International Journal of Academic and Applied Research (IJAAR)
ISSN: 2643-9603
Vol. 10 Issue 10, October - 2026, Pages: 93-102

Childhood between Semantic Gravity and Interpretive Torsion: A Comparative Study of R. L. Stevenson and William Golding through the SGT–ITT Framework

Ranjan Kumar Sahu[1]

[1]Assistant Professor of English and Head, O.E.S.-I
P.G. Department of English,
Fakir Mohan Autonomous College, Balasore, Odisha, India
e-mail ID- sahuranjan168@gmail.com ORCID ID- https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6696-0707

Abstract: *Children's literature has frequently been associated with adventure, imagination, innocence, moral education, discovery, and the construction of identity; yet the literary child is rarely a transparent representation of childhood. The child is a highly charged cultural sign through which societies negotiate their ideas of civilization, violence, freedom, authority, morality, fear, masculinity, colonialism, adulthood, and the future. Robert Louis Stevenson and William Golding offer particularly productive points of comparison because both writers place children or adolescents within environments in which inherited structures of authority are tested, but they direct the semantic movement of childhood in radically different ways. Stevenson's Treasure Island (1883), Kidnapped (1886), and A Child's Garden of Verses (1885) construct childhood through adventure, imagination, movement, moral apprenticeship, and the discovery of an unstable adult world, whereas Golding's Lord of the Flies (1954) reverses the conventional adventure paradigm by placing schoolboys in an isolated environment where civilization progressively loses its institutional grip. Stevenson's child moves through a world whose dangers become occasions for knowledge and maturation; Golding's child encounters a world in which knowledge, fear, power, and violence expose the fragility of civilization itself. This article applies* ***Semantic Gravity Theory (SGT)*** *and* ***Interpretive Torsion Theory (ITT)****, two theoretical frameworks associated in this study with Dr. Santosh Kumar Nayak, to investigate these divergent constructions of childhood. SGT conceptualizes meaning as possessing degrees of semantic attraction, concentration, dispersion, mass, trajectory, and metamorphosis, while ITT understands meaning as emerging through the interaction of signification, relational pressure, and torsional transformation. Through these complementary frameworks, Stevenson's works can be read as texts in which childhood possesses a relatively stable semantic gravity toward adventure, ethical maturation, imagination, and identity formation, while Golding deliberately destabilizes that gravity by subjecting the child to torsional pressures generated by fear, tribalism, violence, leadership, isolation, and the collapse of institutional authority. The comparative analysis demonstrates that Lord of the Flies can be read not simply as an inversion of the adventure tradition but as a torsional rewriting of it. Golding's island recalls the adventure-island tradition represented by Stevenson, yet the semantic field is transformed: the treasure becomes survival, the pirate becomes the internalized possibility of savagery, adventure becomes ordeal, freedom becomes vulnerability, and childhood innocence becomes a contested configuration rather than a stable essence. The SGT–ITT synthesis consequently reveals a continuum between the two writers: Stevenson constructs childhood through semantic movement and gravitational development, whereas Golding subjects the inherited meanings of childhood to escalating torsional pressure until their previous configuration breaks and is reconstituted.*

Keywords: ***Semantic Gravity Theory, Interpretive Torsion Theory, Children's Literature, Childhood, Adventure, Semantic Gravity, Torsion, Civilization, Savagery, Identity***

I. INTRODUCTION: CHILDHOOD AS A SEMANTIC FIELD

The literary representation of childhood is never merely about children. Within literature, the child frequently becomes a concentrated symbolic field through which a culture projects its assumptions about innocence, experience, morality, authority, imagination, violence, socialization, and futurity. The child can signify hope or danger, freedom or vulnerability, innocence or latent violence, social possibility or cultural anxiety. Consequently, children's literature should not be regarded simply as literature written for an age-defined readership; it is also a sophisticated cultural discourse through which adults construct, negotiate, discipline, idealize, fear, and reinterpret childhood. Robert Louis Stevenson and William Golding occupy particularly revealing positions within this history. Stevenson became associated with the adventure tradition through works such as *Treasure Island* and *Kidnapped*, while *A Child's Garden of Verses* demonstrates his sustained engagement with the imaginative consciousness of childhood. *Treasure Island* was serialized in *Young Folks* and has been widely recognized as a landmark in the history of children's literature; its adventure structure helped establish enduring conventions surrounding pirates, islands, treasure, and youthful quest. *Kidnapped*, similarly, follows David Balfour from orphanhood toward adulthood and combines adventure with psychological development and historical circumstance. Golding's *Lord of the Flies*, published in 1954, appears initially to inhabit the same adventure territory: a group of British schoolboys are stranded on an island and attempt to construct a social order. Yet

Golding gradually transforms the adventure paradigm into an inquiry into fear, violence, authority, social fragmentation, and human nature. The relationship between Stevenson and Golding becomes particularly significant because *Lord of the Flies* has frequently been discussed in relation to earlier adventure-island narratives, including *Treasure Island* and R. M. Ballantyne's *The Coral Island*. The present article proposes that this relationship can be theorized more rigorously through the combined application of **Semantic Gravity Theory (SGT)** and **Interpretive Torsion Theory (ITT)**. SGT asks how meanings acquire semantic "mass," how they attract associated meanings, how they move through interpretive fields, and how they concentrate or disperse. ITT asks what happens when those meanings encounter competing forces and undergo displacement, resistance, transformation, rupture, and reconfiguration. The two theories therefore provide complementary models. SGT explains the **gravitational organization of meaning**, while ITT explains the **transformational pressure acting upon that organization**. Read together, Stevenson and Golding form a particularly illuminating comparative pair: Stevenson constructs childhood within a field in which adventure, imagination, danger, courage, and moral growth exert gravitational attraction, whereas Golding subjects many of these inherited meanings to torsional pressure and reveals their instability. The central argument of this article is therefore that **Stevenson constructs the child as a semantic traveller, while Golding constructs the child as a torsional subject**. Stevenson's child moves through meaning; Golding's child is transformed by the collision of meanings.

