International Journal of Academic Engineering Research (IJAER)
ISSN: 2643-9085
Vol. 9 Issue 7 July - 2025, Pages: 58-61

The Advantages Of Fabricating Dental Prostheses Using Cad/Cam Technologies

Ergashev Bekzod Jaloliddin ugli

Central Asian Medical University International Medical University, Burhoniddin Marg‘inoniy Street 64, Phone: +998 95 485 00 70, Email: **info@camuf.uz**, Fergana, Uzbekistan
Email: **bekzodergashev0401@gmail.com**
Orcid: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0382-0811

Abstract: *The rapid advancement of digital technologies has significantly transformed modern dental practices, especially in prosthodontics. Among these innovations, Computer-Aided Design and Computer-Aided Manufacturing (CAD/CAM) systems have emerged as powerful tools for fabricating dental prostheses. This article aims to explore the clinical and technological advantages of CAD/CAM in the preparation of fixed dental restorations, specifically crowns, by comparing them with traditional manual techniques. The study involved 60 patients divided into two groups: one received CAD/CAM-fabricated zirconia crowns, while the other received conventionally fabricated porcelain-fused-to-metal (PFM) crowns. Parameters such as marginal fit, internal adaptation, esthetics, patient satisfaction, and fabrication time were assessed using quantitative and qualitative methods. Results indicated that the CAD/CAM group demonstrated statistically superior marginal and internal fit, enhanced esthetic outcomes, and reduced fabrication time. Furthermore, patient satisfaction was notably higher in the digital group due to the lifelike appearance and comfort of the restorations. The article also reviews literature on material compatibility, workflow efficiency, and the clinical limitations of CAD/CAM systems. While challenges such as high initial costs and training barriers exist, the overall benefits, including reduced error, better reproducibility, and the potential for same-day restorations, highlight the transformative potential of CAD/CAM technologies. This paper concludes that CAD/CAM systems represent a significant leap forward in dental prosthesis fabrication, offering both clinical and patient-centered advantages that support their growing adoption in restorative dentistry.*

Keywords: CAD/CAM, dental prosthesis, zirconia crowns, digital dentistry, prosthodontics, marginal fit, internal adaptation, esthetics, patient satisfaction, computer-aided design, computer-aided manufacturing, intraoral scanner, restorative dentistry, same-day dentistry, digital workflow, traditional prosthetics, crown fabrication, digital impressions

Introduction: In recent decades, digital technologies have revolutionized many aspects of modern dentistry. Among the most impactful innovations is the use of Computer-Aided Design (CAD) and Computer-Aided Manufacturing (CAM) technologies in the fabrication of dental prostheses. These systems allow for enhanced precision, reduced production times, and greater customization in prosthodontic treatments.

Traditional dental prosthetic procedures often rely on manual impressions, physical models, and technician-dependent fabrication processes. While effective, such methods are time-consuming, prone to human error, and often limited in their ability to deliver consistently high-quality results. In contrast, CAD/CAM systems use digital imaging, virtual modeling, and computer-controlled milling or 3D printing to streamline the workflow and improve the final outcome of prosthetic treatments.

CAD/CAM technologies were first introduced in the 1980s and have evolved substantially in terms of speed, accuracy, and material versatility. In modern dental clinics and laboratories, intraoral scanners capture precise 3D images of the patient's oral cavity, which are then used to design restorations such as crowns, bridges, veneers, inlays, onlays, and even full dentures. The digital designs are transferred to milling machines or printers, which fabricate the prosthesis with exceptional detail and fit.

The advantages of CAD/CAM systems extend beyond improved accuracy. The technology enables same-day restorations, reduces the need for multiple patient visits, enhances the biocompatibility of prosthetic materials, and ensures better communication between clinicians and dental technicians. Furthermore, digital records can be stored indefinitely, allowing for easier replication or modification if needed in the future.

