International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR)
ISSN: 2643-900X
Vol. 9 Issue 6 June - 2025, Pages: 29-36

The Impact Of Incentive Management Strategy On Organizational Performance

Blessing Darius, Phd

Department Of Management, Faculty Of Management Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University Of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

dariusblessing@gmail.com

Abstract: The study examines the impact of incentive management strategy on organizational performance. In a highly competitive global landscape, organizations must continuously strategize and innovate to enhance their performance and achieve a sustainable competitive advantage. This study explores the intricate connection between incentive management strategies and organizational performance, emphasizing the pivotal role that employee performance plays in this dynamic. Drawing on contributions from various scholars, it contends that effective performance is predicated not only on achieving stated objectives but also on the alignment of employee motivation with organizational goals. This research identifies the challenges inherent in current incentive structures, particularly the misalignment between incentive programs and strategic objectives, which can lead to suboptimal employee performance and organizational inefficiency. The study also examines key dimensions of incentive management strategies, including flexibility, evaluation and feedback, and reward and recognition, and their influence on organizational metrics such as customer satisfaction, innovation, and operational efficiency. By addressing the identified gaps in incentive management, this examination aims to provide actionable insights for organizations seeking to optimize their performance through enhanced employee engagement and motivation. Ultimately, it underscores the notion that a well-structured incentive strategy is crucial for fostering an environment of high performance and continuous improvement within organizations.

Keywords: Customer Satisfaction, Evaluation and Feedback, Flexibility, Innovation, Operational Efficiency, and Reward and Recognition.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the high rate of competition that organizations face across the entire globe, there is a need for organizations to strategize and develop new ideas on how to improve their performance to enable them to have a competitive advantage in the business world. The life of every organization, irrespective of the industry, is determined by how well the organization is able to perform effectively. Didier Noyé (2002) believes that the performance consists in ″achieving the goals that were given to you in convergence of enterprise orientations.″. In his opinion, performance is not a mere finding of an outcome, but rather it is the result of a comparison between the outcome and the objective (Marsh, McAllum, & Purcell, 2002). The performance of an organization depends on what the organization wants to accomplish in the future, and also, it depends on the objectives and goals of the organization. In other words, the performance of the organization depends on its preferred future. Performance is the extent to which employees carry out their activities efficiently in order to achieve organizational set goals. Employees are elements that determine the outcome of the organization; therefore, important attention needs to be given to employee performance in order to enhance employee performance in the organization. Furthermore, organizational performance (OP) is commonly used to describe how efficiently and effectively a company meets its stated goals and objectives (Smith, 2020).

Organizational performance (OP) is dependent on employee performance since human resource capital is critical to the organization's growth and development (Satyendra, 2019). Achieving organizational performance depends largely on how an organization adapts to changes in the external environment. In literature, performance refers to "the degree to which an organization, as a social system, with certain resources and means, achieves its objectives (Tannenbaum & Shimdt, 2009; in Horga, 2012). Every organization wishes to develop continuously, and it is important to note that organizational performance is correlated with the individual performance of the members of the team working at the organizational level. Individual performance is what determines the organizational performance in the sense that every individual has their talent, skill, and knowledge put to work to promote the growth of the organization. Individual performance is seen through organizational performance as the set goal is achieved. Considering the importance of organizational performance in the business world, the organization will also look at how incentive management strategy can be used to achieve organizational performance. Good organization must have a management system about good incentives and be able to improve employee performance in the organization (Sundaray, 2011). According to Rivai (2013), incentives are direct rewards given to employees because their performance exceeds specified standards. Research conducted by Huang & Lai

(2014) revealed that giving incentives to employees had a direct and positive significant influence on healthy competitive and respectful work atmospheres, which will increase work engagement at the agency (Naidoo & Martins, 2014). Research conducted by Chao, Schwartz, Milton, & Burge (2013) explains that an unhealthy and uncomfortable environment will reduce the level of productivity and morale of employees so that it will affect organizational goals. Incentives have a positive influence on employee performance; anything that can motivate an employee puts him on a high level of performance. If an employee is happy based on satisfaction, it triggers the skill and knowledge acquired by the employee to manifest in times of performance. Employees are the lifeline of every organization. If the wire is not well connected, the expected power will not be supplied. Based on this understanding, employees should be treated like oxygen that gives life to the organization; therefore, incentives should be introduced at all levels.

