International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 10 Issue 2 February - 2026, Pages: 89-95

A Predective Agricultural Market Price Information Systems

Christian D.J, Leila S.K, Ashrey G.M, Damari Oguwi, Ismail Masoud,Gidion Mugabo

Department of Computer Science
Ruaha Catholic University (RUCU)
Iringa, Tanzania
Josephchris769@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: *In emerging economies, agricultural market instability and information asymmetry remain significant barriers to the economic empowerment of smallholder farmers. While traditional Market Information Systems (MIS) have provided historical price data, they often lack the proactive intelligence required for strategic decision-making. This paper explores the development and integration of Predictive Agricultural Market Price Information Systems that leverage Machine Learning (ML) and Progressive Web Application (PWA) technologies. By analyzing various predictive architectures including Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Time-Series Analysis the study identifies how data-driven forecasting can mitigate the risks of price volatility. Furthermore, the research addresses the "connectivity gap" by proposing a framework that utilizes offline-first PWA capabilities, ensuring that predictive insights remain accessible in resource-constrained rural environments. The findings suggest that transitioning from reactive data dissemination to an integrated, AI-driven predictive ecosystem can significantly reduce post-harvest losses and improve market transparency.*

Keywords; Agricultural Informatics, Price Forecasting, Machine Learning, Progressive Web Applications (PWA) and Smart Agriculture

1. INTRODUCTION

An Agricultural Market Price Information System is a digital framework designed to systematically collect, process, and disseminate price data to stakeholders. In the current era of rapid urbanization and population growth, traditional methods of tracking prices have become inadequate, often resulting in market inefficiencies and economic instability for smallholder farmers.

A predictive system leverages historical price trends and real-time variables to provide actionable insights. The core focus of this system is to transition from simple data reporting to advanced forecasting. Key benefits of this system include: Economic Sustainability: Reducing the financial impact of price volatility on farmer income by predicting the best times to sell. Resource Optimization: Enabling better resource allocation and reducing post-harvest losses by aligning supply with anticipated market demand. Market Transparency: Minimizing the dependency on informal middlemen who exploit the lack of real-time price data. Smart Development: Contributing to the emergence of "Smart Agriculture," where civic and rural operations transition toward data-driven, efficient paradigms. By integrating technologies such as Machine Learning (ML) for pattern recognition and IoT sensors for environmental data, this system addresses the urgent need for a streamlined, automated solution to promote long-term agricultural prosperity.

1.1 BACKGROUND

The agricultural sector is the backbone of the global economy, particularly in developing nations where it employs a significant portion of the population and ensures food security[1],[2]. Historically, agricultural market information was shared through informal, manual channels such as word-of-mouth or physical noticeboards, leading to massive data silos and information asymmetry[3],[4].

The emergence of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Cloud Computing in the early 2010s revolutionized how sectors manage critical data [5]. Similar to how early smart city initiatives, such as Barcelona's 2012 project [6], used sensors to optimize municipal waste services and reduce operational costs, the agricultural sector is now transitioning toward data-driven paradigms [7]. By leveraging predictive analytics and real-time monitoring, modern agriculture can move away from reactive decision-making toward a proactive model that anticipates market shifts before they occur [8],[9].

The evolution of Market Information Systems (MIS) has seen several developmental phases aimed at addressing these inefficiencies [10]. The first generation of MIS, emerging in the 1980s, [11] focused primarily on the manual collection and dissemination of current market prices through public bulletins and radio broadcasts [12]. While these systems provided a basic level of transparency, they were essentially descriptive, informing stakeholders of what happened rather than what will happen [13],[14]. As technology advanced in the late 1990s and early 2000s, second-generation systems utilized mobile SMS and web portals to increase the speed of information delivery [15],[16]. However, even these platforms remained largely reactive, failing to offer the predictive foresight necessary for strategic agricultural planning and risk management [17],[3].