The increasing demand for aesthetic, functional, and time-efficient dental solutions among patients has driven the widespread adoption of CAD/CAM in clinical practice. Despite initial high costs of equipment and training, the long-term benefits—such as reduced labor costs, fewer remakes, and higher patient satisfaction—make this technology a cost-effective investment for dental practices.

This paper explores the current applications, materials, and methods used in CAD/CAM-based prosthodontics, compares them with traditional techniques, and analyzes the outcomes from clinical and laboratory perspectives. The aim is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the benefits and limitations of digital prosthesis fabrication and its role in shaping the future of restorative dentistry.

Literature Review: The introduction of CAD/CAM systems into dentistry has been widely studied and documented in both clinical and academic literature. A substantial body of research supports the efficacy, accuracy, and patient satisfaction associated with digital prosthesis fabrication.

According to Beuer et al. (2008), CAD/CAM technology enables the fabrication of ceramic crowns with superior marginal adaptation and internal fit compared to conventionally fabricated restorations. Similar findings were echoed by Reich et al. (2011), who found that digital impressions and milling procedures reduced human error and allowed for more predictable results in fixed prosthodontics.

Edelhoff and Sorensen (2002) emphasized the importance of material compatibility with CAD/CAM systems. They highlighted that ceramic materials such as zirconia and lithium disilicate offer high strength and esthetics, making them ideal for computer-aided design and milling. More recent studies (Mörmann et al., 2013) have further explored the benefits of monolithic ceramic crowns, including their resistance to chipping and wear. Another key advantage highlighted in the literature is the time-efficiency of digital workflows. A study by Joda and Brägger (2015) demonstrated that same-day crowns fabricated using chairside CAD/CAM systems led to increased patient satisfaction and reduced clinical time. This also minimized the need for temporary restorations, which are often prone to failure or discomfort.

In terms of precision, studies comparing digital and conventional impressions (Syrek et al., 2010; Güth et al., 2013) report that digital scans offer higher accuracy, especially in full-arch restorations. This has significant implications for implant-supported prostheses, where precision is critical for long-term success.

The literature also acknowledges certain challenges associated with CAD/CAM technology. These include the initial learning curve for clinicians, high setup costs, and limitations in scanning subgingival margins. However, with advancements in scanner resolution and software capabilities, these limitations are steadily diminishing.

In summary, the body of evidence supports the clinical and operational advantages of CAD/CAM prosthodontics. From improved aesthetics and functional outcomes to enhanced workflow efficiency, the literature confirms CAD/CAM as a transformative tool in restorative dental practice.

Materials and Methods: This study was conducted to compare the outcomes of dental prostheses fabricated using CAD/CAM technology versus those created using traditional manual techniques. A total of 60 patients requiring single-tooth crowns in the posterior region were enrolled. They were divided into two groups: Group A received CAD/CAM-fabricated zirconia crowns, while Group B received conventionally fabricated porcelain-fused-to-metal (PFM) crowns.

Patient Selection: All participants were aged between 25 and 60 years, with good oral hygiene and no significant systemic disease. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before the procedure.

Digital Workflow: For Group A, intraoral digital impressions were taken using a 3Shape TRIOS scanner. The crown design was created using Dental System software, and crowns were milled from pre-sintered zirconia blocks using a Roland DWX-52D milling machine. Final sintering was carried out at 1500°C, followed by staining and glazing for esthetic enhancement.

Conventional Workflow: For Group B, physical impressions were taken using addition silicone materials. Casts were poured in dental stone, and wax patterns were manually created. PFM crowns were fabricated using lost-wax technique, followed by porcelain layering and firing.

Evaluation Criteria: Crowns were assessed based on the following parameters: marginal adaptation, internal fit, occlusal contact, esthetics, fabrication time, and patient satisfaction. Marginal gaps were measured using stereomicroscopy, and internal fit was evaluated using silicone replica technique. Clinical evaluation was performed at cementation and after three months.

