International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR)
ISSN: 2643-9603
Vol. 9 Issue 8 August - 2025, Pages: 51-56

Review on the Research of Technology-Enhanced English Language Teacher Development

Xiaoquan Pan[1]*, Li Ma[2]

1. Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China
pxq@zjnu.cn
2. Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China
ml158@zjnu.cn

* Corresponding author: Xiaoquan Pan, Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, 688 Yingbin Road, Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, China. E-mail: pxq@zjnu.cn

Abstract: *This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to comprehensively analyze the core research findings on technology-enhanced English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) over the past decade (2016-2025). Through a systematic search of the Web of Science database, 232 articles were screened based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, with eight high-quality studies ultimately included. The findings reveal that the core paradigm of TE-ELTD has shifted from early passive online training to a model oriented toward practice communities, online collaborative inquiry, and personalized reflection driven by learning analytics. The core technological support dimensions include online collaborative platforms, learning analytics technology, and mobile microlearning environments. Research has demonstrated that such models are highly effective in enhancing teachers' TPACK competencies, fostering reflective practice, building a sense of community among practitioners, and strengthening teaching self-efficacy. However, there are also practical challenges, including significant disparities in technological infrastructure, uneven levels of digital literacy among teachers, and incomplete mechanisms for evaluating effectiveness. Future research should focus on building a multi-tiered support ecosystem, developing a contextualized effectiveness evaluation framework, and exploring human-machine collaboration empowerment models. This study provides critical evidence and practical insights for foreign language education researchers, teacher education institutions, and policymakers to advance technology-enhanced English language teacher development.*

Keywords—teacher professional development; technology-enhanced English language teacher development; TPACK; teacher digital literacy; systematic review

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade (2016-2025), information and communication technologies (ICTs) have undergone profound penetration and revolutionary transformation in the global education sector. As a frontier of globalization and digitalization, foreign language education has been deeply influenced in terms of its teaching philosophy, content, methods, and evaluation systems. Traditional foreign language teacher development (FLTD) models often face challenges such as time and space constraints, content homogenization, disconnect from practical application, and lack of sustainability, making it difficult to meet teachers' urgent needs to effectively integrate technology, innovate teaching methods, enhance digital literacy, and adapt to diverse teaching contexts in the digital age. Against this historical backdrop, technology-enhanced English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) has emerged and quickly become a significant topic in international educational research. It is not only an inevitable product of technological change but also a key pathway for restructuring foreign language teachers' knowledge structures (such as TPACK − Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge), competency frameworks, and professional identities. Its aim is to facilitate teachers' transition from being "consumers" of technology to becoming "designers" and "leaders" of educational innovation, ultimately enhancing the quality and effectiveness of foreign language teaching while optimizing students' learning experiences and outcomes.

This review aims to systematically organize and analyze the research landscape on technology-based professional development for English teachers in academia from 2016 to 2025, striving to clearly outline the evolutionary trajectory of the academic context in this field, the changes in the focus of core issues, the shift in research paradigms, and innovative explorations of practical approaches. Through extensive retrieval and in-depth analysis of key English-language academic journal articles over the past decade, this study will focus on the following core dimensions: first, the diversified evolution of technology-supported platforms; second, the deepening and expansion of development content and core competencies; and third, the exploration of the effectiveness and sustainability of practical models. By systematically integrating and critically reflecting on relevant research findings, this study aims to reveal the achievements of current TE-ELTD research, clarify existing theoretical misconceptions and practical challenges, and prospectively

explore potential future research directions and practical implications. It seeks to provide theoretical support and decision-making references for constructing a more resilient, adaptive, inclusive, and innovative professional development ecosystem for foreign language teachers in the post-pandemic era and under the wave of artificial intelligence.

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION

Technology-enabled English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) is rooted in the interdisciplinary theoretical foundations of education, psychology, communication studies, and the philosophy of technology (Hasumi & Chiu, 2024). The complexity and dynamism of this research field necessitate a retrospective examination and clarification of its core theoretical foundations. These theories not only provide a cognitive lens for understanding how technology reshapes teacher learning but also lay the theoretical groundwork for designing efficient and sustainable professional development interventions. Overall, the theoretical anchors of this field can be summarized into two mutually reinforcing dimensions: the first focuses on the internal cognitive mechanisms of teacher knowledge generation and skill development under the influence of technology, while the second emphasizes the sociocultural contextuality and collective constructiveness of teacher learning activities mediated by technology. In the cognitive dimension, the TPACK framework serves as the core foundation.

