously untapped market. The underlying approach commences with a thorough examination of current and prospective distribution channels, culminating in the implementation of strategies aimed at expanding market presence and enhancing sales performance in target markets. The process of business expansion possesses the capacity to increase the exposure of your products and services to a wider range of individuals. Expanding the consumer base can enhance customer conversion rates and bolster sales performance. Consequently, this results in increased profitability. Similar to the members of your team, customers play a crucial role in determining the success of your organization.

Sales volume: Sales volume is defined as the quantity of units that a company sells within a designated period for the purpose of reporting. The measurement of sales volume serves as a critical metric for assessing the overall well-being of a corporation. This tool enables the monitoring of marketing campaign effectiveness, assessment of sales reps' performance, and selection of optimal physical store locations. Sales volume is considered a reliable statistic for assessing performance due to its ease of measurement and the ability to establish clear metrics. Sales organizations that employ incentives tied to sales volume quotas have the potential to enhance the efficiency of salespeople by encouraging them to engage in sales activities earlier in the business cycle.

Training as a moderating variable: Training is a systematic process of acquiring knowledge, skills, competencies, or behaviors that are essential for an individual or group of individuals to perform their roles effectively (Tsai et al., 2007). It involves organized activities designed to enhance an individual's or a team's performance in a specific area, with the goal of improving their overall job performance and contributing to the organization's success.

Effective training and development programs aimed at improving the employees' performance. Training refers to bridging the gap between the current performance and the standard desired performance. Training could be given through different methods such as on the coaching and mentoring, peers cooperation and participation by the subordinates. This teamwork enable employee to actively participate on the job and produces better performance, hence improving organizational performance. Training programs not only develops employees but also help an organization to make best use of their humane resources in favour of gaining competitive advantage. Therefore, it seems mandatory by the firm to plan for such a training program for its employees to enhance their abilities and competencies that are needed at the workplace (Jie & Roger, 2005).

Training not only develops the capabilities of the employee but sharpen their thinking ability and creativity to take better decision in time and in more productive manner (David, 2006). Moreover, it also enables employees to deal with the customer in an effective manner and respond to their complaints in timely manner (Hollenbeck, Derue & Guzzo, 2004). Training develops self-efficacy and results in superior performance on job (Svenja, 2007), by replacing the traditional weak practices by efficient and effective work-related practices (Kathiravan, Devadason & Zakkeer, 2006).

Training refers to a planned intervention aimed at enhancing the elements of individual job performance" (Chiaburu & Tekleab, 2005). It is all about improving the skills that are necessary for the achievement of organizational goals. Training programs, may also help the workforce to decrease their anxiety or frustration, originated by the work on job (Chenet al., 2004). Rowden (2002), suggest that training may also be an efficient tool for improving one's job satisfaction, as employee better performance leads to appreciation by the top management, hence employee feel more adjusted with his job. According to Rowden and Conine (2005), trained employees are more able to satisfy the customers and, employees who learn as a result of training program shows a greater level of job satisfaction along with superior performance.

Training aims to address specific needs or objectives within an organization. These objectives can include improving job performance, enhancing productivity, ensuring safety, fostering compliance with regulations, or facilitating personal and professional development. Effective training contributes to improved employee performance, job satisfaction, retention, and overall organizational success. It can enhance the organization's competitiveness by ensuring that employees have the skills needed to meet current and future challenges.

EFFECT OF EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

When implemented effectively, performance appraisal can be a powerful tool that positively influences various aspects of organizational success. Regular feedback, identification of strengths and weaknesses, and goal setting through performance appraisals can motivate employees to enhance their performance and productivity (Kissflow, n.d.; Global Scientific Journal, 2022). By clarifying expectations and providing a roadmap for improvement, appraisals help employees align their efforts with organizational goals (Peoplebox, n.d.). Secondly, performance appraisals provide a structured platform for open and honest communication between managers and employees (Personio, n.d.). This dialogue can build trust, address challenges, and foster a more collaborative work environment.