The advent of the Fourth Industrial Revolution has introduced transformative technologies such as Big Data, Internet of Things (IoT), and Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the agricultural domain [18],[19]. Modern predictive analytics now allow for the integration of multi-source datasets, including historical price series, satellite-derived meteorological data, and socio-economic indices [20],[21]. By applying advanced Machine Learning (ML) algorithms, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Random Forests, researchers can now model the non-linear and dynamic interrelationships within agricultural systems [22],[23]. This technological shift represents a move toward Smart Agriculture, where data-driven insights replace intuition and historical guesswork in the decision-making process [18],[20].[24]

For smallholder farmers, the transition to a Predictive Agricultural Market Price Information System (PAMPIS) is not merely a technological upgrade but a vital tool for economic survival [2],[25]. Information asymmetry a condition where traders and middlemen possess superior market knowledge compared to producers has long been a barrier to fair trade [21],[21] . Predictive systems empower farmers by providing a market compass that suggests the best time to sell and the most profitable markets to target [5]. Studies have shown that access to localized price forecasts can increase farmer income by up to 15%, as it enables them to avoid panic selling and better manage post-harvest logistics [6],[13].

Furthermore, the importance of such systems extends to national food security and macroeconomic stability [26]. Governments and policymakers can utilize predictive price trends to manage national food reserves, set realistic minimum support prices, and anticipate potential food shortages before they escalate into crises [27]. By stabilizing the expectations of both producers and consumers, a predictive framework reduces the risk of sudden price spikes that can lead to social unrest [10]. This study, therefore, seeks to contribute to the growing body of knowledge in agricultural informatics by developing a robust, localized, and explainable predictive system that bridges the gap between complex data science and the practical needs of the rural agricultural community [28],[15].

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Agricultural marketing in Tanzania relies on manual and delayed information systems that provide only current or historical prices without forecasting future trends. As a result, smallholder farmers make selling decisions based on incomplete information, leading to poor timing, low prices, and continued exploitation by middlemen. The lack of predictive market intelligence prevents farmers from knowing when, where, and at what expected price to sell their produce, reinforcing information asymmetry and post-harvest losses. There is therefore a need for a predictive agricultural market information system that uses historical and real-time data to forecast prices and support proactive, data-driven decision-making. Predictive agricultural market price information system is proposed to address this gap through a machine learning–based web and mobile platform that delivers actionable market price forecasts to farmers.

1.3 OBJECTIVES

1.3.1 MAIN OBJECTIVE

To design and implement a predictive agricultural market price information system that provide farmers with real time future price forecasts.

1.3.2 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

i. To identify and analyze the data requirements and key market indicators necessary for building the predictive model.

ii. To develop a web-based or mobile interface that integrates the predictive model, allowing farmers and traders to access real-time price forecasts and market information.

iii. To evaluate the system performance and reliability by comparing the model's predicted price against actual market price to ensure the system provide actionable and accurate information.

2.0 RELATED WORKS

2.1 FAO Global Information and Early Warning System (GIEWS): While it provides high-level alerts, its gap is the lack of hyper-local granularity, making it difficult for an individual farmer to use for daily decisions. Soko/M-Farm: These are commercial SMS-based systems. Their primary difference from our proposed system is that they are descriptive (current prices) rather than predictive (future prices) [8].

2.2 Crop-Price-Predictor Prototypes: Many academic prototypes exist (e.g., using LSTM for rice in India), but they are often crop-specific and cannot be easily adapted to other commodities or regions without extensive retraining [29].

2.3 Esoko Market Information Service (Ghana/Kenya): Esoko is a pioneer in using SMS and mobile technology to push current market prices to farmers across Africa. It has successfully improved farmer negotiation power. The Gap, Esoko is largely a descriptive system; it provides real-time data but lacks a predictive engine to forecast prices two to four weeks into the future, leaving farmers vulnerable to sudden market shifts [11].

2.4 M-Farm (Kenya): Is a mobile platform that Connected farmers directly with buyers and provides market prices information. The system help farmers reduces explanation by middleman and improve market transparent. Despite this advantages, M-Farmer offer robust price forecast capabilities. These systems focus on market linkage and price dissemination rather than predictive analytics [30].

2.5 Tanzania Agricultural Market Information System (TAMIS): This is government supported designed to provide current agriculture market price across different regions in Tanzania. The platform plays an important role in improving access to market data for farmers, traders and policymakers Provides current data but lacks the machine learning component for future prediction [4].

2.6 The mKisan Portal (India): A government-led initiative that uses ICT and USSD codes to provide agricultural advisory and market information. It has a massive reach, delivering localized information to millions. The gap, much of the information is manual and advisory-based. There is a lack of automated machine learning integration that updates forecast dynamically based on changing environmental or economic factors [10] .