II. SEMANTIC GRAVITY THEORY: CHILDHOOD AS A FIELD OF ATTRACTION

Semantic Gravity Theory provides the first conceptual instrument for this comparative study. The theory treats meaning not as a static dictionary-like property but as a dynamic field in which signs possess varying degrees of semantic attraction. A central metaphor is that of gravitational force: some signs possess greater semantic "mass" because they attract numerous associations, cultural memories, emotional responses, narrative implications, and intertextual meanings. Other signs remain comparatively light and dispersed. A semantic field is therefore structured by **concentration and dispersion**, **attraction and repulsion**, **trajectory and transformation**. In children's literature, "childhood" itself is an exceptionally massive semantic object. It attracts innocence, play, imagination, dependence, education, family, school, growth, vulnerability, adventure, morality, and futurity. But this gravitational field can change according to historical and generic context. In Stevenson, childhood gravitates toward adventure and maturation. In Golding, childhood gravitates increasingly toward fear, power, violence, and existential uncertainty. SGT therefore allows us to ask why the same broad category—"the child"—produces radically different semantic trajectories in two writers. The answer lies in the different fields through which the child moves. Stevenson's child generally enters an adventure field in which danger generates experience. Golding's child enters an isolation field in which danger destabilizes social identity. The difference is not merely thematic. It is structural: the **semantic gravity surrounding childhood is different**.

III. INTERPRETIVE TORSION THEORY: WHEN CHILDHOOD MEANINGS COLLIDE

If SGT describes the attraction and movement of meanings, ITT describes what happens when those meanings are subjected to conflicting forces. Its canonical formulation can be stated as:

Meaning = Signification × Relational Pressure × Torsional Transformation

Its operational movement may be represented as:

$$\mathbf{N \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow D \rightarrow V \rightarrow T_h \rightarrow B/R \rightarrow R_s \rightarrow C'}$$

where **N** is Node, **F** Forces, **A** Axes, **P** Pressure, **D** Torsional Density, **V** Torsional Vector, $\mathbf{T_h}$ Threshold, **B/R** Break/Reconfiguration, $\mathbf{R_s}$ Residue, and $\mathbf{C'}$ transformed configuration. Applied to children's literature, the "child" becomes a **torsional node** whenever competing cultural meanings of childhood converge upon the child character.

In Stevenson, Jim Hawkins is simultaneously a boy, observer, adventurer, dependent child, moral learner, narrator, and emerging adult. David Balfour is simultaneously orphan, heir, political subject, traveller, captive, and developing adult. In Golding, Ralph, Jack, Piggy, Simon, Roger, and the littluns are simultaneously children and representatives of social, psychological, political, and ethical forces. Their childhood is therefore subjected to extraordinary semantic pressure. The island becomes the principal torsional field in which meanings traditionally attached to childhood are destabilized. The child is no longer protected by school, family, law, adult supervision, or institutional continuity. Once those structures disappear, childhood becomes a test of what remains when the cultural scaffolding surrounding childhood is removed.

IV. R. L. STEVENSON AND THE SEMANTIC GRAVITY OF ADVENTURE

Stevenson's adventure fiction occupies an important position in the history of children's literature because it offers young readers a world of movement, risk, secrecy, discovery, danger, and self-development. *Treasure Island* became an enduring boys' adventure story, and scholarship has emphasized both its appeal to young readers and its complex engagement with adventure conventions. Yet Stevenson's adventure is not semantically simple. The apparent gravitational centre of the novel is treasure, but treasure attracts multiple semantic satellites: desire, wealth, piracy, danger, greed, freedom, betrayal, colonial travel, masculinity, and moral choice. Jim Hawkins enters this gravitational field as a child whose identity is gradually transformed through contact with adult deception and violence. The adventure plot therefore functions as a **semantic education**. Jim learns not only how to survive but how to interpret appearances. Long

John Silver is particularly important because he destabilizes simple moral categories. He is simultaneously charming and dangerous, paternal and manipulative, loyal and treacherous. His semantic gravity is unusually strong because he attracts contradictory meanings. Modern criticism has emphasized that *Treasure Island* can itself be read against the conventional imperial adventure tradition, with Silver's manipulation of Jim exposing the danger of seductive adult narratives and imperial fantasies. Thus, Stevenson's adventure world already contains the seeds of torsion that Golding will later intensify.

V. JIM HAWKINS AS A SEMANTIC TRAJECTORY

Jim Hawkins can be understood through SGT as a character whose semantic trajectory moves from **dependence toward interpretive agency**. His initial position is gravitationally close to the adult world: he observes, listens, obeys, and depends upon older figures. Yet the narrative repeatedly places him in situations where he must interpret danger independently. His growth is therefore not simply physical maturation; it is semantic maturation. He learns that appearances cannot be trusted, that authority can be deceptive, and that courage may require independent judgment. ITT complements this reading by identifying the forces responsible for Jim's transformation. The adult world exerts pressure upon him through paternal authority, piracy, deception, fear, and violence. Silver becomes a particularly intense torsional force because he disrupts the distinction between trustworthy adult and dangerous adult. Jim must therefore reconstruct his understanding of adulthood. The resulting configuration—C′—is neither childish innocence nor adult cynicism. It is an intermediate state of **experienced innocence**, in which the child retains moral perception while acquiring knowledge of danger.

