International Journal of Engineering and Information Systems (IJEAIS)
ISSN: 2643-640X
Vol. 10 Issue 8 August - 2026, Pages: 37-41

A Review of Digital Platforms for Industrial Environmental Compliance and Resource Exchange in Tanzania

ZACHARIA MASHAKA NDAGAMSU[1], SAMWEL Y. MWAKALINGA[2]

1Dept. of Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Dodoma, Dodoma, Tanzania
ndagamsuzachaia@gmail.com
2Dept. of Computer Science, Ruaha Catholic University, Iringa, Tanzania
Samwelmwakalinga33@gmail.com

***Abstract**: Tanzania's mining, manufacturing, and energy sectors face mounting statutory obligations under the Environmental Management Act (Cap. 191) and the Environmental Impact Assessment and Audit Regulations enforced by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC), while simultaneously facing pressure from international buyers and investors to demonstrate conformity with voluntary standards such as ISO 14001:2015 and ISO 45001:2018. This review examines an emerging class of business-to-business (B2B) digital platforms that align these two pressures by combining regulatory-compliance tooling with industrial resource-exchange and carbon-market functionality. Drawing on Tanzanian regulatory documents, NEMC procedural guidance, and the international literature on digital industrial symbiosis and compliance-automation platforms, the review evaluates three platform archetypes: (i) digital audit and gap-analysis suites that translate EIA/EA and ISO requirements into actionable checklists; (ii) industrial symbiosis marketplaces that match waste producers with resource users; and (iii) GIS-based climate-risk mapping tools that extend environmental assessment beyond one-off permitting toward continuous risk management, described here as "EIA 2.0". These strands are synthesized into an integrated "Compliance-to-Carbon" hub model, together with a phased, low-capital ("lean") development pathway moving from a content- and directory-based launch, through interactive compliance tools, to a full carbon-credit and byproduct marketplace. The review concludes by identifying the regulatory, data, and market-maturity gaps that will determine whether such platforms can scale in Tanzania and the wider East African region, and outlines priorities for future empirical research.*

Keywords—*Environmental compliance; digital platforms; industrial symbiosis; carbon credit marketplace; National Environment Management Council; ISO 14001; ISO 45001; Tanzania; EIA 2.0*

1. INTRODUCTION

Tanzania's industrial base anchored in mining, manufacturing, and energy is expanding rapidly, and with it the environmental obligations placed on developers under the Environmental Management Act, Cap. 191, and the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Environmental Audit (EA) Regulations administered by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) [7], [8]. In parallel, firms operating in export-oriented value chains face growing pressure from buyers, lenders, and insurers to demonstrate conformity with voluntary international management-system standards, principally ISO 14001:2015 for environmental management and ISO 45001:2018 for occupational health and safety [5], [6]. In practice, compliance in Tanzania today is largely a paper-based, consultant-mediated, and episodic process: an EIA certificate is obtained once, at project inception, and subsequent environmental audits and permit renewals are handled as discrete administrative events rather than as continuous management functions [4], [9].

Internationally, two adjacent digital trends offer a template for modernizing this process. The first is the digitalization of compliance and audit workflows sometimes termed "regulatory technology" which converts static checklists into interactive, continuously updated management tools. The second is the growth of digital industrial symbiosis (IS) platforms, which use online matching to connect waste and by-product producers with firms that can reuse those outputs as inputs, thereby reducing disposal costs and virgin-resource demand [1], [2], [3]. Neither trend has yet been systematically examined in relation to Tanzania's specific regulatory architecture, nor has the literature considered how these two functions compliance automation and resource exchange might be combined into a single, commercially viable platform targeted at industrial sectors that already carry a legal and budgetary obligation to act.

This review addresses that gap. It surveys the international literature and Tanzanian regulatory framework to answer three questions:

(i) What types of digital platforms exist for environmental-compliance automation and industrial resource exchange, and what design features make them effective?
(ii) How does Tanzania's regulatory and institutional environment centered on NEMC and its EIA/EA procedures create demand for such a platform?

(iii) What business model and phased development pathway would allow a B2B "Compliance-to-Carbon" platform to be built and scaled with limited initial capital?

The review closes with a discussion of opportunities, implementation challenges, and priorities for future empirical research.

II. METHODOLOGY

This is a narrative, integrative review rather than a formal systematic review, reflecting the interdisciplinary breadth of the subject, which spans environmental law, information systems, and circular-economy engineering. Three categories of source were consulted. First, primary Tanzanian regulatory and institutional documents were reviewed, including the Environmental Management Act, Cap. 191; the Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations of 2005 and their 2018 amendment; the Registration and Practice of Environmental Experts Regulations, 2021; and NEMC's published EIA/EA procedural guidance [7]– [10]. Second, peer-reviewed literature on digital industrial symbiosis platforms and compliance-automation tools was retrieved from academic sources including Open Research Europe, Sustainability, and related journals [1]– [4]. Third, industry and sector-specific guidance including the 2023 EIA guidelines for Tanzania's building-construction sector produced jointly by NEMC and the Centre for Science and Environment was used to corroborate the practical operation of the EIA/EA system on the ground [11].

