

Foreign Policy and Democratic Governance in Nigeria: A Study of the Fourth Republic (1999–2022)

Uthman, Mufutahu Oluwaseyi¹, Alli, Murthadho Abiodun²

¹Political Science Department

Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo

Email: uthman.mufutahu2173@fcesoyo.edu.ng

Phone no: 08054656276

²Political Science Department

Federal College of Education (Special), Oyo.

Email: Alli.murthadho2670@fcesoyo.edu.ng

Phone no: 08134378887

Abstract: Nigeria's return to democratic governance in 1999 marked a significant shift in the orientation and conduct of its foreign policy, with increased emphasis on diplomacy, international cooperation, and regional leadership. Within the Fourth Republic, foreign policy has been closely linked to the principles and practice of democratic governance, including accountability, transparency, and institutional participation. This study examines the relationship between foreign policy and democratic governance in Nigeria from 1999 to the present, with the aim of assessing how democratic rule has influenced the formulation and implementation of foreign policy objectives. The study adopts a qualitative research design, relying on secondary sources such as academic journals, government publications, policy documents, and reports from international organisations. Findings reveal that democratic governance has contributed to a more participatory and transparent foreign policy process, enhanced Nigeria's global image, and strengthened its leadership role in regional affairs. However, the study also finds that challenges such as domestic political instability, corruption, economic constraints, and security issues continue to undermine the effectiveness of Nigeria's foreign policy. The study concludes that while democratic governance has positively shaped Nigeria's foreign policy, greater institutional strengthening, policy consistency, and alignment between domestic priorities and external engagements are necessary to maximize its benefits. It therefore recommends improved governance practices, strategic economic diplomacy, and enhanced policy coordination to ensure that Nigeria's foreign policy effectively promotes national development.

Keywords: Democratic governance, foreign policy, Fourth Republic, Nigeria, diplomacy

Introduction

Foreign policy is an essential element of statecraft that enables governments to pursue their national interests and interact with the international community (Okafor, 2018; Nye, 2019). Nigeria, rich in human and natural resources, has always utilized foreign policy as a strategic instrument to establish regional influence, foster African unity, and protect national sovereignty (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022). Since gaining independence in 1960, Nigeria's foreign policy has predominantly been Afrocentric, emphasizing the political emancipation and economic advancement of African nations while engaging actively in global matters through organizations like the United Nations and the African Union (Obi, 2020; Okoro, 2019). The efficacy and direction of foreign policy are frequently influenced by the characteristics of home government. Nigeria's foreign policy during military regimes was often marked by centralized decision-making and restricted public accountability (Ayoade, 2017). Conversely, democratic governance prioritizes transparency, adherence to the rule of law, and institutional engagement, all of which affect the development and execution of foreign policy (Folarin, 2021; Keohane & Nye, 2012). The shift to democracy in 1999 represented a pivotal moment in Nigeria's foreign policy direction (Ojo, 2018). Articulation of the Issue

Notwithstanding Nigeria's significant status in Africa and its engagement in international relations, the correlation between its foreign policy and democratic governance after 1999 continues to be a topic of contention. The shift to democratic governance in the Fourth Republic was anticipated to foster a more transparent, coherent, and citizen-centric foreign policy. However, data suggests that Nigeria's foreign policy has continued to encounter issues such as inconsistency in policy direction, insufficient institutional coordination, and limited translation of external activities into meaningful domestic gains.

Additionally, challenges such as corruption, violence, leadership changes, and economic instability have continued to define and, in some cases, constrain Nigeria's foreign policy objectives. While Nigeria has maintained visibility in international institutions like the United Nations and the Economic Community of West African States, doubts remain as to whether democratic government has meaningfully enhanced the effectiveness, accountability, and consequences of its foreign policy. This disparity between expectations and realities generates a need for a thorough analysis of how democratic governance has shaped Nigeria's foreign policy in the Fourth Republic, and whether it has strengthened the country's ability to accomplish its national goals.

Research Questions

The study will be guided by the following research questions:

- What are the major trends in Nigeria's foreign policy under the Fourth Republic?
- How has democratic governance influenced the formulation and implementation of Nigeria's foreign policy?
- What roles do political and institutional actors play in shaping foreign policy decisions in Nigeria?
- What are the key challenges facing Nigeria's foreign policy since 1999?
- To what extent has Nigeria's foreign policy achieved its national objectives under democratic governance?