VI. DAVID BALFOUR AND THE GRAVITY OF HISTORICAL IDENTITY

Kidnapped extends Stevenson's child-centred adventure into historical and political territory. David Balfour's journey occurs against the aftermath of the Jacobite Rising, and scholarship has emphasized the way historical and geographical contexts become integral to David's psychological development. David's semantic gravity is therefore distributed across several fields: orphanhood, inheritance, political conflict, loyalty, Scottish identity, captivity, friendship, and adulthood. His adventure is not simply geographical movement; it is movement through competing systems of identity. The landscape itself acquires semantic mass because geography becomes inseparable from history. David's journey teaches him that identity is relational. He cannot understand himself outside the historical and political forces surrounding him. SGT consequently identifies *Kidnapped* as a text of **semantic expansion**: the child initially signifies private vulnerability but gradually acquires political and historical dimensions. ITT then explains the mechanism: historical forces exert relational pressure upon personal identity, transforming David from an orphaned boy into a politically conscious subject.

VII. A CHILD'S GARDEN OF VERSES: THE INNER GEOGRAPHY OF CHILDHOOD

Stevenson's *A Child's Garden of Verses* presents a different but complementary dimension of childhood. Here the adventure is frequently internal, imaginative, spatial, sensory, and psychological. Childhood possesses a strong semantic gravity around imagination. The ordinary world becomes transformed through the child's perception: gardens become territories, rooms become landscapes, night becomes mystery, and everyday objects become vehicles for imaginative travel. SGT is especially useful here because the child's imagination functions as a **semantic gravitational field** capable of attracting meanings that adults may regard as unrelated. A simple physical object can acquire narrative, emotional, spatial, and symbolic significance through imaginative perception. ITT adds the concept of **perceptual torsion**: the child's consciousness twists ordinary reality into imaginative configuration. Thus, Stevenson does not represent childhood merely as a developmental stage; he represents it as a distinctive mode of meaning-production.

VIII. THE CHILD AS ADVENTURER: STEVENSON'S SEMANTIC MODEL

Across these works, Stevenson's child can be understood through a relatively consistent semantic pattern: **innocence → encounter → danger → interpretation → experience → maturation**. The child enters a world whose semantic field is initially unfamiliar, encounters forces that disturb previous assumptions, interprets those forces, and emerges transformed. This trajectory corresponds closely to the SGT concept of semantic movement and to ITT's torsional cycle. Yet Stevenson's transformation generally retains a developmental orientation. The child does not simply lose innocence; innocence is modified by knowledge. This distinction is crucial. Stevenson's model is not "innocence versus experience" as an absolute binary. It is **innocence under semantic pressure**. The result is an enriched configuration rather than complete destruction.

IX. GOLDING'S LORD OF THE FLIES: THE TORSIONAL REVERSAL OF ADVENTURE

Golding's *Lord of the Flies* enters the same broad imaginative territory as the adventure-island tradition but transforms its semantic architecture. A group of British schoolboys are stranded on an island; at first the situation appears to promise adventure, freedom, exploration, and play. Yet the narrative gradually dismantles these expectations. Scholarship has explicitly connected Golding's novel to earlier adventure narratives such as *The Coral Island* and *Treasure Island*, while identifying Golding's transformation of the adventure tradition through irony and moral destabilization. Through SGT, the island initially possesses a powerful gravitational attraction toward adventure. It contains beaches, forests, fruit, water, open space, and apparent freedom. But this semantic

gravity is gradually displaced by fear. The island's meaning changes from **adventure-space** to **threat-space**. ITT identifies this as **semantic torsion**. The same physical environment produces radically different meanings as the forces acting upon the children change.

X. THE ISLAND AS TORSIONAL NODE

The island is the principal Node of Golding's novel. It initially signifies freedom, beauty, escape, and adventure. But as fear, hunger, conflict, and political rivalry intensify, the island becomes a field of competing meanings. The lagoon can signify pleasure and danger; the forest can signify exploration and terror; the mountain can signify visibility and vulnerability; the beach can signify assembly and exposure. The island therefore possesses extraordinary torsional density. Its geographical identity remains stable while its semantic identity changes. This distinction is central to SGT and ITT: **physical stability does not guarantee semantic stability**. The island does not move, but its meaning moves continuously.

XI. RALPH AND THE GRAVITY OF CIVILIZATION

Ralph initially becomes the gravitational centre of the boys' social configuration. His conch, assemblies, shelters, signal fire, and emphasis on rescue create a semantic field around order. The conch becomes particularly important because it accumulates semantic mass. It signifies authority, democratic procedure, speech, legitimacy, and collective organization. Under SGT, the conch is a high-mass sign because numerous meanings are attracted to it. Under ITT, however, its meaning changes when the social forces around it change. As Jack's power increases, the conch loses gravitational force. It remains physically present but becomes semantically weaker. This is a crucial illustration of **semantic gravitational decay**: a sign may retain its material existence while losing its capacity to organize surrounding meanings.

XII. JACK AND THE COUNTER-GRAVITY OF POWER

Jack introduces a counter-vector. Whereas Ralph's semantic gravity is organized around order and rescue, Jack's becomes organized around hunting, meat, spectacle, fear, and power. His mask is a particularly significant torsional sign because it allows him to move away from socially regulated identity. The painted face becomes a semantic threshold between Jack-as-schoolboy and Jack-as-hunter. ITT can describe this as **identity torsion**: the subject's identity is transformed through the interaction of anonymity, violence, group psychology, and power. SGT complements this by showing how the semantic gravity surrounding Jack shifts. He begins as choir leader and disciplined schoolboy but increasingly attracts meanings associated with dominance and violence. The transformation demonstrates that character identity is not fixed; it is a gravitational configuration that can be reorganized by environmental pressure.

