

Ai-Based: Smart Plant Stress Monitor

Christian Mwakyusa¹, Allen Mwalekwa², Gift Mushi³, Hawa Mponda⁴, Francis Kidakule⁵, John Charles⁶, Jamali Yusuph⁷, Japhet Kanyankole⁸, Kelvin Rutta⁹

Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Ruaha Catholic University Iringa-Tanzania.

Abstract: The increasing pressure on global agriculture due to climate change, soil degradation, and water scarcity necessitates the adoption of intelligent and sustainable farming practices. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative tool in precision agriculture, enabling real-time monitoring and management of plant health. This review paper critically examines the integration of AI and complementary technologies for the development of smart plant stress monitoring systems, with a focus on applicability in resource-constrained agricultural environments. Through a systematic analysis of previous research studies covering machine learning, computer vision, Internet of Things (IoT), and edge computing, this paper identifies key challenges such as data scarcity, high implementation costs, lack of real-time adaptability, and limited accessibility for smallholder farmers. The review reveals that while individual technologies demonstrate high accuracy in stress detection, their integration into unified, scalable, and affordable systems remains limited. In response, this paper proposes a conceptual framework for an "AI-Based Smart Plant Stress Monitor" that combines image-based disease detection, environmental sensing, predictive analytics, and offline-capable mobile platforms. The paper concludes with strategic recommendations for researchers, developers, and policymakers to promote inclusive, efficient, and sustainable agricultural technologies that enhance crop productivity and resilience.



A diagram showing Integrating IoT sensors and machine learning for sustainable precision

Keywords: machine learning, computer vision, plant stress detection, Internet of Things, crop monitoring systems, sustainable Agriculture.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains the backbone of global food security and economic stability, particularly in developing nations like Tanzania where it employs a significant portion of the population and contributes substantially to GDP. However, the sector is increasingly vulnerable to multifaceted challenges, including erratic climate patterns, pest and disease outbreaks, soil degradation, and water scarcity. These factors induce various forms of plant stress biotic (pests, pathogens) and abiotic (drought, nutrient deficiency, extreme temperatures) leading to substantial yield losses estimated at 20-40% annually in many regions. Traditional farming practices, reliant on manual observation and experiential knowledge, often fail to detect stress early enough for

effective intervention, resulting in reactive rather than preventive management.

In recent years, the convergence of digital technologies has revolutionized agriculture into "smart farming" or precision agriculture. Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly through machine learning (ML) and Deep learning offers unprecedented capabilities for pattern recognition, predictive analytics, and automated decision-making. Complementary technologies such as computer vision for image-based diagnostics, IoT for real-time environmental sensing, and edge computing for low-latency processing have further amplified these advancements. Mobile applications and Progressive Web Apps (PWAs) now empower farmers with

accessible tools for on-field monitoring, even in areas with limited connectivity.

Despite these innovations, the adoption of smart plant stress monitoring systems in resource-constrained environments remains fragmented. Many existing solutions are siloed focusing either on image analysis or sensor data without seamless integration. High costs, dependency on stable internet, and lack of user-friendly interfaces exacerbate the digital divide, leaving smallholder farmers who produce over 70% of food in sub-Saharan Africa largely excluded. This review addresses these gaps by critically analyzing the integration of AI and allied technologies. It proposes a unified, context-aware framework tailored for practical deployment in developing contexts. By synthesizing evidence from diverse studies, this paper advocates for inclusive, resilient systems that not only detect stress but also deliver actionable, offline-capable recommendations to boost productivity and sustainability. The proposed system aligns with global Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) and SDG 13 (Climate Action), by fostering technology that is affordable, scalable, and farmer-centric.

BACKGROUND

Agriculture remains a cornerstone of global food security and economic development, particularly in developing regions such as sub-Saharan Africa, where it employs a large portion of the population and contributes significantly to GDP. However, crop production faces increasing threats from plant stress factors driven by climate change, soil degradation, water scarcity, and pest infestations. Plant stress is broadly categorized into biotic stress (caused by living agents such as pests, pathogens, and weeds) and abiotic stress (resulting from environmental conditions including drought, extreme temperatures, nutrient deficiencies, and salinity). Delayed or inaccurate detection of these stresses often leads to substantial yield losses, estimated at 20-40% annually in many regions, undermining food security and farmer livelihoods.