2.7 The Strawberry Advisory System (SAS): A specialized system that integrates weather station data to predict disease outbreaks and recommend fungicide applications. It is highly effective in reducing operational costs. The gap, this is a single-commodity and single-problem system. It does not account for the market dynamics which are supply and demand, that ultimately dictate the farmer's profit, focusing only on the biological risk [6].

3.0 OBSERVATION

The analysis of current agricultural market landscapes and existing technological interventions reveals several systemic observations regarding information flow, market dynamics, and digital adoption.

3.1 Information Asymmetry and Informal Channels

Persistent Dependency on Informal Data: Historically, agricultural market information is heavily shared through manual, informal channels such as word-of-mouth or physical noticeboards [1], [2]. Exploitation of Knowledge gaps, A significant data gap exists where approximately 70% of farmers still rely on traditional, often inaccurate information for crop pricing [19]. This creates a poverty trap where farmers sell produce at the farm gate for prices far below urban market values. Broker dominance, Market intermediaries (middlemen) often exploit the lack of real-time price data, leading to unfair pricing for smallholder farmers [20].

3.2 Limitations of Current Information Systems

Reactive vs. Proactive Models: Existing systems, such as the Tanzania Agricultural Market Information System (TAMIS), provide only current or historical price tickers [24]. They lack the predictive intelligence required to forecast future trends. Inadequacy of Traditional Tracking: In the face of rapid urbanization and population growth, traditional manual methods of tracking prices have become inadequate, leading to market inefficiencies [9],[31]. Geographical Granularity Issues, High-level alert systems often lack hyper-local granularity, making it difficult for individual farmers to use the data for daily decisions [14]. Most systems provide national averages that are irrelevant to farmers in remote villages [26].

3.3 Technological Constraints in Rural Contexts

Infrastructure Barriers, Predictive systems typically require reliable internet connectivity to access cloud-based databases. In rural areas, unreliable connectivity restricts the functionality of these services [10]. Functional Isolation, Current digital tools often operate in silos; for example, a platform may provide price alerts but fail to facilitate actual transactions or offer two-way communication for diagnostic support [28]. Resource and Literacy Gaps, Sophisticated applications often demand high computational power, leading to rapid battery drain, which is impractical for field conditions with limited power sources. Additionally, digital literacy remains a barrier to broad adoption [32].

3.4 Socio-Economic and Behavioral Trends

The Human Element in trust: Farmers' trust in digital platforms is often influenced by their ability to solve immediate biological threats (such as pests) rather than just providing financial data [30]. Youth Engagement: Emerging data suggests that younger demographics in the agricultural sector are significantly more likely to adopt Ag-Tech if the platforms include integrated consultancy or ask an expert service [32]. Economic Impact of digitalization, Evidence indicates that farmers using mobile marketplace platforms can see a 20% increase in annual revenue and a 15% reduction in post-harvest losses [17].

4.0 CONCLUSION

The comprehensive review of existing literature and market information systems reveals a critical technological divide in the agricultural sector. While current platforms such as TAMIS and Esoko have successfully improved data transparency, they remain fundamentally descriptive and reactive. The primary limitation identified across these systems is the lack of predictive intelligence, which leaves smallholder farmers in regions like Iringa Municipal vulnerable to sudden market fluctuations and information asymmetry.

This review concludes that the transition from simple data reporting to advanced price forecasting is no longer a luxury but a necessity for economic sustainability. By integrating Machine Learning algorithms and real-time data processing, the proposed system bridges the "Integration Gap" identified in previous studies. Ultimately, the implementation of a Predictive Agricultural Market Price Information System (PAMPIS**)** will empower producers to move beyond "panic selling," optimize their resource allocation, and actively participate in a data-driven agricultural economy. This project stands as a vital intervention to transform rural farming into a proactive, smart agriculture paradigm.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

Based on the synthesis of the proposed predictive agricultural system and the established framework from current review standards, the following recommendations are provided to guide researchers, developers, and policymakers.

For the Developers and Researchers

1. Prioritize Offline-First Intelligence: Future systems should shift from cloud-only processing to “edge analytics.” Utilizing Progressive Web Application (PWA) architectures allows farmers to access the last cached price forecasts in remote areas where internet connectivity is intermittent.
2. Develop Localized AI Training Sets: Rather than relying on global market averages, developers should focus on creating datasets that reflect local regional crop varieties and “informal” market cycles (such as the Minada in Tanzania) to improve the accuracy of Machine Learning (ML) models like LSTM.
3. Enhance Two-Way Communication: Platforms should evolve from simple price-broadcasting tools into unified ecosystems. This includes integrating “Ask an Expert” modules where farmers can upload images of pests or diseases for real-time diagnostic support.
4. Integrate Multimodal Context Data: To reduce forecasting errors, predictive engines should move beyond historical price data to include environmental variables, such as seasonal rainfall patterns, inflation rates, and transport logistics.