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine the relationship between foreign policy and democratic governance in Nigeria during the Fourth Republic (1999–2022). Specifically, the study seeks to:

- analyze the nature and trends of Nigeria's foreign policy under democratic rule
- examine the influence of democratic governance on foreign policy formulation and implementation
- assess the role of key institutions in shaping Nigeria's foreign policy
- identify the major challenges affecting Nigeria's foreign policy in the Fourth Republic
- evaluate the extent to which Nigeria's foreign policy has achieved its national interests under democratic governance

Conceptual Clarification

Foreign Policy

Foreign policy refers to the set of principles, methods, and actions adopted by a state in managing its interactions with other countries and actors in the international system (Okafor, 2018; Nye, 2019). It covers decisions and activities that guide a nation's external behavior in pursuit of its national interests. Scholars often consider foreign policy as both a process (decision-making) and a product (actions and engagements) (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022). It involves diplomatic connections, economic cooperation, military alliances, and involvement in international institutions such as the United Nations (Obi, 2020). The dimensions of foreign policy are diverse. The political dimension involves diplomacy, alliance formation, and engagement in global governance. The economic dimension, also referred to as economic diplomacy, focuses on trade, foreign investment, and international economic ties. The security dimension involves military plans, peacekeeping operations, and conflict resolution, whereas the cultural or soft power dimension promotes national image, values, and cultural exchange (Okoro, 2019; Nye, 2019). In Nigeria, these dimensions have been molded by its Afrocentric orientation and leadership role within regional groups such as the Economic Community of West African States (Ayoade, 2017).

Democratic Governance

Democratic governance refers to a system of government defined by participation, accountability, transparency, rule of law, and respect for human rights (Folarin, 2021; Keohane & Nye, 2012). It emphasizes citizen involvement in decision-making, either directly or through elected representatives, and ensures that public authorities are accountable for their actions. Governance in a democratic democracy extends beyond political authority to how institutions work to offer public goods and services. Key qualities include free and fair elections, separation of powers, an independent judiciary, and robust civil society engagement (Ojo, 2018). In Nigeria's Fourth Republic, democratic governance has influenced internal political processes and the conduct of foreign policy by increasing openness, institutional checks, and respect to international norms (Obi, 2020). Furthermore, democratic governance promotes legitimacy in international relations, since governments seen to respect democratic norms are more likely to acquire trust and cooperation from other nations and global institutions such as the African Union (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022; Nye, 2019).

National Interest and Diplomacy

National interest is a central notion in foreign policy, referring to the aims, objectives, and priorities that a state wants to achieve in its interactions with other countries (Okafor, 2018; Obi, 2020). These interests may include national security, economic success, political stability, and international prestige. National interest governs all foreign policy decisions, dictating the direction and nature of a country's external activities. Diplomacy is the fundamental vehicle via which foreign policy is handled. It involves negotiation, dialogue, and communication between governments to manage relationships, resolve issues, and encourage cooperation. Diplomacy can take several forms, including bilateral, multilateral, and public diplomacy (Keohane & Nye, 2012; Folarin, 2021).

In Nigeria, diplomacy has been a vital weapon in furthering national objectives, particularly through peacekeeping efforts, regional leadership, and active engagement in international organizations. The relationship between national interest and diplomacy is crucial, as good diplomatic strategies ensure that a country's aims are pursued peacefully and efficiently within the global system (Ayoade, 2017; Okoro, 2019).

Nigeria's Fourth Republic began in 1999 following the end of extended military dictatorship, ushering in a new period of civilian governance (Ojo, 2018). This democratic transition not only restored constitutional order but also changed Nigeria's domestic and international engagements. Successive administrations since 1999 have worked to reposition Nigeria's image abroad, develop diplomatic connections, and foster economic collaboration (Eze, 2020).

