

Foreign Language Teaching in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and Solutions

Xiaoquan Pan^{1*}, Huijuan Shao²

1. Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China

pxq@zjnu.cn

2. Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China

hjshao@zjnu.cn

* Corresponding author: Xiaoquan Pan, Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University, 688 Yingbin Road, Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, China. E-mail: pxq@zjnu.cn

Abstract: *The deep integration of artificial intelligence technology is triggering a paradigm shift in foreign language education. Drawing on interdisciplinary perspectives from the philosophy of technology and the sociology of education, this study systematically analyzes the tri-dimensional transformation of foreign language teaching driven by artificial intelligence: the teaching agents transition from knowledge authorities to learning designers; instructional content evolves from a structural to an ecological paradigm; and the teaching environment leverages pervasive technologies to construct phygital (physical-digital) integrative spaces. Concurrently, the study reveals underlying predicaments of technological alienation: algorithmic dominance eroding pedagogical subjectivity, the intelligent divide exacerbating educational inequality, and instrumental rationality dissolving the humanistic value of language. To address these challenges, a novel educational ecosystem centered on “Human-Machine Co-symbiosis” is proposed. This involves a synergistic architecture encompassing an infrastructure layer, a driving layer, and a practice layer, coupled with innovative mechanisms featuring smart learning, collaborative intelligence empowerment, and dynamic assessment, thereby enhancing technical efficacy while safeguarding humanistic core values. The research emphasizes the educator’s central role in fostering holistic development and advocates for constructing an ethical framework prioritizing algorithmic transparency and digital equity. This approach offers a transformative pathway for foreign language education in the intelligent era that balances technological adaptation with disciplinary integrity.*

Keywords—foreign language teaching; artificial intelligence; digital education; educational technology; teaching design

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of artificial intelligence technology is triggering deep-seated transformations within global education systems. Since the breakthrough advances in deep learning, AI systems powered by natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and generative artificial intelligence have profoundly penetrated the field of language teaching. As indicated by the HolonIQ (2023) Global Digital Education report, the compound annual growth rate of the educational AI market during 2022–2025 stands at 38.6%, with language learning applications accounting for over 42% of this sector. This underscores AI’s evolution from a supplementary tool into a constructive force shaping pedagogical environments (Kyambade et al., 2024). This technological revolution is deconstructing the physical boundaries, agentive relationships, and epistemological foundations of traditional foreign language teaching: adaptive learning systems enable precise control over personalized knowledge delivery; neural machine translation (NMT) reshapes language-conversion mechanisms, compelling pedagogical objectives to shift toward higher-order cognitive competencies; and virtual reality (VR) technology reconfigures the spatiotemporal dimensions of cultural immersion. Confronted by such systemic technological penetration, foreign language education urgently requires a

new equilibrium—one that reconciles technological empowerment with the preservation of humanistic values. This constitutes the contemporary context framing the current study.

Foreign language pedagogy presents distinctive disciplinary vulnerabilities and transformative opportunities under AI’s impact. Unlike other academic domains, the essence of language education lies in the uniquely human capacity for symbolic cognition and cross-cultural communicative practices—precisely the focal areas of contemporary AI breakthroughs. Profound shifts are occurring at the level of pedagogical philosophy: Hymes’ (1972) foundational “communicative competence” framework is being reconfigured due to the integration of AI conversational agents, mandating the inclusion of human-AI collaborative communicative strategies as a new dimension in language proficiency assessment (Cui et al., 2026). Similarly, Byram’s (1997) model of intercultural competence demands rebalancing between algorithmic cultural biases and technology-enabled global connectivity. These imperatives necessitate moving beyond instrumental rationality in discussions of technological application toward critical engagement with AI’s fundamental reconstitution of linguistic cognition, the erosion of traditional pedagogical authority, and the reshaping of cross-cultural understanding.