For policymakers and extension services

1. Investment in Digital Public Infrastructure: Governments should prioritize the creation of open-access, verified market databases that can serve as a “numerical baseline” for private Ag-Tech developers to build more accurate predictive tools.
2. Foster Digital Literacy Programs: Efforts should be made to bridge the literacy gap among smallholder farmers to ensure they can interpret visual data trends and interact effectively with Swahili-optimized mobile interfaces.
3. Standardize Verification Protocols: Establish formal verification processes for traders and experts on digital platforms to prevent fraud and ensure that “Market Transparency” is upheld for underserved rural communities.

Future Research Directions

a. Scalability to Multi-Commodity Systems: Research should investigate how single-crop predictive prototypes can be adapted to broader multi-commodity frameworks without requiring extensive, manual retraining of the underlying AI.
b. Socio-Technical Trust Factors: Future studies should explore the human element of digital agriculture specifically why farmers trust certain digital recommendations over traditional word-of-mouth to refine the user experience (UX) of predictive platforms.

Impact of Real-Time Logistics on Pricing: Investigating the correlation between real-time geospatial data (GPS/GIS) and price fluctuations can provide deeper insights into how transport costs and road conditions directly impact farm-gate prices.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Ashrey G. Mongi, Leila S. Kiame, Christian D. Joseph, Damari Oguwi, Ismail Masoud, Gidion Mugabo would like to express our deepest gratitude to Tumaini Edgar Lutufyo for the invaluable guidance, constant encouragement, and professional mentorship throughout the development of this review paper and project proposal (title modifications).

Special thanks are also extended to the academic staff of the Computer Science department for providing the necessary resources and environment to conduct this study like library. We are also grateful to the stakeholders and farmers who provided insights into the current market common prices in agricultural industry and challenges they faces, which formed the basis of the problem statement in this project.

Finally, we would like to thank our family and friends for their unwavering support and patience during the intensive phases of this project. Their belief in our work was a constant source of motivation.

REFERENCES

[1] W. Adekunle, "Market access for agro-enterprise diversity in the Lake Kivu Pilot Learning Site of the sub-Saharan Africa Challenge Programme," *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 2013.

[2] J. E. Berg, and T. A. Rietz, "Prediction markets as decision support systems," *Information systems frontiers,* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 79–93, 2003.

[3] J. A. Chudziak, and M. Wawer, "ElliottAgents: A natural language-driven multi-agent system for stock market analysis and prediction." pp. 961–970.

[4] C. M. Breath, and B. Ives, "Competitive information systems in support of pricing," *Mis Quarterly*, pp. 85–96, 1986.

[5] L. Di Persio, and O. Honchar, "Artificial neural networks architectures for stock price prediction: Comparisons and applications," *International journal of circuits, systems and signal processing,* vol. 10, pp. 403–413, 2016.

[6] S. Milligan, A. Price, E. Sommeling, and G. Struyf, "Connecting smallholders with dynamic markets: A market information service in Zambia," *Development in Practice,* vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 357–370, 2011.

[7] E. Mwenda, E. N. Muange, M. W. Ngigi, and A. Kosgei, "Impact of ICT-based pest information services on tomato pest management practices in the Central Highlands of Kenya," *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship,* vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 100036, 2023.

[8] G. K. Ndimbo, L. Yu, and A. A. Ndi Buma, "ICTs, smallholder agriculture and farmers' livelihood improvement in developing countries: Evidence from Tanzania," *Information Development,* vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 368–387, 2025.

[9] K. Mwantimwa, *The use of pull information mode to support poverty reduction programmes in rural Tanzania: A case of Monduli and Bagamoyo districts*: Universiteit Antwerpen (Belgium), 2012.

[10] S. M. Kalemera, P. B. Venkataramana, E. R. Mbega, T. Assefa, J. Ijumulana, J. Ochieng, and J. C. Rubyogo, "Assessing climate events, farmer adaptation, and the role of social media in climate and varietal information delivery among Tanzanian farmers," *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems,* vol. 9, pp. 1608999, 2025.