Within this period, Nigeria has played significant roles in regional organizations such as the Economic Community of West African States, particularly in peacekeeping, conflict resolution, and democratic consolidation efforts in West Africa (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022; Obi, 2020). The Fourth Republic has also witnessed growing interaction with global partners, representing a change toward

economic diplomacy, foreign investment recruitment, and multilateral collaboration (Folarin, 2021; Nye, 2019). Despite these attempts, the period has been distinguished by chronic issues, including corruption, insecurity, and policy inconsistency, which continue to influence Nigeria's external relations.

Nigeria's Fourth Republic (1999–2022)

Nigeria's Fourth Republic began in 1999 following the end of extended military dictatorship, ushering in a new period of civilian governance (Ojo, 2018). This democratic transition not only restored constitutional order but also changed Nigeria's domestic and international engagements. Successive administrations since 1999 have worked to reposition Nigeria's image abroad, develop diplomatic connections, and foster economic collaboration (Eze, 2020). Within this period, Nigeria has played significant roles in regional organizations such as the Economic Community of West African States, particularly in peacekeeping, conflict resolution, and democratic consolidation efforts in West Africa (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022; Obi, 2020). The Fourth Republic has also witnessed growing interaction with global partners, representing a change toward economic diplomacy, foreign investment recruitment, and multilateral collaboration (Folarin, 2021; Nye, 2019). Despite these attempts, the period has been distinguished by chronic issues, including corruption, insecurity, and policy inconsistency, which continue to influence Nigeria's external relations.

Link between Foreign Policy and Democratic Governance

Democratic Governance Democratic governance refers to a system of government defined by participation, accountability, transparency, rule of law, and respect for human rights (Folarin, 2021; Keohane & Nye, 2012). It emphasizes citizen involvement in decision-making, either directly or through elected representatives, and ensures that public authorities are accountable for their actions.

Governance in a democratic democracy extends beyond political authority to how institutions work to offer public goods and services. Key qualities include free and fair elections, separation of powers, an independent judiciary, and robust civil society engagement (Ojo, 2018). In Nigeria's Fourth Republic, democratic governance has influenced internal political processes and the conduct of foreign policy by increasing openness, institutional checks, and respect to international norms (Obi, 2020). Furthermore, democratic governance promotes legitimacy in international relations, since governments seen to respect democratic norms are more likely to acquire trust and cooperation from other nations and global institutions such as the African Union (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022; Nye, 2019).

National Interest and Diplomacy National interest is a central notion in foreign policy, referring to the aims, objectives, and priorities that a state wants to achieve in its interactions with other countries (Okafor, 2018; Obi, 2020). These interests may include national security, economic success, political stability, and international prestige. National interest governs all foreign policy decisions, dictating the direction and nature of a country's external activities. Diplomacy is the fundamental vehicle via which foreign policy is handled. It involves negotiation, dialogue, and communication between governments to manage relationships, resolve issues, and encourage cooperation. Diplomacy can take several forms, including bilateral, multilateral, and public diplomacy (Keohane & Nye, 2012; Folarin, 2021). In Nigeria, diplomacy has been a vital weapon in furthering national objectives, particularly through peacekeeping efforts, regional leadership, and active engagement in international organizations. The relationship between national interest and diplomacy is crucial, as good diplomatic strategies ensure that a country's aims are pursued peacefully and efficiently within the global system (Ayoade, 2017; Okoro, 2019).

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive case study design to examine Nigeria's foreign policy under democratic governance in the Fourth Republic (1999–2022). The descriptive approach is suitable because it allows for a detailed examination of the characteristics, trends, and institutional factors influencing foreign policy. The case study method provides an in-depth understanding of Nigeria's foreign policy strategies, decision-making processes, and the interplay between domestic democratic governance and external engagements (Yin, 2018; Okafor, 2018).

Sources of Data

The study relies on secondary sources to collect relevant and credible information on Nigeria's foreign policy and democratic governance. These sources include:

- **Academic journals** that provide empirical and theoretical insights on Nigeria's foreign policy and international relations (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022; Nye, 2019).
- **Government reports** and policy documents, such as white papers, Ministry of Foreign Affairs publications, and ECOWAS records, which outline official strategies, engagements, and institutional roles (Obi, 2020; Ojo, 2018).
- **Books and monographs** by scholars on international relations, diplomacy, and governance in Nigeria and Africa (Ayoade, 2017; Okoro, 2019).