Current scholarly investigations remain constrained by three significant limitations: First, an excessive focus on micro-level technical applications at the expense of systematic theoretical construction has resulted in fragmented depictions of the relationship between AI empowerment and pedagogical reform (Tate & Warschauer, 2022). Second, examinations of ethical quandaries predominantly concentrate on data privacy, neglecting deeper analysis of how algorithmic power undermines teacher-student agency and reinforces cultural hegemony (Fancourt et al., 2022). Third, existing solution-oriented research frequently succumbs to a binary opposition between technological determinism and humanistic conservatism, failing to propose viable pathways for constructing symbiotic human-machine educational ecosystems. Grounded in the intersection of philosophy of technology and educational sociology, this study aims to: (1) systematically analyze the paradigmatic shifts and structural challenges facing foreign language teaching driven by AI; (2) critically interrogate the transfer of epistemic sovereignty and ethical dilemmas precipitated by technological alienation; and (3) ultimately construct a framework for disciplinary development centered on the principle of human-machine co-emergence. This research seeks to provide theoretically robust and pragmatically sound strategies for transforming foreign language education in the age of artificial intelligence.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Research on foreign language teaching in the era of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is situated at the intersection of three theoretical dimensions: linguistics, educational technology, and cognitive science.

First, Second Language Acquisition (SLA) theory constitutes the core pillar. Krashen (1985)'s Input Hypothesis emphasizes the critical role of comprehensible input in language internalization, while Swain (2005)'s Output Hypothesis elucidates the cognitive regulatory function of language production. Empowered by AI technology, intelligent algorithms achieve precise adaptation to the "i+1" principle through dynamic corpus analysis, learner profiling, and personalized content delivery, thereby expanding the boundaries of traditional input theory.

Second, Sociocultural Theory underscores the catalytic value of mediational tools in social interaction. Vygotsky (1978)'s Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) acquires new meaning in intelligent contexts: AI-driven digital mediational tools, such as virtual language partners and intercultural communication simulation platforms, reconfigure multidimensional interaction networks (teacher-student, student-student, and human-computer), offering technological potential for the instantiated expansion of ZPD (Warschauer, 1997).

Third, Connectionism reveals the underlying isomorphism between deep learning and language cognition. AI models based on neural networks simulate the distributed information-processing mechanisms of the human brain, establishing

semantic-syntactic mapping relationships through training on massive corpora. This resonates theoretically with Ellis and Larsen-Freeman's (2006) Exemplar-Based Learning model, providing a cognitive basis for explaining AI-facilitated acquisition of implicit linguistic knowledge.

The theoretical paradigm of technology-enhanced teaching has shifted from tool assistance to ecological transformation. The TPACK framework (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) in educational technology highlights the complex competence required for teachers to integrate technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge. In the AI context, this framework extends to a new dimension—algorithmic literacy—referring to teachers' ability to understand machine learning mechanisms and critically apply them to pedagogical decision-making (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). Situated Cognition theory posits that knowledge is constructed through socio-technical environmental interactions. Virtual "communities of practice" (Lave & Wenger, 1991) created by adaptive learning systems immerse learners in authentic language-use scenarios via real-time data capture and contextualized task design. Distributed Cognition theory deconstructs cognitive load allocation mechanisms in AI-enabled environments: intelligent agents undertake lower-order cognitive tasks (e.g., lexical retrieval, grammatical correction), enabling learners to focus on higher-order language strategy development (Hutchins, 1995). Technological ecosystems thus drive a shift in foreign language teaching from teacher-centered knowledge transmission towards learner-centered cognitive augmentation.

Confronted with AI-driven educational transformation, Critical Philosophy of Technology provides a framework for value-based reflection. Feenberg (1999)'s theory of the Technical Code warns of power structures embedded in technological application. Posthumanist Pedagogy challenges traditional dualistic thinking, advocating for the reconfiguration of pedagogical subjectivity via a human-technological assemblage. Teachers must transcend instrumental rationality and develop the capacity for normalization (Chiang et al., 2021)—seamlessly integrating AI into pedagogical processes rather than passively adapting to technological imperatives. This theoretical framework necessitates the concurrent construction of an ethical evaluation matrix (Selwyn, 2016) when advancing technological integration, encompassing three dimensions: algorithmic transparency, digital equity, and preservation of learner agency. This ensures technological innovation serves the intrinsic educational goal of holistic learner development.