The scope of the review is deliberately narrowed to the mining, manufacturing, and energy sectors, since these are the sectors for which EIA/EA compliance is both a statutory obligation and a budgeted cost center, making them the most plausible early adopters of a paid B2B compliance platform. Findings from the international IS-platform literature, which is concentrated in European and Asian contexts, are used analogically to identify design features data requirements, matching mechanisms, and governance models that are transferable to a Tanzanian setting, while flagging where local adaptation or further empirical testing would be required.

III. REGULATORY AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT IN TANZANIA

Environmental permitting in Tanzania is governed by the Environmental Management Act, Cap. 191, which requires that an EIA be completed prior to the commencement or financing of any project listed in the Act's Third Schedule and detailed in the First Schedule of the Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations [7], [8]. The regulations set out a nine-step EIA process from preliminary registration and screening, through scoping and terms-of-reference approval, to submission of an Environmental Impact Statement for review by a cross-sectoral Technical Advisory Committee and an eight-step process for the subsequent Environmental Audit, which establishes baseline monitoring information for the life of the project [9]. NEMC, through its Directorate of EIA, administers this process and is supported by more than fifty technical staff across environmental disciplines.

Two features of this framework are especially relevant to the case for a digital platform. First, compliance work is channeled through registered Environmental Experts and Lead Experts, whose practice is itself regulated under the Registration and Practice of Environmental Experts Regulations, 2021 [10]; a platform that indexes and connects firms with this existing expert network adds value without displacing the statutory role of the Council. Second, while the regulatory process is well codified, its documentation and reporting remain largely manual: a joint 2023 review by NEMC and the Centre for Science and Environment found that EIA reports for the construction sector often contained large volumes of information that was "unstructured and haphazardly formatted", prompting the development of harmonized sector-specific guidelines to improve report quality and regulatory review [11]. This finding illustrates, at the level of a specific sector, the broader opportunity for structured digital tools: converting free-text compliance documentation into standardized, machine-readable checklists would both ease the burden on developers and improve NEMC's own review capacity.

Layered on top of this statutory framework is voluntary demand for ISO 14001:2015 environmental management system certification and ISO 45001:2018 occupational health and safety management certification, both of which are increasingly required by international offtake buyers, lenders, and multinational parent companies operating in Tanzania [5], [6]. Because these standards require documented, auditable management systems rather than one-off assessments, they create a second, recurring driver of demand for digital compliance tooling that is independent of, but complementary to, the statutory EIA/EA cycle.

IV. DIGITAL PLATFORMS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AUTOMATION

The first pillar of the reviewed platform model is a "Digital Audit Suite": an automated checklist system aligned to ISO 14001:2015 and ISO 45001:2018, paired with a gap-analysis tool that allows a factory manager to input current processes and receive a structured report of the changes required to meet Tanzanian statutory requirements and voluntary certification criteria. Internationally, the broader movement toward digitizing environmental and health-and-safety audits reflects a shift from compliance as a periodic, document-based event toward compliance as a continuously updated management function precisely the shift implied by the 2023 NEMC/CSE finding that Tanzanian EIA reporting remains largely unstructured [11]. Framed this way, a digital audit suite does

not replace the statutory EIA process but converts its output into a living management tool that a facility can revisit between formal audit cycles, reducing the risk of non-compliance drift and shortening the preparation time for the next statutory Environmental Audit.

The value proposition for industrial buyers' rests on two features identified consistently in the compliance-automation and digital-symbiosis literature: reduced search and preparation costs, and improved auditability of the underlying data trail [1], [2]. For Tanzanian mining, manufacturing, and energy operators, both features map directly onto existing pain points the cost and lead time of engaging a registered Environmental Expert for each audit cycle, and the difficulty of demonstrating continuous conformity to both NEMC and international certification bodies from largely paper-based records.

V. INDUSTRIAL SYMBIOSIS AND RESOURCE-EXCHANGE PLATFORMS

Industrial symbiosis (IS) refers to the exchange of waste, by-products, energy, or water between geographically proximate firms so that one facility's residual output becomes another's input [2]. A growing body of literature examines how digital platforms lower the transaction costs of identifying and validating such exchanges. Systematic reviews of IS platforms have catalogued the data points material type, quantity, location, quality, and timing that determine whether an online matching tool can generate a viable synergy between waste producers and resource users [2]. Krom, Piscicelli, and Frenken similarly examine how digital platforms reshape the governance and intermediation of industrial symbiosis relative to traditional, facilitator-led networks [1], while Barile et al. analyse the organizational transformation required for firms to participate in digitally mediated symbiosis relationships [4]. Case evidence from operational platforms including NISP-derived matching software in the United Kingdom and the Upvalue digital waste marketplace evaluated by Soares et al. indicates that digital matching can meaningfully reduce partner-search time relative to informal networking, though realized transaction volumes depend heavily on regulatory clarity around end-of-waste status and on the presence of a critical mass of participating firms [1], [3].