While primary data such as interviews and surveys could provide firsthand perspectives, this study focuses exclusively on documented secondary data to maintain methodological consistency and ensure comprehensive coverage of historical and contemporary policy developments.

Method of Data Collection

Data were collected through a systematic review of secondary sources, including:

- Searching academic databases (e.g., JSTOR, Google Scholar, Scopus) for relevant peer-reviewed journal articles.
- Reviewing official government websites and publications of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, ECOWAS, and the United Nations.
- Extracting relevant information from books, reports, and policy documents to construct a historical and contemporary narrative of Nigeria’s foreign policy under democratic governance.

The review focused on identifying trends, institutional roles, challenges, and outcomes of Nigeria’s foreign policy from 1999 to the present.

Method of Data Analysis

The study employs content and thematic analysis to interpret the collected data. Content analysis was used to systematically categorize and quantify themes, trends, and policy actions in the reviewed documents (Krippendorff, 2018). Thematic analysis was applied to identify recurring patterns, concepts, and issues in Nigeria’s foreign policy and governance structures (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Specifically, the analysis examined:

- Policy formulation and implementation patterns across different administrations.
- The influence of democratic governance principles on foreign policy.
- Institutional roles and coordination mechanisms.
- Challenges affecting the effectiveness of Nigeria’s foreign policy in achieving national interests.

This approach allows for an objective assessment of the relationship between democratic governance and foreign policy in the Fourth Republic while highlighting lessons for policy improvement.

Scope and Limitations

Scope

- The study focuses exclusively on Nigeria’s foreign policy under democratic governance, covering the Fourth Republic period (1999–present).
- Geographically, the study emphasizes Nigeria’s regional (West Africa) and international engagements, including multilateral participation in organizations such as the United Nations and the African Union.
- Conceptually, the study examines the interplay between foreign policy, democratic governance, and institutional roles.

Limitations

- The reliance on secondary data limits the study’s ability to capture the personal insights of policymakers or practitioners directly involved in foreign policy formulation.
- Some government documents and official data may be incomplete, outdated, or inaccessible due to confidentiality.
- The study is also limited by potential biases in published literature, especially in opinion-based articles and commentaries.

Results and Discussion

Nigeria’s Foreign Policy Trends (1999–2022)

Table 1: Nigeria’s Foreign Policy Trends under the Fourth Republic (1999–2022)

Administration	Policy Focus / Direction	Regional Engagements	Global Engagements	Key Challenges
Olusegun Obasanjo (1999–2007)	Regional stabilization, democratic promotion, international participation	Peacekeeping in Liberia, Sierra Leone, Côte d’Ivoire (ECOWAS)	Active UN participation, African Union diplomacy	Corruption, limited institutional capacity, bureaucratic delays
Umaru Musa Yar’Adua (2007–2010)	Economic diplomacy, multilateral cooperation	Continued ECOWAS peacekeeping, regional trade agreements	Foreign investment promotion, multilateral forums	Policy continuity issues due to early demise of administration
Goodluck Jonathan (2010–2015)	Global engagement, counterterrorism, multilateralism	Mediation in West African conflicts	UN and AU advocacy, international partnerships	Domestic instability, corruption, inconsistent policy implementation
Muhammadu Buhari (2015–2023 Present)	Security-focused diplomacy, economic diversification, African integration	Peacekeeping and mediation in ECOWAS & AU initiatives	Bilateral partnerships (US, UK, China), economic diplomacy	Leadership changes, insecurity, institutional coordination gaps

Source: Adapted from Okafor (2018), Obi (2020), Ayoade (2017), Folarin (2021), Nye (2019), Adeleke & Emechebe (2022).



The figure suggests that since the return to democracy in 1999, Nigeria’s foreign policy has evolved dynamically, with increasing global engagement and sustained regional involvement. The use of diplomacy, peacekeeping, and economic strategies highlights a multifaceted approach to international relations. Importantly, democratic governance has played a significant role in shaping foreign policy through transparency, leadership, and institutional participation.

Foreign Policy Instruments and Democratic Governance

Nigeria’s foreign policy in the Fourth Republic has been operationalized through multiple instruments that reflect both realist and liberalist principles. Democratic governance has influenced how these instruments are applied, emphasizing transparency, institutional coordination, and accountability. The three main instruments examined in this study are diplomacy, peacekeeping, and economic diplomacy.