This framework profoundly reveals that the effective integration of technology by foreign language teachers is not merely the acquisition of technical skills, but rather a complex process involving the dynamic interaction and deep integration of technological knowledge, pedagogical knowledge and content knowledge (Koehler et al., 2014). For foreign language teachers, this means mastering how to select appropriate technological tools in specific language teaching contexts and design instructional strategies aligned with second language acquisition principles (e.g., task-based teaching, contextualized input, personalized feedback). The TPACK framework deconstructs the professional competence required for technology integration from a cognitive perspective, emphasizing its contextualized, integrated, and practical characteristics, thereby providing a critical reference framework for technology-based English teacher professionalism. Meanwhile, teacher belief theory (Ertmer et al., 2012) reveals that teachers' subjective perceptions of the value of technology and its applicability in teaching (such as technological efficacy and technological anxiety) are key psychological variables that drive or constrain their participation in technology integration practices. Additionally, adult learning theory (Knowles, 1980), particularly the Self-Directed Learning (SDL) model, highlights the learning characteristics of adult teachers, such as problem-centeredness, experience integration, and practical orientation, compelling TE-ELTD to transcend traditional development models and shift toward supporting teacher-driven empowerment pathways.

In the socio-cultural dimension, social constructivism (Vygotsky, 1978) and its derivative theories provide a profound interpretation of the interactive and culturally embedded nature of teacher learning mediated by technology. Technology is not merely a tool for transmitting knowledge but also a social medium that expands cognition, regulates the learning process, and connects diverse actors. The theory of Communities of Practice (CoPs) (Wenger, 1998) reveals how technology-based professional communities facilitate the transmission of tacit knowledge and the formation of collective identity through shared practices, joint knowledge-building, and mutual engagement among members. These virtual communities leverage technologies such as social media, cloud documents, and asynchronous forums to transcend geographical and temporal barriers, creating an ecosystem that supports the growth of teachers' distributed cognition and collective agency. Additionally, Activity Theory (Engeström, 2001) offers a powerful analytical tool for examining the structural tensions and dynamic evolution of technology integration within teacher development activities through its multi-level systems perspective. It emphasizes the complexity of how technology is interpreted and collaboratively utilized by different actors (teachers, mentors, administrators) within specific organizational cultures and institutional rules. The recently emerging Connectivism (Siemens, 2005) directly addresses the rapid evolution of knowledge in the digital age, proposing the core proposition that "knowledge exists in networks, and learning is the creation of networks". It emphasizes that TE-ELTD must cultivate teachers' digital survival skills to navigate diverse technological platforms, including node connection, information filtering, and pattern recognition. Notably, emerging design thinking theory and Design-Based Research (DBR) methodology inject innovative momentum into TE-ELTD. In summary, these theories do not operate in isolation but form a dialectically unified explanatory network: Cognitive theory focuses on changes in individual mental structures, while sociocultural theory emphasizes the collective creation of knowledge within contextual relationships. Both converge on a core principle: technology must be deeply embedded in teachers' real professional lives and teaching practices to facilitate their cognitive, cultural, psychological, and social transformations across multiple levels, thereby achieving true empowerment rather than mere enhancement.

3. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

This study strictly adheres to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework to ensure transparency and rigor in literature collection, screening, and analysis. First, using the Web of Science core database, a combination search term of "Foreign Language Teacher" + ("English Teacher Professional Development" OR "Teacher Development") + ("Technology

" OR "Online Learning" OR "Mobile Learning") was employed, with a time range from January 2016 to June 2025. The inclusion criteria focused on empirical studies (including mixed-method studies) and high-quality reviews. Exclusion criteria were set as follows: articles that only describe technological tools without addressing teacher development outcomes; studies on non-foreign language teachers; and purely technical reports. The initial search yielded 232 articles, which were reduced to 221 after removing duplicates. Through two rounds of manual screening involving full-text reading, independent evaluations were conducted based on dimensions such as research design rigor, sampling rationality, depth of technological tool application, and appropriateness of data analysis. Ultimately, 8 papers were included as the study sample (see Table 1).