However, the point of departure is how incentive management strategy affects organizational performance.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The problem with incentive management strategy and organizational performance is that current incentive structures may not be effectively motivating employees to perform at their best, leading to suboptimal performance for the organization. There may be a lack of alignment between incentive programs and the overall strategic goals of the organization, resulting in misdirected efforts and wasted resources. Additionally, there may be disparities in the distribution of incentives, leading to inequality and dissatisfaction among employees. Overall, the problem lies in the misalignment of incentive management strategy with organizational performance goals, resulting in reduced motivation and performance. Poor incentive management strategy can result in low performance of the organization due to the importance of organizational growth. Poor performance of employees can be detrimental to the survival of the organization. Organizations that lack incentive management strategies always experience poor production because the employees will not put in their best in terms of service delivery. Poor incentive management strategy can lead to employee disloyalty, and such can affect the organizational goal. It’s assumed that a well-managed incentive strategy will help in improving organizational performance.

Aim and Objectives

The aim of the study is to show the relationship between incentive management strategy and organizational performance. The specific objectives are to:

I. Examine the relationship between flexibility and customer satisfaction.

II. Investigate the relationship between flexibility innovation and growth.

III. Determine between flexibility and operational efficiency.

IV. Examine the relationship between evaluation and feedback and customer satisfaction.

V. investigate the relationship between evaluation and feedback and innovation and growth.

VI. Examine the relationship between evaluation and feedback and operational efficiency.

VII. Evaluate the relationship between recognition and reward and customer satisfaction.

VIII. Determine the relationship between recognition and reward and innovation and growth.

IX. Determine the relationship between recognition and reward and operational efficiency.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Concept of Incentive Management Strategy

An incentive management strategy is a plan put in place to effectively motivate and reward employees for their performance in order to achieve specific goals. The strategy typically involves the development of a comprehensive incentive program that aligns with the company’s objectives and encourages employees to work toward them. This can include a mixed development opportunity and other non-monetary incentives. Incentive provides a platform through which firms can motivate their employees to improve their productivity. Ike Pouliakas (2008), Pinar (2008), and Arnolds and Venter (2007) have all carried out research into monetary and non-monetary incentives and how they affect organizations. Incentive programs are put in place by organizations to reward and compensate exceptional employee productivity (Schiller, 19966). These packages could come in financial or non-financial forms, but their objective is to compel the employee to show more effort in any given task. Incentives are forces that cause employees to behave in a certain way on any given day, usually as hard as possible. However, it is noteworthy that incentives are designed to get maximum employee productivity from the employees and help retain the most productive and performing employees. Arnold, 2013). Organizations must ensure they use the best incentives to get required results from their employees. Incentives are instrumental in driving motivation and employee productivity, as they have great benefits and high potential to motivate workers to put in their best in any given task (Condly et al. 2003). Milgrom and Roberts (1992) state that incentives could be awarded to individuals as well as groups. Under the individual incentive plan, each employee is rewarded based on his individual performance. Individual incentive has been found to be substantially more effective than the group incentives (NPC, 2015). Group incentives compensate a number of workers that are part of a team for their combined effort in achieving the desired outcome. Group incentives are a way of instilling a shared sense of collective responsibility with the end game of achieving superior and above-average performance in an organization (Azasu, 2003).