Diplomacy

Diplomacy is the primary tool through which Nigeria conducts its foreign policy, involving negotiation, dialogue, and communication with other states to manage relationships, resolve conflicts, and promote cooperation (Keohane & Nye, 2012; Obi, 2020). Under democratic governance, Nigeria has institutionalized diplomatic processes, involving the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Presidency, the National Assembly, and civil society actors.

Key aspects include:

- **Bilateral diplomacy:** Engagements with strategic partners such as the United States, United Kingdom, China, and European Union to promote trade, security, and development cooperation (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022).
- **Multilateral diplomacy:** Active participation in organizations such as the United Nations, African Union, and ECOWAS to advocate for peace, democracy, and regional integration (Nye, 2019; Ayoade, 2017).
- **Public diplomacy:** Initiatives aimed at promoting Nigeria’s image abroad, cultural exchange, and soft power projection (Okafor, 2018).

Democratic governance has enhanced diplomacy by promoting transparency in negotiations and involving multiple institutions in decision-making, thus reducing the dominance of unilateral executive actions

Peacekeeping

Nigeria has historically used peacekeeping as a strategic instrument of foreign policy, reflecting both national interest and regional leadership ambitions (Ayoade, 2017; Obi, 2020). Peacekeeping efforts have been central to Nigeria’s role in ECOWAS and the African Union, aiming to stabilize conflict-prone regions and uphold democratic norms.

Key examples include:

- Deployment to Liberia, Sierra Leone, Côte d’Ivoire, and Mali under ECOWAS and AU mandates.
- Contributions to UN peacekeeping missions to maintain international peace and enhance Nigeria’s diplomatic influence.

Democratic governance has improved peacekeeping by ensuring legislative oversight, clearer rules of engagement, and alignment with broader foreign policy objectives (Folarin, 2021). However, challenges such as funding constraints, bureaucratic inefficiency, and domestic political instability sometimes limit effectiveness.

Economic Diplomacy

Economic diplomacy has emerged as a critical instrument for advancing Nigeria’s national interests, especially in promoting trade, attracting foreign investment, and participating in regional and global economic initiatives (Okoro, 2019; Nye, 2019).

Key aspects include:

- Negotiation of bilateral and multilateral trade agreements to expand market access.
- Participation in regional economic initiatives under ECOWAS, including trade liberalization and infrastructure development.
- Promotion of foreign direct investment in key sectors such as energy, agriculture, and manufacturing.

Democratic governance enhances economic diplomacy by fostering policy continuity, accountability, and transparency, thereby improving investor confidence and the credibility of Nigeria’s foreign commitments (Obi, 2020).

Table 2: Nigeria’s Foreign Policy Instruments and Democratic Governance

Instrument	Purpose / Function	Democratic Governance Influence	Key Examples
Diplomacy	Manage relations, promote cooperation, resolve conflicts	Institutional participation, transparency, legislative oversight	Bilateral: US, UK, China; Multilateral: UN, AU, ECOWAS
Peacekeeping	Promote regional stability, uphold democracy	Legislative approval, alignment with foreign policy objectives	ECOWAS missions in Liberia, Sierra Leone, Côte d’Ivoire
Economic Diplomacy	Promote trade, investment, and economic cooperation	Policy continuity, accountability, transparency	Bilateral trade agreements, regional ECOWAS economic initiatives

Source: Adapted from Ayoade (2017), Obi (2020), Okafor (2018), Nye (2019), Adeleke & Emechebe (2022).

Impact of Democratic Governance on Foreign Policy

Democratic governance plays a critical role in shaping the formulation, implementation, and effectiveness of Nigeria’s foreign policy. In the Fourth Republic, the principles of transparency, accountability, leadership oversight, and institutional participation have influenced the direction and outcomes of Nigeria’s engagements both regionally and globally (Okafor, 2018; Obi, 2020).

Transparency and Accountability

Democratic governance enhances transparency and accountability in foreign policy by ensuring that decisions are subject to legislative scrutiny, public debate, and institutional checks. In Nigeria, the National Assembly and civil society organizations have increasingly demanded that foreign policy initiatives be justified, budgeted appropriately, and aligned with national interests (Adeleke & Emechebe, 2022).