XIII. PIGGY AND THE SEMANTIC MASS OF REASON

Piggy represents another semantic field: rationality, knowledge, physical vulnerability, technological reasoning, and intellectual authority. His glasses become an especially dense sign because they attract meanings associated with vision, science, fire, perception, and technological power. The glasses enable the boys to create fire, but they also become an object of political conflict. Their meaning therefore changes according to relational context. Under one configuration, they signify knowledge; under another, material power. This is a textbook instance of ITT's principle that **the meaning of an object changes when its relational field changes**. Piggy himself experiences semantic marginalization because his intellectual value is increasingly overwhelmed by the gravitational force of Jack's physical and political power.

XIV. SIMON AND THE TORSION OF THE "BEAST"

Simon provides the novel's deepest challenge to the other boys' interpretation of fear. The "beast" initially functions as an uncertain sign: it may represent an external monster, an imagined threat, or something psychologically internal. Its semantic gravity increases as fear spreads through the group. The more the boys discuss the beast, the greater its symbolic mass becomes. Eventually, the beast becomes a collective projection. ITT can describe this as **recursive semantic torsion**: interpretation creates a sign that subsequently acts upon the interpreters. The boys construct the meaning of the beast, and the constructed meaning then reorganizes their behaviour. Fear therefore becomes self-generating. The beast is not powerful merely because it exists as an object of belief; it becomes powerful because the social field continually intensifies its semantic gravity.

XV. FROM SEMANTIC GRAVITY TO TORSIONAL DENSITY

The movement from Stevenson to Golding can now be formulated more precisely. Stevenson's adventure worlds contain danger, but danger is generally integrated into a developmental semantic trajectory. Golding's island contains similar adventure signs but places them under greater relational pressure. The result is increased torsional density. In Stevenson, the treasure map, ship, island, pirate, weapon, and sea generally participate in a quest structure that organizes danger into narrative progression. In Golding, the conch, fire, mask, pig, beast, forest, mountain, and island increasingly pull in conflicting directions. Their meanings become unstable because no single narrative structure can contain them. Thus, Golding's achievement can be understood as an **intensification of semantic gravity into torsional crisis**.

XVI. THE CONCH: FROM GRAVITY TO COLLAPSE

The conch provides perhaps the clearest comparative demonstration of the SGT–ITT synthesis. Its initial semantic gravity is extraordinarily high. It attracts speech, authority, assembly, law, equality, and collective decision-making. But

as Jack's faction develops, these meanings begin to repel rather than attract one another. The conch becomes associated with a social order that is increasingly incapable of enforcing itself. Its semantic pressure therefore rises. Eventually, the destruction of the conch marks a torsional threshold. The sign does not simply break physically; its entire semantic configuration collapses. The destruction represents **Break/Reconfiguration** in ITT's operational formula. After the break, authority is no longer organized through collective speech but through force and fear.

XVII. THE SIGNAL FIRE AND THE GRAVITY OF HOPE

The signal fire initially possesses strong positive semantic gravity. It signifies rescue, civilization, connection with the adult world, and future-oriented hope. Yet its meaning becomes unstable when responsibility for maintaining it conflicts with hunting. Jack's hunters allow the fire to go out while a ship passes, and the resulting failure intensifies the semantic tension between immediate desire and long-term survival. The fire thus becomes a torsional node where civilization and instinct collide. Later, the fire's meaning is transformed again when it is used to flush Ralph from hiding. The same sign that once symbolized rescue becomes an instrument of destruction. This is one of Golding's most powerful demonstrations of ITT: **a sign can retain its material identity while reversing its semantic vector**.

XVIII. TREASURE AND FIRE: A COMPARATIVE SEMANTIC TRANSFORMATION

A particularly productive comparison between Stevenson and Golding lies in the relation between treasure and fire. Stevenson's treasure is an external object around which desire, adventure, danger, and greed organize themselves. Golding's fire is initially a collective instrument oriented toward rescue. Yet both objects acquire increasingly complex semantic fields. Treasure attracts adults and children toward violence because material value creates competition. Fire attracts the boys toward collective responsibility but later becomes an instrument of violence. The difference reveals two distinct semantic trajectories. Stevenson's treasure produces **adventure through attraction**; Golding's fire produces **conflict through transformation**. In SGT terminology, both are high-mass signs, but their trajectories differ. In ITT terminology, Golding subjects the adventure-sign to stronger relational torsion.

XIX. LONG JOHN SILVER AND JACK: THE TORSION OF THE CHARISMATIC ANTAGONIST

Long John Silver and Jack Merridew can be compared as figures of charismatic instability. Both possess rhetorical and social power; both understand the psychology of others; both manipulate group expectations; and both complicate simple distinctions between leadership and domination. Yet their semantic functions differ. Silver remains an adult figure whose ambiguity teaches Jim to interpret deception. Jack becomes a child whose transformation exposes the frightening possibility that the structures associated with civilization may exist only contingently. Silver's danger is external and interpersonal; Jack's danger becomes internal and collective. The transition from Silver to Jack therefore represents a movement from **adventure's ambiguous adult world** to **childhood's internalized violence**.

XX. JIM HAWKINS AND RALPH: TWO TRAJECTORIES OF CHILDHOOD

Jim Hawkins and Ralph provide an especially illuminating comparison. Both occupy positions of leadership or narrative centrality, both encounter danger, and both attempt to preserve some form of order. Yet their semantic trajectories diverge because their environments possess different torsional densities. Jim exists within an adult world that, despite its violence, retains recognizable institutions and hierarchies. Ralph exists in a world where institutional continuity has been abruptly removed. Consequently, Jim's trajectory tends toward maturation, while Ralph's tends toward disillusionment. SGT would describe Jim's movement as **gravitational integration**: he incorporates new meanings into an increasingly mature identity. Ralph's movement is closer to **gravitational destabilization**: the semantic structures that once organized his identity progressively lose force. ITT describes the process as a movement from pressure toward threshold and rupture.