DIMENSION OF INCENTIVE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The dimensions of incentive management strategy are

Flexibility

This refers to the ability of an organization to adapt and respond to changes in the internal and external environment. This includes being able to quickly adjust strategies, processes, and structures in order to remain competitive and effectively meet the needs of stakeholders. Metzner (2010), The organization's ability to adapt through the design of activities that enhance its flexibility, workers' awareness of changes to work requirements, their achievability as defined by the organization, to achieve growth, development, and adaptation, and to cope with demand volatility in the near and long term. According to Ionescu et al. (2012), the ability to change direction quickly and deviate by having predetermined procedures. Jain et al. (2020) opined that the organization's ability to reconfigure its structure, systems, and processes quickly and efficiently to adapt to changing environmental conditions. The ability to do something different than planned. Organizational flexibility is essential in today’s fast-paced and unpredictable business environment, where factors such as technological advancements, global competition, regulatory changes, and economic fluctuations can impact operations and performance. Companies that are able to adapt quickly and proactively to these changes are more likely to succeed and maintain a competitive edge in the long run. Flexible human capital practices, when adopted as a whole in a congruent cultural context, contribute to a workforce that is more skilled, stable, and enthusiastic and free of distractions. In view of this, high performance of an employee becomes a must for an organization. An organization's aim in flexibility of change and its commitment to a high-performance work system will lead to increased productivity of an employee and, as a whole, the organization's productivity or performance. But this achievement relies on conditions that employees can identify and recognize the organization‘s goals and work hard to accomplish those goals. (Whitener, 2001). Changes demand high performance, and high performance determines the survival of an organization. (Walton, 1985; Wood & Albanese, 1995). The term "high-performance work systems refers to how an organization can adapt and convert its flexibility of change program into a high-achieving vision of change with minimum resistance. The term high-performance work systems refers to a human resource management approach that tries to elicit employee commitment to and involvement with organizational goals. Organizational flexibility helps the organization to manage the company by recognizing and understanding the various types of flexibility. Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) have explained organizational flexibility as a combination of the repertoire of organizational and managerial capabilities that allow organizations to adapt quickly under environmental shifts. In the context of organizations, several flexibilities have been highlighted, such as operational flexibility, structural flexibility, functional flexibility, and wage flexibility. Organizational flexibility relates to the extent to which organizations may react to their internal and external forces. Organizational reaction towards change is conversant with the underlying problematic areas but also the importance of organizational flexibility in driving the organization forward towards sustainable effectiveness. Organizational flexibility enables the organization to exercise its empowerment and decision involvement, promote the renewal process and innovation, and increase employee commitment. In the recent uncertainty of the global economy, organizations have increasingly sought their awareness to adopt flexibility in decision-making on manpower utilization. Flexibility as a form of leadership progression does indeed break down silo models of management. If an organization were to view itself as a static monolith, it usually ends any form of efficiency that might be created. If you have an environment of trust and a well-internalized plan, you can shift things around easily. That is a huge advantage over organizations that view structure as something fixed until heaven and earth are moved to change it.