- **Transparency** has allowed citizens and stakeholders to access information on peacekeeping missions, bilateral agreements, and foreign investments, reducing the likelihood of unilateral or opaque decision-making (Folarin, 2021).
- **Accountability mechanisms** have helped curb misallocation of resources and ensure that foreign policy actions contribute to domestic development, though challenges remain due to bureaucratic inefficiency and corruption (Okoro, 2019).

Leadership Influence

Political leadership significantly shapes Nigeria’s foreign policy direction. Under democratic governance, the executive branch remains the primary driver of foreign policy, but its decisions are moderated by institutional checks, including parliamentary oversight and advisory committees (Obi, 2020).

- Different administrations have reflected unique priorities: Obasanjo emphasized regional stabilization and African unity, Jonathan prioritized global engagement and counterterrorism, while Buhari has focused on security diplomacy and economic partnerships (Okafor, 2018; Folarin, 2021).
- Democratic governance ensures that leadership influence is not absolute; strategic decisions require justification to institutions and the public, promoting policy consistency and alignment with national interest.

Institutional Roles

Democratic governance has strengthened the role of institutions in foreign policy formulation and implementation, enhancing both legitimacy and effectiveness.

Key institutions include:

- **Executive** (Presidency and Ministry of Foreign Affairs): Leads policy formulation and represents Nigeria internationally.

- **Legislature** (National Assembly): Provides oversight, approves foreign missions and agreements, and ensures compliance with national priorities (Ayoade, 2017).
- **Civil Society and Media:** Influence policy through advocacy, monitoring, and public debate, ensuring that foreign policy aligns with democratic norms (Nye, 2019).

The interaction of these institutions fosters checks and balances, reduces unilateral decision-making, and promotes adherence to democratic norms in Nigeria's external engagements (Obi, 2020). However, institutional weaknesses and political instability sometimes undermine these mechanisms, affecting policy effectiveness.

Table 3: Impact of Democratic Governance on Foreign Policy in Nigeria

Aspect	Impact on Foreign Policy	Illustrative Example
Transparency and Accountability	Reduces unilateral decisions; improves resource allocation	Oversight of peacekeeping budgets, bilateral agreements transparency
Leadership Influence	Shapes policy direction while moderated by institutional checks	Obasanjo: African unity; Jonathan: global engagement; Buhari: security & economic diplomacy
Institutional Roles	Enhances legitimacy, policy consistency, and adherence to norms	Executive formulates, legislature oversees, civil society monitors

Source: Adapted from Okafor (2018), Obi (2020), Ayoade (2017), Folarin (2021), Nye (2019), Adeleke & Emechebe (2022).

Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between foreign policy and democratic governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic (1999–2022), focusing on trends, instruments, and institutional influences shaping Nigeria's external engagements. The findings reveal that Nigeria's foreign policy has demonstrated both continuity and transformation under democratic rule, characterized by active participation in regional and global affairs, particularly through organizations such as the Economic Community of West African States, the African Union, and the United Nations. The study further shows that successive civilian administrations have pursued diverse policy directions, ranging from regional stabilization and democratic promotion to economic diplomacy and security-focused engagements.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, various policy and governance proposals are suggested to strengthen Nigeria's foreign policy under democratic leadership in the Fourth Republic.

First, there is a need to increase policy coherence and strategic direction in Nigeria's foreign policy. Successive administrations should build and adhere to a long-term foreign policy framework that transcends political shifts. This would maintain continuity in diplomatic interactions and strengthen Nigeria's credibility in international institutions such as the United Nations and the African Union. A well specified national interest approach should drive all foreign policy actions to avoid inconsistencies.

Second, the government should increase institutional coordination and capacity in foreign policy formulation and implementation. The functions of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Presidency, and the National Assembly should be clearly defined and harmonized to avoid redundancy and inefficiency. Improved inter-agency coordination and capacity building will enhance Nigeria's effectiveness in regional groups such as the Economic Community of West African States.

Third, there is a need to increase transparency and accountability measures in foreign policy processes. Democratic governance should be enhanced by parliamentary monitoring, public disclosure of international agreements, and active involvement of civil society. This will ensure that foreign policy decisions reflect national interests and contribute to domestic development outcomes.