XXI. DAVID BALFOUR AND RALPH: HISTORY VERSUS ISOLATION

David Balfour and Ralph also represent contrasting relationships between childhood and history. David enters a historically dense environment. His identity becomes more complex because he discovers that individual action is inseparable from political history. Ralph, by contrast, initially appears outside history. The island seems to suspend ordinary political structures. Yet Golding gradually reveals that history cannot simply be escaped: the boys carry with them the institutional assumptions of British society, including ideas about authority, hierarchy, discipline, and masculinity. The island therefore becomes a laboratory in which historical structures are stripped down and reconstructed. This is another form of semantic torsion: **the absence of institutions reveals the hidden forces that institutions had previously organized**.

XXII. THE PIRATE AND THE SAVAGE: A TORSIONAL GENEALOGY

The figure of the pirate is particularly important in this comparative framework. Stevenson helped establish the pirate as a central figure in children's adventure fiction, and later children's literature repeatedly reproduced and transformed Stevenson's piratical motifs. Golding's *Lord of the Flies* can be read as radically transforming this tradition. The pirate once represented external danger; in Golding, danger is relocated inside the group of children. The “other” is no longer necessarily a foreign adult, pirate, or criminal. It becomes a possibility within the child himself. Recent

criticism has emphasized that Golding's representation destabilizes a simple civilized/savage opposition, showing that the same characters can participate in both supposedly civilized and savage roles. ITT describes this as **internalized torsion**: the opposition between civilization and savagery is folded back into the same subject.

XXIII. THE CHILD SAVAGE AND THE COLLAPSE OF BINARY OPPOSITIONS

The conventional adventure narrative often establishes distinctions between civilized child and dangerous adult, innocent boy and pirate, hero and villain, home and wilderness. Golding destabilizes these binaries. Ralph can become violent; Jack can display organizational competence; Piggy can be morally perceptive but socially vulnerable; Simon can perceive truth but remain powerless; Roger can transform from schoolboy into perpetrator. The binary civilization/savagery therefore becomes unstable. ITT is particularly suited to this structure because it does not assume that contradictory meanings must be resolved into a single stable identity. Instead, contradiction generates torsional pressure. The child can simultaneously be innocent and dangerous, rational and irrational, social and tribal, compassionate and violent. Golding's child is therefore a **multi-vector semantic subject**.

XIV. THE MASK AS A TORSIONAL THRESHOLD

Jack's mask deserves special theoretical emphasis because it marks a Threshold. Before the mask, Jack remains visibly embedded within the identity of the schoolboy. After the mask, he acquires anonymity and psychological distance from previous social restraints. The mask therefore does not merely conceal the face; it alters the relational configuration between individual and group. Under SGT, the mask acquires increasing semantic mass as it attracts meanings of freedom, disguise, violence, tribal identity, and release from social accountability. Under ITT, it functions as a threshold object through which one configuration of identity breaks and another emerges. The mask is therefore a material sign of **torsional metamorphosis**.

XV. FEAR AS SEMANTIC GRAVITY

Fear gradually becomes the strongest semantic attractor in *Lord of the Flies*. Initially, fear is dispersed among individual anxieties. As the boys discuss the beast, however, fear acquires semantic mass. It begins attracting other meanings: darkness, forest, death, uncertainty, punishment, supernatural danger, and social vulnerability. Eventually, fear becomes a political resource. Jack's leadership gains strength partly because he can present himself as the protector against the threat he simultaneously helps magnify. This represents a transformation from **affective gravity to political gravity**. Fear ceases merely to describe a psychological condition and becomes an instrument for organizing social behaviour. The ITT significance is profound: a meaning generated within the group becomes a force that reorganizes the group.

XVI. CHILDHOOD AND THE POLITICS OF LEADERSHIP

Stevenson's children generally acquire authority through courage, observation, endurance, and moral learning, while Golding's boys demonstrate that leadership can emerge through fear, charisma, spectacle, and control. This does not mean that Golding simply replaces “good leadership” with “bad leadership.” Rather, he subjects the concept of leadership itself to torsional pressure. Ralph's democratic assembly system depends upon collective consent; Jack's system depends increasingly upon emotional identification and coercion. The conch and the mask become competing semantic technologies of leadership. The conch organizes authority through speech; the mask organizes authority through spectacle. The conflict between them is therefore a conflict between two semiotic systems. SGT reveals the differing gravitational structures, while ITT reveals the pressure generated by their collision.

XVII. THE ADULT ABSENCE

One of the most significant differences between Stevenson and Golding is the status of adults. Stevenson's adventure fiction places children within a dangerous adult world. Golding removes adults almost entirely from the immediate narrative environment. This absence radically changes the semantic field. In Stevenson, childhood remains relationally defined through interaction with adults; in Golding, childhood must construct its own institutions. The disappearance of adult authority therefore increases torsional density. The boys must simultaneously become children, citizens, rulers, hunters, judges, and survivors. Childhood and adulthood begin to overlap. ITT identifies this as **developmental torsion**: the categories of child and adult become unstable because the social environment demands adult responsibilities from children while preserving their psychological immaturity.

XVIII. THE LITTLUNS AND THE FRAGMENTATION OF CHILDHOOD

The littluns are often treated as background figures, but through ITT they become important indicators of semantic fragmentation. They embody vulnerability, fear, appetite, play, dependency, and limited political agency. Their presence reminds the reader that “childhood” is not a homogeneous category. The older boys increasingly claim authority over the younger ones, creating an internal hierarchy of childhood. Recent scholarship has challenged the assumption that Golding's children simply move from civilization into savagery, arguing instead that the novel complicates the civilized/savage binary itself. The littluns consequently demonstrate that childhood contains multiple semantic positions determined by age, strength, knowledge, and access to power.