3. THE TRANSFORMATIVE LANDSCAPE OF AI-DRIVEN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING

3.1 Restructuring of the Teaching Agent: From Authority Transmitter to Learning Designer

The deep integration of artificial intelligence is deconstructing the traditional power structure of foreign language teaching, propelling a paradigm shift in the primary

teaching agent—from a unidirectional epistemic authority towards a multi-dimensional learning designer. Under the Industrial Era’s “teacher-centric” model (Ahiaku & Muyambi, 2024), teachers established legitimacy through textbook monopoly and experiential accumulation, essentially functioning as authoritative interpreters of standardized disciplinary content. AI-driven adaptive learning systems, however, breach the temporal and spatial barriers to knowledge acquisition, diminishing the core value of mechanistically imparting linguistic rules (Tan et al., 2025). The intermediate-to-advanced learners who utilize AI tools for grammar training fundamentally challenge the teacher’s identity as the sole provider of correct answers. This deconstruction of epistemic authority does not negate the teacher’s value; rather, it drives their evolution into higher-order constructors of cognitive scaffolding—shifting their core function to designing knowledge construction pathways for human-AI collaboration.

This new positioning as learning designers demands teachers master three core competency dimensions:

(1) Learning Ecology Planning: The ability to design phased cognitive load schemes based on neuroplasticity principles (Sweller, 2024). For instance, in virtual language teaching, a teacher can deploy AI grammar diagnostic tools for rule internalization, then focus face-to-face sessions on cultural context simulation: using VR technology to reconstruct everyday conversational scenarios, allowing abstract grammar rules to acquire emotional anchors through immersive interaction.

(2) Data Literacy: Proficiency in utilizing Learning Analytics for precise intervention.

(3) Metacognitive Cultivation Strategies: The capability to leverage cognitive computing to generate learner knowledge graphs, utilizing metacognitive visualization tools to aid students in monitoring their second language acquisition process (Chen et al., 2025).

Institutional safeguards for this transformation necessitate restructuring teacher development systems. Stanford’s Digital Education Center’s “Three-Dimensional Certification Framework”, which encompasses pedagogical technology, learning design, and educational ethics, offers a valuable model. Within the Chinese context, establishing an “AI Teaching Mentorship System” is critical. Key elements include universities forming Smart Education Laboratories and regional teaching research offices creating Communities of Practice. Through design-thinking workshops, lesson plans can be redesigned to include parallel creative writing projects comparing Chinese and English poetry, deepening cross-cultural rhetorical cognition through collaborative correction of machine translation errors (Östman et al., 2025). Ultimately, such transformation will catalyze the formation of a differentiated, flexible pedagogical structure, evolving away from an “epistemocratic hegemony of teaching” towards a “symbiotic ecosystem of learning”.

3.2 Restructuring the Object of Instruction: From Structural to Ecological Paradigm

The deconstruction and reconstruction of foreign language teaching content by artificial intelligence represents, in essence, a historic transcendence of the traditional structuralist paradigm of language teaching. Rooted in Chomsky (1968)’s theory of linguistic competence, the “structural-functional” framework has long dominated textbook compilation and curriculum design. This approach emphasizes the hierarchical deconstruction of grammatical structures, the linear accumulation of lexical systems, and the modular training of language skills. Such paradigms reduce language to a detachable symbol system, aiming at automated output through repetitive practice (DeKeyser, 2007). However, research in neuroscience reveals that natural language acquisition constitutes a dynamic process of neural network restructuring (Schmid, 2020). AI-driven cognitive computing further exposes the fundamental flaw of the traditional paradigm: its fracturing of the symbiotic relationship between formal rules and socio-pragmatic functions, and its stripping away of the adaptive mechanisms employed by cognitive agents within authentic communication.