Statistical Analysis: Data were analyzed using SPSS v25.0. Descriptive statistics and independent t-tests were used to compare means between groups. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The materials and protocols in this study were selected to ensure maximum comparability between groups while highlighting the core differences introduced by CAD/CAM technology. The controlled setting allowed for objective assessment of performance indicators, focusing on clinical relevance and reproducibility.

Results: The study findings indicated that dental prostheses fabricated using CAD/CAM technology demonstrated superior outcomes in several critical aspects compared to traditional methods.

Marginal Fit: The mean marginal gap in Group A (CAD/CAM crowns) was significantly smaller (62.3 ± 4.5 μm) than in Group B (PFM crowns), which had an average gap of 96.1 ± 6.7 μm. This difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). Internal Fit: The

internal fit of CAD/CAM crowns, as measured by the silicone replica method, was also superior. Group A displayed an average internal discrepancy of 78.4 ± 5.2 μm, compared to 110.6 ± 7.9 μm in Group B ($p < 0.01$).

Esthetics: Patients and clinicians rated the esthetics of zirconia crowns higher due to their translucent and lifelike appearance. Over 85% of patients in Group A reported being "very satisfied" with the crown appearance, compared to 60% in Group B.

Fabrication Time: The average total time required for crown delivery was 2.1 days for Group A and 7.4 days for Group B. Same-day crowns were successfully delivered in 30% of Group A cases.

Occlusion and Comfort: Both groups achieved acceptable occlusal adjustment and comfort, although Group A required fewer chairside adjustments.

Patient Satisfaction: Overall satisfaction scores, measured on a 10-point scale, were higher in Group A (9.1) than Group B (7.3). Patients cited quicker turnaround, better appearance, and comfort as the primary reasons for preference.

The results affirm that CAD/CAM technology provides clinically significant advantages in crown fabrication. These include better fit, higher esthetic outcomes, reduced time-to-treatment, and greater patient approval.

Discussion: The results of this study are consistent with existing literature and confirm the transformative potential of CAD/CAM systems in modern prosthodontics. The significantly improved marginal and internal fit of digitally fabricated crowns reduces the risk of secondary caries, microleakage, and periodontal issues, ultimately enhancing the longevity of the prosthesis. Improved esthetics offered by monolithic zirconia or lithium disilicate materials milled via CAD/CAM further align with the expectations of today's patients, who increasingly demand natural-looking restorations. Unlike metal-based restorations, CAD/CAM materials can be tailored to match individual tooth color and translucency, which is critical in anterior restorations. The time efficiency of CAD/CAM workflows is also noteworthy. In a busy clinical practice, reducing laboratory turnaround times not only enhances productivity but also increases patient throughput and satisfaction. Chairside systems enable same-day treatment, particularly beneficial for patients with time constraints or anxiety associated with multiple dental visits. From a clinician's perspective, the reproducibility and standardization of CAD/CAM procedures minimize subjective errors and allow for better communication between the dental team and lab technicians. Moreover, the digital storage of records and models simplifies future modifications, remakes, or replacements. However, CAD/CAM technology is not without its limitations. Initial equipment and software investments are high, and a significant learning curve is associated with mastering digital design. Additionally, scanning subgingival margins or working with bleeding or uncooperative patients may still favor conventional impressions. Despite these challenges, the benefits outweigh the drawbacks, especially when the technology is used appropriately and in well-selected clinical cases. As digital dentistry continues to evolve, future developments such as artificial intelligence, virtual articulators, and improved material science are expected to further elevate the effectiveness of CAD/CAM systems. In conclusion, CAD/CAM technology offers a significant step forward in prosthodontic care. The shift from analog to digital workflows is more than a technological trend—it represents a paradigm shift in how dental professionals approach diagnosis, treatment planning, and prosthesis fabrication.

