International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 9 Issue 6 June - 2025, Pages: 99-103

Enamel Hyperplasia Etiology, Clinical Features, Pathogenesis, And Treatment Methods

Ergashev Bekzod

Central Asian Medical University, Burhoniddin Marg'inoniy Street-64, Phone: +998 95 485 00 70, Email: info@camuf.uz, Fergana, Uzbekistan

Email: bekzodergashev0401@gmail.com

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0382-0811

Abstract: Enamel hyperplasia is a developmental dental anomaly characterized by incomplete or defective formation of enamel, resulting in visible pits, grooves, or generalized thinning of enamel surfaces. This study aimed to investigate the etiology, clinical presentation, pathogenesis, and effective treatment options for enamel hyperplasia in a sample of 112 patients aged 3 to 25 years. Through retrospective clinical record analysis, we identified multiple contributing factors including systemic illnesses during early childhood (42%), genetic predispositions (18%), fluoride overexposure (12%), and localized trauma or infection (21%). Clinically, enamel hyperplasia most frequently affected anterior permanent teeth, with manifestations ranging from minor defects to extensive enamel loss. Radiographic and microscopic analysis confirmed the compromised enamel structure. Treatment approaches were stratified by severity, ranging from preventive care and fluoride application in mild cases to composite restorations and full-coverage crowns for severe lesions. Statistical analysis revealed significant correlations between enamel severity and early systemic illnesses and fluoride exposure ($p < 0.05$). The discussion emphasizes the multifactorial origin of enamel hyperplasia and the need for individualized treatment plans, including long-term follow-up and aesthetic rehabilitation. Preventive strategies and patient education are critical for minimizing long-term damage and psychological impact, particularly in children. In conclusion, enamel hyperplasia is a complex condition that requires multidisciplinary management. Early diagnosis and tailored treatment protocols can effectively restore function and aesthetics, improving quality of life for affected individuals.

Keywords: enamel hyperplasia, developmental dental defects, etiology, pathogenesis, tooth enamel, pediatric dentistry, fluoride exposure, amelogenesis, restorative treatment, preventive care.

Intradaction: Enamel hyperplasia, also known as enamel hypoplasia or developmental enamel defect (DED), refers to a quantitative defect in the formation of tooth enamel that results in reduced thickness or incomplete coverage of enamel on the tooth surface. It is a common developmental disturbance that occurs during the amelogenesis process, where enamel formation is disrupted due to various environmental, genetic, systemic, or local factors. This defect can affect both the primary and permanent dentition and often presents as pits, grooves, or complete absence of enamel in severe cases. Enamel hyperplasia has important clinical implications. Affected teeth are more susceptible to dental caries, hypersensitivity, aesthetic concerns, and in some cases, increased risk of tooth wear or early loss. The condition can be localized, involving a few teeth, or generalized across the entire dentition, depending on the timing and nature of the etiological factor involved. The etiology of enamel hyperplasia is multifactorial. Systemic causes include prenatal and perinatal disturbances, malnutrition, infections (such as measles or syphilis), and systemic illnesses during early childhood. Genetic disorders such as amelogenesis imperfecta also result in generalized enamel hypoplasia. Local trauma or infection to primary teeth can cause defects in the developing permanent successors. Understanding the pathogenesis of enamel hyperplasia requires a comprehensive analysis of the enamel matrix formation and mineralization processes. Disruption during the secretory or maturation phase of amelogenesis results in enamel that is thin, pitted, or poorly mineralized. This paper aims to explore the etiology, clinical characteristics, underlying pathogenesis, and effective treatment strategies for enamel hyperplasia. Early diagnosis and appropriate management are crucial to minimize the functional and aesthetic complications associated with this enamel defect.

Main Body:

Etiology: Enamel hyperplasia originates from various disturbances that interfere with the formation of dental enamel. During the critical stages of tooth development, particularly the secretory and maturation phases, any disruption in the activity of ameloblasts (enamel-forming cells) can lead to permanent structural defects in enamel. The causes can be broadly categorized into systemic, genetic, environmental, and local factors. Systemic factors include prenatal and perinatal conditions such as maternal infections, nutritional deficiencies (especially calcium and vitamin D), and premature birth. Childhood illnesses such as high fevers, measles, chickenpox, and systemic diseases like renal or hepatic disorders can also disrupt ameloblast function.

Genetic causes primarily include conditions such as amelogenesis imperfecta, a hereditary disorder that affects all teeth in both dentitions. In such cases, enamel is either poorly formed, thin, or completely absent.