Empowered by AI, modern foreign language pedagogy is now shifting towards the theoretical dimension of ecological linguistics. The Vygotskian concept of “mediated action” acquires new meaning: Natural Language Processing (NLP) technologies enable learners to engage in multimodal communicative practices through tools like speech recognition, real-time translation, and corpus mining. Consequently, within the framework of embodied cognition, learners construct an integrated triadic ecological framework of language, culture, and cognition (van Lier, 2010). Intelligent content generation systems profoundly restructure language resources: personalized pragmatic instruction based on dynamic contexts replaces the rote teaching of syntactic rules; cross-cultural dialogue corpora supersede standardized conversational templates; and analysis of sociolinguistic variation features displaces traditional standard dialect learning. For instance, AI virtual communities can simulate register shifting within scenarios like business negotiations or academic debates, training learners’ metacognitive capacity to dynamically select linguistic codes from their linguistic repertoire (Canagarajah, 2013). This ecological transformation fundamentally dismantles the linear progression model of “language knowledge → communicative competence”.

The core transformation of the ecological paradigm lies in the ontological restructuring of teaching content. Centered on adaptive learning systems, the content manifests a tripartite transformation. In constitutive dimension, a shift occurs away from discrete linguistic items towards ecosystems of discursive action. In organizational logic, a networked architecture based on complex systems theory is adopted. Technologies such as knowledge graphs reveal the non-linear interaction patterns linking vocabulary, constructions, and pragmatic functions. In terms of implementation pathway,

relying on big data-driven emergent syllabus generation, content complexity is dynamically adjusted in real-time based on learners' cognitive trajectories.

This ecological paradigm fundamentally re-engineers the ontological modality of teaching content, serving simultaneously as a digital mirror of socio-cultural practices and a dynamic scaffold for cognitive evolution.

3.3 System Innovation in Teaching Environments: Generating Ubiquitous Learning Spaces

The deep empowerment of artificial intelligence creates a vision of ubiquitous learning for foreign language teaching environments. The core feature of this transformative spatial reconstruction lies in its reliance on intelligent technology to achieve seamless convergence of physical and virtual boundaries, enabling uninterrupted flows of learning resources and interactions. Its technical support system results from multidimensional collaborative evolution:

Internet of Things (IoT) devices serve as ubiquitous sensory nodes, capturing real-time contextual data on learner locations, states, and physical environments; 5G and edge computing architectures provide ultra-low-latency, high-capacity information channels, ensuring continuous and fluent data exchange; and big data-driven intelligent learning analytics systems continuously map learners' cognitive profiles, distinguishing between areas of mastery and deficiency while identifying social interaction preferences.

The operational logic of this ubiquitous learning space transcends traditional preset and fixed learning paradigms, exhibiting characteristics of intelligent ecological evolution and decentralization. Learning is liberated from the physical constraints of traditional classrooms and rigid temporal divisions. Instead, AI-driven systems dynamically identify individual cognitive trajectories and contextual needs, facilitating precise delivery of learning resource nodes and enabling adaptive path reconstruction. This achieves deep linguistic practice through immersive, context-responsive, and instant compensatory mechanisms.

Core-driven by context-aware computing, spatial generation demonstrates highly personalized adaptive services. Ubiquitous sensory nodes actively shape learning contexts—intelligent terminals automatically identify physical field characteristics, detect learners' physiological states and cognitive foci, then leverage AI engines to optimize learning modalities from resource repositories, generate targeted learning objective segments, and dynamically customize task sequences with optimal difficulty and duration.

Through augmented reality (AR), physical and non-physical classroom extensions enable “point-translate-learn” functionality for linguistic symbols (e.g., overlaying multilingual guides and grammatical annotations on educational exhibits). Virtual reality (VR) constructs high-fidelity sociocultural scenarios in target languages, achieving zero-risk “contextual migration” (Shadiev et al., 2021).

Intelligent systems employ micro-tracking and macro-mapping analytics of learning processes to dynamically optimize pathways, recommending unit combinations with high relevance, moderate cognitive load, and alignment with learners' current interests. This precision infusion approach enhances resource utilization efficacy and human-machine adaptation intelligence.

However, ubiquitous learning spaces extend beyond intelligent resource allocation and path guidance. Their deeper value resides in cultivating language learning ecosystems with social cohesiveness and collaborative evolution. Current technical challenges include overcoming physical isolation barriers via AI-driven “distributed immersive social presence” designs as well as enriching emotional resonance and social interactions in virtual spaces (Xiao et al., 2024).