Traditional methods of plant stress monitoring rely heavily on manual visual inspection by farmers or extension officers. These approaches are time-consuming, subjective, labor-intensive, and frequently identify problems only at advanced stages when interventions are less effective. The emergence of precision agriculture has introduced data-driven solutions that leverage modern technologies to optimize resource use and improve crop health management.

In recent years, Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) have revolutionized plant stress detection. Comprehensive reviews demonstrate that deep learning techniques, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Vision Transformers (ViTs), and hybrid models, outperform traditional methods in stress phenotyping, classification, and early detection of both biotic and abiotic stresses [1]. These models effectively analyze visual

symptoms such as leaf discoloration, spots, wilting, and lesions from RGB, multispectral, and hyperspectral images [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. Foundational work by Mohanty et al. (2016) on the PlantVillage dataset established the viability of CNNs for image-based disease detection, achieving high accuracy in controlled conditions [4].

Advancements in multimodal imaging have further enhanced detection capabilities by combining spectral, thermal, and RGB data, enabling more robust identification of stress symptoms even in complex field environments. Shoaib et al. (2025) highlighted the transition from laboratory-based spectral analysis to practical smartphone applications, making stress monitoring more accessible to farmers [8]. Similarly, Walsh et al. (2024) reviewed progress in imaging sensors integrated with AI, emphasizing improved sensitivity for early stress detection [9]. For abiotic stresses specifically, Matabber et al. (2026) provided a detailed review of AI techniques that utilize environmental and physiological indicators to identify water deficit, nutrient imbalance, and temperature-related stresses [10].

Complementing image-based approaches, the Internet of Things (IoT) enables continuous monitoring of environmental parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, humidity, and light intensity. Subeesh et al. (2025) and Miller et al. (2025) reviewed the integration of IoT with ML and deep learning models, demonstrating significant improvements in precision agriculture, including optimized resource management in soil-less systems [11], [12]. Edge computing and cloud-edge-device collaborative architectures have addressed key limitations of cloud-only systems by enabling low-latency, on-device processing, which is particularly valuable in areas with unreliable internet connectivity [13], [14]. Nyakuri et al. (2025), for example, developed a portable AI and IoT-powered edge device using lightweight models for real-time crop pest and disease detection, showing strong potential for field deployment in resource-constrained settings [13].

Despite these technological advances, several challenges persist. Many high-performing models suffer from poor generalization when applied to real-world field conditions with varying lighting, backgrounds, crop varieties, and overlapping stress symptoms [1], [2]. High implementation costs, scarcity of labeled datasets tailored to local crops and climates, and dependence on stable connectivity continue to limit adoption among smallholder farmers, who produce the majority of food in developing countries [10], [11], [12]. Moreover, comprehensive field validation and industrial scalability of fully integrated systems remain underexplored.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

While AI, computer vision, IoT, and edge computing are known to enable accurate plant stress detection and environmental monitoring, current solutions remain fragmented, costly, cloud-dependent, and inaccessible to

smallholder farmers in resource-limited settings. This leads to delayed interventions, inefficient resource use, and persistent yield losses. The proposed AI-Based Smart Plant Stress Monitor addresses these gaps through a unified, offline-first, low-cost framework that integrates image analysis, sensor data, predictive analytics, and mobile accessibility for real-time, context-aware decision support.

OBJECTIVES

Main Objective

To critically analyze the integration of Artificial Intelligence and complementary technologies for developing intelligent, accessible, and context-aware plant stress monitoring systems.

Specific Objectives

- To review existing literature on AI applications in plant stress detection, including machine learning, computer vision, and IoT-based systems.
- To identify gaps in current solutions, particularly regarding integration, affordability, and real-time adaptability.
- To propose a conceptual framework for a unified AI-based smart plant stress monitoring system.
- To recommend future research directions and practical strategies for scalable and sustainable implementation.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW (RELATED WORK)

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI), computer vision, Internet of Things (IoT), and edge computing has significantly transformed plant stress monitoring in precision agriculture. Between 2019 and 2025, researchers have increasingly shifted from isolated image-based disease detection toward integrated, multimodal, and real-time smart systems capable of identifying both biotic and abiotic stresses. Early works focused primarily on convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for leaf image analysis, while later studies emphasized sensor fusion, edge deployment, and offline accessibility aspects critical for resource-constrained environments. This section synthesizes key contributions published between 2019 and 2025, highlighting their methodologies, achievements, and remaining limitations in the development of smart plant stress monitoring systems.