Applied to Tanzania, the proposed "Industrial Symbiosis Marketplace" pillar targets exactly this kind of local matching for example, connecting a textile factory in Dar es Salaam that generates process wastewater and organic residue with a nearby facility seeking biomass feedstock for anaerobic digestion or other waste-to-energy conversion. The international literature suggests that the binding constraints on such a marketplace are unlikely to be technical: rather, they are data availability (few Tanzanian facilities currently maintain digitized waste inventories), trust and verification (participants need assurance that listed material streams are accurately characterized), and the absence of a formal "end-of-waste" or by-product classification regime comparable to those that have unlocked symbiosis markets elsewhere [2], [3]. These constraints point to the digital audit suite (Section IV) as a natural on-ramp to the marketplace: firms that have already digitized their process and waste data for compliance purposes are better positioned to list verified material streams for exchange.

VI. GIS-BASED CLIMATE RISK MAPPING ("EIA 2.0")

The third pillar is a Climate Risk Mapper: an interactive, GIS-based dashboard that overlays project locations with climate-vulnerability data flood risk, soil erosion, and heavy-metal contamination hotspots for specific regions such as Dodoma or Mbeya. Whereas the statutory EIA process assesses risk once, at the point of project approval, a spatial risk-mapping layer allows project developers, financiers, and NEMC reviewers to track how vulnerability evolves over a project's operating life, supporting asset protection rather than one-time procedural sign-off. We describe this shift, following the platform model under review, as "EIA 2.0": using continuously updated spatial data to inform siting, mitigation, and monitoring decisions, rather than relying solely on the static box-ticking assessment produced at the scoping stage.

This pillar is the least mature of the three in terms of existing implementation evidence, both internationally and within Tanzania specifically. Its inclusion in the review is therefore best understood as an identified opportunity rather than an established practice: NEMC's EIA and Audit Regulations already require identification of environmentally sensitive areas at the screening stage [9], and the technical foundation for extending this into a continuously updated GIS layer exists in principle, but published evidence of operational climate-risk-mapping platforms serving the Tanzanian industrial sector is limited. This gap is revisited in Section VIII.

VII. TOWARD AN INTEGRATED "COMPLIANCE-TO-CARBON" HUB

The review synthesizes the three pillars above into a single platform architecture, referred to here as a "Compliance-to-Carbon" hub, on the reasoning that regulatory alignment (Section III) gives the platform an indispensable, budgeted use case, while the resource-exchange and carbon-market functions (Sections V and VI) provide the pathway to scalable, transaction-based revenue beyond subscription fees for compliance tools. Monetization follows a layered model: industrial clients pay for audit and gap-analysis tools that simplify statutory and ISO-linked reporting; the platform earns listing or transaction fees on the symbiosis marketplace; and, as the marketplace matures, it can extend into brokerage or listing services for carbon-offset projects generated by waste-to-energy or resource-efficiency investments identified through the platform.

Given the data-availability and trust constraints identified in Section V, a capital-light, phased development pathway is proposed rather than a full-platform launch. Table I summarizes this three-step roadmap.

Step	Timeframe	Core deliverables
1. Lite launch	Months 1–3	CMS site (WordPress/Webflow); resource library of simplified Tanzanian regulations; directory of registered Associate Consultants and Lead Experts.
2. Tool integration	Months 4–8	Interactive permit calculator (NEMC fees/timelines); HSE incident-tracking dashboard aligned to ISO 45001.
3. Marketplace	Year 1 onward	Industrial symbiosis and carbon-credit exchange; companies list byproducts, waste streams, and eligible carbon-offset projects.

TABLE I. PHASED ("LEAN") DEVELOPMENT ROADMAP FOR A COMPLIANCE-TO-CARBON HUB

This sequencing allows the venture to validate regulatory demand and build the registered-consultant network at low cost before investing in the interactive tooling and marketplace infrastructure that require higher development effort and a larger base of participating firms.

VIII. DISCUSSION: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND RESEARCH GAPS

The principal opportunity identified by this review is regulatory alignment: because EIA/EA compliance is a legal obligation with a defined budget line for mining, manufacturing, and energy operators, a platform built around NEMC's existing procedural framework addresses a "must-have" rather than a discretionary purchase, distinguishing it from generic sustainability software that competes for voluntary corporate-social-responsibility budgets. The phased roadmap in Section VII further reduces execution risk by allowing the venture to reach revenue-generating milestones directory and resource-library traffic, then tool subscriptions well before the more demanding marketplace and carbon-brokerage functions are required to succeed.