Environmental factors, such as excessive fluoride intake during early childhood (fluorosis), and exposure to toxins or radiation, may interfere with normal enamel formation. Local trauma or infection in primary teeth—such as from falls or periapical abscesses—can damage the underlying permanent tooth germ, resulting in enamel hyperplasia in the successor teeth.

Clinical Features: Clinically, enamel hyperplasia manifests as visible defects in the enamel structure, ranging from fine lines and pits to gross enamel loss. The affected teeth may appear yellowish-brown due to the underlying dentin being exposed. Hypoplastic enamel often leads to:

Increased susceptibility to caries due to compromised enamel integrity.

Tooth sensitivity caused by exposed dentin or thin enamel.

Aesthetic concerns, especially when anterior teeth are involved.

Malocclusion or enamel fractures in severe cases.

The defects may be symmetrical (e.g., in systemic conditions) or asymmetrical (e.g., from localized trauma).

Pathogenesis: Enamel formation involves a tightly regulated sequence of events: matrix secretion, mineralization, and maturation. Ameloblasts play a key role in this process. If their function is disturbed during:

Secretory phase – results in incomplete enamel matrix formation, causing pitting and grooves.

Maturation phase – results in insufficient mineralization, leading to soft, chalky enamel.

Disruption of calcium and phosphate homeostasis, genetic mutations in enamel-specific genes (like AMELX, ENAM, MMP20), and infections can trigger this pathological cascade.

Treatment Methods: Treatment depends on the severity and extent of the defect:

Preventive measures include fluoride application, dietary counseling, and use of desensitizing agents.

Restorative approaches range from composite resin restorations for minor defects to crowns for severely affected teeth.

Aesthetic management involves microabrasion, resin infiltration, or porcelain veneers.

Orthodontic treatment may be needed if defects affect occlusion or tooth alignment.

Early intervention can significantly improve oral health and quality of life for affected individual

Materials and Methods: This study was conducted as a retrospective and observational analysis of clinical cases presenting with enamel hyperplasia at the Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry at a tertiary dental care center between 2013 and 2023. A total of 112 patients, aged 3 to 25 years, were included based on the clinical and radiographic diagnosis of enamel hyperplasia in primary and/or permanent teeth.

Inclusion criteria:

Patients with clinically and radiographically confirmed enamel hyperplasia.

No history of syndromic conditions (e.g., Down syndrome) affecting dentition.

Available dental records with complete documentation.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with poor oral hygiene and confounding periodontal disease.

Teeth affected by fluorosis or tetracycline staining without structural enamel defect. Detailed patient histories were obtained to evaluate possible etiological factors, including maternal prenatal history, birth complications, systemic illnesses, nutritional status, fluoride exposure, trauma, and medication intake during enamel development stages.

Clinical examination focused on:

Location and symmetry of enamel defects.

Classification of the defects (pits, grooves, general enamel thinning).

Associated dental caries, sensitivity, and aesthetic impairment.

Intraoral photographs and panoramic radiographs were used to assess the extent of enamel defects. In selected cases, enamel biopsies and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were performed for structural analysis.

Treatment modalities were documented and categorized based on severity: preventive care, restorations (composite, GIC), or prosthodontic rehabilitation (crowns/veneers). Follow-up data (ranging from 6 months to 3 years) were reviewed to evaluate treatment outcomes, recurrence of lesions, and patient satisfaction.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS v.24. Descriptive statistics (mean, frequency, percentage) were used, and Chi-square test was applied to assess the correlation between severity and etiological factors. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 112 patients (64 males and 48 females) were included in the study. The age distribution ranged from 3 to 25 years, with the highest prevalence observed in the 6–12 year age group (43%). Enamel hyperplasia was found in both primary and permanent dentitions, but more commonly in permanent teeth (74%).

Etiological Findings: Systemic causes (e.g., childhood illnesses, malnutrition, premature birth) were identified in 42% of patients.

Genetic/familial predisposition (including suspected amelogenesis imperfecta) was noted in 18%.

Fluoride exposure above optimal levels was reported in 12% of cases.

Localized trauma or infection to primary teeth (e.g., due to falls or abscesses) accounted for 21%.

No definitive cause could be identified in 7% of the patients.

Clinical Characteristics: Localized defects (e.g., pits and grooves) were observed in 53% of patients.

Generalized enamel thinning or roughness was present in 47%.

Yellow or brown discoloration was seen in 36% of cases.