Evaluation and Feedback

Evaluation and feedback are crucial components in any organization, as they provide employees with necessary information on their performance and help them to improve and grow. Evaluation and feedback in an organization should be a continuous process that aims to support and empower employees to achieve their full potential and contribute to the success of the organization. Feedback in an organization is an important tool; it provides the organization with relevant information that can be used in decisions, improve performance, and drive positive change. Feedback helps to focus employees’ work-related activities on the desired personal and organizational goals (Kleinbeck, 2004). It also enhances an individual’s self-awareness (Herold & Greller, 1977, cited in Katrin& Regina, 2011), helps to adjust self-perceptions and self-ratings, and helps to detect performance gaps (Mory, 1996). Thus, feedback on work-related behavior seems to be a crucial element for individual and organizational success. Feedback is an important factor for feeling autonomous, and the sign of feedback is closely related to the feeling of competence (Gagné & Deci, 2005). Deci, Connell, and Ryan (1989, cited in Katrin & Ragina, 2011) found that when managers were trained to be more supportive of autonomy—that is, to understand subordinates' perspectives, encourage their initiative, and provide feedback in a supportive rather than a controlling way—their subordinates developed more positive work-related attitudes. Studies by Deci (1971) and Ryan (1982) showed that positive feedback can enhance the feeling of competence and intrinsic motivation if it is given in an autonomy-supporting and non-controlling manner. Deci (1971) found that positive feedback facilitated intrinsic motivation by stimulating the feeling of competence. Because of these feelings, people felt that they were the source of their own success. This feedback supports self-development and continuous professional development (London & Smither, 1999). Feedback helps to focus employees' work-related activities on the desired personal and organizational goals (Kleinbeck, 2004). It also enhances an individual's self-awareness (Herold & Greller, 1977), helps to adjust self-perceptions and self-ratings, and helps to detect performance gaps (Mory, 1996). Thus, feedback on work-related behavior seems to be a crucial element for individual and organizational success. Performance evaluation has been defined as "the systematic collection of information about the activities, characteristics, and outcomes of programs to make judgments about the program, improve program effectiveness, and/or inform decisions about future programming" (Patton, 1997). The role of evaluation in diagnosing organizational weaknesses and contributing to organizational learning and change is growing (Patton, 1999; Preskill& Torres, 1999). According to Tatjana, Nenad and Ana (2020), good feedback encourages employees to change unproductive behavior and find a solution about how a different behavior in a given situation would be more effective and to understand that the concrete description of the situation is supported by fact-oriented facts about the future rather than the past. Feedback is a tool used to improve employee performance. Efficient feedback facilitates growth and enables people to align what the organization needs with what energizes them. Creating an atmosphere in which giving feedback is not only desirable but also viewed as positive is critical to the success of an organization or company. Giving and receiving feedback is a skill that both the top management of an organization or company and employees need to learn. Combining an atmosphere that supports and encourages feedback giving and following the basic rules of feedback giving and receiving provides an opportunity for employees to be more open and feel more comfortable in the work environment of a company or organization. Above all, their productivity and ability to solve problems quickly increase, with the possibility of personal development through a company or organization reward system. In conclusion, evaluation and feedback are essential tools driving organizational performance and growth. By ensuring that these processes are clear, timely, specific, and actionable, organizations can maximize their impact and create a culture of continuous improvement and learning.

Reward and Recognition

Recognition and rewards in an organization are essential tools for motivating and encouraging employees. When employees receive recognition for their hard work and value, it can boost morale and productivity. According to Baratton (1999), rewards refer to all forms of financial returns and tangible services and benefits an employee receives as part of an employment relationship. Armstrong (2010) points out that reward management is concerned with the design, implementation, and maintenance of reward systems (interrelated reward processes, practices, and procedures) that focus on satisfying the necessities of both the organization and its stakeholders and on operating fairly, equitably, and consistently. These systems cover measures for evaluating the importance of jobs through job evaluation and market pricing, the design and management of grade and pay structures, performance management processes, schemes for rewarding and recognizing people according to their individual performance or contribution and/or team or organizational performance, and the provision of employee benefits. It must be emphasized that reward management is not only about pay and employee benefits. It is equally concerned with non-financial rewards such as recognition, learning and development opportunities, and increased job responsibility. Kohn (2003) investigated the effects of recognition-based rewards on employees' efficiency and effectiveness on the job as compared to usual incentives, particularly the monetary ones. Though incentives and recognition both motivate the employees in an organizational perspective, they have different loci of causality—extrinsic and intrinsic, respectively. Recognizing excellent performance openly builds motivation within the entire organization. It is recognized that employee recognition has contagious effects.