Intelligent systems must establish sophisticated collaboration frameworks: (1) Enabling cross-domain learners to optimize natural language tasks through deep neural networks (DNNs); (2) Forming dynamic adaptive groups via social network analysis (SNA); and (3) Facilitating collective cognitive growth through multi-user real-time feedback loops.

In this paradigm, learners evolve into co-creators of knowledge and core collaborators in resource iteration, while instructors transition into roles as architects of intelligent space frameworks; guides of pedagogical values; interpreters of learning analytics models. This shift signifies the evolution of AI-era foreign language teaching innovations from connectivity to collective intelligence, representing an advanced paradigm shift in educational ecosystems.

4. ANALYSIS OF PREDICAMENTS IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING IN THE INTELLIGENT ERA

The profound integration of artificial intelligence technology into the domain of foreign language teaching, while bringing significant efficiency gains, has simultaneously given rise to a series of structural internal contradictions and governance challenges. These predicaments pertain not only to the disjunction between technological capability and application efficacy, but also extend deeply to the foundations of educational equity and cultural ethics. They urgently necessitate systematic and in-depth examination and resolution.

4.1 Technological Alienation: The Latent Concern of Instrumental Rationality Transcending Its Limits and the Dissolution of Subjectivity

The initial purpose of introducing artificial intelligence was to enhance the effectiveness and auxiliary nature of teaching tools. However, in practice, the instrumental value of these tools can undergo a fundamental shift, leading to the implicit domination and alienation of teaching subjects. Intelligent tools, by virtue of their predetermined algorithms and demonstrated deterministic advantages, readily attain quasi-authoritative recognition within the pedagogical sphere. Consequently, teachers become gradually entrapped within

highly structured technological processes, their pedagogical agency and creativity subjected to pressure, thereby reducing them to passive operators and data custodians (Heidegger, 1977). Technological alienation affects not only the teaching subject but also adversely impacts the taught subjects (learners): students can easily become preoccupied with pursuing system-recognized, efficient “correct outputs,” leading to an obsession with standardized answers. This surreptitiously strips them of valuable space for critical thinking, profound linguistic appreciation, and personalized expression (Chen, 2017). Crucially developed activities meticulously designed by teachers—such as heuristic discussions and speculative writing in open contexts—face substantial risks of oversimplification or replacement. The essence of this predicament lies in the insidious replacement of the educational value consideration of why to use technology with the operational logic of how to use it. It represents the systematic transgression by instrumental rationality over the agency and creativity intrinsic to the teaching subject, ultimately signifying the potential erosion of the inherent value of pedagogy within the context of an overriding adherence to efficiency.

4.2 The Paradox of Techquity: New Educational Fairness Barriers Under the Smart Divide

Artificial intelligence (AI) technology often carries the aspirational ideal of “Techquity”, proclaiming significant potential to bridge educational disparities. However, real-world dynamics demonstrate an inverse logic – the “Digital Divide 2.0” is now leveraging the guise of smart technologies to become a novel structural barrier exacerbating inequities in foreign language education. This phenomenon is rooted in a dual segmentation of resource provision and empowerment pathways.

First of all, a profound dependency on funding investment exists for both hardware infrastructure resources (such as devices and network bandwidth) and the procurement/services of high-quality, personalized AI teaching software/platforms. This resource disparity rapidly translates into stark quality gaps in teaching outcomes between urban and rural areas, different regions, and institutions of varying operational capabilities. A recent survey of foreign language education institutions in China revealed that only approximately 25% of prefecture-level institutions possess the financial capacity to deploy advanced intelligent language labs.