Thirdly, appraisals help pinpoint skill gaps and areas where employees need further development (Personio, n.d.; People HR, n.d.). This allows organizations to invest in targeted training programs, enhancing employee capabilities and ultimately organizational effectiveness. Another positive impact is that data gathered from performance appraisals provides valuable insights for informed decisions regarding promotions, compensation, training, and workforce planning (Personio, n.d.). It helps in identifying high-potential employees and recognizing top performers.

More so, When employees feel their contributions are recognized and that the organization is invested in their growth, their engagement and commitment levels tend to rise, leading to reduced turnover (Personio, n.d.; People HR, n.d.).

Furthermore, effective performance appraisal systems ensure that individual and team goals are aligned with the overarching strategic objectives of the organization (Kissflow, n.d.; Peoplebox, n.d.). This clarity of purpose drives collective effort towards common targets.

Finally, well-designed appraisal systems that are consistently applied can promote a culture of fairness and transparency within the organization (ResearchGate, 2022). This can enhance employee trust and morale.

Theoretical Review: This study's theoretical foundation lies in Victor Vroom's (1964) Expectancy Theory, which offers critical insights into employee motivation and its impact on job performance. The theory highlights the importance of establishing clear and motivating linkages between an employee's effort, their resulting performance, and the rewards they receive. By applying the principles of Expectancy Theory to the design and implementation of employee performance appraisal systems, organizations can significantly enhance individual motivation. When employees understand that their efforts are likely to lead to positive performance evaluations and that good performance is directly linked to valued outcomes such as recognition, development opportunities, or compensation, their motivation to perform effectively is likely to increase, thereby contributing to overall organizational success.

Empirical Review: Gichuhi, Abaja and Ochieng (2014) examined the effect of performance appraisal on employees' productivity in a case study of supermarkets in Nakuru Town, Kenya. They employed a cross-sectional survey design. The population of the study was 1,560 employees distributed among the 7 main supermarkets operating in Nakuru Town. A sample of 308 respondents were selected using multi-stage sampling technique. 178 copies of a questionnaires were filled and returned. Multiple regression models were also used to analyze the data collected. Their study found that performance criteria, feedback and frequency significantly influenced employee productivity. They recommended that feedback should involve discussions of strengths and weaknesses of the employee and be made actionable. Furthermore, rewards should be given to employees whenever feedback is positive.

Ojokuku (2013) examined the effect of performance appraisal system on motivation and performance of academics in Nigerian public universities. Their sample was drawn from four (4) public universities in southwestern Nigeria. Data were sourced with the aid of a questionnaire, while percentage and multiple regression analysis were used for data analysis. Their results showed that the university academics see their performance appraisal system as not being accurate and fair enough because it does not capture, adequately, all the job components that make up their performance during the review period. The performance appraisal system was also found to exert a strong influence on the academics' motivation and overall performance. The study recommended that the PA system for academics should be reviewed by university management such that all the components of their jobs are captured, evaluated, and adequately rewarded.

Muhammad (2020) conducted effect of performance appraisal on employees' satisfaction: a case study of University of Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. We collected data from 60 administrative employees of university of Peshawar using survey questionnaire. We distributed 100 questionnaires among the employees of university of Peshawar, out of which 60 were returned back, so the response rate was 60 %. Findings of this study revealed that performance appraisal significantly and positively affect employee satisfaction in university of Peshawar, KP. It was concluded from this study that fair and accurate performance appraisal keep the employees satisfied and positively affect the efficiency and effectiveness of employees as well as organization. In line with the findings of this study it was recommended for top management of higher education of Pakistan to design such a performance appraisal system which is in accordance with the new challenges and developmental aspect of employees within different universities or organizations. This study has importance for both policy makers and academicians.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The study concludes that employee performance appraisal systems, when thoughtfully designed and implemented, play a vital role in enhancing organizational performance. Appraisals are most effective when they go beyond routine evaluation and are integrated into the broader strategic objectives of the organization. A well-executed appraisal process can drive employee engagement, clarify expectations, and foster a culture of accountability and continuous development. However, challenges such as biased evaluations, lack of follow-up, and limited employee involvement can undermine the intended outcomes. To address these issues and maximize the benefits of performance appraisals, the following actionable recommendations are proposed:

i. Enhance Feedback Quality: Encourage regular, constructive, and two-way feedback to create a transparent performance dialogue between managers and employees.
ii. Invest in Manager Training: Equip managers with the necessary skills to conduct fair, objective, and motivational appraisals, including training in emotional intelligence and unbiased assessment techniques.
iii. Align Appraisals with Organizational Goals: Ensure that performance criteria are clearly linked to organizational priorities and communicated effectively to all employees.
iv. Incorporate Employee Involvement: Promote self-assessment and participative goal-setting to increase employee ownership and engagement in the appraisal process.
v. Follow Through with Development Plans: Use appraisal outcomes to inform targeted training, career development opportunities, and succession planning, rather than treating them as standalone events.