XIX. STEVENSON'S MORAL ADVENTURE AND GOLDING'S EXISTENTIAL ADVENTURE

The distinction between the two writers may ultimately be articulated through the concept of **moral trajectory**. Stevenson presents adventure as an environment in which moral identity can be tested and developed. Golding presents adventure as an environment in which moral identity itself becomes uncertain. Stevenson's question tends toward: **How does the child become capable of confronting danger?** Golding's question tends toward: **What happens when danger removes the structures through which the child has learned to distinguish right from wrong?** The first is developmental; the second is ontological. Yet the two remain connected. Golding's achievement becomes more intelligible when read against the adventure tradition that preceded him. His island is powerful partly because readers recognize its literary ancestry. The familiar adventure landscape becomes strange. The resulting strangeness is an instance of **intertextual torsion**.

XXX. GOLDING'S NOVEL AS TORSIONAL REWRITING OF STEVENSON

The relationship between Stevenson and Golding can therefore be conceptualized as a torsional genealogy rather than merely as influence. Golding does not simply repeat Stevenson's adventure model. He takes recognizable components—children, island, danger, exploration, group organization, wilderness, weapons, adventure—and places them under radically altered relational pressure. The resulting meanings change. **Island** shifts from adventure to confinement. **Child** shifts from explorer to potential perpetrator. **Freedom** shifts from opportunity to vulnerability. **Leadership** shifts from responsibility to domination. **Fire** shifts from rescue to destruction. **Mask** shifts from play to violence. **Beast** shifts from external monster to internal projection. **Adventure** shifts from pleasurable uncertainty to existential crisis. This is precisely what ITT calls torsional transformation: the sign retains recognizable identity while its semantic vector changes.

XXXI. A SYNTHESIS OF SGT AND ITT

The combined theoretical model can now be formulated as follows. **SGT identifies where meaning gravitates; ITT identifies what happens when the gravitational field is subjected to competing pressure.** SGT is therefore primarily concerned with semantic distribution, attraction, concentration, trajectory, mass, and metamorphosis. ITT is primarily concerned with relational pressure, torsional axes, density, vectors, thresholds, breaks, residues, and reconfiguration. In Stevenson, the semantic gravity of childhood is concentrated around imagination, adventure, courage, discovery, and maturation. These meanings attract danger but generally integrate it into a developmental trajectory. In Golding, those same meanings are subjected to stronger and more contradictory forces. The adventure island becomes a torsional node; childhood becomes a field of competing vectors; leadership becomes a struggle between institutional and charismatic authority; fear becomes politically productive; and innocence becomes semantically unstable. SGT thus provides the gravitational map, while ITT provides the mechanics of transformation.

XXXII. THE COMPARATIVE SGT–ITT FORMULA

The comparative movement can be represented conceptually as:

Stevenson:

Childhood → Adventure → Encounter → Semantic Expansion → Experience → Maturation

and:

Golding:

Childhood → Isolation → Fear → Relational Pressure → Torsional Density → Threshold → Break/Reconfiguration

The two trajectories are not absolute opposites. Stevenson's adventure already contains danger and ambiguity, while Golding's darkness still contains the possibility of moral recognition. Rather, Golding increases the torsional intensity of elements that Stevenson organizes within an adventure framework. Consequently, *Lord of the Flies* can be understood as a later transformation of the semantic field of children's adventure fiction.

XXXIII. CHILDHOOD AS SEMANTIC MASS

Both writers ultimately demonstrate that childhood possesses extraordinary semantic mass because it attracts meanings belonging to both individual development and collective culture. The child is simultaneously biological, psychological, social, political, ethical, imaginative, and historical. Stevenson emphasizes the child's capacity to move through these meanings; Golding emphasizes their instability. In SGT terms, the child is a **high-gravity semantic object**. In ITT terms, the child is a **high-density torsional node**. This dual characterization allows children's literature to be interpreted as a particularly powerful form of cultural theory. The child is where a society stores its hopes and anxieties about the future. To write about children is therefore often to write about civilization itself.

XXXIV. THE CULTURAL SEMANTICS OF INNOCENCE

"Innocence" is perhaps the most contested semantic sign shared by the two authors. Stevenson's children are not simply innocent; they are inexperienced and increasingly exposed to moral complexity. Golding's boys are initially treated as innocent, but the novel destabilizes the assumption that innocence is a stable internal essence. The child can learn violence just as readily as morality. This does not necessarily mean that Golding denies the existence of moral choice. Rather, he demonstrates the importance of social structures in shaping the direction of human behaviour. Contemporary criticism similarly notes that *Lord of the Flies* complicates rather than simply confirms the binary opposition between civilization and savagery. ITT therefore allows "innocence" to be understood as a configuration rather than a substance. It

is maintained through relations, institutions, habits, language, authority, and ethical recognition. When those relations change, the meaning of innocence changes with them.

XXXV. CHILDHOOD, COLONIALISM, AND EMPIRE

Stevenson's adventure tradition cannot be separated entirely from the imperial culture in which it emerged. The island adventure, treasure hunt, maritime journey, pirate figure, foreign landscape, and masculine quest all participate in nineteenth-century cultural imaginaries of travel and empire. Yet critical scholarship has also identified ways in which *Treasure Island* complicates and even critiques imperial adventure conventions, particularly through the manipulative rhetoric of Long John Silver. This ambiguity is highly productive for SGT–ITT analysis. Empire possesses semantic gravity in the adventure genre, attracting meanings of exploration, wealth, danger, masculinity, and territorial expansion. Stevenson does not simply affirm these meanings; he introduces torsional pressure by exposing deception, greed, vulnerability, and the instability of adult authority. Golding later intensifies this pressure by relocating the structures of domination within the children themselves. The colonial "other" becomes less geographically external and more psychologically internal.