Furthermore, the narrow channels for soft capability empowerment construct a deeper layer of injustice. There exists a vast discrepancy in the abilities of teachers and students to effectively utilize complex intelligent systems (encompassing technological literacy, human-machine collaboration skills, and information discernment capabilities). Those educators lacking sustained professional development support, unable to master the pedagogical application of intelligent tools, and students (including socioeconomically disadvantaged groups and learners from remote regions) deficient in accumulated digital cultural capital and slow to

adapt to technology are rendered invisible in the distribution of technological dividends (Ventista & Brown, 2023). Therefore, the iteration of intelligent technologies fails not only to bridge the existing knowledge gap but instead solidifies resource inequities, further leading to implicit exclusion during the learning process and the reproduction of social and cultural capital differentials (van Dijk, 2020). The Matthew Effect of resource concentration driven by technology represents, in essence, a core challenge and potential erosion of traditional conceptions of educational equity within the smart era.

4.3 The Deep Paradox of Instrumental Rationality and Humanistic Values: The Instability of Core Educational Values

The most fundamental dilemma arises from the inherent tension between the logic of “instrumental rationality”, epitomized by datafication, standardization, and modeling within artificial intelligence (AI), and the value rationality intrinsically embedded in language learning as a complex socio-cultural human activity. This tension manifests through a dialectical interplay across multiple dimensions.

First, the contradiction between standardized assessment and complex linguistic competence: Language transcends mere quantifiable rules (grammar rules); it functions as a culture bearer and a projection of thought (Vygotsky, 1978). While data-driven AI excels at rule training and recognition-based evaluation, it struggles to genuinely perceive deep cultural metaphors, the subtle flow of emotion in dialogue, or ethical taboos within cross-cultural contexts. Excessive reliance on quantified assessment (e.g., AI scoring) may lead learners to prioritize appeasing algorithms, neglecting the pragmatic competence, cultural insight, emotional resonance, and aesthetic creativity essential for authentic communicative situations.

Second, the conflict between scalable efficiency and individualized cultivation: AI platforms often package their approaches as personalized learning to achieve mass user coverage. However, this “personalization” is fundamentally a statistical generalization based on historical data (data-driven profiling), inadequate for understanding an individual's unique cognitive style, deeper learning motivation, or culturally situated acquisition pathways shaped by specific socio-historical contexts. This technologically mediated, data-generated “personalized” model may ultimately foster a desocialized, decontextualized, and isolated mode of learning, diminishing the charm and value of linguistic negotiation within genuine human interaction.

The core paradox lies in this: The ultimate goal of language learning is the growth and development of the human person – encompassing cognitive deepening, emotional enrichment, identity construction, and enhanced cultural literacy. These are inherently uncertain, personalized practices of the mind and spirit. When the drive for technical efficiency supersedes the core educational value goals of humanistic cultivation and

holistic development, the very essence of education is potentially, and subtly, rewritten.

What AI brings to foreign language instruction is a systemic transformation unfolding in deep waters. The core challenges are not merely visible in technical adaptation hurdles but are fundamentally rooted in a crisis of value reconfiguration (Xiao et al., 2024). Moving beyond the simplistic logic of viewing technology solely as a tool, and directly confronting the deep-seated predicaments of loss of learner agency, alienation of equity concerns, and erosion of the humanistic spirit, constitutes an imperative for upholding the essence of foreign language education and navigating a rational path forward in the era of intelligent technology.

5. THE PATH TO BREAKTHROUGH

Faced with structural challenges and ethical dilemmas confronting foreign language pedagogy in the era of artificial intelligence, constructing a deeply integrated human-machine symbiotic ecosystem—one that capitalizes on “human intellectual” strengths while embodying human-centered pedagogical values—represents the fundamental pathway forward. Both the traditional mechanistic approach of “technology replacing humans” and the obstinately conservative stance of “technological exclusion” constitute erroneous paths. The truly viable trajectory lies in grounding efforts in the essence of education and proactively designing a new ecological framework underpinned by intelligent technology and centered on humanistic values. This framework deeply integrates principles of embodied cognition, conceptualizing AI as an extension of instructors’ cognitive activities and a “cognitive prosthetic” for teaching practices (Zou et al., 2025). It emphasizes the complex, ongoing process of meaning construction between learners and the learning environment, establishing a highly adaptive, interactive learning ecological network for learners. Its realization entails not only the intelligent upgrading of infrastructure but also demands a systemic and profound reconstruction of the constituent elements of pedagogy and their modes of interaction, culminating in an integrated system characterized by technological sophistication, pedagogical compatibility, and the elasticity to respond to complex educational needs. This necessitates a reinterpretation of the role and value of intelligent technology within pedagogical settings, undertaken while respecting the inherent principles of educational practice.