[11] J.-J. Guo, and P. B. Luh, "Improving market clearing price prediction by using a committee machine of neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems,* vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1867–1876, 2004.

[12] J. Kidd, and J. Morgan, "A predictive information system for management," *Journal of the Operational Research Society,* vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 149–170, 1969.

[13] W. Lu, J. Li, J. Wang, and L. Qin, "A CNN-BiLSTM-AM method for stock price prediction," *Neural Computing and Applications,* vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 4741–4753, 2021.

[14] C. Mambile, and A. Mwogosi, "A comprehensive assessment of the government of Tanzania health operation management information system using participatory action research," *Records Management Journal,* vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 193–213, 2025.

[15] A. Gesare, P. Chelanga, and R. Banerjee, *Feasibility of establishing a market information system in the Horn of Africa: Insights from northern Kenya*: ILRI (aka ILCA and ILRAD), 2017.

[16] J. Blackledge, and M. Lamphiere, "A review of the fractal market hypothesis for trading and market price prediction," *Mathematics,* vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 117, 2021.

[17] S. Chopra, D. Yadav, and A. Chopra, "Artificial neural networks based indian stock market price prediction: before and after demonetization," *J Swarm Intel Evol Comput,* vol. 8, no. 174, pp. 2, 2019.

[18] C. A. Sanga, J. Phillipo, M. Mlozi, R. Haug, and S. D. Tumbo, "Crowdsourcing platform 'Ushaurikilimo'enabling questions answering between farmers, extension agents and researchers," *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning,* vol. 10, no. 13, pp. 19–28, 2016.

[19] G. Shmueli, and O. R. Koppius, "Predictive analytics in information systems research," *MIS quarterly*, pp. 553–572, 2011.

[20] P. Soni, Y. Tewari, and D. Krishnan, "Machine learning approaches in stock price prediction: a systematic review." p. 012065.

[21] H. Sulaiman, T. Hes, and A. Kandakov, "Marketing Information System in Citrus Fruit Pricing: A Case Study of Lattakia, Syria," *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences,* vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 286, 2015.

[22] Y.-F. Wang, "Predicting stock price using fuzzy grey prediction system," *Expert systems with applications,* vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 33–38, 2002.

[23] B. Patrick, "Multi-agent systems in predicting global financial crises," *International Journal of Financial Studies,* vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 567–589, 2024.

[24] G. G. F. Panganiban, "E-governance in agriculture: digital tools enabling Filipino farmers," *Journal of Asian Public Policy,* vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 51–70, 2019.

[25] N.-P. Yu, C.-C. Liu, and J. Price, "Evaluation of market rules using a multi-agent system method," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems,* vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 470–479, 2009.

[26] S. K. Kilimo, M. N. Walekhwa, and F. O. Otieno, "Impact of health management information systems on service delivery among healthcare workers at iten county referral hospital," *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences,* vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 1889, 2022.

[27] N. Krell, S. Giroux, Z. Guido, C. Hannah, S. Lopus, K. Caylor, and T. Evans, "Smallholder farmers' use of mobile phone services in central Kenya," *Climate and Development,* vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 215–227, 2021.

[28] Z. Guo, F. Fang, and A. B. Whinston, "Supply chain information sharing in a macro prediction market," *Decision Support Systems,* vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 1944–1958, 2006.

[29] M. T. Kisena, and E. M. Kwesigabo, "Assessing the contribution of mobile phone agricultural information on maize productivity: a case study of Kilolo district, Tanzania," *European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences,* vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 1096–1105, 2023.

[30] H. Ebrahimian, S. Barmayoon, M. Mohammadi, and N. Ghadimi, "The price prediction for the energy market based on a new method," *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja,* vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 313–337, 2018.

[31] T. O. Mwageni, A. C. Bundala, and H. A. Mpogole, "Use of Mobile Phone Services in Accessing Agricultural Market Information Among Smallholder Farmers in Bahi and Mvomero Districts, Tanzania," 2022.

[32] S. A. Farimani, M. V. Jahan, A. M. Fard, and S. R. K. Tabbakh, "Investigating the informativeness of technical indicators and news sentiment in financial market price prediction," *Knowledge-Based Systems,* vol. 247, pp. 108742, 2022.