In 2019, Ramcharan et al. introduced a mobile-based deep learning model for cassava disease diagnosis. They trained a CNN object detection framework on field-collected images to identify foliar symptoms of multiple cassava stresses, including cassava mosaic disease and brown streak disease. The model was successfully deployed as a smartphone

application, achieving promising accuracy under real-world conditions and demonstrating the potential of accessible, on-device plant stress monitoring for smallholder farmers. However, the study highlighted challenges in generalization across varying lighting conditions, growth stages, and diverse crop varieties.

Paul et al. in 2025 conducted a comprehensive review of deep learning technologies for plant stress detection. Their systematic analysis covered advancements in image preprocessing, CNN variants (including custom architectures and Vision Transformers), and applications in classification, disease detection, and segmentation tasks. The review stressed the benefits of multimodal inputs (e.g., combining RGB images with environmental data) and techniques such as self-supervised and few-shot learning to address limited labeled datasets. Nevertheless, they identified major limitations, including poor generalization from controlled lab datasets to real-field variability, difficulties with overlapping stress symptoms, and the scarcity of lightweight, offline-capable models suitable for rural deployment.

Shoab et al. in 2025 explored plant stress detection using multimodal imaging and machine learning, spanning leaf spectral analysis to smartphone-based applications. Their work demonstrated how hyperspectral, thermal, and RGB imaging, processed through CNNs and classical ML classifiers (such as SVM, ANN, and Random Forest), improved early detection of both biotic and abiotic stresses. The study emphasized the democratizing role of low-cost smartphone solutions but pointed out ongoing challenges related to high computational requirements and the need for better fusion of visual and non-visual sensor data for holistic monitoring.

Dey and Ahmed in 2025 presented a comprehensive review of AI-driven plant stress monitoring and embedded sensor technology in the context of Agriculture 5.0. Analyzing over 175 studies, they evaluated CNN models (e.g., VGG16, ResNet50) and lightweight architectures (e.g., MobileNet, YOLO) for biotic and abiotic stress classification, alongside IoT sensors for real-time environmental monitoring. The review found strong performance in controlled or semi-controlled settings but criticized the limited field validation, high implementation costs, and lack of scalable, interoperable systems tailored for smallholder farmers in developing regions.

Complementary efforts have integrated IoT and edge computing for smarter, more responsive systems. Miller et al. in 2025 reviewed the role of IoT and AI in agriculture, highlighting how sensor networks combined with machine vision enable early identification of plant stress through vegetation indices and real-time environmental data. Their analysis showed potential for reducing resource waste and improving resilience against climate stressors, yet noted persistent issues with internet dependency and integration complexity in remote areas.

Muhammad et al. in 2025 provided a broad review of crop stress detection approaches, including destructive, non-destructive, and ML-based methods. They highlighted the growing integration of AI with wireless sensor networks, UAVs, and edge computing for real-time, non-invasive monitoring, noting that recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and LSTM models are particularly useful for time-series forecasting of stress trends. The review underscored the value of hybrid systems but called for more research on affordable, low-power solutions suitable for offline use in developing countries.

Despite these advancements, significant gaps persist in the literature. Many systems achieve high classification accuracies (often above 90-99%) in controlled or semi-controlled environments, yet they suffer from limited seamless integration of computer vision with IoT environmental sensing, heavy reliance on cloud infrastructure, high implementation costs, poor generalization to diverse real-field conditions (especially in sub-Saharan Africa), and insufficient offline-first functionality. These limitations highlight the urgent need for a unified, low-cost, edge-capable, context-aware, and farmer-friendly smart plant stress monitoring system a gap that the conceptual framework proposed in this paper aims to address.

3.0 OBSERVATIONS

The reviewed studies reveal consistent strengths in isolated AI and IoT applications but expose critical gaps in integration, scalability, and accessibility. Most models perform well in laboratory settings yet falter in field variability, data scarcity, and resource constraints typical of smallholder farms. Offline functionality and low-cost hardware compatibility are underrepresented, limiting real-world impact in developing regions. The proposed conceptual framework addresses these by incorporating a Computer Vision Module for leaf image analysis, an IoT Sensor Module for environmental data, an AI Decision Engine for predictive recommendations, a Mobile/PWA Interface for user-friendly offline access, and an Edge Processing Unit for local computation. Key features include offline-first design, context-aware alerts (crop-specific and location-adapted), low-cost sensor integration, and user-centric dashboards with voice support for low-literacy farmers.