REFERENCES

Allerton, C. (2018). High-performance workplaces, training and the distribution of skills. *Industrial Relations*, *39*(1), 1-15

Amah, E. (2016). *Human resource management.* Amethyst and Collegues Publisher

Amah, E., & Gabriel, J. M. O. (2017). *Industrial/organizational psychology.* Tema & T. Press.

Aninweze, K. (2011). Employee welfare programmes: Panacea towards improving labour productivity in the service sector in Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 5*(10), 78-81

Armstrong, M. (2009). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice.* Kogan Page Publishers.

Armstrong, M., & Baron, A. (2005). *Managing performance: Performance management in action.* CIPD Publishing.

Avery, C. (2008). Missing links in understanding the relationship between leadership and organizational performance. *International Business and Economic Research Journal*, 15(3), 107-118.

Bladen, W. (2011). The role of perceived system knowledge in predicting appraisal reactions, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 19(1), 53–65

Boswell, H., & Boudreau, R. (2011). Does research in performance appraisal influence the practice of performance appraisal? Regretfully not? *Public Personnel Management*, 21(2), 185-196.

Cania, V. (2014). Service quality and service productivity management practice. *Industrial Marketing Management, 25(*3), 243-255

Caruth, A., & Humphreys, D. (2008). A study of modern methodologies in performance appraisals, *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology, 1*(1), 126-128.

Cato, K., & Gordon, G. (2009). Resource situation as determinants of employee productivity in manufacturing firms. *European Journal of Globalization and Development Research, 9*(1), 545-551.

Chenet, D. (2004). Achievement motivations training and executives advancement, *Journal of Applied Science. 7*(1), 77-80

Chiaburu, P., & Tekleab, T. (2005). The association between training and organizational commitment among the white-collar workers in Malaysia, *International Journal of Training and Development*, *7*(3), 166-85.

Coens, E., & Jenkins, D. (2010). Performance appraisal satisfaction and employee outcomes: Mediating and moderating roles of work motivation. *International Journal of Human Resources Management*, *17*(3), 504-522.

Davis, N. (2020). Executive succession: Organizational antecedents of CEO characteristics. *Strategic Management Journal*, *15*(7), 569–577.

Ezejiofor, R. A. & Ezekwesili, T. P. (2017). Organizational structure and employee performance: evidence from pharmaceutical companies in Anambra state, Nigeria. *Journal of Innovations, 67*(15)76-89

Ferrell, O. C., & Hartline, M. D. (2019). *Marketing Strategy (8th ed.).* Cengage Learning.

Huang, G., Durcikova, D., Lai, H., & Lin, W. (2011). Competency analysis of top managers in the Taiwanese hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *30*(5), 1044-1054.

Idowu, A. S. (2017). Effectiveness of performance appraisal system and its effect on employee motivation. *Nile Journal of Business and Economics, 5*(2), 15 – 39.

Jacobs, O. (2015). Leveraging intellectual capital through product and process management of staff development. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, *3*(4), 415-429.

Jaja, D., & Okwandu, E. (2017). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(2), 268-279

Jie, M., & Roger, G. (2005). impact of training on employee performance: a study of telecommunication sector in Pakistan, *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, 4*(6), 88-95.

Kathiravan, X., Devadason, V., & Zakkeer, W. (2006). Employee development, Issues and dimensions, *Unical Journal of public Administrator 1*(5), 222-227

Kavussi, C. (2019). Performance appraisal, performance management and improving individual performance: A motivational framework. *Management and Organization Review, 2*(2), 253-277.