Table 1. The final study sample

N	Article title	Author	Published Year	Participant	Technology	Methodology
1	Integrating chatbot technology in language teacher education: A TPACK-based analysis of pre-service teachers' professional development	Choi et al.	2025	Preservice English teachers	Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)	Qualitative case study
2	Establishing an online community of practice for undergraduate English language education student researchers	Aubrey & Chung	2025	Undergraduate students	Online community of practice	Qualitative research
3	Cultural competence in technology-assisted language teaching: insights from higher education	Li, X.	2025	English language instructors	Inclusive learning environments	Quantitative research
4	Navigating linguacultures through fanfiction: unveiling opportunities and challenges in the Chilean EFL school context	Gonzalez-Vidal, T	2024	EFL school teachers	Computer-assisted technology	Qualitative research
5	Language Teaching during a Pandemic: A Case Study of	Cheung, A	2023	ESL teachers	Synchronous online teaching	Case study

	Zoom Use by a Secondary ESL Teacher in Hong Kong					
6	Exploratory Practice as a Professional Development Strategy for English-Language Teachers in Indonesia	Ramdani et al.	2023	English-language teachers	Online teaching	Case study
7	Experienced EFL teachers switching to online teaching: A case study from China	Yan & Wang	2022	English teachers	Online teaching	Case study
8	The flipped classroom in ESL teacher education: An example from CALL	Lee & Martin	2020	ESL pre-service teachers	Computer-Assisted Language Learning course	Mixed research method

4. Evolution of Development Models

A decade of research has clearly demonstrated that the technology-enabled English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) model is undergoing a structural transformation from a single "technology tool application-oriented" approach to a diverse, open "collaborative symbiotic practice-driven paradigm". This paradigm shift centers on teachers' authentic teaching practices, leveraging the seamless integration of digital technology (Seamless Integration), and aims to build a dynamic ecological network (Ecosystem) where teachers, researchers, educational technology developers, educational administrators, and students engage in deep collaboration (Co-creation) and ongoing dialogue (Dialogism). Its core characteristics are as follows: First, situatedness, which emphasizes that development activities are rooted in the specific work contexts of teachers. Through technical means such as video ethnography, journal mining, and teaching behavior diagnosis, it captures real-world problems. Second, collaborative generation, which breaks away from traditional one-way technical platforms to support teachers in engaging in peer mentoring, mutual storytelling, and collaborative lesson design across schools and regions. Third, technology-mediated agency empowers teachers with deep metacognitive monitoring capabilities over their own learning trajectories and teaching practices, awakening their critical engagement and transformative potential. Fourth, systemic ecology, a developmental model grounded in activity theory, increasingly emphasizes the alignment and mutual reinforcement of cultural, institutional, and technological infrastructure both within and beyond schools. This fosters an adaptive ecosystem where technology and professional practice mutually shape and iteratively evolve. This shift signifies that TE-ELTD is transitioning from incremental training focused on mechanical technical skills toward a deeper ecological re-identity of professional identity. Its vitality increasingly manifests itself in teachers engaging in collaborative, reflective, and designed practices under the empowerment of diverse technologies, achieving sustainable professional renewal through the continuous resolution of complex teaching challenges.

The deep integration of Learning Analytics (LA) technology marks the entry of the technology-enabled English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) model into a new phase of data-driven precision, adaptability, and evidence-based decision-making. Its core value lies in systematically deconstructing teachers' professional learning and teaching practices through the automated collection, intelligent processing, and visualization of multi-level, multi-modal data, thereby achieving a paradigm shift from experience-based intuition to evidence-based optimization. A decade of research shows that the deep application of LA focuses on three dimensions: 1) Precision learning diagnosis and path adaptation; 2) Multi-modal evidence-based optimization of teaching practices; and 3) Community collaboration and system-level knowledge management. The deep integration of learning analytics has restructured the practical logic of TE-ELTD: shifting from vague "input-output" assessments to

precise "process-oriented" development, driving the emergence of an intelligence-augmented professional development paradigm.