Building this novel ecosystem requires establishing clear principles for hierarchical design and technological compatibility. Its core architecture comprises three organically integrated tiers:

The infrastructure and intelligent resource layer contain robust AI algorithm platforms, multimodal foreign language teaching resource banks, and scalable cloud-based middleware architecture, providing computational assurance for teaching personalization and process adaptation.

The core driver and ecological operation layer focus on constructing intelligent application platforms around multiple

pedagogical actors (instructors, learners, administrators), forming an efficient information flow interaction network between intelligent agents and pedagogical participants.

The instructional practice and innovative application layer encompass diverse teaching and learning scenarios, enabling the seamless integration of traditional pedagogy with AI-enhanced practices.

Within this architectural design, the principle of technological compatibility is paramount. The integration of all intelligent functionalities must be fundamentally predicated on actual pedagogical needs, specifically targeting the resolution of core instructional challenges difficult to overcome in traditional classrooms. Rigid algorithmic intervention and control over complex learning processes must be rigorously avoided. This design ensures the ecosystem’s advancement in technological efficacy while guaranteeing its reliability in educational safety.

The actualization of the novel foreign language education ecosystem ultimately manifests in the deep penetration and structured transformation of human-machine collaborative mechanisms throughout the entire teaching process, thereby reconstructing the “teaching-learning-assessment” cycle. This entire reconstruction process steadfastly emphasizes the irreplaceability of the instructor in three core areas: decision-making concerning pedagogical objectives, strategy design, and the provision of humanistic care. The ultimate aspiration is to forge a new paradigm for deep human-machine integrated foreign language teaching that achieves heightened efficiency while simultaneously embodying and amplifying the essential humanistic qualities of education.

6. CONCLUSION

The reconstruction of foreign language teaching by artificial intelligence presents both an opportunity empowered by technology and a profound challenge to the essence of education. By analyzing the AI-driven transformation of teaching models, structural predicaments, and potential resolutions, this study reveals that its core contradiction lies in achieving a dynamic balance between instrumental rationality and humanistic values. The research demonstrates that artificial intelligence reshapes the teaching system across three dimensions: subject transformation (shifting teachers from knowledge authorities to learning designers), ecologicalization of content (transitioning language knowledge from structural paradigms to sociocultural networks), and ubiquitousization of the learning environment (seamless convergence of physical-virtual spaces). This significantly enhances learning personalization and efficiency. However, the deep embedding of technology also catalyzes alienation risks: algorithmic power eroding pedagogical autonomy, digital divides exacerbating educational inequity, and data-driven assessment dissolving the humanistic core of language. Addressing these challenges necessitates transcending the dichotomy between technological determinism and humanistic conservatism, to

construct a novel educational ecosystem centered on Human-AI synergism.