Hypersensitivity to cold was reported by 29% of patients.

65% of affected teeth were in the anterior region, leading to significant aesthetic concerns.

Radiographic and Microscopic Analysis.

Radiographs showed thin or absent enamel layers, especially on the labial and occlusal surfaces. SEM images (available for 10 selected cases) revealed irregular prism structure and porosity in the enamel.

Treatment Modalities and Outcomes. Preventive care (fluoride varnish, desensitizing agents, diet counseling) was sufficient in 22% of cases.

Restorative treatments using composite resin or glass ionomer cement were performed in 54% of patients. Prosthetic interventions (e.g., porcelain crowns or veneers) were indicated in 24% of cases with severe defects. Overall patient satisfaction was high, especially in those who received combined restorative and aesthetic treatments.

Statistical Analysis: Significant correlations were observed between the severity of enamel hyperplasia and:

Age of onset of systemic illness ($p = 0.014$)

Nutritional deficiency history ($p = 0.029$)

Fluoride level in drinking water ($p = 0.036$)

These findings support a multifactorial origin of enamel hyperplasia with a need for individualized treatment approaches.

Discussion: Enamel hyperplasia is a developmental defect with notable variability in presentation and etiology, posing both diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. The current study aimed to explore the underlying causes, clinical manifestations, and treatment strategies for enamel hyperplasia in a diverse patient population. The findings affirm the complexity of the condition and emphasize the importance of early identification and personalized care.

Etiological Insights: The etiology of enamel hyperplasia, as demonstrated in this study, remains multifactorial. Systemic illnesses during early childhood, such as high fevers and nutritional deficiencies, appear to disrupt the activity of ameloblasts during enamel formation. These systemic factors, when occurring during the secretory or maturation phase of amelogenesis, lead to defects in the enamel matrix or mineralization. Genetic disorders such as amelogenesis imperfecta are also responsible for a significant proportion of generalized cases. While this study did not perform genetic testing, the familial history and widespread enamel defects in some patients support a genetic basis. Environmental factors, particularly fluoride exposure, were linked to cases showing mottled and porous enamel, which is consistent with prior literature on fluorosis.

Trauma or infection in primary teeth, leading to localized hypoplasia in the permanent successors, underscores the vulnerability of tooth germs during development. The phenomenon of Turner's hypoplasia (resulting from local trauma) highlights the need for protecting primary teeth from injury or neglect.

Clinical and Diagnostic Relevance: Enamel hyperplasia can be easily confused with other enamel anomalies or discolorations, making accurate diagnosis crucial. Clinical examination, supported by radiographs and microscopic evaluation, enables differentiation from conditions like fluorosis or non-carious white spot lesions. In our study, the anterior teeth were more frequently affected, likely due to their earlier development and increased visibility of defects.

The condition not only compromises tooth aesthetics but also leads to functional challenges. Thin or porous enamel exposes dentin, resulting in hypersensitivity and increased caries susceptibility. Patients with anterior tooth involvement often experience psychosocial impacts due to compromised appearance. Treatment Considerations

Treatment of enamel hyperplasia should be individualized, depending on the extent of enamel loss and patient needs. In mild cases, non-invasive preventive measures (e.g., topical fluoride, dietary guidance) can be sufficient. However, in moderate to severe cases, restorative or prosthetic interventions become necessary.

Our study showed that composite resin and glass ionomer restorations offer effective management of localized defects. However, their longevity may be limited due to poor adhesion to hypoplastic enamel. In cases of generalized defects, particularly those affecting occlusion or aesthetics, full-coverage crowns or veneers are often the best choice.

Desensitizing agents and fluoride varnishes can significantly reduce discomfort and prevent secondary caries, improving patient comfort. For children, stainless steel crowns or strip crowns offer interim solutions until permanent prosthetic restoration is feasible.

Prognosis and Long-Term Care: Enamel hyperplasia does not progress, but its effects can worsen over time due to mechanical wear, caries, and aesthetic degradation. Long-term monitoring is necessary to assess the success of restorations, manage sensitivity, and maintain oral hygiene.

Patient education is critical in managing expectations and ensuring cooperation with preventive measures. Dietary counseling and routine dental check-ups can significantly reduce the risk of complications.

Limitations: While this study provides valuable insights, several limitations should be noted. Genetic testing was not performed, limiting the ability to confirm hereditary cases. The sample was drawn from a single center, which may not reflect broader population trends. Additionally, subjective assessments such as aesthetics and sensitivity were based on patient reports rather than standardized tools.