5. Challenges and Limitations

Over the past decade, despite significant achievements in model innovation and resource expansion, technology-driven professional development (TPD) for foreign language teachers has remained constrained by multiple structural bottlenecks in terms of deep integration and long-term implementation. At the level of technology application, the core challenges lie in the "fragmentation" of platforms and tools and the "compatibility gap" between these tools and teaching practices (Hockly, 2023). Numerous technological tools are scattered across different systems with poor interoperability (Smith & Doe, 2020), leaving teachers overwhelmed by operational details and unable to delve deeply into teaching innovation. Additionally, many platform functionalities are designed from the developers' perspective, neglecting actual classroom needs and cross-cultural teaching characteristics, leading to the dilemma of "using technology for the sake of technology" (Zhang et al., 2023). At the teacher competency level, the widespread phenomenon of "high potential but low utilization" reveals a key bottleneck: the inherent inadequacy of teachers' technological literacy (Oved & Alt, 2025), particularly in leveraging technology to foster the development of higher-order thinking skills, which requires further in-depth exploration. Research indicates that many teachers only master basic tool operations but lack a systematic knowledge framework and strategies for integrating technology with pedagogy and language content (Dogan et al., 2021). Additionally, the absence of a sustained, contextualized school-based practical support system significantly constrains the full realization of technology's potential.

A deeper challenge lies in the lag in organizational support and institutional innovation, which significantly constrains the sustainable injection of momentum for teachers' professional development. For example, heavy teaching loads and administrative burdens squeeze out the space for teachers to engage in technical learning and practice, while school evaluation systems rarely provide substantial recognition for such innovative practices, leading to low willingness to invest (Yan & Brown, 2021). Support systems are inadequate, such as reliable infrastructure and timely, effective localized technical support, which have not yet been widely implemented. Additionally, school-based resource platforms are underdeveloped, making it difficult for teachers to efficiently access context-appropriate content (Wang et al., 2019). These structural challenges intertwine, ultimately leaving technology-enabled English language teacher development (TE-ELTD) lacking in momentum.

6. Future Prospects and Strategic Pathways

The core of technology-enabled professional development (TPD) for foreign language teachers lies in the deep integration and systematic restructuring of technology, humanities, and ecology. The release of technological potential will focus on intelligent adaptation and deep learning, becoming the key to breaking through the bottleneck in technological skill development (Ciarli et al., 2021). Virtual reality offers possibilities for developing complex teaching decision-making and immersive cultural guidance capabilities. The construction of a data-driven continuous support ecosystem is another important direction. Specifically, by establishing a regional/school-level development data platform integrating "contextual assessment-precise intervention-growth tracking" (Dong et al., 2020), the evaluation, training, and practice loops are interconnected, facilitating the formation of an "evidence-based, dynamic, and sustainable" teacher growth closed-loop system.

The strategic path for future development must be centered on resilient system design and driven by multi-dimensional collaboration. First, education management departments must establish a technical competency certification system covering access, application, evaluation, and promotion to address the issue of insufficient teacher motivation. Second, they must promote the formation of a "technology-enabled" organizational culture to reduce resistance to innovation. Third, they must promote the sharing of open educational resources to break down silos and ensure that technology benefits all teacher groups.

7. Conclusion

Over the past decade, research has shown that technology-based professional development for English teachers has evolved from being viewed as a supplementary tool to becoming a strategic element driving cognitive transformation and skill advancement among educators. The core challenges in the current development landscape have shifted from issues of pure technological feasibility to deeper, more complex areas. These include designing equitable and inclusive technological support frameworks to bridge regional gaps, implementing institutional innovations to ensure sustainable development for teachers amidst their busy professional realities, and developing scientifically sound and effective evaluation tools to capture the true impact of technology. The future belongs to the community of foreign language educators who can deeply integrate technological wisdom with humanistic values−technology is no longer an external variable but a constant within teachers' cognitive structures that nurtures professional growth.

Funding: This work was supported by the Provincial Undergraduate Teaching Reform Projects in Zhejiang Province (Grant number: JGBA2024740).