XXXVI. THE ISLAND AS A LABORATORY OF CULTURE

The island in both Stevenson and Golding is more than a setting. It is a **semantic laboratory**. It removes characters from ordinary social environments and intensifies the relationship between human beings and space. Yet the experiments are different. Stevenson uses the island to maximize adventure, discovery, secrecy, and quest. Golding uses it to test what happens when institutional structures are removed. SGT therefore describes the island as a gravitational concentrator: it gathers meanings that might otherwise remain distributed across society. ITT describes it as a torsional amplifier: it intensifies the interaction among those meanings. The island becomes a place where cultural assumptions become visible because the ordinary mechanisms that conceal them are weakened.

XXXVII. FROM TREASURE ISLAND TO LORD OF THE FLIES

The literary movement from Stevenson to Golding can consequently be understood as a transformation in the semantic status of the island adventure. In *Treasure Island*, the island is mysterious because it contains hidden treasure. In *Lord of the Flies*, the island is mysterious because it contains hidden dimensions of the human subject. Stevenson's secret is externalized as treasure; Golding's secret is internalized as violence. The pirate guards the treasure in Stevenson; the child becomes the potential source of danger in Golding. The adventure quest therefore turns inward. What begins as a geographical journey becomes an ontological investigation. This is one of the most important consequences of the SGT–ITT reading.

XXXVIII. THE TORSIONAL CYCLE OF GOLDING'S CHILDREN

Golding's narrative can be represented through the Founder's ITT operational sequence:

$$\mathbf{N \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow D \rightarrow V \rightarrow T_h \rightarrow B/R \rightarrow R_s \rightarrow C'}$$

where-

N — Node: the stranded schoolboys and the island.

F — Forces: fear, isolation, hunger, leadership, memory, social conditioning, desire, violence, and the need for rescue.

A — Axes: civilization, savagery, reason, emotion, power, fear, masculinity, childhood, group identity, and survival.

P — Pressure: increasing conflict between Ralph's civic order and Jack's hunting culture.

D — Torsional Density: concentration of fear, authority, violence, symbolism, and group psychology.

V — Vector: movement from democratic organization toward tribal hierarchy.

T_h — Threshold: the increasing normalization of violence and the destruction of symbolic authority.

B/R — Break/Reconfiguration: the collapse of Ralph's social order and the consolidation of Jack's regime.

R_s — Residue: memory of civilization, guilt, reason, the conch, Piggy's intellectual legacy, and Ralph's final recognition.

C′ — Transformed Configuration: a society in which the boys' identities have been radically altered by the pressures of isolation and violence.

XXXIX. THE SGT MODEL OF STEVENSON'S CHILD

Stevenson's central trajectory can similarly be represented through SGT:

Semantic Node → Gravitational Attraction → Adventure Field → Encounter → Dispersion → Reorientation → Maturation

Jim Hawkins begins within a relatively narrow semantic field but progressively acquires new meanings through experience. David Balfour's identity expands through historical and political encounters. The child in *A Child's Garden of Verses* expands the semantic field of everyday reality through imagination. Across these texts, childhood therefore demonstrates **semantic mobility**. The child moves from one gravitational field to another without necessarily losing the coherence of identity.

XL. THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR CHILDREN'S LITERATURE

The comparative application of SGT and ITT suggests that children's literature should not be treated as semantically simple merely because its protagonists are children or because its narratives employ adventure, fantasy, play, or accessible language. On the contrary, children's literature may possess

extraordinarily high semantic density because childhood itself is culturally overdetermined. Every representation of the child carries assumptions about adulthood, morality, education, socialization, gender, power, futurity, and civilization. Stevenson and Golding demonstrate two distinct ways of organizing that density. Stevenson creates a field in which semantic meanings tend toward developmental integration. Golding creates a field in which those meanings collide until their former configuration becomes unsustainable. The contrast demonstrates that the history of children's literature can be understood partly as a history of changing semantic and torsional configurations of childhood.

XLI. CONCLUSION: FROM THE CHILD OF ADVENTURE TO THE CHILD OF TORSION

A comparative reading of Robert Louis Stevenson and William Golding through **Semantic Gravity Theory and Interpretive Torsion Theory** reveals a profound transformation in the literary semantics of childhood. Stevenson constructs childhood through adventure, imagination, discovery, danger, moral learning, and movement toward maturity. His child enters a world whose dangers exert pressure but whose narrative structure generally converts that pressure into experience. Jim Hawkins learns to interpret deception; David Balfour acquires historical and moral consciousness; the child of *A Child's Garden of Verses* transforms ordinary reality through imagination. The semantic gravity of childhood therefore remains oriented toward development. Golding takes many of the same generic materials—the child, the island, adventure, exploration, leadership, wilderness, danger—and subjects them to intensified relational pressure. In *Lord of the Flies*, the adventure island becomes a torsional laboratory. The child ceases to function simply as the innocent explorer and becomes a site where civilization and violence, reason and fear, authority and desire, social order and individual aggression intersect. The conch, signal fire, mask, glasses, beast, island, and pig's head become high-density signs whose meanings transform as the relational field changes. The novel's achievement is therefore not simply that it portrays children behaving violently; its deeper significance lies in the transformation of the semantic architecture surrounding childhood. Golding exposes the possibility that innocence, authority, civilization, and savagery are not fixed essences but configurations maintained through social relations.