Several challenges nonetheless emerge from the literature and regulatory review. First, data quality and digital readiness among Tanzanian industrial facilities are likely to lag those of the European and Asian contexts from which most IS-platform evidence is drawn [1]– [3]; onboarding support and simplified data-entry design will therefore be more important than in mature markets. Second, trust and verification are recurring barriers to symbiosis-platform adoption internationally, and will require either third-party validation (for example, via registered Environmental Experts) or a formal by-product/end-of-waste classification aligned with NEMC's regulatory categories, which does not yet exist in Tanzania in the form seen in more developed IS markets [2], [3]. Third, any extension into carbon-credit brokerage will require rigorous measurement, reporting, and verification (MRV) processes to maintain credibility with international carbon-market buyers, which represents a substantially higher compliance and technical burden than the compliance-audit and symbiosis pillars. Fourth, the platform must manage the sensitivity of proprietary industrial process data shared for audit or matching purposes, an issue only briefly addressed in the reviewed literature and warranting dedicated data-governance design.

These challenges point to three priorities for future research. First, there is a need for empirical studies of digital-platform adoption specifically within Sub-Saharan African industrial sectors, where infrastructure, regulatory maturity, and firm size distributions differ materially from the European settings that dominate the existing IS-platform literature. Second, willingness-to-pay research among Tanzanian mining, manufacturing, and energy firms would help calibrate the monetization assumptions underlying the Compliance-to-Carbon model. Third, policy-oriented research is needed on how NEMC and related regulators could formally recognize or integrate digital audit outputs into statutory EIA/EA review, which would substantially strengthen the platform's value proposition beyond voluntary adoption.

IX. CONCLUSION

This review has examined the case for a B2B "Compliance-to-Carbon" digital platform that combines environmental-compliance automation, industrial resource exchange, and GIS-based climate-risk mapping, targeted at Tanzania's mining, manufacturing, and energy sectors. The review finds that Tanzania's statutory EIA/EA framework, administered by NEMC, together with growing voluntary demand for ISO 14001 and ISO 45001 conformity, creates a genuine and budgeted need for digital compliance tooling, while the international literature on digital industrial symbiosis platforms offers transferable design lessons and cautionary evidence on the data, trust, and classification barriers such platforms must overcome for the resource-exchange and carbon-market pillars. A phased, lean development pathway, beginning with a content and directory service and progressing toward interactive tools and, eventually, a full marketplace, offers a realistic route to building this capability with limited initial capital. The most significant open questions concern empirical adoption behavior among Tanzanian industrial firms and the extent to which regulators are willing to formally recognize digitally generated compliance evidence both of which represent priority directions for future research and pilot implementation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) for its publicly available regulatory and procedural guidance, which informed the regulatory analysis presented in this review.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Krom, L. Piscicelli, and K. Frenken, "Digital platforms for industrial symbiosis," Journal of Innovation Economics & Management, vol. 39, no. 3, 2022.

[2] P. Tsimiklis and A. Amditis et al., "Industrial symbiosis platforms for synergy identification and their most important data points: a systematic review," Open Research Europe, vol. 1, p. 101, 2021.

[3] M. Soares, A. Ribeiro, T. Vasconcelos, M. Barros, C. Castro, C. Vilarinho, and J. Carvalho, "Challenges of digital waste marketplace the Upvalue platform," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 14, p. 11235, 2023.

[4] S. Barile, C. Bassano, R. D'Amore, P. Piciocchi, M. Saviano, and P. Vito, "Insights of digital transformation processes in industrial symbiosis from the viable systems approach (VSA)," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 17, p. 9696, 2021.

[5] International Organization for Standardization, ISO 14001:2015 Environmental management systems Requirements with guidance for use. Geneva: ISO, 2015.

[6] International Organization for Standardization, ISO 45001:2018 Occupational health and safety management systems Requirements with guidance for use. Geneva: ISO, 2018.

[7] United Republic of Tanzania, The Environmental Management Act, Cap. 191. Dar es Salaam: Government Printer, 2004.

[8] National Environment Management Council, The Environmental (Impact Assessment and Audit) Regulations, 2005 (as amended, 2018). Dodoma: NEMC.

[9] National Environment Management Council, Procedures for Carrying Out Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Audit. Dodoma: NEMC. [Online]. Available: www.nemc.or.tz

[10] National Environment Management Council, Registration and Practice of Environmental Experts Regulations, 2021. Dodoma: NEMC.

[11] Centre for Science and Environment and National Environment Management Council, Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines for Tanzania's Building Construction Sector. Dodoma, 2023.