When employees see other employees being rewarded for the work they have done, it becomes a chain reaction; employees repeat positive actions so that their work will also be appreciated. Findings of the study showed a significantly positive relationship between dependent and independent variables. (Tortosa, Moliner, & Sanchez, 2009). An effective rewards and recognition strategy should match an employee's preferences and needs, as employees differ in such factors as risk preferences, career stage, skills, and rewards preferences. Companies are introducing new data mining and analytics solutions to better understand employees' preferences and customize rewards and recognition plans. Managers should remember that the value of a rewards and recognition plan is often idiosyncratic to each employee. Thus, they should carefully match rewards and recognition to the specific personal needs of the employee. The effectiveness of a rewards and recognition plan depends on management's ability to match these plans with employees' characteristics, situations, and preferences. It is important for an organization to have a formal system in place for recognition and reward, ensuring that efforts are fair, consistent, and aligned with company values and goals. By investing in recognizing and rewarding employees, organizations can create a positive and motivating work culture that ultimately leads to increased productivity, retention, and overall success.

Concept of Organizational Performance

Organizational performance refers to how efficiently and effectively an organization is meeting its goals and objectives. It includes various measures such as financial performance, operational efficiency, employee productivity, customer satisfaction, and overall effectiveness in achieving strategic objectives. High organizational performance is often associated with increased profitability, growth, competitiveness, and sustainability. It is influenced by factors such as leadership, management practices, employee skills and motivation, organizational culture, and external market conditions. Philippe Lorrino (1997) states that performance in the enterprise is what contributes to improving the cost-value couple and not just what helps to reduce the cost or increase the value. The first stage of the ″translation″ of the cost-value couple into concrete ″pilotable″ elements is to describe in global terms how the enterprise creates and will create value. It is, therefore, about defining ″value″ in the view of future developments. To design the value of tomorrow is to define a strategy. Bates and Holton (1995) define the concept of performance as a multidimensional abstract concept whose measurement depends on a variety of factors. Didier Noyé (2002) believes that the performance consists in achieving the goals that were given to you in convergence of enterprise orientations. In his opinion, performance is not a mere finding of an outcome, but rather it is the result of a comparison between the outcome and the objective.

MEASURES OF ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

The measures of employee performance are

Customer Satisfaction

Customer satisfaction is a measure of how pleased or dissatisfied a customer is with a product, service, or overall experience they have with a company. It is typically measured by asking customers to rate their level of satisfaction with various aspects of the interaction. Customer satisfaction in an organization is a critical aspect that can significantly impact the success and growth of the organization. When customers are satisfied with the product or services they receive, they are more likely to become repeat customers, recommend the business to other customers, and provide valuable feedback. Relationship with the client, Providing quality goods and services in the 20th century is not only to satisfy the customers but also to have a safe position. Indeed, this has benefited the customers significantly in consuming qualitative products (Rebekah & Sharyn 2004.)

Customers often look for a value in the total service, which requires internal collaboration among the departments that are responsible for different elements of the offering, such as the core product (goods or services), delivering the product, product documentation, etc. Moreover, from profitability and productivity perspectives, only activities that produce value for customers should be carried out. Hence, firms have to get to know their customers much better than has normally been the case. However, the company should be able to build trust with the customer so it is easy to get the feedback from the customer. This is how a customer-oriented product or service could be developed (Hill, Brierley & MacDougall, 2003). Customer satisfaction is dynamic and relative. Only the idea of “customer-centric” can help companies improve satisfaction and keep customers true. Conversely, if competitors improve customer satisfaction, then it may lose corporate customers. While improving customer satisfaction, customer expectations should be noticed. Service quality, product quality, and value for money have a direct positive impact on customer satisfaction. Employee satisfaction is equally important before achieving customer satisfaction. If employees have a positive influence, then they can play a big role in increasing customer satisfaction levels. Satisfaction is a dynamic, moving target that may evolve over time, influenced by a variety of factors. Particularly when product usage or the service experience takes place over time, satisfaction may be highly variable depending on which point in the usage or experience cycle one is focusing on. (Lovelock & Wright, 2007)