4.0 CONCLUSION

This review highlights the transformative potential of AI in plant stress monitoring while underscoring the limitations of current fragmented solutions. The proposed AI-Based Smart Plant Stress Monitor framework offers a practical, integrated pathway toward resilient, sustainable agriculture by combining multiple technologies into an accessible, offline-capable system. By addressing data, cost, and usability barriers, it empowers smallholder farmers and contributes to global food security goals.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Researchers should prioritize open-source datasets tailored to African crops and field conditions, alongside lightweight models for edge devices. Developers must focus on affordable hardware kits and intuitive interfaces with multilingual/voice support. Policymakers should incentivize subsidies for IoT sensors and training programs to bridge the digital divide. Future work should emphasize longitudinal field trials, ethical AI (bias mitigation), and integration with climate adaptation tools for broader impact.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the Almighty God for His grace and guidance throughout our studies and the completion of this project.

Special thanks to our supervisors, Mr Kelvin Rutta, Mr Lusekelo Kibona, Mrs. Tumain Edgar and all faculty mentors for insightful feedback during the development of this paper.

We also extend appreciation to the broader AI and agriculture research community whose foundational work informed this study.

7.0 REFERENCES

- [1] N. Paul, G. C. Sunil, D. Horvath, and X. Sun, "Deep learning for plant stress detection: A comprehensive review of technologies, challenges, and future directions," *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, vol. 229, no. November 2024, p. 109734, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2024.109734.
- [2] S. Islam *et al.*, "Machine vision and artificial intelligence for plant growth stress detection and monitoring: A review," *Precis. Agric. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 33–57, 2024, doi: 10.12972/pastj.20240003.
- [3] J. Boulent, S. Foucher, J. Théau, and P. L. St-Charles, "Convolutional Neural Networks for the Automatic Identification of Plant Diseases," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 10, no. July, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00941.
- [4] S. P. Mohanty, D. P. Hughes, and M. Salathé, "Using deep learning for image-based plant disease detection," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 7, no. September, pp. 1–10, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01419.
- [5] B. Tugrul, E. Elfatimi, and R. Eryigit, "Convolutional Neural Networks in Detection of Plant Leaf Diseases: A Review," *Agric.*, vol. 12, no. 8, 2022, doi: 10.3390/agriculture12081192.
- [6] S. K. M. Hassan and A. K. Maji, "Plant Disease Identification Using a Novel Convolutional Neural Network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 5390–5401,

2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3141371.

- [7] D. Devarajan, R. Allafi, M. Obayya, and N. Nemri, "AI based real time disease diagnosis in plants using deep learning driven CNNs." 2026. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-34681-1.
- [8] M. Shoaib, S. U. Khan, H. AbdelHameed, and A. Qahmash, "Plant stress detection using multimodal imaging and machine learning: from leaf spectra to smartphone applications," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 16, no. January, 2026, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1670593.
- [9] J. J. Walsh, E. Mangina, and S. Negrão, "Advancements in Imaging Sensors and AI for Plant Stress Detection: A Systematic Literature Review," *Plant Phenomics*, vol. 6, pp. 1–19, 2024, doi: 10.34133/plantphenomics.0153.
- [10] A. Matabber, L. L. N. Rhuanga, S. Agehara, and M. Mozafarian, "Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Detection of Abiotic Stress in Plants: A Review," *Sensors*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1–26, 2026, doi: 10.3390/s26041122.
- [11] A. Subeesh, N. Chauhan, and N. L. Kushwaha, "Integrating IoT With Machine Learning and Deep Learning Models for Precision Soil-Less Agriculture: A Review," *Artif. Intell. Eng.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 137–164, 2025, doi: 10.1049/aie2.70005.
- [12] T. Miller, G. Mikiciuk, I. Durluk, M. Mikiciuk, A. Łobodzińska, and M. Śnieg, "The IoT and AI in Agriculture: The Time Is Now—A Systematic Review of Smart Sensing Technologies," *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 1–32, 2025, doi: 10.3390/s25123583.
- [13] J. P. Nyakuri, C. Nkundineza, O. Gatera, K. Nkurikiyeyezu, and G. Mwitende, "AI and IoT-powered edge device optimized for crop pest and disease detection," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-06452-5.
- [14] P. Yu, F. Teng, W. Zhu, C. Shen, Z. Chen, and J. Song, "Cloud–edge–device collaborative computing in smart agriculture: architectures, applications, and future perspectives," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 16, no. October, pp. 1–24, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2025.1668545.