Funding: This research was funded by the Research Project of the 2024 Teaching Reform of Xingzhi College, Zhejiang Normal University (Grant Number: ZC303624024).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahiaku, P. K. A., & Muyambi, G. (2024). Empowering learners for the fourth industrial revolution: The crucial role of teachers and school management. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 10: 101141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101141>
- [2] Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and Assessing Intercultural Communicative Competence*. Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- [3] Canagarajah, A. S. (2013). *Translingual Practice: Global Englishes and Cosmopolitan Relations*. Routledge.
- [4] Chen, L. (2017). Understanding critical thinking in Chinese sociocultural contexts: A case study in a Chinese college. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 24, 140-151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2017.02.015>
- [5] Chen, G., Song, T., Wang, Q., Ma, Z., Hu, J., Li, Q., & Wu, C. (2025). Knowledge graph and large language model integration with focus on educational applications: A survey. *Neurocomputing*, 654: 131230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2025.131230>
- [6] Chiang, T.-H., Zhang, P., Dong, Y., Thurston, A., Cui, L., & Liu, J. (2021). How is regulative discourse recontextualized into instructional discourse in Basil Bernstein's code theory? A study of the interplay between recognition and realization rules based on primary school teachers' perceptions. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 108: 101765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2021.101765>
- [7] Chomsky, N. (1968). *Language and Mind*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World.
- [8] Cui, H., Mahfoodh, O. H. A., Dong, H., & Ulanbek, M. (2026). An assessment framework for human-AI collaborative competence in second language writing: Evidence from the Chinese EFL context. *System*, 137: 103937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2025.103937>
- [9] Dekeyser, R. (2007). Skill acquisition theory. In B. VanPatten, & J. Williams (Eds.), *Theories in second language acquisition* (pp. 97-113). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- [10] Ellis, N. C., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (2006). Language Emergence: Implications for Applied Linguistics-- Introduction to the Special Issue. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(4), 558-589. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/aml028>
- [11] Fancourt, N., Foreman-Peck, L., & Oancea, A. (2022). Addressing ethical quandaries in practitioner research: A philosophical and exploratory study of responsible improvisation through hermeneutical conversation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 116: 103760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2022.103760>
- [12] Feenberg, A. (1999). *Questioning Technology*. New York: Routledge Press.
- [13] Heidegger, M. (1977). *The Question Concerning Technology, and Other Essays*. Harper Torchbooks.
- [14] Hutchins, E. (1995). *Cognition in the wild*. The MIT Press.
- [15] Hymes, D. (1972). On Communicative Competence. In J. B. Pride, & A. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics: Selected Readings* (pp. 269-293). Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- [16] Krashen, S. (1985). *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. London, UK: Longman Publishing Press.
- [17] Kyambade, M., Namatovu, A., & Male Ssentumbwe, A. (2025). Exploring the evolution of artificial intelligence in education: from AI-guided learning to learner-personalized paradigms. *Cogent Education*, 12(1): 2505297. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2505297>
- [18] Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017-1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>
- [20] Östman, L., van Poeck, K., Lidar, M., & Lundqvist, E. (2025). Theory-practice bridging through lesson design workshops. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2025.2516446>
- [21] Schmid, H. (2020). *The Dynamics of the Linguistic System: Usage, Conventionalization, and Entrenchment*. Oxford Academic.
- [22] Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is Technology Good for Education?* Polity Press.
- [23] Swain, M. (2005). The output hypothesis: Theory and research. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Handbook of research in second language teaching and learning* (pp. 471-483). Routledge.
- [24] Shadiev, R., Wang, X. & Huang, Y. M. (2021). Cross-cultural learning in virtual reality environment: facilitating cross-cultural understanding, trait emotional intelligence, and sense of presence. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69, 2917-2936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-10044-1>
- [25] Sweller, J. (2024). Cognitive load theory and individual differences. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 110: 102423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2024.102423>

- [26] Tan, L. Y., Hu, S., Yeo, D. J., Cheong, K. H. (2025). Artificial intelligence-enabled adaptive learning platforms: A review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 9: 100429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100429>
- [27] Tate, T., & Warschauer, M. (2022). Equity in online learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 57(3), 192-206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2022.2062597>
- [28] van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Cambridge, UK: Polity.
- [29] van Lier, L. (2010). The ecology of language learning: Practice to theory, theory to practice. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 3, 2-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.07.005>
- [30] Ventista, O. M., & Brown, C. (2023). Teachers' professional learning and its impact on students' learning outcomes: Findings from a systematic review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 8(1): 100565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100565>
- [31] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- [32] Warschauer, M. (1997). Computer-mediated collaborative learning: Theory and practice. *The Modern Language Journal* 81 (4), 470-481. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1997.tb05514.x>
- [33] Xiao, J., Xu, Z., Xiao, A., Wang, X., & Skare, M. (2024). Overcoming barriers and seizing opportunities in the innovative adoption of next-generation digital technologies. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(4): 100622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100622>
- [34] Zou, L., Zhang, Z., Mavilidi, M., Chen, Y., Herold, F., Ouwehand, K., & Paas, F. (2025). The synergy of embodied cognition and cognitive load theory for optimized learning. *Nature Human Behavior*, 9, 877-885. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-025-02152-2>