The SGT–ITT synthesis makes this transformation theoretically visible. **SGT explains the gravitational organization of childhood meanings; ITT explains their torsional transformation under pressure.** Stevenson demonstrates how childhood can move through semantic fields and accumulate experience without losing the possibility of moral development. Golding demonstrates what happens when the structures that organize those meanings become unstable. The movement from *Treasure Island* to *Lord of the Flies* can therefore be understood as a movement from **adventure as semantic expansion to adventure as semantic torsion**. Stevenson's island promises discovery; Golding's island reveals instability. Stevenson's danger educates; Golding's danger transforms. Stevenson's child encounters the adult world; Golding's child reconstructs an adult world inside the absence of adults. The pirate remains an external danger in Stevenson, whereas Golding internalizes the possibility represented by the pirate, the savage, and the violent other within the child collective itself. In this respect, *Lord of the Flies* does not simply reject the adventure tradition; it **twists it**. It takes the gravitational vocabulary of children's adventure—island, boy, freedom, danger, leadership, exploration—and subjects it to torsional pressure until its semantic configuration changes. This provides the deepest point of convergence between SGT and ITT: **semantic gravity explains why meanings attract one another; interpretive torsion explains why those meanings sometimes cease to remain what they were.**

The comparative argument may therefore be condensed into a final theoretical proposition: **Stevenson's childhood is a field of semantic movement, whereas Golding's childhood is a field of interpretive torsion.** The former emphasizes the acquisition of meaning through adventure; the latter reveals the transformation of meaning under crisis. Yet both writers ultimately recognize childhood as a privileged site where culture becomes visible. The child is never merely a child in literature. The child is an image of what a culture believes itself to be, what it fears becoming, and what it hopes its future might contain. Through SGT, that cultural image acquires semantic gravity; through ITT, it becomes subject to relational pressure, torsional transformation, threshold, rupture, residue, and reconfiguration. Stevenson and Golding thus stand not merely at two points in the history of children's literature but at two different moments in the changing conceptual history of childhood itself: **the child as adventurer and the child as ontological question; the child as semantic traveller and the child as torsional node; the child who discovers the world and the child through whom the world discovers its own instability.**

XLII. REFERENCES

[1] Golding, William. *Lord of the Flies*. Faber and Faber, 1954.
[2] Hammond, J. R. *A Robert Louis Stevenson Companion: A Guide to the Novels, Essays, and Short Stories*. Macmillan, 1984.
[3] Hunt, Peter. *An Introduction to Children's Literature*. Oxford UP, 1994.
[4] MacLachlan, Christopher. *Robert Louis Stevenson's Treasure Island, Kidnapped and Catriona*. Association for Scottish Literary Studies, 2006.
[5] Nayak, S. K. "Interpretive Torsion Theory (ITT): A New Theory of Meaning, Literature, Cultural Interpretation, and Transformative Reading." *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 4, 2020, pp. 38–66.

[6] Nayak, Santosh Kumar "Semantic Gravity Theory (SGT): Toward a Dynamic Physics of Literary Meaning, Absence, Memory, Ethics, and Interpretive Transformation." *American Journal of Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. Axb-1–Axb-18. https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22538561

[7] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "Semantic Gravity Theory (SGT): A New Literary Theory of Meaning beyond Structure and Instability, Possibility toward a Trajectory of Interpretive Attraction, Absential Force …" *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 12, 2019, pp. 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1018/IJCEE.2019.7.12.8432

[8] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "Semantic Gravity Theory: The Topology of Meaning, Semantic Pressure, Textual Depth, and the Dynamic Life of Literature." *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2020, pp. 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1018/IJCEE.2020.8.1.109

[9] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "Socio-Economic Dimensions, Issues and Implications of Post-Independence Odisha and Its Manifestation in Odia Poetry with a Special Case Study of Guruprasad Mohanty's *Kaalapurusha*." *International Journal of Trends in Scientific Research and Development*, 2018.

[10] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "The Ontology of Compassion: Human Existence, Social Reality, the Ethics of Being, the Poetics of Becoming, and the Literary Philosophy of Gourahari Das." *Journal of Advance and Future Research*, vol. 4, no. 7, 2026, pp. 887–915.

[11] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "The Paralysed Self and the Failure to Become: Masculine Impotence, Existential Worthlessness, and the Crisis of Human Agency in Guruprasad Mohanty's 'Gobar Ganesh' and T. S. …" *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 3, 2024, pp. 133–165. https://doi.org/10.1018/IJCEE.2024.12.3.4679

[12] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "The Phenomenon of Deconstruction versus the Inherent Desire of Text." *International Journal of Trends in Scientific Research and Development*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2017.

[13] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "The Poet as Genetic Architect: Science, Gestation, Language, and the Ontology of Creation in Bishnu Charan Sethi's 'Kabi.'" *American Journal of Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 16, no. 4, 2026, pp. 26/1–26/39. https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21981122

[14] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "When Earth Becomes Heaven: Ethical Immanence, Mortality, and the Philosophy of Return in Giribala Mohanty's *Do Not Ask Me About the Sky*." *American Journal of Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 16, no. 4, 2026, pp. 21/1–21/32. https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21808863

[15] Nayak, Santosh Kumar. "When Flesh Blossoms into Flowers: Erotic Myth, Archetypal Metamorphosis, and Modernist Experimentation in Guru Prasad Mohanty's *Champaaphula*." *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 8, 2026, pp. 17–27. https://doi.org/10.1018/IJCEE.2026.14.8.8414

[16] Nodelman, Perry. *The Hidden Adult: Defining Children's Literature*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2008.

[17] Robson, W. W. "On *Kidnapped*." *The Definition of Literature and Other Essays*, Cambridge UP, 1982, pp. 97–118.

[18] Rose, Jacqueline. *The Case of Peter Pan, or the Impossibility of Children's Fiction*. Macmillan, 1984.

[19] Stevenson, Robert Louis. *A Child's Garden of Verses*. 1885.

[20] Townsend, John Rowe. *Written for Children: An Outline of English-Language Children's Literature*. 2nd ed., Penguin Books, 1987.