Conclusion: The integration of CAD/CAM technology into prosthodontics represents a remarkable advancement in restorative dental care. This study demonstrates that crowns fabricated using CAD/CAM systems offer superior marginal and internal fit, improved esthetics, shorter production times, and greater patient satisfaction compared to traditional methods. While initial costs and training requirements present some challenges, the long-term benefits—increased efficiency, reproducibility, and quality of care—justify the investment. The ability to fabricate high-quality restorations in a streamlined and predictable manner aligns with the goals of modern dentistry: minimally invasive, patient-centered, and technologically integrated treatment. Digital workflows also facilitate better collaboration between clinicians and dental technicians, reduce human error, and allow for long-term data storage. As technology advances, it is expected that CAD/CAM systems will become more accessible and integrated into everyday dental practice. In conclusion, CAD/CAM-based prosthetic dentistry not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances patient experience and professional satisfaction. It is poised to become the standard of care in prosthodontics and other branches of restorative dentistry.

References:

1. Beuer F, Schweiger J, Edelhoff D. Digital dentistry: an overview of recent developments for CAD/CAM generated restorations. Br Dent J. 2008;204(9):505-511.

2. Reich S, Wichmann M, Nkenke E, Proeschel P. Clinical fit of all-ceramic three-unit fixed partial dentures, generated with three different CAD/CAM systems. Eur J Oral Sci. 2005;113(2):174-179.

3. Edelhoff D, Sorensen JA. Tooth structure removal associated with various preparation designs for anterior teeth. J Prosthet Dent. 2002;87(5):503-509.

4. Mörmann WH, Bindl A. The evolution of the CEREC system. J Am Dent Assoc. 2002;133(Suppl):7S-13S.

5. Joda T, Brägger U. Patient-centered outcomes comparing digital and conventional implant impressions: a randomized crossover trial. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2015;26(10):1246-1250.

6. Güth JF, Keul C, Stimmelmayr M, Beuer F, Edelhoff D. Accuracy of digital models obtained by direct and indirect data capturing. Clin Oral Investig. 2013;17(4):1201-1208.

7. Syrek A, Reich G, Ranftl D, Klein C, Cerny B, Brodesser J. Clinical evaluation of all-ceramic crowns fabricated from intraoral digital impressions. J Dent. 2010;38(7):553-559.

8. Fasbinder DJ. CAD/CAM dentistry: digital dental technology. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2006;27(9):666-672.

9. Zarone F, Russo S, Sorrentino R. From porcelain-fused-to-metal to zirconia: clinical and experimental considerations. Dent Mater. 2011;27(1):83-96.

10. Miyazaki T, Hotta Y. CAD/CAM systems available for the fabrication of crown and bridge restorations. Aust Dent J. 2011;56(Suppl 1):97-106.

11. Bindl A, Mörmann WH. Marginal and internal fit of all-ceramic CAD/CAM crown-copings on chamfer preparations. J Oral Rehabil. 2005;32(6):441-447. 12. Kim JH, Lee SJ, Park YJ, Cho LR. Comparison of digital and conventional impression techniques in fixed prosthodontics. J Adv Prosthodont. 2013;5(6):507-511.

13. Sailer I, Fehér A, Filser F, Gauckler LJ, Lüthy H, Hämmerle CH. Five-year clinical results of zirconia frameworks for posterior fixed partial dentures. Int J Prosthodont. 2007;20(4):383-388.

14. Boening KW, Wolf BH, Schmidt AE, Kästner C, Walter MH. Clinical fit of Procera AllCeram crowns. J Prosthet Dent. 2000;84(4):419-424.

15. Burke FJ. Trends in indirect dentistry: 3. CAD/CAM restorations. Dent Update. 2005;32(8):583-588.

16. Miyazaki T, Nakamura T, Matsumura H, Ban S, Kobayashi T. Current status of zirconia restoration. J Prosthodont Res. 2013;57(4):236-261.

17. Tapie L, Lebon N, Mawussi B, Duret F, Attal JP. Understanding dental CAD/CAM for restorations. Dent Mater. 2015;31(4):348-358.

18. Abduo J, Lyons K. Clinical considerations for increasing the use of CAD/CAM technology. Aust Dent J. 2013;58(Suppl 1):48-56.