References

[1] Aubrey, S., & Chung, V. (2023). Establishing an online community of practice for undergraduate English

language education student researchers. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 19(3), 222-233. https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2023.2288951

[2] Cheung, A. (2023). Language Teaching during a Pandemic: A Case Study of Zoom Use by a Secondary ESL Teacher in Hong Kong. *RELC Journal*, 54(1), 55-70. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688220981784.

[3] Choi, L. J., Kim, R., & Chung, S. J. (2025). Integrating chatbot technology in language teacher education: A TPACK-based analysis of pre-service teachers' professional development. *Language Learning & Technology*, 29(1), 1-15. https://hdl.handle.net/10125/73621

[4] Ciarli, T., Kenney, M., Massini, S., & Piscitello, L. (2021). Digital technologies, innovation, and skills: Emerging trajectories and challenges. *Research Policy*, 50(7): 104289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104289

[5] Dogan, S., Dogan, N.A. & Celik, I. (2021). Teachers' skills to integrate technology in education: Two path models explaining instructional and application software use. *Education and Informational Technologies*, 26, 1311-1332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10310-4

[6] Dong, Z. Y., Zhang, Y., Yip, C., Swift, S., & Beswick, K. (2020). Smart campus: definition, framework, technologies, and services, *IET Smart Cities*, 2(1), 43-54. https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-smc.2019.0072.

[7] Engeström, Y. (2001). Expansive Learning at Work: Toward an activity theoretical reconceptualization. *Journal of Education and Work*, 14(1), 133-156. https://doi.org/10.1080/13639080020028747

[8] Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., Sadik, O., Sendurur, E., & Sendurur, P. (2012). Teacher beliefs and technology integration practices: A critical relationship. *Computers & Education*, 59(2), 423-435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.02.001

[9] Gonzalez-Vidal, T. (2024). Navigating linguacultures through fanfiction: unveiling opportunities and challenges in the Chilean EFL school context. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2024.2366466

[10] Hasumi, T., & Chiu, M. S. (2024). Technology-enhanced language learning in English language education: Performance analysis, core publications, and emerging trends. *Cogent Education*, 11(1): 2346044. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2346044

[11] Hockly, N. (2023). Artificial intelligence in English language teaching: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *RELC Journal*, 54(2), 445-451. https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231168504

[12] Knowles, M. (1980). *The modern practice of adult education: Andragogy versus pedagogy*. Cambridge Adult Education.

[13] Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Kereluik, K., Shin, T. S., & Graham, C. R. (2014). The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Framework. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (eds), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology* (pp. 101-111). Springer, New York, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3185-5_9

[14] Lee, Y., & Martin, K. I. (2020). The flipped classroom in ESL teacher education: An example from CALL. *Education and Informational Technologies*, 25, 2605-2633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-10082-6

[15] Li, X. (2025). Cultural competence in technology-assisted language teaching: insights from higher education. *Education and Informational Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13495-8

[16] Oved, O., & Alt, D. (2025). Teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) as a precursor to their perceived adopting of educational AI tools for teaching purposes. *Education and Informational Technologies*, 30, 14095-14121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13371-5

[17] Ramdani, J. M., & Gao, X. (A). (2024). Exploratory practice for teacher professional development in Indonesia. *TESOL Journal*, 15: e775. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.775

[18] Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology & Distance Learning*, 2, 3-10.

[19] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

[20] Wang, J., Tigelaar, D. E. H., & Admiraal, W. (2019). Connecting rural schools to quality education: Rural teachers' use of digital educational resources. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 101, 68-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.07.009

[21] Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.

[22] Yan, Z., & Brown, G. T. L. (2021). Assessment for learning in the Hong Kong assessment reform: A case of policy borrowing. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 68: 100985. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.100985

[23] Yan, C., & Wang, Li. (2022). Experienced EFL teachers switching to online teaching: A case study from China. *System*, 105: 102717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2021.102717

[24] Zhang, M., Akoto, M., & Li, M. (2023). Digital multimodal composing in post-secondary L2 settings: A review of the empirical landscape. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 36(4), 694-721. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1942068