Customer satisfaction is influenced by specific product or service features and perceptions of quality. Satisfaction is also influenced by customers’ emotional responses, their attitude, and their perception of equity (Zeithal & Bitner, 2003). Increased customer satisfaction can provide company benefits like the life cycle of a customer's loyalty, extending the life of expanding the merchandise the customer purchases, and increasing customers' positive word-of-mouth communication. When the customer is satisfied with the product or service of the company, it can make the customer purchase frequently and recommend products or services to potential customers. It is impossible for a business organization to grow up in case the company ignores or disregards the needs of customers (Tao 2014.) Customer satisfaction has been one of the top tools for a successful business. Customer satisfaction is defined as an overall evaluation based on the total purchase and consumption experience with the good or service over time (Fornell, Johnson, Anderson, Cha & Bryant, 1996). With marketing, customer satisfaction also comes along with it, which means it ascertains the expectation of the customer on how the goods and services are being facilitated by the companies. Actionable information on how to make them satisfied is, therefore, a crucial outcome (Oliver, 1999).

Innovation and Growth

Innovation in an organization refers to the process of creating new ideas, products, services, or processes that will drive the organization forward and provide a competitive edge in the market. This involves thinking outside the box, taking risks, and experimenting with new approaches to solve problems and meet customers' needs. Growth, on the other hand, is the measurable increase in size, revenue, market share, and profitability of an organization over time. It is often the result of successful innovation, as well as strategic planning, effective leadership, and a strong organizational culture. Innovation and growth are closely interconnected in an organization. Innovation can drive growth by opening up new markets, attracting new customers, improving efficiency, and increasing revenue streams. Similarly, growth can provide the resources and opportunities needed to invest in innovation and stay ahead of competitors. In daily conversation, terms like innovation, invention, creativity, and science are often used interchangeably. But, for academics, researchers, and policymakers, there are important distinctions in meaning between these terms. Invention is the first occurrence of an idea for a new product or process, while innovation is the first attempt to carry it out in practice (Fagerberg et al., 2004).

Creativity is thinking about new things, while innovation is making new things. Creativity is an ability to develop new ideas and ways of facing problems and possibilities, while innovation is an ability to perform creative solutions in order to enhance people's lives. Hence, enterprises can be successful only if they invent and make new things or if they make the old ones in a new way (Zimmerer & Scarborough, 2002).

Organizations that prioritize both innovation and growth are likely to succeed in today's rapidly changing business environment. Lionnet (2003) defines innovation as a process by which a novel idea is brought to the stage where it eventually produces money. It is a dynamic technical, economic, and social process involving the interaction of people with different horizons, perspectives, and motivations. It represents a process, namely an activity of creating a new product or service, new technology, a new organization, or an enhancement of an existing product or service using existing technological processes and organizations. According to the given definition, if we analyze its separate elements, we can classify innovations in production (development or enhancement of a specific product), innovations in services (offering new or enhancing existing services), innovations in process (finding new ways of organizing and combining inputs in the process of production of specific products or services), and innovations in management (creating new ways of organizing business resources).

Operational Efficiency

Operational efficiency can be defined as the ratio between outputs gained from the business and an input to run a business operation. When improving operation efficiency, the output-to-input ratio improves. Operational efficiency is often achieved by streamlining a company's core process in order to more effectively respond to continually changing market forces in a cost-effective manner (Hillier, 2012). Operational efficiency underpins the companies' most basic strategic goals. Improving customer satisfaction and increasing shareholder value both depend on achieving operational efficiency. Therefore, improving operational efficiency is one of the companies' top objectives.

Operational efficiency is a ratio of actual output versus maximum output and behaves like financial leverage. It identifies wasteful processes and resources that flush off the organizational profits and can also suggest remedial design of new work processes that improve quality and productivity. Improving operational efficiency has a direct impact on a company's bottom line. Operational efficiency and lean manufacturing both target waste elimination related to time, money, people, effort, and other unproductive resources. The results are measurable, immediate, and sustainable. Kaizen is a systematic approach of continuous improvement in work culture, quality, technology, production processes, productivity benchmarks, safety at the workplace, and leadership.