Kimberlee, F. (2018). The impacts of employee's job performance behaviour and organisational culture on organisational productivity in pharmaceutical industries in Karachi. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business, 5*(12), 285-400.

Kluger, D., & DeNisi, N. (1996). An assessment of 360-degree performance appraisal system-a study with special reference to private banks, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 119*(7), 2717-2728.

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson.

Mani, E. (2002). Behavioral observation scales and the concept of Fit: An underutilized tool for applied industrial and organizational psychology. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 9*(1), 83-91.

Moulder, B. (2001). Effects of performance appraisal on employee productivity: A case study of Mumias Sugar Company Limited. *International Research of Innovative and Development*, 2(9), 51-57.

Nollman, A. (2013). Efficiency, technology and productivity change in Australian universities, 1998–2003. *Economics of Education Review, 27*(3), 285-298.

Obi, E., Onyekwelu, R., Onwubiko, O., & Mohammed, O. (2016). The validity of a mixed standard scale for measuring interview performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82*(6), 936-946.

Odiorne, M. (2010). Impact of performance appraisal on employee productivity in Nigeria Breweries Plc. *The International Journal of Business & Management, 7*(5), 206-211.

Okere, V. R. (2021). Performance appraisal methods and employee performance of selected firms in Anambra State of Nigeria. *Journal of Business and African Economy, 7*(1), 42- 57.

Oluseyi, C., & Ayo, P. (2019). Employee satisfaction and organisational performance: A summary of key findings from applied psychology. *Journal of Human Resource Management, 5*(3), 78-98

Pocket, M. (2009). Effect of performance appraisal system on motivation and performance of academics in Nigerian public universities. *Australian Journal of Business and Management Research*, *3*(3), 20-28.

Rahman, B., Hussain, F., & Hussain, D. (2011). The effects of job satisfaction on organizational commitment and job performance relationship: a case of managers in manufacturing companies. *European Journal of Social Sciences, 18*(4), 602-611.

Rowden, T. (2002). High-impact learning: building and diffusing learning capability. *Organizational Dynamics, 25*(5), 52-66

Rowden, Z., & Conine, A. (2005). Changing the mindset: the training myth and the need for word-class performance, *International Journal of Human Resource Management, 12*(4), 586-600.

Ruddin, D. (2005). Performance appraisal and management: The developing research agenda. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 74(*4), 473- 487.

Rue, F., & Byars, S. (2015). Issues and challenges in the practice of performance appraisal activities in the 21st Century. *International Journal of Education and Research*, *1*(4), 1-8.

Sangstad, N., Lindkvist, O., Moland, H., Chemhutu, D., & Blystad, A. (2012). A literature review of 360-degree feedback as a tool of leadership development, *International Journal of Current Research, 7*(4), 14757-61.

Schreibfeder, A. (2016). Shaping agility through digital options: Reconceptualising the role of information technology in contemporary firms. *MIS Quarterly, 27*(2), 237-263.

Seniwoliba, G. (2014) Performance appraisal systems, productivity and motivation. *Journal of Public Personnel Management, 36*(3), 378-397.

Sharma, P. (2014). Impact of workplace quality on employee's productivity: case study of a bank in Turkey. *Journal of Business Economics and Finance, 1*(1), 38-49.

Smith, D., & Kendall, A. (2013). Exploring the impact of performance appraisal on satisfaction and commitment: A process model approach. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *21*(1), 44-64.

Svenja, B. (2007). Effects of training framing, general self-efficacy and training motivation on trainees' training effectiveness, *Emerald Group Publishers, 35*(1), 51-65.

Uysal, R. (2016). Integrating 360-degree feedback into performance appraisal tool and Development process, *IOSR Journal of Business and Management, 17*(1), 50-61.

Vasset, V., Marnburg, R., & Furunes, T. (2011). Effects of performance management process on employee productivity: A survey of commercial banks in Turkana County. *Journal of Business and Management*, *18*(11), 52-64.

Whyte, B. (2017). The relationship between organizational climate and employee perceptions of personnel management practices. *Public Personnel Management*, *23*(3), 453-468.

Zheng, M., & McLean, C. (2010). Performance appraisal process and organizational citizenship behaviour. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 27*(7), 732 - 752.