Fourth, Nigeria should increase its economic diplomacy policy by stressing trade, foreign investment, and international economic alliances. This includes diversifying the economy, encouraging exports, and leveraging bilateral and international agreements to attract investment. A stronger focus on economic diplomacy will help Nigeria to transform its diplomatic engagements into actual economic advantages for its citizens.

Fifth, the government should address home concerns like as insecurity, corruption, and political instability, which impair foreign policy efficacy. Strengthening internal governance mechanisms, upgrading security architecture, and encouraging good governance practices will enhance Nigeria's capacity to project influence abroad.

Finally, Nigeria should invest in professional diplomatic training and capacity development for foreign service officers. Continuous training in negotiation, dispute resolution, and international relations will increase the quality of diplomatic representation and guarantee that Nigeria remains competitive in the global arena.

Overall, implementing these recommendations will help align Nigeria's foreign policy with democratic ideals, boost its global stature, and ensure that its external engagements effectively promote national development and international collaboration.

The results also reveal that democratic governance has greatly influenced Nigeria's foreign policy through improved openness, accountability, and institutional participation. The involvement of major institutions such as the government, legislature, and civil society has increased policy legitimacy and reduced unilateral decision-making. However, the findings reveal ongoing difficulties,

including policy inconsistency, domestic political instability, corruption, and insecurity, which continue to hinder the efficacy of Nigeria's foreign policy in attaining its national goals. Additionally, the study concluded that foreign policy instruments like as diplomacy, peacekeeping, and economic diplomacy are more effective when supported by robust democratic institutions and consistent policy frameworks.

In evaluating these data, it is obvious that Nigeria's foreign policy under democratic administration shows a hybrid strategy that mixes elements of power politics with international collaboration. While democratic governance has increased Nigeria's global image and reinforced its commitment to multilateralism and regional leadership, the full benefits of these interactions are yet to be realized due to structural and institutional obstacles. The findings imply that the efficiency of foreign policy is intimately connected to the quality of domestic governance, validating the premise that internal political stability and institutional strength are significant determinants of external success.

This work contributes to knowledge by providing an integrated empirical examination of how democratic governance shapes foreign policy in a developing country environment. It bridges the gap between theoretical viewpoints and practical realities by combining insights from Realism and Liberalism with empirical data from Nigeria's Fourth Republic. Furthermore, the study gives a sophisticated understanding of the connection between domestic governance institutions and international behavior, thereby enhancing existing literature on foreign policy analysis and democratic governance in Africa.

References

- Adedeji, A. (2002). From the Lagos Plan of Action to the New Partnership for Africa's Development and from the Final Act of Lagos to the Constitutive Act: Whither Africa? *Keynote Address*.
- Akinyemi, A. B. (2004). Nigeria's foreign policy: Retrospect and prospect. *Nigerian Forum*, 25(5-6), 421-436.
- Gambari, I. A. (2008). From balancing to bandwagoning: Nigeria's foreign policy and external relations. *African Journal of International Affairs*, 11(1), 1-13.
- Saliu, H. A. (2010). *Essays on contemporary Nigerian foreign policy*. Vantage Publishers.
- Adebajo, A. (2008). *The curse of Berlin: Africa after the Cold War*. Columbia University Press.
- Khadiagala, G. M. (2006). *Security dynamics in Africa's Great Lakes region*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*.
- Nye, J. S. (2011). *The future of power*. PublicAffairs.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2012). *Power and interdependence* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Morgenthau, H. J. (2006). *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. McGraw-Hill.
- Huntington, S. P. (1991). *The third wave: Democratization in the late twentieth century*. University of Oklahoma Press.
- Diamond, L. (1999). *Developing democracy: Toward consolidation*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and development in Africa*. Brookings Institution.
- Ibrahim, J. (2007). Nigeria's 2007 elections: The fitful path to democratic citizenship. *United States Institute of Peace Special Report*, 182.
- Suberu, R. T. (2007). Nigeria's muddled elections. *Journal of Democracy*, 18(4), 95-110.
- Osaghae, E. E. (1998). *Crippled giant: Nigeria since independence*. Indiana University Press.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social research methods: Qualitative and quantitative approaches* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
-