Operational efficiency is seen as the few methods and techniques used to achieve the essential goal of conveying quality products and services to clients in the most cost-effective and opportune way (Neil, 2019). According to the researchers, asset utilization, production, dispersion, and inventory management are the most common perspectives of operational efficiency. Operational efficiency is additionally clarified as the capability of an organization to diminish the unwelcome and maximize asset capabilities so as to provide quality goods and services to clients (Ghosh & Sanyal, 2019). Operational efficiency is the key determinant of the long-term solvency of businesses (Ndolo, 2015). In reality, microeconomic or firm-specific indicators of corporations' monetary health revolve around operational efficiency (Ndolo, 2015). Been in pair with the opinion of Ndolo (2015), hypothesized that progressing operational efficiency has a direct effect on the profit margins of organizations. Operational efficiency is frequently accomplished by streamlining firms' center operations for the purpose of viably reacting to persistently changing market forces in a more cost-effective way. In other words, firms can achieve operational efficiency by decreasing repetition and squandering while leveraging their assets that contribute generally to their victory; additionally, they can utilize the best of their workforce, innovation, and business operations. Decreased inner costs that result from operational efficiency assist firms to be more effective in profoundly competitive markets, in this manner accomplishing higher profit margins. The association between operational efficiency and firms' financial performance has been broadly considered (Vangie, 2019).

Conclusion

In today's fiercely competitive business environment, the importance of effective incentive management strategies cannot be overstated. The study shows the critical link between incentive management and organizational performance, positing that employee motivation directly impacts overall productivity and success. The exploration of various dimensions of incentive management, specifically flexibility, evaluation and feedback, and reward and recognition, reveals how these factors contribute significantly to key performance indicators such as customer satisfaction, innovation, and operational efficiency. A well-structured incentive strategy fosters an atmosphere of engagement and commitment among employees, aligning their personal goals with those of the organization. However, issues surrounding misalignment between incentive programs and organizational objectives can lead to reduced motivation, inefficient resource allocation, and ultimately, suboptimal performance outcomes. Organizations must not only implement effective incentive programs but also ensure these programs are adaptable to changing internal and external environments, thereby enhancing their flexibility and responsiveness. Continuous evaluation and feedback mechanisms are also essential, as they allow for real-time adjustments and improvements in employee performance and engagement. Moreover, recognition and rewards tailored to employees' individual preferences can significantly enhance motivation and morale. In conclusion, the integration of thoughtful and strategic incentive management practices into organizational frameworks is essential for driving sustained high performance and achieving long-term success. As organizations navigate an increasingly complex landscape, prioritizing incentive management provides a pathway to not only motivate employees but also align their efforts with broader organizational goals, ensuring resilience and growth in a competitive market.

REFERENCE

Ansoff, H. I. (1957). Strategies for diversification. *Harvard Business Review, 35*(5), 113–124.

Armstrong, M. (2010). *Armstrong's essential human resource management practice: A guide to people management.* London: Kogan Page Ltd.

Bates, R. A., & Holton, E. F. (1995). Computerized Performance Monitoring: A Review of Human Resource Issues. *Human Resource Management Review*, *5*(4), 42-54.

Didier, N. (2002). *Manager's performances (Managing performance).* Paris: Insep Consulting Éditions.

Eisenmann, T. (2021). *Digital transformation and growth: Tactics for reconfiguration.* Harvard Business Press.

Fagerberg, J., Mowery, D. C., & Nelson, R. R. (2004). *The oxford hand-book of innovations.* Oxford University Press.

Gothberg, G. (2012). *Leadership șiperformanțăorganizațională.* Expert Publishing, București.

Herold, D. M., &Greller, M. M. (1977). The definition of a construct. *Academy of Management Journal, 20,* 142–147.

Hill, N., Brierley, J., & MacDougall, R. (2003). *How to measure customer satisfaction*. Gower Publishing Ltd.

Horga, G. (2012). *Leadership șiperformanțăorganizațională.* Expert Publishing, București.

Huang, S. M., & Lai, W. H. (2014). A study of the effect of incentive system on job performance: Locus of control as a moderator. *International Journal of Business and Management, 9*(3), 123–132.