Future studies incorporating genetic analysis, multicenter data, and long-term follow-up will enhance understanding and management of enamel hyperplasia.

Conclusion: Enamel hyperplasia is a common but often underrecognized developmental defect of the teeth, resulting from disruptions during enamel formation. It can arise from a wide array of systemic, genetic, environmental, and local factors. This study highlights the multifactorial nature of the condition, with systemic illnesses, nutritional deficiencies, trauma, and fluoride exposure being among the leading contributors.

Clinically, enamel hyperplasia presents with variable enamel defects—ranging from mild pitting to extensive loss—and is often accompanied by tooth sensitivity, aesthetic concerns, and an increased risk of dental caries. Accurate diagnosis through clinical and radiographic examination, supported by detailed history-taking, is essential to distinguish enamel hyperplasia from similar enamel disorders.

Treatment strategies must be tailored according to severity. Preventive care plays a key role in mild cases, while moderate and severe presentations often require restorative or prosthetic intervention. The use of fluoride therapy, composite restorations, and crowns can effectively restore function and appearance when applied with careful case planning. The findings from this study emphasize the importance of early detection, individualized care, and continuous follow-up to mitigate the long-term impact of enamel hyperplasia.

Multidisciplinary management involving pediatric dentists, restorative specialists, and, when necessary, genetic counseling, is crucial for optimal outcomes.

Further research involving genetic diagnostics, population-based studies, and new biomaterials may contribute to more effective prevention and management of this condition. Raising awareness among dental professionals and caregivers about early signs of enamel defects can lead to improved patient care and better oral health in affected individuals.

References:

1. Seow WK. Developmental defects of enamel and dentine: challenges for basic science research and clinical management. Aust Dent J. 2014;59 Suppl 1:143–154.

2. Suckling GW. Developmental defects of enamel—historical and present-day perspectives of their pathogenesis. Adv Dent Res. 1989;3(2):87–94.

3. Ten Cate AR. Oral Histology: Development, Structure, and Function. 8th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2012.

4. Brook AH. Dental anomalies of number, form and size: their prevalence in British schoolchildren. J Int Assoc Dent Child. 1974;5(2):37–53.

5. Alaluusua S. Aetiology of Molar–Incisor Hypomineralisation: A systematic review. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2010;11(2):53–58.

6. Jälevik B. Prevalence and diagnosis of molar–incisor-hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2010;11(2):59–64.

7. Mangum JE, Crombie FA, Kilpatrick NM, Manton DJ. Surface integrity governs the proteome of hypomineralized enamel. J Dent Res. 2010;89(10):1160–1165.

8. Fagrell TG, Salmon P, Melin L, Norén JG. On dental anxiety and oral health-related quality of life in children with enamel hypomineralization. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2017;18(1):17–22.

9. Wright JT, Carrion IA, Morris C. The molecular basis of hereditary enamel defects in humans. J Dent Res. 2015;94(1):52–61.

10. Sönmez D, Saatçi P. The effect of hypocalcemia on primary teeth enamel. Pediatr Dent. 2002;24(5):447–450.

11. Hubbard MJ, Mangum JE. The functional biochemistry of enamel proteins. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 2009;20(5):339–355.

12. Laisi S, Ess A, Sahlberg C, et al. Amoxicillin may cause molar incisor hypomineralization. J Dent Res. 2009;88(2):132–136.

13. Mahoney EK. Mechanical properties and microstructure of hypomineralized enamel of permanent teeth. Biomaterials. 2004;25(20):5091–5100.

14. Crombie FA, Manton DJ, Palamara JE, Zalizniak I, Cochrane NJ. Characterisation of developmentally hypomineralised human enamel. Arch Oral Biol. 2013;58(8):929–938.

15. Zhao IS, Gao SS, Hiraishi N, et al. Mechanisms of silver diamine fluoride on arresting caries: a literature review. Int Dent J. 2018;68(2):67–76.

16. Elfrink MEC, Schuller AA, Weerheijm KL, Veerkamp JSJ. Hypomineralized second primary molars: prevalence data in Dutch 5-year-olds. Caries Res. 2008;42(4):282–285.

17. Weerheijm KL, Jälevik B, Alaluusua S. Molar–incisor hypomineralisation. Caries Res. 2001;35(5):390–391.

18. William V, Messer LB, Burrow MF. Molar incisor hypomineralization: review and recommendations for clinical management. Pediatr Dent. 2006;28(3):224–232.