Katrin, K., & Regina, H. M. (2011). *Feedback in organization: A Review of feedback Literature and a (framework for future research). Research Report No. 6.* University of Regensburg, Institute for Educational Science Press.

Kleinbeck, U. (2004). Die Wirkung von Zielsetzungen auf die Leistung. In H. Schuler (Ed.), *Beurteilung und FörderungberuflicherLeistung* (pp. 215-237). Göttingen: Hogrefe.

Kohn, A. (2003). Why incentive plans cannot work. *Harvard Business Review*, *71*(5), 54–63.

Kumar, V., et al. (2021). Digital marketing strategy: An integrated approach. *Journal of Business Research, 133,* 161-174.

Lionnet, P. (2003). *Innovation: The Process*. ESA Training Workshop: Lisbon.

Lorino, P. (1997). *Méthodesetpratiques de la performance (Performance Methods and Practices).* Paris: Les Editions d'Organisation.

Love, C., & Wright, L. (2007). *Principles of service marketing and management (5th Ed).* New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Lovelock, C., & Wright, L. (2007). *Principles of service marketing and management.* Pearson Education.

London, M., Larsen, H. H., &Thisted, L. N. (1999). Relationships between feedback and self-development. *Group and Organization Management*, *24*, 5-27.

Marsh, N., McAllum, M., & Purcell, D. (2002). *Strategic foresight: The power of standing in the future.* Australia: Crown Content. Sage Publishing.

Metzner, F. (2010). Matching organizational flexibility demands and employee flexibility: A first step towards a unifying framework for labor flexibility. *Economic and Industrial Democracy, 31*(4), 534–558.

Naidoo, P., & Martins, N. (2014). Investigating the relationship between organizational culture and work engagement. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, *12*(1), 245–256.

Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty. *Journal of Marketing, 63,* 33–44.

Patton, M. Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation: The new century text.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Preskill, H., & Torres, R. T. (1999). *Evaluative inquiry for learning in organizations*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, Inc.

Rebekah, B., & Sharyn, R. (2004). Customer satisfaction should not be only goal. *Journal of Services Marketing, 18*(7), 514–523.

Rutgers, V. (2013). Manajemensumberdayamanusiauntukperusahaan: dariteorikepraktik. edisipertama. jakarta: penerbit PT. raja grafindopersada. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2012.02.0

Satyendra. (2019). Impact of workplace environment on employee performance – IspatGuru. Ispatguru. https://www.ispatguru.com/impact-of-workplace-environment-on-employee-performa

Smith, C. (2020). *The ultimate guide to improve manager performances (managing performance)*. Insep Consulting Editions, Paris.

Sundaray, B. K. (2011). Employee engagement: A driver of organizational effectiveness. *International Journal of Business and Management*, *6*(2), 43–57.

Tao, F. (2014). Customer Relationship management based on Increasing Customer Satisfaction. *International Journal of Business and Social Science, 5*(5), 256–263.

Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, *18*(7), 509–533.

Tortosa, V., Moliner, M. A., & Sanchez, J. (2009). Internal Market Orientation and Its Influence on Organizational Performance. *European Journal of Marketing, 44,* 1435–1456.

Walton, R. E. (1985). From control to commitment. *Harvard Business Review*, *63*(2), 77–84.

Whitener, E. M. (2001). Do “high commitment” human resource practices affect employee commitment? A cross level analysis using hierarchical linear modelling. *Journal of Management, 27,* 515-535.

Wood, S. (1989). The transformation of work? In S. Wood (Ed.), *The transformation of work*. London: Unwin Hyman.

Zeithaml, V. A., & Bitner, M. J. (2003). *Services Marketing - Integrating Customers Focus across the Firm* (Third Edition). Boston: McGraw-Hill.

Zimmerer, T. W., & Scarborough, N. M. (2002). *Essentials of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management* (3rd Ed.). Prentice-Hall.